HOUSE BILL 2060

State of Washington 64th Legislature 2015 Regular Session

By Representative Jinkins

1 AN ACT Relating to timeliness of competency evaluation and 2 restoration services; and amending RCW 10.77.068.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 Sec. 1. RCW 10.77.068 and 2012 c 256 s 2 are each amended to 5 read as follows:

6 (1)(a) The legislature establishes the following performance 7 targets and maximum time limits for the timeliness of the completion of accurate and reliable evaluations of competency to stand trial and 8 admissions for inpatient restoration services related to competency 9 10 to proceed or stand trial for adult criminal defendants. The 11 legislature recognizes that these targets may not be achievable in 12 all cases without compromise to the quality of competency evaluation and restoration services, but intends for the department to manage, 13 14 allocate, and request appropriations for resources in order to meet these targets whenever possible without sacrificing the accuracy and 15 16 quality of competency evaluations and restorations, and to otherwise 17 make sustainable improvements and track performance related to the timeliness of competency services: 18

(i) For a state hospital to extend an offer of admission to a
 defendant in pretrial custody for legally authorized <u>restoration</u>
 treatment or evaluation services related to competency, or to extend

1 an offer of admission for legally authorized services following 2 dismissal of charges based on ((incompetent)) incompetence to proceed 3 or stand trial($(_{T})$):

(A) A performance target of seven days or less; and

5 (B) A maximum time limit of fourteen days, plus an additional 6 seven-day extension if needed for clinical reasons to complete the 7 evaluation at the determination of the department;

8 (ii) For completion of a competency evaluation in jail and 9 distribution of the evaluation report for a defendant in pretrial 10 $\operatorname{custody}((\tau))$:

11

4

(A) A performance target of seven days or less; and

12 (B) A maximum time limit of fourteen days, plus an additional 13 seven-day extension if needed for clinical reasons to complete the 14 evaluation at the determination of the department;

15 (iii) For completion of a competency evaluation in the community 16 and distribution of the evaluation report for a defendant who is 17 released from custody and makes a reasonable effort to cooperate with 18 the evaluation, <u>a performance target of</u> twenty-one days or less.

19 (b) The time periods measured in these performance targets and maximum time limits shall run from the date on which the state 20 hospital receives the court referral 21 and charging documents, discovery, mental health and medical records, police reports, the 22 names and addresses of the attorneys for the defendant and state or 23 county, the name of the judge ordering the evaluation, information 24 25 about the alleged crime, a summary of the reasons for the evaluation request, and criminal history information related to the defendant. 26 The ((targets)) maximum time limits in (a)(i) and (ii) of this 27 28 subsection shall be phased in over a ((six-month)) one-year period ((from May 1, 2012. The target in (a)(iii) of this subsection shall 29 30 be phased in over a twelve-month period from May 1, 2012.

31 (c) The legislature recognizes the following nonexclusive list of 32 circumstances that may place achievement of targets for completion of 33 competency services described in (a) of this subsection out of the 34 department's reach in an individual case without aspersion to the 35 efforts of the department)) beginning July 1, 2015, in a manner that 36 results in measurable incremental progress toward meeting the time 37 limits over the course of the year.

38 (c) It shall be a defense to an allegation that the department 39 has exceeded the maximum time limits for completion of competency 40 services described in (a) of this subsection if the department can 1 demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the reason for 2 exceeding the maximum time limits was outside of the department's 3 control including, but not limited to, the following circumstances:

4 (i) Despite a timely request, the department has not received 5 necessary medical clearance information regarding the current medical 6 status of a defendant in pretrial custody for the purposes of 7 admission to a state hospital;

8 (ii) The individual circumstances of the defendant make accurate 9 completion of an evaluation of competency to proceed or stand trial 10 dependent upon review of medical history information which is in the 11 custody of a third party and cannot be immediately obtained by the 12 department. Completion of a competency evaluation shall not be 13 postponed for procurement of medical history information which is 14 merely supplementary to the competency determination;

15 (iii) Completion of the referral is frustrated by lack of 16 availability or participation by counsel, jail or court personnel, 17 interpreters, or the defendant;

18 (iv) The department does not have access to appropriate private 19 space to conduct a competency evaluation for a defendant in pretrial 20 custody;

21 <u>(v) The defendant asserts legal rights that result in a delay in</u>
22 <u>the provision of competency services;</u> or

23 (((iv))) (vi) An unusual spike in the receipt of evaluation 24 referrals or in the number of defendants requiring restoration 25 services has occurred, causing temporary delays until the unexpected 26 excess demand for competency services can be resolved.

27

(2) The department shall:

(a) Develop, document, and implement procedures to monitor the clinical status of defendants admitted to a state hospital for competency services that allow the state hospital to accomplish early discharge for defendants for whom clinical objectives have been achieved or may be achieved before expiration of the commitment period;

34 (b) Investigate the extent to which patients admitted to a state 35 hospital under this chapter overstay time periods authorized by law 36 and take reasonable steps to limit the time of commitment to 37 authorized periods; and

38 (c) Establish written standards for the productivity of forensic 39 evaluators and utilize these standards to internally review the 40 performance of forensic evaluators.

p. 3

1 (3) Following any quarter in which a state hospital has failed to meet one or more of the performance targets or maximum time limits in 2 subsection (1) of this section after full implementation of the 3 performance target or maximum time limit, the department shall report 4 to the executive and the legislature the extent of this deviation and 5 б describe any corrective action being taken to improve performance. This report must be made publicly available. An average may be used 7 to determine timeliness under this subsection. 8

(4) Beginning December 1, 2013, the department shall report 9 annually to the legislature and the executive on the timeliness of 10 services related to competency to proceed or stand trial and the 11 12 timeliness with which court referrals accompanied by charging documents, discovery, and criminal history information are provided 13 to the department relative to the signature date of the court order. 14 The report must be in a form that is accessible to the public and 15 16 that breaks down performance by county.

17 (5) This section does not create any new entitlement or cause of 18 action related to the timeliness of competency evaluations or 19 admission for inpatient <u>restoration</u> services related to competency to 20 proceed or stand trial, nor can it form the basis for contempt 21 sanctions under chapter 7.21 RCW or a motion to dismiss criminal 22 charges.

--- END ---