\circ	\cap	\cap	0	\sim		1
5-	U	U	8	Ζ.	_	

SENATE BILL 5225

State of Washington 67th Legislature 2021 Regular Session

By Senators Hunt and Padden

Read first time 01/14/21. Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

- AN ACT Relating to direct appeals to the court of appeals of cases brought under the administrative procedure act and the land use petition act; amending RCW 34.05.518, 34.05.522, 36.18.018, 34.05.518, and 34.05.522; adding a new section to chapter 36.70C RCW; providing an effective date; providing expiration dates; and declaring an emergency.
- 7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 8 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** A new section is added to chapter 36.70C 9 RCW to read as follows:
- (1) The superior court may transfer the judicial review of a land use decision to the court of appeals upon finding that all parties have consented to the transfer to the court of appeals and agreed that the judicial review can occur based upon an existing record. Transfer of cases pursuant to this section does not require the filing of a motion for discretionary review with the court of appeals.
- 17 (2) Upon stipulation and consent to transfer, the parties waive 18 the right to seek an award of attorneys' fees and costs under RCW 19 4.84.370, except as may be awarded following an appeal to the supreme 20 court.

p. 1 SB 5225

- 1 (3) RCW 36.70C.090 does not apply to a matter transferred to the court of appeals pursuant to this section.
 - (4) This section expires June 30, 2026.

- **Sec. 2.** RCW 34.05.518 and 2010 c 211 s 15 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The final decision of an administrative agency in an adjudicative proceeding under this chapter may((, except as otherwise provided in chapter 43.21L RCW,)) be directly reviewed by the court of appeals ((either (a))) upon certification by the superior court pursuant to this section ((er (b) if the final decision is from an environmental board as defined in subsection (3) of this section, upon acceptance by the court of appeals after a certificate of appealability has been filed by the environmental board that rendered the final decision)).
 - (((2) For direct review upon certification by the superior court, an application for direct review must be filed with the superior court within thirty days of the filing of the petition for review in superior court. The superior court may certify a case for direct review only if the judicial review is limited to the record of the agency proceeding and the court finds that:
 - (a) Fundamental and urgent issues affecting the future administrative process or the public interest are involved which require a prompt determination;
 - (b) Delay in obtaining a final and prompt determination of such issues would be detrimental to any party or the public interest;
 - (c) An appeal to the court of appeals would be likely regardless of the determination in superior court; and
 - (d) The appellate court's determination in the proceeding would have significant precedential value.
 - Procedures for certification shall be established by court rule.
 - (3) (a) For the purposes of direct review of final decisions of environmental boards, environmental boards include those boards identified in RCW 43.21B.005 and the growth management hearings board as identified in RCW 36.70A.250.
 - (b) An environmental board may issue a certificate of appealability if it finds that delay in obtaining a final and prompt determination of the issues would be detrimental to any party or the public interest and either:

p. 2 SB 5225

(i) Fundamental and urgent statewide or regional issues are raised; or

- (ii) The proceeding is likely to have significant precedential value.
- (4) The environmental board shall state in the certificate of appealability which criteria it applied, explain how that criteria was met, and file with the certificate a copy of the final decision.
- (5) For an appellate court to accept direct review of a final decision of an environmental board, it shall consider the same criteria outlined in subsection (3) of this section, except as otherwise provided in chapter 43.21L RCW.
- (6) The procedures for direct review of final decisions of environmental boards include:
- (a) Within thirty days after filing the petition for review with the superior court, a party may file an application for direct review with the superior court and serve the appropriate environmental board and all parties of record. The application shall request the environmental board to file a certificate of appealability.
- (b) If an issue on review is the jurisdiction of the environmental board, the board may file an application for direct review on that issue.
- (c) The environmental board shall have thirty days to grant or deny the request for a certificate of appealability and its decision shall be filed with the superior court and served on all parties of record.
- (d) If a certificate of appealability is issued, the parties shall have fifteen days from the date of service to file a notice of discretionary review in the superior court, and the notice shall include a copy of the certificate of appealability and a copy of the final decision.
- (e) If the appellate court accepts review, the certificate of appealability shall be transmitted to the court of appeals as part of the certified record.
- (f) If a certificate of appealability is denied, review shall be by the superior court. The superior court's decision may be appealed to the court of appeals.)) Transfer of cases pursuant to this section does not require the filing of a motion for discretionary review with the court of appeals. The superior court may certify cases for transfer to the court of appeals upon finding that:

p. 3 SB 5225

- (a) All parties have consented to the transfer to the court of appeals and agreed that the judicial review can occur based upon the agency record developed before the administrative body without supplementing the record pursuant to RCW 34.05.562; or
- 5 (b) One or more of the parties have not consented to the
 6 transfer, but the superior court finds that transfer would serve the
 7 interest of justice, would not cause substantial prejudice to any
 8 party, including any unrepresented party, and further finds that:
- 9 <u>(i) The judicial review can occur based upon the agency record</u>
 10 <u>developed before the administrative body without supplementing the</u>
 11 record pursuant to RCW 34.05.562; or
- (ii) The superior court has completed any necessary supplementation of the record pursuant to RCW 34.05.562, such that only issues of law remain for determination.
- (2) If the superior court certifies a final decision of an administrative agency in an adjudicative proceeding, the superior court shall transfer the matter to the court of appeals as a direct appeal.
- 19 <u>(3) A party contesting a superior court decision granting or</u> 20 <u>denying certification for direct review may file a motion for</u> 21 <u>discretionary review with the court of appeals.</u>
- 22 **Sec. 3.** RCW 34.05.522 and 1995 c 382 s 6 are each amended to 23 read as follows:

24

25

2627

2829

30

31

32

The court of appeals may refuse to accept direct review of a case pursuant to RCW 34.05.518 if it finds that the case does not meet the applicable standard in RCW 34.05.518 (((2) or (5))). ((Rules of Appellate Procedure 2.3 do not apply in this instance.)) The refusal to accept such review is not subject to further appellate review, notwithstanding anything in Rule 13.3 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure to the contrary.

- Sec. 4. RCW 36.18.018 and 2017 3rd sp.s. c 2 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:
- 33 (1) State revenue collected by county clerks under subsection (2) 34 of this section must be transmitted to the appropriate state court. 35 The administrative office of the courts shall retain fees collected 36 under subsection (3) of this section.
- 37 (2) For appellate review under RAP 5.1(b), two hundred fifty dollars must be charged, except that no fee may be charged under this

p. 4 SB 5225

section for a case transferred from the superior court to the court of appeals pursuant to RCW 34.05.518 or section 1 of this act.

- (3) For all copies and reports produced by the administrative office of the courts as permitted under RCW 2.68.020 and supreme court policy, a variable fee must be charged.
- (4) Until July 1, 2021, in addition to the fee established under subsection (2) of this section, a surcharge of forty dollars is established for appellate review. The county clerk shall transmit seventy-five percent of this surcharge to the state treasurer for deposit in the judicial stabilization trust account and twenty-five percent must be retained by the county.
- **Sec. 5.** RCW 34.05.518 and 2010 c 211 s 15 are each amended to 13 read as follows:
 - (1) The final decision of an administrative agency in an adjudicative proceeding under this chapter may((, except as otherwise provided in chapter 43.21L RCW,)) be directly reviewed by the court of appeals either (a) upon certification by the superior court pursuant to this section or (b) if the final decision is from an environmental board as defined in subsection (3) of this section, upon acceptance by the court of appeals after a certificate of appealability has been filed by the environmental board that rendered the final decision.
 - (2) For direct review upon certification by the superior court, an application for direct review must be filed with the superior court within thirty days of the filing of the petition for review in superior court. The superior court may certify a case for direct review only if the judicial review is limited to the record of the agency proceeding and the court finds that:
 - (a) Fundamental and urgent issues affecting the future administrative process or the public interest are involved which require a prompt determination;
 - (b) Delay in obtaining a final and prompt determination of such issues would be detrimental to any party or the public interest;
 - (c) An appeal to the court of appeals would be likely regardless of the determination in superior court; and
- 36 (d) The appellate court's determination in the proceeding would 37 have significant precedential value.
 - Procedures for certification shall be established by court rule.

p. 5 SB 5225

(3) (a) For the purposes of direct review of final decisions of environmental boards, environmental boards include those boards identified in RCW 43.21B.005 and the growth management hearings board as identified in RCW 36.70A.250.

1

2

3

4

13

14

1516

17

1819

2021

2223

2425

26

27

2829

3031

32

33

34

3536

37

38

- 5 (b) An environmental board may issue a certificate of 6 appealability if it finds that delay in obtaining a final and prompt 7 determination of the issues would be detrimental to any party or the 8 public interest and either:
- 9 (i) Fundamental and urgent statewide or regional issues are 10 raised; or
- 11 (ii) The proceeding is likely to have significant precedential value.
 - (4) The environmental board shall state in the certificate of appealability which criteria it applied, explain how that criteria was met, and file with the certificate a copy of the final decision.
 - (5) For an appellate court to accept direct review of a final decision of an environmental board, it shall consider the same criteria outlined in subsection (3) of this section(($\frac{1}{1}$ except as otherwise provided in chapter 43.21L RCW)).
 - (6) The procedures for direct review of final decisions of environmental boards include:
 - (a) Within thirty days after filing the petition for review with the superior court, a party may file an application for direct review with the superior court and serve the appropriate environmental board and all parties of record. The application shall request the environmental board to file a certificate of appealability.
 - (b) If an issue on review is the jurisdiction of the environmental board, the board may file an application for direct review on that issue.
 - (c) The environmental board shall have thirty days to grant or deny the request for a certificate of appealability and its decision shall be filed with the superior court and served on all parties of record.
 - (d) If a certificate of appealability is issued, the parties shall have fifteen days from the date of service to file a notice of discretionary review in the superior court, and the notice shall include a copy of the certificate of appealability and a copy of the final decision.

p. 6 SB 5225

- 1 (e) If the appellate court accepts review, the certificate of 2 appealability shall be transmitted to the court of appeals as part of 3 the certified record.
- 4 (f) If a certificate of appealability is denied, review shall be 5 by the superior court. The superior court's decision may be appealed 6 to the court of appeals.
- 7 **Sec. 6.** RCW 34.05.522 and 1995 c 382 s 6 are each amended to 8 read as follows:
- The court of appeals may refuse to accept direct review of a case 9 10 pursuant to RCW 34.05.518 if it finds that the case does not meet the applicable standard in RCW 34.05.518 (2) or (5). ((Rules of Appellate 11 Procedure 2.3 do not apply in this instance.)) The refusal to accept 12 13 is not subject to further appellate review, such review notwithstanding anything in Rule 13.3 of the Rules of Appellate 14 15 Procedure to the contrary.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. Except for sections 5 and 6 of this act, this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect 30 days after signed into law.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. Sections 2 and 3 of this act expire July 22 1, 2026.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. Sections 5 and 6 of this act take effect July 1, 2026.

--- END ---

p. 7 SB 5225