## HOUSE BILL 1512

State of Washington66th Legislature2019 Regular SessionBy Representatives Fey, Steele, Valdez, Ortiz-Self, Fitzgibbon,<br/>Klippert, Tarleton, Mead, Pollet, Jinkins, Boehnke, Slatter, DeBolt,<br/>Dent, and Chapman

1 AN ACT Relating to the electrification of transportation; 2 amending RCW 80.28.360; adding a new section to chapter 35.92 RCW; 3 adding a new section to chapter 54.16 RCW; adding a new section to 4 chapter 80.28 RCW; and creating a new section.

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. The legislature finds that:

7 (1) Programs for the electrification of transportation have the potential to allow electric utilities to optimize the use of electric 8 9 grid infrastructure, improve the management of electric loads, and 10 better manage the integration of variable renewable energy resources. 11 Depending upon each utility's unique circumstances, electrification 12 of transportation programs may provide cost-effective energy 13 efficiency, through more efficient use of energy resources, and more 14 efficient use of the electric delivery system. Electrification of 15 transportation may result in cost savings and benefits for all 16 ratepayers.

17 (2) State policy can achieve the greatest return on investment in 18 reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving air quality by 19 expediting the transition to alternative fuel vehicles, including 20 electric vehicles. Potential benefits associated with electrification 21 of transportation include the monetization of environmental 1 attributes associated with carbon reduction in the transportation 2 sector.

3 (3) Legislative clarity is important for utilities to offer 4 programs and services, including incentives, in the electrification 5 of transportation for their customers. It is the intent of the 6 legislature to achieve parity among all electric utilities, so each 7 electric utility, depending on its unique circumstances, can 8 determine its appropriate role in the development of electrification 9 of transportation infrastructure.

10 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 35.92
11 RCW to read as follows:

(1) The governing authority of an electric utility formed under this chapter may adopt an electrification of transportation plan that, at a minimum, establishes a finding that utility outreach and investment in the electrification of transportation infrastructure is: Cost-effective, using an industry-recognized cost test which may include ratepayer impact measure or total resource cost.

18 (2) In adopting an electrification of transportation plan under subsection (1) of this section, the governing authority may consider 19 some or all of the following: (a) The applicability of multiple 20 21 options for electrification of transportation across all customer 22 classes; (b) the impact of electrification on the utility's load, and whether demand response or other load management opportunities, 23 24 including direct load control and dynamic pricing, are operationally appropriate; (c) system reliability and distribution system 25 efficiencies; (d) interoperability concerns, including the 26 27 interoperability of hardware and software systems in electrification 28 of transportation proposals; and (e) overall customer experience.

(3) An electric utility formed under this chapter may, upon making a cost-effectiveness determination in accordance with subsection (1) of this section, offer incentive programs in the electrification of transportation for its customers, including advertising programs to promote the utility's services, incentives, or rebates.

35 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 54.16 36 RCW to read as follows:

37 (1) The commission of a public utility district may adopt an 38 electrification of transportation plan that, at a minimum,

p. 2

1 establishes a finding that outreach and investment in the 2 electrification of transportation infrastructure is: Cost-effective, 3 using an industry-recognized cost test which may include ratepayer 4 impact measure or total resource cost.

(2) In adopting an electrification of transportation plan under 5 6 subsection (1) of this section, the commission of a public utility district may consider some or all of the following: (a) 7 The applicability of multiple options for electrification 8 of transportation across all customer classes; (b) the impact of 9 electrification on the district's load, and whether demand response 10 or other load management opportunities, including direct load control 11 12 and dynamic pricing, are operationally appropriate; (c) system reliability and distribution system efficiencies; 13 (d) interoperability concerns, including the interoperability of hardware 14 and software systems in electrification of transportation proposals; 15 16 and (e) overall customer experience.

17 (3) A public utility district may, upon making a cost-18 effectiveness determination in accordance with subsection (1) of this 19 section, offer incentive programs in the electrification of 20 transportation for its customers, including advertising programs to 21 promote the district's services, incentives, or rebates.

22 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 80.28 23 RCW to read as follows:

24 (1) An electric utility regulated by the utilities and 25 transportation commission under this chapter may submit to the commission an electrification of transportation plan that deploys 26 27 electric vehicle supply equipment or provides other electric 28 transportation programs, services, or incentives to support electrification of transportation, provided that such electric 29 30 vehicle supply equipment, programs, or services may not increase 31 costs to customers in excess of one-quarter of one percent above the 32 benefits of electric transportation to all customers over a period consistent with the utility's planning horizon under its most recent 33 34 integrated resource plan.

35 (2) In reviewing an electrification of transportation plan under 36 subsection (1) of this section, the commission shall consider the 37 following: (a) The applicability of multiple options for 38 electrification of transportation across all customer classes; (b) 39 the impact of electrification on the utility's load, and whether

HB 1512

p. 3

demand response or other load management opportunities, including 1 control and dynamic pricing, 2 direct load are operationally system reliability 3 appropriate; (c) and distribution system interoperability concerns, 4 efficiencies; (d) including the interoperability of hardware and software systems in electrification 5 6 of transportation proposals; and (e) overall customer experience. The commission shall acknowledge submittal of an electrification of 7 transportation plan within four months of the submittal of the plan. 8 The commission may provide comment on the plan in its acknowledgment 9 10 letter.

11 Sec. 5. RCW 80.28.360 and 2015 c 220 s 2 are each amended to 12 read as follows:

(1) In establishing rates for each electrical company regulated 13 under this title, the commission may allow an incentive rate of 14 15 return on investment on capital expenditures for electric vehicle 16 supply equipment that is deployed ((for the benefit of ratepayers)) consistent with an electrification of transportation plan submitted 17 18 by a utility, provided that the capital expenditures do not increase costs to ratepayers in excess of one-quarter of one percent. The 19 commission must consider and may adopt other policies to improve 20 21 access to and promote fair competition in the provision of electric 22 vehicle supply equipment.

(2) An incentive rate of return on investment under this section 23 24 may be allowed only if the company chooses to pursue capital 25 investment in electric vehicle supply equipment on a fully regulated basis similar to other capital investments behind a customer's meter. 26 27 In the case of an incentive rate of return on investment allowed 28 under this section, an increment of up to two percent must be added 29 to the rate of return on common equity allowed on the company's other 30 investments.

31 (3) The incentive rate of return on investment authorized in 32 subsection (2) of this section applies only to projects which have 33 been installed after July 1, 2015((, and which are reasonably 34 expected, at the time they are placed in the rate base, to result in 35 real and tangible benefits for ratepayers by being installed and 36 located where electric vehicles are most likely to be parked for 37 intervals longer than two hours)).

(4) The incentive rate of return on investment increment pursuantto this section may be earned only for a period up to the depreciable

p. 4

1 life of the electric vehicle supply equipment as defined in the depreciation schedules developed by the company and submitted to the 2 commission for review. When the capital investment has fully 3 depreciated, an electrical company may gift the electric vehicle 4 supply equipment to the owner of the property on which it is located. 5 6 (5) By December 31, 2017, the commission must report to the appropriate committees of the legislature with regard to the use of 7 any incentives allowed under this section, the quantifiable impacts 8 of the incentives on actual electric vehicle deployment, and any 9 recommendations to the legislature about utility participation in the 10 11 electric vehicle market.

--- END ---