## HOUSE BILL 1050

State	of	Washington		66th Legislature	2019	Regular	Session
<b>-</b> -			- 1				

By Representative Walsh

Prefiled 12/14/18.

1 AN ACT Relating to parenting plans; and amending RCW 26.09.187, 2 26.09.197, 26.09.260, and 2.56.180.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

4 Sec. 1. RCW 26.09.187 and 2007 c 496 s 603 are each amended to 5 read as follows:

6 (1) DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS. The court shall not order a 7 dispute resolution process, except court action, when it finds that 8 any limiting factor under RCW 26.09.191 applies, or when it finds 9 that either parent is unable to afford the cost of the proposed 10 dispute resolution process. If a dispute resolution process is not 11 precluded or limited, then in designating such a process the court 12 shall consider all relevant factors, including:

(a) Differences between the parents that would substantiallyinhibit their effective participation in any designated process;

(b) The parents' wishes or agreements and, if the parents have entered into agreements, whether the agreements were made knowingly and voluntarily; and

18 (c) Differences in the parents' financial circumstances that may 19 affect their ability to participate fully in a given dispute 20 resolution process.

21 (2) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.

1 (a) AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The court shall approve 2 agreements of the parties allocating decision-making authority, or 3 specifying rules in the areas listed in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a), when it 4 finds that:

5 (i) The agreement is consistent with any limitations on a 6 parent's decision-making authority mandated by RCW 26.09.191; and

(ii) The agreement is knowing and voluntary.

7

22

8 (b) SOLE DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. The court shall order sole 9 decision-making to one parent when it finds that:

10 (i) A limitation on the other parent's decision-making authority 11 is mandated by RCW 26.09.191;

12 (ii) Both parents are opposed to mutual decision making;

(iii) One parent ((is opposed to mutual decision making, and such opposition is reasonable based on the criteria in (c) of this subsection)) knowingly and voluntarily agrees to concede decisionmaking authority to the other parent. The court shall verify that any voluntary concession of decision-making authority is of that parent's own volition.

19 (c) MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. Except as provided in (a) 20 and (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider the following 21 criteria in allocating decision-making authority:

(i) The existence of a limitation under RCW 26.09.191;

(ii) The history of participation of each parent in decision making in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a);

(iii) Whether ((the parents have)) each parent has a demonstrated ability, interest, and desire to cooperate with ((one another)) the other parent in decision making in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a); and

(iv) The parents' geographic proximity to one another, to theextent that it affects their ability to make timely mutual decisions.

31 (d) The court shall not presume that a parent, solely because of 32 his or her sex, is more qualified than the other parent to make 33 decisions regarding the child's care, education, health care, and 34 religious upbringing.

35 (e) The court shall enter written findings stating its reasons, 36 including the facts and evidence considered, supporting any finding 37 that sole decision making is in the best interest of the child.

38 (3) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS.

39 (a) The court shall make residential provisions for each child40 which encourage each parent to maintain a loving, stable, and

HB 1050

1 nurturing relationship with the child, consistent with the child's developmental level and the family's social and economic 2 circumstances. The court shall not presume that a parent, solely 3 because of his or her sex, is more qualified than the other parent to 4 engage in parenting functions or be provided with more residential 5 6 time with the child. The child's residential schedule shall be consistent with RCW 26.09.191. Where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 7 are not dispositive of the child's residential schedule, the court 8 shall consider the following factors: 9

10 (i) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's 11 relationship with each parent;

12 (ii) The agreements of the parties, provided they were entered 13 into knowingly and voluntarily;

(iii) Each parent's past and potential for future performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004((-(3))) (2), including whether a parent has taken greater responsibility for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;

18

(iv) The emotional needs and developmental level of the child;

(v) <u>The child's need for a frequent, continuing, and meaningful</u> relationship with both parents and the ability and willingness of <u>each parent to actively perform parenting functions for the needs of</u> <u>the child;</u>

23 <u>(vi)</u> The child's relationship with siblings and with other 24 significant adults, as well as the child's involvement with his or 25 her physical surroundings, school, or other significant activities;

26 ((<del>(vi)</del>)) <u>(vii)</u> The wishes of the parents and the wishes of a 27 child who is sufficiently mature to express reasoned and independent 28 preferences as to his or her residential schedule; and

29 ((<del>(vii)</del>)) <u>(viii)</u> Each parent's employment schedule, and shall 30 make accommodations consistent with those schedules.

31

Factor (i) shall be given the greatest weight.

32 (b) ((Where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 are not dispositive, the court may order that a child frequently alternate his or her 33 residence between the households of the parents for brief and 34 substantially equal intervals of time if such provision is in the 35 best interests of the child. In determining whether such an 36 37 arrangement is in the best interests of the child, the court may consider the parties geographic proximity to the extent necessary to 38 39 ensure the ability to share performance of the parenting functions.)) 40 There is a presumption that it is in the best interest of the child 1 to establish an equal residential schedule that provides each parent 2 with equal time and contact with the child unless:

3 (i) Factors present under RCW 26.09.191 require restrictions on 4 the child's residential schedule; or

5 <u>(ii)</u> The parents have agreed on a parenting plan that allocates a 6 greater share of residential time with one parent.

7 <u>(c) A parent alleging that an equal residential schedule that</u> 8 provides each parent with equal time and contact with the child would 9 not be in the best interest of the child has the burden of 10 establishing the allegation by clear and convincing evidence.

11 ((<del>(c)</del>)) <u>(d)</u> For any child, residential provisions may contain any 12 reasonable terms or conditions that facilitate the orderly and 13 meaningful exercise of residential time by a parent, including but 14 not limited to requirements of reasonable notice when residential 15 time will not occur.

16 (e) If the court does not enter a parenting plan providing for an 17 equal residential schedule that provides each parent with equal time and contact with the child, the court shall enter written findings 18 stating its reason, including the facts and evidence considered that 19 support the finding that an equal residential schedule is not in the 20 best interest of the child. The court shall verify that any parenting 21 22 plan that is knowingly and voluntarily agreed upon by both parties is 23 made of their own volition.

24 Sec. 2. RCW 26.09.197 and 2007 c 496 s 604 are each amended to 25 read as follows:

After considering the affidavit required by RCW 26.09.194(1) and other relevant evidence presented, the court shall make a temporary parenting plan that is in the best interest of the child. In making this determination, the court shall give particular consideration to:

30 (1) The presumption that it is in the best interest of the child 31 to establish an equal residential schedule that provides each parent 32 with equal time and contact with the child;

33 (2) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's 34 relationship with each parent; and

35 (((2))) (3) Which parenting arrangements will cause the least 36 disruption to the child's emotional stability while the action is 37 pending.

The court shall also consider the factors used to determine residential provisions in the permanent parenting plan. <u>The court</u>

1 shall enter written findings stating its reasons, including the facts 2 and evidence considered supporting any finding that the temporary 3 parenting plan is in the best interest of the child. The court shall 4 verify that any temporary parenting plan that is knowingly and 5 voluntarily agreed upon by both parties is made of their own 6 volition.

7 Sec. 3. RCW 26.09.260 and 2009 c 502 s 3 are each amended to 8 read as follows:

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (4), (5), (6), 9 10 (8), and (10) of this section, the court shall not modify a prior custody decree or a parenting plan unless it finds, upon the basis of 11 facts that have arisen since the prior decree or plan or that were 12 unknown to the court at the time of the prior decree or plan, that a 13 substantial change has occurred in the circumstances of the child or 14 15 the nonmoving party and that the modification is in the best interest of the child and is necessary to serve the best interests of the 16 17 child. The effect of a parent's military duties potentially impacting parenting functions shall not, by itself, be a substantial change of 18 19 circumstances justifying a permanent modification of a prior decree 20 or plan.

(2) In applying these standards, the court shall retain the residential schedule established by the decree or parenting plan unless:

24

(a) The parents agree to the modification;

(b) The child has been integrated into the family of the petitioner with the consent of the other parent in substantial deviation from the parenting plan;

(c) The child's present environment is detrimental to the child's physical, mental, or emotional health and the harm likely to be caused by a change of environment is outweighed by the advantage of a change to the child;  $((\frac{\partial r}{\partial t}))$ 

32 (d) The court finds that the nonmoving parent has demonstrated an 33 inability or unwillingness to allow the child frequent and meaningful 34 contact with the other parent based on the nonmoving parent's 35 violation, without good cause, of a provision of the residential 36 schedule of the parenting plan; or

37 <u>(e)</u> The court has found the nonmoving parent in contempt of court 38 at least twice within three years because the parent failed to comply 39 with the residential time provisions in the court-ordered parenting 1 plan, or the parent has been convicted of custodial interference in 2 the first or second degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.

3 (3) A conviction of custodial interference in the first or second 4 degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070 shall constitute a 5 substantial change of circumstances for the purposes of this section.

6 (4) The court may reduce or restrict contact between the child 7 and the parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the 8 time if it finds that the reduction or restriction would serve and 9 protect the best interests of the child using the criteria in RCW 10 26.09.191.

(5) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change in circumstances of either parent or of the child, and without consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this section, if the proposed modification is only a minor modification in the residential schedule that does not change the residence the child is scheduled to reside in the majority of the time and:

18

(a) Does not exceed twenty-four full days in a calendar year; or

(b) Is based on a change of residence of the parent with whom the child does not reside the majority of the time or an involuntary change in work schedule by a parent which makes the residential schedule in the parenting plan impractical to follow; or

23 (c) Does not result in a schedule that exceeds ninety overnights per year in total, if the court finds that, at the time the petition 24 25 for modification is filed, the decree of dissolution or parenting plan does not provide reasonable time with the parent with whom the 26 child does not reside a majority of the time, and further, the court 27 28 finds that it is in the best interests of the child to increase residential time with the parent in excess of the residential time 29 period in (a) of this subsection. However, any motion under this 30 31 subsection (5)(c) is subject to the factors established in subsection 32 (2) of this section if the party bringing the petition has previously been granted a modification under this same subsection within twenty-33 four months of the current motion. Relief granted under this section 34 shall not be the sole basis for adjusting or modifying child support. 35

36 (6) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of 37 a parenting plan pursuant to a proceeding to permit or restrain a 38 relocation of the child. The person objecting to the relocation of 39 the child or the relocating person's proposed revised residential 40 schedule may file a petition to modify the parenting plan, including

HB 1050

a change of the residence in which the child resides the majority of 1 the time, without a showing of adequate cause other than the proposed 2 3 relocation itself. A hearing to determine adequate cause for modification shall not be required so long as the request for 4 relocation of the child is being pursued. In making a determination 5 6 of a modification pursuant to relocation of the child, the court shall first determine whether to permit or restrain the relocation of 7 the child using the procedures and standards provided in RCW 8 26.09.405 through 26.09.560. Following that determination, the court 9 shall determine what modification pursuant to relocation should be 10 made, if any, to the parenting plan or custody order or visitation 11 12 order.

(7) A parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time and whose residential time with the child is subject to limitations pursuant to RCW 26.09.191 (2) or (3) may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of this section unless that parent demonstrates a substantial change in circumstances specifically related to the basis for the limitation.

(8) (a) If a parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time voluntarily fails to exercise residential time for an extended period, that is, one year or longer, the court upon proper motion may make adjustments to the parenting plan in keeping with the best interests of the minor child.

(b) For the purposes of determining whether the parent has failed to exercise residential time for one year or longer, the court may not count any time periods during which the parent did not exercise residential time due to the effect of the parent's military duties potentially impacting parenting functions.

(9) A parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time who is required by the existing parenting plan to complete evaluations, treatment, parenting, or other classes may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of this section unless that parent has fully complied with such requirements.

(10) The court may order adjustments to any of the nonresidential aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change of circumstances of either parent or of a child, and the adjustment is in the best interest of the child. Adjustments ordered under this section may be made without consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this section.

1 (11) If the parent with whom the child resides a majority of the 2 time receives temporary duty, deployment, activation, or mobilization 3 orders from the military that involve moving a substantial distance 4 away from the parent's residence or otherwise would have a material 5 effect on the parent's ability to exercise parenting functions and 6 primary placement responsibilities, then:

7 (a) Any temporary custody order for the child during the parent's absence shall end no later than ten days after the returning parent 8 provides notice to the temporary custodian, but shall not impair the 9 discretion of the court to conduct an expedited or emergency hearing 10 11 for resolution of the child's residential placement upon return of the parent and within ten days of the filing of a motion alleging an 12 immediate danger of irreparable harm to the child. If a motion 13 alleging immediate danger has not been filed, the motion for an order 14 restoring the previous residential schedule shall be granted; and 15

16 (b) The temporary duty, activation, mobilization, or deployment 17 and the temporary disruption to the child's schedule shall not be a 18 factor in a determination of change of circumstances if a motion is 19 filed to transfer residential placement from the parent who is a 20 military service member.

21 (12) If a parent receives military temporary duty, deployment, activation, or mobilization orders that involve moving a substantial 22 distance away from the military parent's residence or otherwise have 23 a material effect on the military parent's ability to exercise 24 25 residential time or visitation rights, at the request of the military 26 parent, the court may delegate the military parent's residential time or visitation rights, or a portion thereof, to a child's family 27 member, including a stepparent, or another person other than a 28 parent, with a close and substantial relationship to the minor child 29 for the duration of the military parent's absence, if delegating 30 31 residential time or visitation rights is in the child's best 32 interest. The court may not permit the delegation of residential time or visitation rights to a person who would be subject to limitations 33 on residential time under RCW 26.09.191. The parties shall attempt to 34 resolve disputes regarding delegation of residential time 35 or 36 visitation rights through the dispute resolution process specified in their parenting plan, unless excused by the court for good cause 37 Such a court-ordered temporary delegation of a military 38 shown. 39 parent's residential time or visitation rights does not create

separate rights to residential time or visitation for a person other
 than a parent.

3 (13) If the court finds that a motion to modify a prior decree or 4 parenting plan has been brought in bad faith, the court shall assess 5 the attorney's fees and court costs of the nonmoving parent against 6 the moving party.

7 Sec. 4. RCW 2.56.180 and 2007 c 496 s 202 are each amended to 8 read as follows:

9 (1) The administrative office of the courts shall create a 10 handbook explaining the sections of Washington law pertaining to the 11 rights and responsibilities of marital partners to each other and to 12 any children during a marriage and a dissolution of marriage. The 13 handbook may also be provided in videotape or other electronic form 14 <u>and must be made available and easily accessible on the</u> 15 administrative office of the courts' web site.

16 (2) The handbook created under subsection (1) of this section 17 shall be provided by the county auditor when an individual applies 18 for a marriage license under RCW 26.04.140.

(3) In a dissolution or legal separation action filed under this 19 chapter, the petitioner's counsel shall provide to the petitioner a 20 21 copy of the handbook created under subsection (1) of this section 22 ((shall also be provided to the petitioner when)) at the time he or files ((a)) the petition ((for dissolution, and to the 23 she 24 respondent, unless the respondent did not file a response, notice of 25 appearance, or any other paper in the case or did not appear in court)) and provide a copy of the handbook to be served along with 26 27 the petition and summons upon the respondent. If the petitioner is 28 unrepresented by counsel at the time the petition is filed, the court shall provide the petitioner with a copy of the handbook and direct 29 that a copy of the handbook be served along with the petition and 30 31 summons upon the respondent. The administrative office of the courts 32 shall on an annual basis reimburse the counties for each copy of the handbook that is distributed by the court directly to family law 33 parties under this section, provided that the county submits 34 documentation of the number of handbooks distributed on an annual 35 36 basis.

37 (4) The information contained in the handbook created under 38 subsection (1) of this section shall be reviewed and updated 39 annually. The handbook must contain the following information: (a) Information on prenuptial agreements as contracts and as a
 means of structuring financial arrangements and other aspects of the
 marital relationship;

(b) Information on shared parental responsibility for children,
including establishing a residential schedule for the child in the
event of the dissolution of the marriage, and guidelines on what is
included in the parenting plan in order to maximize to the highest
degree the amount of time the child may spend with each parent;

9 (c) Information on notice requirements and standards for parental 10 relocation;

11

(d) Information on child support for minor children;

12 (e) Information on property rights, including equitable 13 distribution of assets and premarital and postmarital property 14 rights;

15 (f) Information on spousal maintenance;

16 (g) Information on domestic violence, child abuse, and neglect, 17 including penalties;

18

(h) Information on the court process for dissolution;

19 (i) Information on the effects of dissolution on children;

20 (j) Information on community resources that are available to 21 separating or divorcing persons and their children.

--- END ---