



State of Wisconsin  
2021 - 2022 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2387/1  
MED:amn

## 2021 ASSEMBLY BILL 685

November 12, 2021 - Introduced by Representatives DITTRICH, NEYLON, PENTERMAN, SKOWRONSKI, EDMING, VANDERMEER, SORTWELL, BRANDTJEN, KUGLITSCH, ZIMMERMAN, MURSAU, THIESFELDT and KNODL, cosponsored by Senators NASS and STROEBEL. Referred to Committee on State Affairs.

\*\*\*AUTHORS SUBJECT TO CHANGE\*\*\*

1     **AN ACT to repeal** 35.93 (2) (b) 3. im., 227.01 (3m), 227.05 and 227.112; **to amend**  
2             13.91 (1) (c), subchapter II (title) of chapter 227 [precedes 227.10], 227.40 (1),  
3             227.40 (2) (intro.), 227.40 (2) (e), 227.40 (3) (ag), 227.40 (3) (ar), 227.40 (3) (b),  
4             227.40 (3) (c) and 227.40 (4) (a); and **to create** 227.10 (1m) of the statutes;  
5             **relating to:** guidance documents issued by state agencies.

---

### ***Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau***

Subject to certain exceptions, current law contains provisions requiring agencies to 1) submit proposed guidance documents to the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register and provide a period for public comments on the proposed guidance documents, and 2) identify the applicable provision of federal law or the applicable state statutory or administrative code provision that supports any statement or interpretation of law that the agency makes in publications regarding the laws the agency administers. In *Service Employees International Union (SEIU), Local 1 v. Vos*, 2020 WI 67, the Wisconsin Supreme Court held that the provision on guidance documents is facially unconstitutional and that the requirement to identify state statutory or administrative code provisions is facially unconstitutional to the extent that it addresses guidance documents.

This bill repeals these provisions and replaces them with a provision affirming that any document or communication that an agency issues or provides in order to explain the agency's implementation of a statute or rule enforced or administered by



**ASSEMBLY BILL 685**

1 administered by the agency or to provide guidance or advice with respect to how the  
2 agency is likely to apply a statute or rule enforced or administered by the agency  
3 shall be consistent with applicable statutes, rules, and other sources of law. Except  
4 when otherwise provided by law, any such document or communication does not have  
5 the force of law and does not provide the authority for implementing or enforcing a  
6 standard, requirement, or threshold, including as a term or condition of any license.

7 **SECTION 7.** 227.112 of the statutes is repealed.

8 **SECTION 8.** 227.40 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

9 227.40 (1) Except as provided in sub. (2), the exclusive means of judicial review  
10 of the validity of a rule ~~or guidance document~~ shall be an action for declaratory  
11 judgment as to the validity of the rule ~~or guidance document~~ brought in the circuit  
12 court for the county where the party asserting the invalidity of the rule ~~or guidance~~  
13 ~~document~~ resides or has its principal place of business or, if that party is a  
14 nonresident or does not have its principal place of business in this state, in the circuit  
15 court for the county where the dispute arose. The officer or other agency whose rule  
16 ~~or guidance document~~ is involved shall be the party defendant. The summons in the  
17 action shall be served as provided in s. 801.11 (3) and by delivering a copy to that  
18 officer or, if the agency is composed of more than one person, to the secretary or clerk  
19 of the agency or to any member of the agency. The court shall render a declaratory  
20 judgment in the action only when it appears from the complaint and the supporting  
21 evidence that the rule ~~or guidance document~~ or its threatened application interferes  
22 with or impairs, or threatens to interfere with or impair, the legal rights and  
23 privileges of the plaintiff. A declaratory judgment may be rendered whether or not  
24 the plaintiff has first requested the agency to pass upon the validity of the rule ~~or~~  
25 ~~guidance document~~ in question.

**ASSEMBLY BILL 685****SECTION 9**

1           **SECTION 9.** 227.40 (2) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

2           227.40 (2) (intro.) The validity of a rule ~~or guidance document~~ may be  
3 determined in any of the following judicial proceedings when material therein:

4           **SECTION 10.** 227.40 (2) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

5           227.40 (2) (e) Proceedings under s. 66.191, 1981 stats., or s. 40.65 (2), 106.50,  
6 106.52, 303.07 (7) or 303.21 or ss. 227.52 to 227.58 or under ch. 102, 108 or 949 for  
7 review of decisions and orders of administrative agencies if the validity of the rule  
8 ~~or guidance document~~ involved was duly challenged in the proceeding before the  
9 agency in which the order or decision sought to be reviewed was made or entered.

10          **SECTION 11.** 227.40 (3) (ag) of the statutes is amended to read:

11          227.40 (3) (ag) In any judicial proceeding other than one under sub. (1) or (2),  
12 in which the invalidity of a rule ~~or guidance document~~ is material to the cause of  
13 action or any defense thereto, the assertion of that invalidity shall be set forth in the  
14 pleading of the party maintaining the invalidity of the rule ~~or guidance document~~ in  
15 that proceeding. The party asserting the invalidity of the rule ~~or guidance document~~  
16 shall, within 30 days after the service of the pleading in which the party sets forth  
17 the invalidity, apply to the court in which the proceedings are had for an order  
18 suspending the trial of the proceeding until after a determination of the validity of  
19 the rule ~~or guidance document~~ in an action for declaratory judgment under sub. (1).

20          **SECTION 12.** 227.40 (3) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:

21          227.40 (3) (ar) Upon the hearing of the application, if the court is satisfied that  
22 the validity of the rule ~~or guidance document~~ is material to the issues of the case, an  
23 order shall be entered staying the trial of said proceeding until the rendition of a final  
24 declaratory judgment in proceedings to be instituted forthwith by the party asserting  
25 the invalidity of the rule ~~or guidance document~~. If the court finds that the asserted

