# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 5901 SB Title: Co-living housing

# **Estimated Cash Receipts**

NONE

### **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

Agency Name		20	023-25			2	025-27		2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.							
Local Gov. Total									

# **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

Agency Name	gency Name 2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Environmental and Land	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Use Hearings Office									
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	<b>GF-State</b>	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.							
Local Gov. Total									

# **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

Prepared by: Cheri Keller, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 584-2207	Final 1/8/2024

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 5901 SB	Title: Co-living	ng housing	Age	ncy: 103-Department of Commerc
Part I: Estimates			'	
X No Fiscal Impact				
Estimated Cash Receipts to:				
NONE				
<b>Estimated Operating Expend</b> NONE	litures from:			
Estimated Capital Budget Im	pact:			
NONE				
The cash receipts and expendi and alternate ranges (if appro			cal impact. Factors impa	cting the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and				
If fiscal impact is greate form Parts I-V.	r than \$50,000 per fiscal	year in the current bienn	ium or in subsequent bi	ennia, complete entire fiscal note
	nan \$50,000 per fiscal ye	ar in the current biennium	m or in subsequent bien	nia, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact,				
Requires new rule making	ng, complete Part V.			
Legislative Contact: Mag	ggie Douglas		Phone: 3607867279	Date: 01/02/2024
Agency Preparation: Cha	d Johnson		Phone: 360-725-502	28 Date: 01/03/2024
Agency Approval: Gw	en Stamey		Phone: (360) 790-1	166 Date: 01/03/2024
OFM Review: Che	ri Keller		Phone: (360) 584-22	207 Date: 01/04/2024

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 2 requires local governments to allow for co-living, otherwise known as rooming houses, congregate living facilities or residential suites, and address this requirement with their comprehensive plan updates.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 2 will require the Department to add co-living to its comprehensive plan and development regulation checklists and guidance. Similar guidance update work is already underway making this additional change possible to complete within existing resources.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 5901 SB	Title:	Co-living housing	Agend	cy: 468-Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office
Part I: Estimates				
X No Fiscal Impact				
<b>Estimated Cash Receipts to</b>	<b>)</b> :			
NONE				
<b>Estimated Operating Expe</b> NONE	enditures from:			
Estimated Capital Budget l	Impact:			
NONE				
The cash receipts and exper and alternate ranges (if app		this page represent the most likely fix	scal impact. Factors impacti	ng the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes as				
If fiscal impact is great form Parts I-V.	ater than \$50,000	per fiscal year in the current biens	nium or in subsequent bier	unia, complete entire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less	s than \$50,000 per	r fiscal year in the current bienniu	m or in subsequent bienni	a, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impac	t, complete Part I	V.		
Requires new rule ma	aking, complete P	art V.		
Legislative Contact: M	laggie Douglas		Phone: 3607867279	Date: 01/02/2024
Agency Preparation: D	ominga Soliz		Phone: 3606649173	Date: 01/04/2024
Agency Approval: D	ominga Soliz		Phone: 3606649173	Date: 01/04/2024
OFM Review: L	isa Borkowski		Phone: (360) 742-223	9 Date: 01/06/2024

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1 (8) Amends RCW 36.70A and mandates cities and counties planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA) allow co-living housing as a permitted use in any urban zoning which includes multi-family residential uses and prohibits certain restrictive zoning or standards which are more restrictive than other types of residential uses in the same zone.

Co-living housing means a residential development with sleeping units that are independently rented, lockable, and provide living and sleeping space, but residents share kitchen facilities.

Conflicts over whether the city or county failed to properly regulate or were properly/improperly placed will be likely before the Growth Management Hearings Board (GMHB) and may increase petitions before the GMHB.

However, at this point, it is estimated that any impacts to the GMHB are minimal and absorbed by the GMHB and existing ELUHO personnel.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None

### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

**NONE** 

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

### IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

None

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill	Number:	5901 SB	Title:	Co-living hous	sing
Par	t I: Juri	sdiction-Location	on, type o	or status of poli	tical subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.
Leg	gislation I	mpacts:			
X	Cities: Pot	entially significant bu	ıt indeterm	inate for 216 citie	S
X	Counties:	Potentially significan	t but indete	erminate for 28 co	unties
	Special Distr	ricts:			
X	Specific juris	sdictions only: Onl	ly jurisdicti	ons fully planning	under the Growth Management Act (216 cities and 28 counties)
X	Variance occ	eurs due to: Extent o	of local cod	le amendment cha	nges for each jurisdiction
Pa	rt II: Es	timates			
	No fiscal im	pacts.			
X	Expenditure	s represent one-time		6 cities and 28 co -living housing	unties could experience one-time costs to amend their local code to allow
	Legislation j	provides local option	:		
X	Key variable	es cannot be estimate	d with certa	ainty at this time:	Number of fully planning jurisdictions that will amend their local code and the cost for each jurisdiction to make those amendments
Esti	imated reve	nue impacts to:			
No	one				
Esti	imated expe	enditure impacts to:			
Г		Non-zero	but indet	erminate cost and	l/or savings. Please see discussion.

# Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Kate Fernald	Phone:	564-200-3519	Date:	01/08/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Maggie Douglas	Phone:	3607867279	Date:	01/02/2024
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone:	360-725-5033	Date:	01/08/2024
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone:	(360) 584-2207	Date:	01/08/2024

Page 1 of 3 Bill Number: 5901 SB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

### Part IV: Analysis

### A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

The proposed legislation would establish a definition for co-living housing and require a city or county planning under the Growth Management Act to allow co-living housing in any residential zone within an urban growth area that allows multifamily housing.

- Sec. 2 would add a new section to chapter 36.70A.
- Sec. 2 (1) would require a city or county planning under the Growth Management Act to allow co-living housing in any residential zone within an urban growth area that allows multifamily housing.
- Sec. 2 (2) In addition, a city or county would not be allowed to require co-living housing to:
- (a) contain room dimensional standards larger than that required by the State Building Code, including dwelling unit size, sleeping unit size, room area, and habitable space;
- (b) provide a mix of unit sizes or number of bedrooms; or
- (c) include other uses.
- Sec. 2 (3) A city or county subject to the provisions of this section also would not be allowed to require co-living housing to:
- (a) provide off-street parking within one-half mile walking distance of a major transit stop; or
- (b) provide more than 0.25 off-street parking spaces per sleeping unit.
- Sec. 2 (4) A city or county would not be allowed to use development regulations to require any standards that are more restrictive than those that are required for other types of residential uses in the same zone.
- Sec. 2 (5) A city or county would only be allowed to require a review, notice, or public meeting for co-living housing that is required for other types of residential uses in the same location, unless otherwise required by state law.
- Sec. 2 (6) A city or county would not be able to exclude co-living housing from participating in affordable housing incentive programs.
- Sec. 2 (7) A city or county may not treat a sleeping unit in co-living housing as more than one quarter of a dwelling unit for purposes of calculating dwelling unit density or fees for permitting and utility connections.
- Sec. 2 (8) (a) Within six months of its next comprehensive plan update, a fully planning city or county would be required to adopt development regulations allowing co-living housing in any zone within an Urban Growth Area (UGA) that allows multifamily residential uses, including mixed-use development.
- Sec. 2 (8) (b) The requirements of this section would supersede, preempt, and invalidate any conflicting local development regulations in any fully planning city or county that did not adopt or amend ordinances, regulations, or other official controls that would require amendments under this section.

### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The local government expenditure impact of SB 5901 is indeterminate but potentially significant for the 216 cities and 28 counties fully planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA).

The proposed legislation would require a total of 244 jurisdictions fully planning under the GMA to amend their local code

Page 2 of 3 Bill Number: 5901 SB

to allow co-living housing. According to the Association of Washington Cities (AWC), there are 216 fully planning cities. According to the Local Government Fiscal Note (LGFN) Program, there are 28 fully planning counties. However, the number of jurisdictions that will undertake these amendments is not known and cannot be predicted in advance.

#### EXTENT OF REQUIRED CODE CHANGES:

According to the Association of Washington Cities (AWC), many cities already allow co-living in their multifamily and mixed-use zones; however, the exact number is unknown at this time. Cities and counties that already allow co-living housing would still need to make changes to ensure they align with the proposed legislation, according to AWC. Thus, the extent of changes needed will vary by city and county, and cannot be predicted in advance.

### COST OF CODE AMENDMENTS:

Because the proposed legislation would require all fully planning cities and counties to make these amendments to be in conformance with the provision of this bill, it is likely that one or more cities and counties would adjust their local code. For that reason, illustrative costs are offered below; however, the exact number of jurisdictions that will make amendments, and the total cost to make those amendments is unknown and cannot be predicted at this time.

### FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PUPOSES ONLY:

#### CITIES:

The proposed legislation's expenditure impact on cities could total \$4,104,000, if 216 cities amended their local code at a total cost of \$19,000 per jurisdiction. The Local Government Fiscal Note (LGFN) Program estimates the typical cost of staff time required to amend a city code plus costs to adopt a new ordinance through a city council public hearing and action is approximately \$19,000. According to AWC, cities would not need to update their zoning documents or planning maps. If each jurisdiction spent \$19,000 updating their code, then fully planning cities could experience costs totaling approximately \$4,104,000 (\$19,000 x 216 fully planning cities = \$4,104,000).

### **COUNTIES:**

The proposed legislation's total expenditure impact on counties could range from \$560,000 to \$840,000, if 28 counties amended their local code at a cost of \$20,000 to \$30,000 per jurisdiction. The Local Government Fiscal Note (LGFN) Program estimates the average county cost to amend a code ranges from \$20,000 to \$30,000. (For this fiscal note, the LGFN Program assumes that counties would not need to update their zoning documents or planning maps, as AWC clarified that cities would not need to update their zoning documents or planning maps.) If each jurisdiction spent \$20,000 to \$30,000 updating their code, then fully planning counties could experience total costs ranging from \$560,000 (\$20,000 x 28 fully planning counties) to \$840,000 (\$30,000 x 28 fully planning counties).

Although the proposed legislation would require code amendments for all cities and counties fully planning under the GMA, some jurisdictions may not elect to bring their codes into conformance with the requirements of SB 5901 prior to the applicable deadline. In these jurisdictions, the proposed legislation's provisions would automatically apply and take effect. It is unclear if these jurisdictions would incur any legal costs based upon codes that do not conform to the required code measures. Such costs cannot be anticipated in advance and are indeterminate.

Therefore, the local government fiscal impact of SB 5901 is potentially significant but indeterminate.

#### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The proposed legislation would not impact local governments' revenue.

### SOURCES:

Association of Washington Cities ESHB 1660 (2022) Local Government Fiscal Note Program's Fiscal Note Washington State Association of Counties

Page 3 of 3 Bill Number: 5901 SB