Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Supplen	nental						
LRB Number 21-0509/1	Introduction Number AB-004	9						
Description the wildlife damage abatement program and the wildlife damage claim program								
Fiscal Effect								
AppropriationsRever	ease Existing absorb within agency's bu							
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre	5.Types of Local Governmen ase Revenue issive Mandatory ease Revenue issive Mandatory issive Mandatory 5.Types of Local Governmen Units Affected Towns Counties Others School WTCS Districts Districts	Cities						
Fund Sources Affected GPR PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.370(5)(fq)								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	2/20/2021						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/20/2021

LRB Number 21-0509/1	Introduction Number	AB-0049	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
the wildlife damage abatement program and the wildlife damage claim program							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill makes several changes to the wildlife damage abatement program and the wildlife damage claim program.

I. State Fiscal Effect

A. Revenue

The department assumes the bill will have no impact on the amount of revenue that will be generated for wildlife damage program administration and payments (e.g. \$2 hunting license surcharges and \$12/\$20 resident/nonresident bonus deer permit surcharges).

B. Costs

1. Cost Decreases--Ongoing

The department estimates a \$50,000 annual decrease in program expenditures would be achieved through the elimination of the requirement that counties administer the program. The \$50,000 estimate was determined by looking at county administrative costs charged in excess of the USDA-Wildlife Services budget in counties that contracted program services to USDA-Wildlife Services.

2. Cost increases--Ongoing

The department estimates a \$606,400 annual increase in program expenditures, itemized and based on the following assumptions:

- · Commodities grown will remain constant
- · Commodity prices will remain constant
- · Damage rates per acre will remain constant
- · Annual satellite and activation fees for bear trap monitors will remain constant
- Existing enrolled agricultural acreage will remain enrolled (increasing annual compensation payments due to the elimination of the compensation limit)
- New enrollments will increase by 10% (increasing annual compensation payments
- Program administrative costs will increase by 3% (increasing annual administrative costs for enrolling new producers, implementing abatement, and conducting crop appraisals)
- · Amount of corn damaged to access trap locations does not increase significantly
- · One additional USDA-Wildlife Services employee is hired to conduct bear trapping and relocation services

3. Cost increases--one-time

The department estimates one-time costs of \$94,000 will be necessary for the purchase of bear trap monitors and additional equipment to handle the projected increase in bear trapping and relocation.

II. Local Government Fiscal Effect:

Since program services would no longer be provided by counties, as prescribed in the bill, the associated administrative costs would be eliminated and counties would no longer be reimbursed by the state for those costs. Therefore the department estimates an annual decrease of \$214,900 in both costs and revenue for the seventeen counties that have a county employee implement the program or hire a private wildlife damage technician for program implementation. The amount of administrative funding varies by county and ranges from \$600 - \$43,500 per county.

The wildlife damage program currently has a balance of approximately \$5.8 million. However, because the bill is projected to result in a net increase in wildlife damage costs, the long-term fiscal effect will be the eventual proration of wildlife damage claim payments to agriculture producers since total program costs will be expected to exceed available revenues in most years.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2021 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRE	3 Number	21-0509/1		Introduction Num	oer	AB-0049			
	Description the wildlife damage abatement program and the wildlife damage claim program								
I. On	I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in								
annı	annualized fiscal effect):								
	Estimated one-time costs of \$94,000 for the purchase of bear trap monitors and additional equipment to handle the projected increase in bear trapping and relocation.								
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:							
		то (то от температи и при при при при при при при при при		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs			
I	tate Costs by C		omana ana mari g		namadaanyan m	equiquement distribution de la company d			
Sta	ate Operations -	Salaries and Fringes		\$	zwielus mantenaniens	\$			
(F	ΓΕ Position Cha	nges)							
<u></u>	ate Operations -	Other Costs		120,600		-50,000			
<u></u>	cal Assistance								
		or Organizations		485,800	www.mar.com				
	TOTAL State Co	osts by Category		\$606,400		\$-50,000			
B. S	tate Costs by S	Source of Funds							
GF	PR								
FE	D								
	RO/PRS								
SE	G/SEG-S		an a	606,400	Transmitteering	-50,000			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)									
				Increased Rev		Decreased Rev			
GF	PR Taxes			\$		\$			
GF	PR Earned								
FE	D			можность подписати на принципалниции на принципалниции на принципалниции на принципалниции на принципалниции н					
PF	RO/PRS								
SE	G/SEG-S								
	TOTAL State Re			\$		\$			
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT									
		<u>State</u>		Loca					
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$556,400	-\$214,900						
NET	CHANGE IN R	EVENUE		\$		-\$214,900			
Age	Agency/Prepared By Auti			horized Signature		Date			
DNF	R/ Paul Neuman	n (608) 266-0818	Pau	ul Neumann (608) 266-0818 2/20/2021					