SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2117

State of Washington 66th Legislature 2019 Regular Session

By House Finance (originally sponsored by Representatives Frame, Tarleton, Sullivan, Springer, Walen, Macri, Orwall, Wylie, Chapman, Morris, Doglio, Peterson, Senn, Valdez, Ortiz-Self, Stanford, Pollet, and Santos)

AN ACT Relating to providing a pathway to modernize and rebalance the Washington state tax structure so that it is equitable, adequate, stable, and transparent for the people of Washington state; adding a new section to chapter 82.32 RCW; creating a new section; and providing an expiration date.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

7 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. (1) The legislature finds that the 8 Washington tax structure, developed at the turn of the twentieth 9 century, is no longer adequate, equitable, stable, or transparent.

10 (a) In the early 1930s, the Washington supreme court overturned 11 an initiative of the people that approved a tax intended to reduce the over-reliance on the property tax, in the midst of the great 12 13 depression. In response to the court decision, the 1933 legislature 14 adopted a gross receipts tax on businesses as a temporary measure to balance the state budget. This temporary tax measure, now referred to 15 16 as the business and occupation tax, remains in law to this day. Because this tax does not allow a deduction for the cost of doing 17 18 business, the tax penalizes new businesses, small businesses, and low 19 margin businesses with high capital costs.

20 (b) Two years after the enactment of the temporary business tax 21 and amidst deepening financial crisis, the legislature enacted the

most comprehensive tax bill in state history, the revenue act of 1 1935. The act shifted the state's primary revenue source from 2 3 property tax to excise taxes, making permanent the business and occupation tax and enacting the retail sales and use tax. Excise 4 taxes have resulted in our state tax code being overly regressive. In 5 6 other words, with a heavy reliance on sales tax and other excise taxes, households at the lowest end of the income spectrum pay more 7 of their income to taxes compared to the wealthiest households in the 8 9 state.

10 (c) Since the creation of our regressive tax structure, 11 individual taxpayers and businesses have sought relief from the 12 business and occupation tax, retail sales and use tax, property tax, and other taxes. Over the years, the legislature has adopted 13 approximately seven hundred tax preferences including exemptions, 14 deductions, deferrals, credits, exclusions, and preferential tax 15 16 rates. While some of those tax preferences have a broad and positive 17 impact, such as the voter-approved decision not to charge sales tax 18 on food, many are targeted to benefit a very small number of 19 taxpayers. The need for tax preferences to mitigate the consequences of the state tax structure has created structural deficits along with 20 21 "winners" and "losers" within the code. According to the 2016 tax 22 exemption study completed by the department or revenue, these tax 23 preferences forego 50.4 billion dollars in revenue per biennium. This means the state foregoes more revenue in tax preferences than it 24 25 collects from its remaining revenue sources, leaving those taxpayers 26 without preferential tax treatment to assume a disproportionate share of the responsibility to fund critical local and state investments. 27

(2) The legislature further finds that in 2001, the Washington
state tax structure study committee under section 138, chapter 7,
Laws of 2001 2nd sp. sess., was established to study the elasticity,
equity, and adequacy of the state's tax structure.

(a) The tax structure study committee produced a comprehensive 32 33 report of their research, economic modeling, and resulting tax policy prescriptions. The legislature has adopted some of the recommended 34 changes, including establishing the budget stabilization account, 35 limiting new tax preferences with ten-year expiration dates, and 36 streamlining online retail sales tax administration. While these 37 incremental changes were made, none of the major alternatives have 38 39 been adopted, including the recommendation to replace the business 40 and occupation tax with a business value added tax.

1 (b) Since the tax structure study committee's final report was published in November 2002, Washington's population, economy, and 2 3 communities have changed dramatically. Our state population has increased by more than twenty percent (an estimated 1.3 million 4 additional people). In the decade since the great recession, some 5 6 business sectors have rebounded, stronger than ever, while many other Washington industries have not recovered. Five Washington counties 7 have captured seventy-four percent of all new jobs created since 8 2001. Economic analysis indicates state revenues are decoupling from 9 the state's economic activity, creating structural revenue declines 10 11 that undermine the state's ability to provide essential services to the people and communities of the state. Washington state and local 12 revenue collections as a share of personal income has dropped 13 approximately ten percent since the late 1990s. Legislative policy 14 15 choices, voter initiatives, consumer behavior, federal changes, low 16 interest rates, and our transition from a manufacturing economy to a 17 service based economy have all contributed to the erosion of the 18 state general fund revenue.

19 (3) The legislature further finds that in 2017, the Washington state house tax structure work group under section 101, chapter 1, 20 21 Laws of 2017 3rd sp. sess., was established to facilitate public 22 discussions throughout the state about the advantages and 23 disadvantages of the state's current tax structure and potential options to improve the current structure for the benefit 24 of 25 individuals, families, and businesses in Washington state. Legislators traveled across the state to hear directly from taxpayers 26 27 about key challenges in the tax structure that should be studied and 28 addressed in the future.

29

(a) The taxpayers recommended finding solutions to address the:

30

(i) Regressive nature of the tax code;

31 (ii) Negative impact of the business and occupation tax on small, 32 start-up, and low-margin businesses;

33 (iii) Need to modernize the tax structure to reflect the changing 34 economy; and

35 (iv) Excessive number of tax preferences and exemptions;

36 (b) The taxpayers also recommended conducting economic modeling 37 and comparative research of major alternatives to current revenue 38 sources, including but not limited to replacing:

39 (i) The business and occupation tax with an alternative taxing40 mechanism such as corporate income tax or margins tax;

(ii) The one percent revenue growth limit on regular property
 taxes with a limit based on population growth and inflation;

3 (iii) A portion of the state property tax with a capital gains
4 tax; and

5 (iv) Other existing revenue sources with alternative revenue 6 sources.

7 (4) Therefore, it is the intent of the legislature to modernize 8 and rebalance our state tax structure. To accomplish this, the 9 legislature will:

(a) Directly engage in robust conversations with taxpayers,
 stakeholders, and experts across the state about how to make our tax
 structure more equitable, adequate, stable, and transparent;

(b) Expand upon the successes of the 2017 tax structure work group by increasing outreach and involving more taxpayers in the conversation;

16 (c) Reevaluate the state tax structure, taking into particular 17 consideration the modernizing economy and changing economic reality 18 we currently face as individuals, communities, businesses, and the 19 state;

20 (d) Develop alternatives to our current tax structure for 21 consideration by taxpayers and policymakers, considering the 22 principles of equity, adequacy, stability, and transparency, while 23 also taking into consideration the effect on economic vitality and 24 the harmony with neighboring state's tax system; and

(e) Recommend policies that consider the principles named in (d) of this subsection and reduce the tax burden on low-income and middle-class households and small, start-up, and low-margin businesses.

29 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 82.32 30 RCW to read as follows:

(1) The tax structure work group created within the 2017-2019 operating budget (chapter 1, Laws of 2017 3rd sp. sess. (Substitute Senate Bill No. 5883)) is reauthorized and expanded to include nine voting members, appointed as follows:

(a) The president of the senate must appoint two members from
 each of the two largest caucuses of the senate;

37 (b) The speaker of the house of representatives must appoint two 38 members from each of the two largest caucuses of the house of 39 representatives; and 1 (c) The governor must appoint one member who represents the 2 office of the governor.

3 (2) The work group must also include the following nonvoting 4 members:

5

(a) One representative of the department of revenue;

6 (b) One representative of the association of Washington cities; 7 and

8 (c) One representative of the Washington state association of 9 counties.

10 (3) All work group members must indicate, in writing, their 11 interest in serving on the tax structure work group and provide a 12 statement of understanding that the commitment to serve on the tax 13 structure work group is through December 31, 2024. Elected officials 14 not reelected to their respective offices may be relieved of their 15 responsibilities on the tax structure work group.

16 (4) Vacancies on the tax structure work group must be filled 17 within sixty days of notice of the vacancy.

(5) The work group must choose a chair or cochairs from among its legislative membership. The chair is responsible for convening the meetings of the work group.

(6) Voting on recommendations and other decisions of the work group are to be agreed upon by a simple majority vote. All work group members may have a representative attend meetings of the tax structure work group in lieu of the member, but voting by proxy is not permitted.

26

(7) The duties of the work group are:

(a) Update the information contained in the final report of findings and alternatives submitted by the Washington state tax structure study committee to the legislature under section 138, chapter 7, Laws of 2001 2nd sp. sess., and investigate other matters that may be material to changing the state tax structure, such as those recommended in the final report of the 2018 tax structure work group; and

(b) Based on the update to the final report, provided in (a) of this subsection, and other information gathered by the work group, make recommendations to the legislature for changes to the state tax structure. Any recommendation provided by the work group may not result in a loss of revenue to the state as compared to the most recent biennial revenue forecast published by the economic and revenue forecast council.

1 (c) In making the recommendations under (b) of this subsection, 2 the work group must be guided by the following principles for a well-3 designed tax system: Equity, adequacy, stability, and transparency.

(8) To assist the work group with its duties, the department of 4 revenue must create one or more technical advisory group(s) that may 5 6 include academic scholars from state research institutions or regional universities and other recognized experts in the fields of 7 economics, taxation, business administration, public administration, 8 public policy, or other relevant disciplines as determined by the 9 department of revenue. It is the legislature's intent to include in a 10 11 technical advisory group both experts in economic theory as well as 12 tax law practitioners, such as certified public accountants, tax 13 attorneys, and other tax preparation professionals.

14 (9) The work group must complete its duties on the following 15 schedule:

16 (a) By July 1, 2020, the work group and technical advisory group 17 must prepare a summary of their preliminary findings and 18 alternatives, including:

(i) Updates to the findings and alternatives in the final report submitted by the Washington state tax structure study committee to the legislature under section 138, chapter 7, Laws of 2001 2nd sp. sess., to include current information and data, and to account for any of the original findings that have been addressed through legislation since the original submission of that report; and

(ii) Economic modeling or other comparable analysis addressing key challenges of the Washington state tax code (as reflected in the final report of the tax structure work group submitted on December 3, 28 2018, as required by chapter 1, Laws of 2017 3rd sp. sess. (Substitute Senate Bill No. 5883));

30 (b) Between July 1, 2020, and December 31, 2020, the work group 31 must:

(i) Hold no less than two meetings in Olympia to review the preliminary report described in (a) of this subsection. Some of these meetings must include stakeholder groups. These stakeholder groups must include, at a minimum, organizations and individuals representing the following:

37 (A) Small, start-up, or low-margin business owners and employees
 38 and/or associations expressly dedicated to representing these
 39 businesses; and

SHB 2117

1 (B) Individual taxpayers with income at or below one hundred 2 percent of area median income in their county of residence and/or 3 organizations expressly dedicated to representing low-income and 4 middle-income taxpayers;

5 (ii) Present the report described in (a) of this subsection with 6 findings and alternatives related to changes to the state tax system, 7 in compliance with RCW 43.01.036, to the appropriate committees of 8 the legislature; and

9 (iii) Begin to plan strategies to engage taxpayers and key 10 stakeholder groups to encourage participation in the public meetings 11 described in (d)(ii) of this subsection;

12 (c) During the 2021 legislative session, the work group must:

13 (i) Be available to deliver a presentation to the appropriate 14 committees of the legislature including:

15 (A) The findings and alternatives included in the report 16 described in (a) of this subsection; and

17 (B) The preliminary plan to engage taxpayers directly in a robust 18 conversation about the state's tax structure, including presenting 19 the findings and alternatives in the report described in (d) of this 20 subsection, and collecting feedback to inform development of 21 recommendations; and

(ii) Finalize the logistics of the engagement strategies described in (d) of this subsection;

(d) Between the conclusion of the 2021 legislative session andDecember 31, 2021, the work group must:

26 (i) Hold no less than five public meetings in geographically 27 dispersed areas of the state;

(ii) Present the report and findings described in (a) of this subsection and alternatives to the state's current tax structure at the public meetings;

(iii) Provide an opportunity at the public meetings for taxpayers to engage in a conversation about the state tax structure, including but not limited to, providing feedback on possible recommendations for changes to the state tax structure and asking questions about the report and findings and alternatives to the state's current tax structure presented by the work group;

(iv) Utilize methods to collect taxpayer feedback before, during, or after the public meetings that may include, but is not limited to: Small group discussions, in-person written surveys, in-person visual surveys, online surveys, written testimony, and public testimony;

SHB 2117

1 (v) Encourage legislators to inform their constituents about the 2 public meetings that occur within and near their legislative 3 districts;

4 (vi) Inform local elected officials about the public meetings 5 that occur within and near their communities; and

6 (vii) Summarize the feedback that taxpayers and other 7 stakeholders communicated during the public meetings and other public 8 engagement methods, and submit a final summary report, in accordance 9 with RCW 43.01.036, to the appropriate committees of the legislature. 10 This report may be submitted as an appendix or update to the report 11 described in (a) of this subsection;

12 (e) During the 2022 legislative session, the work group must:

13 (i) Present the reports described in (a) and (d) of this 14 subsection to the appropriate committees of the legislature; and

(ii) Be available to deliver a presentation to and/or participate in a work session for the appropriate committees of the legislature; and

(f) (i) Between the conclusion of the 2022 legislative session and December 31, 2022, the work group is directed to finalize policy recommendations and develop legislation to implement modifications to the tax structure, informed by the findings described in (a) of this subsection and the feedback received from taxpayers as reflected in the port described in (d) of this subsection;

(ii) During the 2023 legislative session, it is the intent of the legislature to consider the proposal described in (f)(i) of this subsection;

(iii) If the proposal is not adopted during the 2023 legislative session, the work group is directed to host no less than three public meetings to collect feedback on the legislation proposed in the 2023 session, and may also collect feedback on other proposals under consideration by the work group. The work group is directed to modify the proposal to address the feedback collected during the public meetings;

34 (iv) During the 2024 legislative session, it is the intent of the 35 legislature to consider the modified proposal described in (f)(iii) 36 of this subsection; and

(g) By December 31, 2024, the work group is directed to submit a final report that is a compilation of all other reports previously submitted since July 1, 2019, and may include additional content to summarize final activities of the tax structure work group and 1 related legislation, in compliance with RCW 43.01.036, to the 2 appropriate committees of the legislature.

3 (10) Staff support for the work group must be provided by the 4 department of revenue, subject to the degree such support is funded 5 through appropriation. The department of revenue may engage one or 6 more outside consultant(s) to assist in providing support for the 7 work group.

8 (11) Members of the work group must serve without compensation 9 but may be reimbursed for travel expenses under RCW 44.04.120, 10 43.03.050, and 43.03.060.

11 (12) The expenses of the work group must be paid jointly by the 12 senate and the house of representatives. Work group expenditures are 13 subject to approval by the senate facilities and operations committee 14 and the house of representatives executive rules committee, or their 15 successor committees.

16 (13) This section expires December 31, 2024.

--- END ---