# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 2055 HB Title: Gambling diversion court

# **Estimated Cash Receipts**

NONE

# **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

Office of the Courts  Caseload Forecast	Agency Name		2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
Office of the Courts  Caseload Forecast		FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	
Council Department of Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.	Office of the	.0	380,000	380,000	380,000	.0	2,497,000	2,497,000	2,497,000	.0	1,248,500	1,248,500	1,248,500	
of the state of th	1	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
	Department of Corrections	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.												

Total \$	0.0	380,000	380,000	380,000	0.0	2,497,000	2,497,000	2,497,000	0.0	1,248,500	1,248,500	1,248,500
----------	-----	---------	---------	---------	-----	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----	-----------	-----------	-----------

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts										
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total			·							

# **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Caseload Forecast Council	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Department of Corrections	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts										
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total										

# **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

NONE

Prepared by: Danya Clevenger, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 688-6413	Final 1/29/2024

# **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 2055 HB	Title:	Gambling diversion court	Agency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
Part I: Estimates  No Fiscal Impact  Estimated Cash Receipts to:				

# **Estimated Expenditures from:**

NONE

STATE	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
State FTE Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1		380,000	380,000	2,497,000	1,248,500
State Subtotal \$		380,000	380,000	2,497,000	1,248,500
COUNTY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					

# **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

**NONE** 

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060. Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV. Legislative Contact Matt Sterling Phone: 360-786-7289 Date: 01/18/2024 Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala Phone: 360-704-5528 Date: 01/29/2024 Agency Approval: Chris Stanley Phone: 360-357-2406 Date: 01/29/2024 Phone: (360) 819-3112 Date: 01/29/2024 DFM Review: Gaius Horton

192,439.00 Request # 122-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 2055 HB

# **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

# II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would add a new section to Chapter 2.30 RCW creating a gambling treatment diversion court pilot program.

Section 1(1) would require the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) to conduct a pilot program which has the purpose of treating persons determined to be affected by an addictive disorder related to gambling and who committed a crime for which they have pled guilty or been convicted in furtherance or as a result of the gambling. The pilot program would be established in three counties to include a county located within each of the three Court of Appeals divisions.

Section 1(2) would set requirements for the treatment program including terms and conditions for successful completion, requirements to pay restitution, provide progress reports, and that it be administered by a qualified mental health or substance use disorder (SUD) professional.

Section 1(3)(a) would require the court to hold a hearing before sentencing to determine whether or not the person committed the crime as a result of problem gambling. The prosecutor may present the court with any evidence and the advisability of permitting the person to enter the program.

Section 1(3)(b) would require the court to advise the person that sentencing will be postponed if the person submits to treatment and is accepted into the program, that the court may impose conditions, and that the person may be placed under supervision or care of a qualified mental health or SUD professional for a period of at least one year and not to exceed three years

Section 1(7) would allow the conviction to be discharged under RCW 9.94A.637 if the person satisfactorily completes the treatment program as determined by the court and would allow the person to apply for vacation.

Section 1(9) would allow the Supreme Court to adopt rules appropriate or necessary to effectuate the purposes of this section.

#### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

#### II. C - Expenditures

This bill would have fiscal impact on both the AOC and the courts as they implement the three pilots.

### IMPACT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

AOC would require additional staff on its Behavioral Health team to develop the gambling treatment court program and offer training. The bill does not address the length of the pilot program. For the purposes of this judicial impact note, AOC assumes staff will begin in FY 2025 and the program would begin in FY 2026 and continue for three years.

TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS: \$1,425,500 over four years

Court Program Analyst (1.0 FTE) and Court Program Specialist (1.0 FTE). Beginning July 1, 2024 and ongoing for four years, AOC would require salary, benefits, and associated standard costs for 2.0 FTE. Because these programs are rare, the staff would require additional training and travel costs of \$30,000.

Additionally, case management systems would need to be modified to document when someone is granted into a gambling treatment court.

The estimate included in the judicial impact note is \$22,000 based on 200 staff hours at an average of approximately \$103 per hour across multiple job classifications needed to complete the interface (Business Analyst, System Integrator, Senior System Integrator, IT Supervisor, and Manager).

Explanation of standard costs by object:

Salary estimates are current biennium actual rates at Step L.

Benefits are the agency average of 30.59% of salaries.

192,439.00 Request # 122-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # <u>2055 HB</u>

Goods and Services are the agency average of \$3,600 per direct program FTE.

Travel is the agency average of \$2,000 per direct program FTE.

Ongoing Equipment is the agency average of \$1,800 per direct program FTE.

One-time IT Equipment is \$4,800 for the first fiscal year per direct program FTE.

Agency Indirect is calculated at a rate of 25.86% of direct program salaries and benefits.

#### PASS-THROUGH TO PILOT COURTS

TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS: \$2,700,000 over three years

The cost for each pilot is assumed to be \$300,000 per year beginning in FY 2026 and ongoing for three years. The gambling treatment diversion court would address felony level crimes. The costs are based on superior court drug court costs scaled down anticipating a smaller number of participants and fewer staff.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

# **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

# III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages		197,700	197,700	369,600	184,800
Employee Benefits		60,400	60,400	113,000	56,500
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services		22,600	22,600	44,400	22,200
Travel		19,200	19,200	38,000	19,000
Capital Outlays		13,400	13,400	7,200	3,600
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services				1,800,000	900,000
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements		66,700	66,700	124,800	62,400
Total \$		380,000	380,000	2,497,000	1,248,500

# III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

**NONE** 

192,439.00 Request # 122-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 3 Bill # 2055 HB

# IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

# IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

# IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 2055 HB	Title: Gamb	oling diversion court	Agend	cy: 101-Caseload Forecast Council
Part I: Estimates			•	
X No Fiscal Impact				
<b>Estimated Cash Receipts to:</b>				
NONE				
<b>Estimated Operating Expend</b> NONE	litures from:			
Estimated Capital Budget Im	pact:			
NONE				
The cash receipts and expendi and alternate ranges (if appro			l impact. Factors impacti	ng the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and				
If fiscal impact is greate form Parts I-V.	r than \$50,000 per fisc	al year in the current bienniu	m or in subsequent bier	nnia, complete entire fiscal note
	nan \$50,000 per fiscal	year in the current biennium	or in subsequent bienni	a, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, of	complete Part IV.			
Requires new rule maki	ng, complete Part V.			
Legislative Contact: Mat	t Sterling		Phone: 360-786-7289	Date: 01/18/2024
Agency Preparation: Clel	la Steelhammer		Phone: 360-664-9381	Date: 01/23/2024
Agency Approval: Clel	la Steelhammer		Phone: 360-664-9381	Date: 01/23/2024
OFM Review: Dan	ya Clevenger		Phone: (360) 688-641	3 Date: 01/23/2024

# **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

# II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See attached.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

# II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

See attached.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

#### III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

**NONE** 

# III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

# IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

# IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

# IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

# Part V: New Rule Making Required Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# **HB 2055**

# GAMBLING TREATMENT DIVERSION COURT PILOT PROGRAM

101 – Caseload Forecast Council January 19, 2024

# **SUMMARY**

# A brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact.

- Section 1 Adds a new section to chapter 2.30 RCW that requires the Administrative Office of the Courts to conduct a gambling treatment diversion pilot program.
- Section 1 Additionally states the program shall be established in three counties and outlines the requirements of the program.
- Section 1 Additionally states if the court determines that the person is afflicted with a problem or disordered gambling, committed the crime in furtherance or as a result of problem or disordered gambling, is likely to be rehabilitated through treatment, and is a good candidate for treatment, the court may:
  - order conditions of probation,
  - defer sentencing, and
  - place the person under supervision of a qualified mental health or substance use disorder professional for not less than one year or more than three years.

# Section 1 Additionally states a person is not eligible for the program if:

- The crime is a crime against a person established in Title 7, 9, 9A, 10, 26, 28A, 46, or 74 RCW;
- The crime is a crime against a child listed in RCW 28A.400.322,
- The crime is an act which constitutes domestic violence as defined in RCW 10.99.020;
- The person has a record of two or more conviction of the above offenses, or a similar crime in another state or other proceedings that allege the commission of a violent offense are pending,
- The person is on probation or parole, or
- The person has previously been assigned by a court to a programs for the treatment of gambling.
- Section 1 Additionally states if the person satisfactorily completes the treatment program, as determined by the court, the conviction may be discharged under RCW 9.94A.637. If not, the court may impose a sentence that could, or would, have been imposed originally for the offense for which the person pled guilty or was convicted.

#### **EXPENDITURES**

# Assumptions.

None.

# **Impact on the Caseload Forecast Council.**

None

# **Impact Summary**

The provisions of this bill establish a pilot program for diversion. The Caseload Forecast Council (CFC) has no information regarding how many individuals would participate in the program or how many would successfully complete the program and have their sentenced discharged.

# Prison and Jail Bed Impacts.

While the estimated bed savings cannot be determined, the provision of the bill would allow diversion from a felony, gross misdemeanor, or misdemeanor offense, resulting in reduced need for prison and jail beds.

# Impacts on DOC Community Custody population.

A person that successfully completes the diversion pilot program may have a community custody term that would also be discharged, resulting in a reduction to DOC's community corrections caseload.

# Impact on local detention and Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) beds.

No impact to local detention beds. It is assumed that juveniles with adjudications in the juvenile court would not be eligible to participate in this diversion pilot program, as successful completion results in convictions being discharged under RCW 9.94A.637 which applies to adult sentences.

Current statutes require individuals sentenced in adult court for an offense committed before the age of 18 to serve their confinement at a JR facility until age 25, or until release if occurring prior to age 25. As a result of provisions in the bill, it is possible that a juvenile sentenced as an adult may participate and successfully complete the pilot programs and have their sentence discharged. As less than 1% of all sentences in the adult system are committed by those less than age 18, it assumed any potential impacts to JR would be minimal.

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 2055 HB	Title: Gambling diversion court	Agency:	310-Department of Corrections
Part I: Estimates			
No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Operating Expenditur	es from:		
Non-ze	ro but indeterminate cost and/or saving	s. Please see discussion.	
Estimated Capital Budget Impac	::		
NONE			
TVOTVE			
The cash receipts and expenditure and alternate ranges (if appropriat	estimates on this page represent the most likely e), are explained in Part II.	fiscal impact. Factors impacting	the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and foll			
If fiscal impact is greater that form Parts I-V.	in \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bie	ennium or in subsequent bienni	a, complete entire fiscal note
X If fiscal impact is less than S	550,000 per fiscal year in the current bienn	ium or in subsequent biennia, o	complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, com	olete Part IV.		
Requires new rule making,	complete Part V.		
Legislative Contact: Matt Ste	rling	Phone: 360-786-7289	Date: 01/18/2024
Agency Preparation: Ronell V		Phone: (360) 489-4417	Date: 01/25/2024
Agency Approval: Michael	Steenhout	Phone: (360) 789-0480	Date: 01/25/2024
OFM Review: Danya C	Clevenger	Phone: (360) 688-6413	Date: 01/26/2024

# Part II: Narrative Explanation

# II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1(1) of House Bill (HB) 2055 adds a new section to chapter 2.30 RCW that requires the Administrative Office of the Courts to conduct a gambling treatment diversion pilot program.

Section 1(3)(a-b) indicates that the court may order conditions of probation and defer sentencing.

Section 1(7) declares that if the person satisfactorily completes the treatment program, as determined by the court, the conviction may be discharged under RCW 9.94A.637. If not, the court may impose a sentence that could, or would, have been imposed originally for the offense for which the person pled guilty or was convicted.

Section 4(c)(iii) states that the treatment provider will report to the Department of Corrections or other appropriate agency all significant failures by the person to comply with any court-imposed term or condition.

Effective date is assumed 90 days after adjournment of session in which this bill is passed.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The fiscal impact of this bill is indeterminate, assumed to be less than \$50,000 per Fiscal Year (FY).

The Caseload Forecast Council (CFC) has no information regarding how many individuals would participate in the program or how many would successfully complete the program and have their sentenced discharged.

# Prison and Jail Bed Impacts.

While the estimated bed savings cannot be determined, the provision of the bill would allow diversion from a felony, gross misdemeanor, or misdemeanor offense, resulting in reduced need for prison and jail beds.

# Impacts on DOC Community Custody population.

A person that successfully completes the diversion pilot program may have a community custody term that would also be discharged, resulting in a reduction to DOC's community corrections caseload.

The DOC assumes this bill would likely result in an Average Daily Population (ADP) decrease, although the impact cannot be reliably estimated. Therefore, the fiscal impact is indeterminate, assumed to be less than \$50,000 per FY.

#### **ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1. The estimated ADP impact to DOC prison facilities/institutions and/or community supervision/violator caseloads is based on projections from CFC.
- 2. We assume a Direct Variable Cost (DVC) of \$7,630 per incarcerated individual per FY to facilitate cost discussions during legislative session for bills. This cost estimate includes prison and health services' direct variable costs. It does not include staffing or dollars necessary for staffing needed at the facility outside of the living/housing units. The DVC is

calculated by DOC and reviewed and approved with the Office of Financial Management, Senate, and House staff each legislative session.

- 3. For illustration purposes only, the average annual Community Supervision caseload model is \$6,101 per ADP (not including startup costs), regardless of the supervised risk level based on the workload model. If ADP impacts are applicable to this fiscal note, the calculated rate per community supervision ADP includes direct supervision and ancillary units, such as Hearings, Records, and Training, that are directly affected by supervision population changes. The estimate will vary based on the risk level of the supervised individuals, which requires different staffing levels. The population trend data used is based on the Risk Level Classification tool and provides a risk level of 42.8% high violent, 27.3% high non-violent, 21% moderate, 7.9% low, and 1.0% unclassified. (June November 2017)
- 4. The DOC assumes that any increase in community supervision caseload will result in an increased need for violator beds. For illustration, the FY2023 average percentage of supervised individuals who served jail time and were billed by the local jurisdictions for violating their conditions of supervision was a rate of 2.0%. The current average daily cost for jail beds is \$114.43 per day, inclusive of all risk levels and healthcare costs. The rate is an average, and actual rates vary by local correctional facilities.
- 5. We assume additional impacts will result when ADP caseload changes in either prison or community and resources will be necessary. The DOC will "true up" our fiscal impact in subsequent budget submittals should the legislation be enacted into session law.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

**NONE** 

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Bill # 2055 HB

# Part V: New Rule Making Required Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number	2055 HB	Title: G	ambling diver	rsion court						
Part I: Ju	art I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.									
Legislation Cities:	Impacts:									
H	Counties: Costs for prosecutors and court-appointed defense attorneys.									
Special Di	Special Districts:									
X Specific ju	Specific jurisdictions only: Pilot program will be instituted in three counties.									
Variance of	occurs due to:									
Part II: H	Estimates									
No fiscal	impacts.									
Expenditu	ires represent one-time	costs:								
Legislatio	n provides local option	:								
X Key varia	bles cannot be estimate	d with certainty	at this time:	Number of persons referred to gambling treatment diversion court						
Estimated re	venue impacts to:									
None										
Estimated ex	Estimated expenditure impacts to:									
	Non-zero	but indetermi	nate cost and	/or savings. Please see discussion.						

# Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Alice Zillah	Phone:	360-725-5035	Date:	01/23/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Matt Sterling	Phone:	360-786-7289	Date:	01/18/2024
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone:	360-725-5033	Date:	01/23/2024
OFM Review: Danya Clevenger	Phone:	(360) 688-6413	Date:	01/23/2024

Page 1 of 2 Bill Number: 2055 HB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

# Part IV: Analysis

# A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

Sec. 1 adds a new section to chapter RCW 2.30. The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) shall conduct a gambling treatment diversion court pilot program for the treatment of persons who have committed a crime for which they have pled guilty or been convicted in furtherance or as a result of the gambling. The pilot program shall be established in three counties of this state selected by the office, to include a county located within each of the three court of appeals divisions.

If the court has reason to believe that a person who has pled guilty to or has been convicted of a crime is afflicted with problem gambling or disordered gambling and the person committed the crime in furtherance of or because of problem gambling or disordered gambling, the court shall hold a hearing before it sentences the person. The prosecutor may present the court with any evidence concerning whether the person committed the crime in furtherance or as a result of problem gambling or disordered gambling and the advisability of permitting the person to enter the program.

A person who is placed under the supervision or care of a qualified mental health or substance use disorder professional shall pay the cost of the program of treatment to which the person is assigned and the cost of any additional supervision that may be required, to the extent of the financial resources of the person.

The court may order the person to perform supervised community service in lieu of paying the remainder of the costs relating to the person's treatment and supervision.

#### B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would have indeterminate impacts on the three counties chosen to participate in the gambling treatment diversion court pilot program.

According to the Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys, the bill may increase the time a prosecutor would spend on a case, because there would be additional court hearings to determine whether a defendant was eligible for the gambling treatment diversion court. Court-appointed defense attorneys would also be required at these hearings. Additionally, prosecutors and court-appointed defense attorneys might spend time gathering evidence to make a determination about a defendant's problem gambling.

Because the number of defendants referred to the gambling treatment diversion court pilot program is not known, the total impacts are indeterminate.

#### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would have no revenue impacts for local government.

SOURCES:

Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys

Page 2 of 2 Bill Number: 2055 HB