## State of Misconsin 2021 - 2022 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2013/1 ZDW:emw

## 2021 ASSEMBLY BILL 796

January 4, 2022 - Introduced by Representatives Shelton, Snodgrass, Goyke, Anderson, Andraca, Brostoff, Cabrera, Conley, Considine, Emerson, Hebl, Hong, B. Meyers, Milroy, Neubauer, Ohnstad, Pope, S. Rodriguez, Sinicki, Spreitzer, Stubbs, Subeck, Vining and Vruwink, cosponsored by Senators Agard, Carpenter, Larson, Ringhand, Johnson, Erpenbach, Roys, L. Taylor and Smith. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

### \*\*\*AUTHORS SUBJECT TO CHANGE\*\*\*

AN ACT to repeal 84.01 (35) (d) (intro.) and 2.; to renumber 84.01 (35) (d) 1.; to

amend 84.01 (35) (b); and to create 84.01 (35) (c) of the statutes; relating to:

requiring bicycle and pedestrian facilities in highway projects and granting

rule-making authority.

## Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill requires the Department of Transportation to ensure, with exceptions, that bikeways and pedestrian ways are established in all new highway construction and reconstruction projects funded from state or federal funds (a policy commonly known as "complete streets").

Under current law, DOT generally must give due consideration to establishing bikeways and pedestrian ways in all new highway construction and reconstruction projects funded from state or federal funds. However, DOT may not establish a bikeway or pedestrian way as part of a highway project if bicyclists or pedestrians are prohibited from using the highway. DOT is also prohibited from establishing a bikeway or pedestrian way as part of a state-funded project unless the governing body of each municipality in which a portion of the project is located authorizes the creation of the bikeway or pedestrian way.

Under the bill, DOT must ensure that bikeways and pedestrian ways are established in all new highway construction and reconstruction projects funded from state or federal funds. DOT is required to promulgate rules identifying exceptions to this requirement, but the exceptions may only be based on one of several specified criteria.

### **ASSEMBLY BILL 796**

For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**Section 1.** 84.01 (35) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

84.01 (35) (b) Except as provided in par. (d) (c), and notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter or ch. 82, 83, or 85, the department shall give due consideration to establishing ensure that bikeways and pedestrian ways are established in all new highway construction and reconstruction projects funded in whole or in part from state funds or federal funds appropriated under s. 20.395 or 20.866.

**SECTION 2.** 84.01 (35) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

84.01 (35) (c) The department shall promulgate rules identifying exceptions to the requirement under par. (b), but these rules may provide for an exception only if any of the following applies:

- 2. The cost of establishing bikeways or pedestrian ways would be excessively disproportionate to the need or probable use of the bikeways or pedestrian ways. For purposes of this subdivision, cost is excessively disproportionate if it exceeds 20 percent of the total project cost. The rules may not allow an exception under this subdivision to be applied unless the secretary of transportation, or a designee of the secretary who has knowledge of the purpose and value of bicycle and pedestrian accommodations, reviews the applicability of the exception under this subdivision to the particular project at issue.
- 3. Establishing bikeways or pedestrian ways would have excessive negative impacts in a constrained environment.

# ASSEMBLY BILL 796

(END)
<b>Section 4.</b> 84.01 (35) (d) 1. of the statutes is renumbered 84.01 (35) (c) 1.
<b>SECTION 3.</b> 84.01 (35) (d) (intro.) and 2. of the statutes are repealed.
agreement to maintain them.
5. The community where pedestrian ways are to be located refuses to accept an
by sparsity of population, traffic volume, or other factors.
4. There is an absence of need for the bikeways or pedestrian ways, as indicated