# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 1231 HB Title: Site readiness grants

### **Estimated Cash Receipts**

NONE

Agency Name	2023-25		2025	-27	2027-29		
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts							
Loc School dist-SPI							
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.						
Local Gov. Total							

## **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27			2027-29				
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

## **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27	,	2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

### **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

Prepared by: Myra Baldini, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 688-8208	Final

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 1231 HB	Title:	Site readiness grants	A	gency:	103-Department of Commerc
Part I: Estimates			•		
X No Fiscal Impact					
Estimated Cash Receipts	to:				
NONE					
<b>Estimated Operating Exp</b> NONE	penditures from:				
Estimated Capital Budget	t Impact:				
NONE					
The cash receipts and exp and alternate ranges (if a		n this page represent the most likely fisca lained in Part II.	l impact. Factors im	pacting th	e precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes					
If fiscal impact is greater form Parts I-V.	eater than \$50,000	per fiscal year in the current bienniu	m or in subsequent	biennia,	complete entire fiscal note
	ss than \$50,000 pe	er fiscal year in the current biennium	or in subsequent bi	ennia, co	mplete this page only (Part I
Capital budget impa	act, complete Part	IV.	_		
X Requires new rule n					
Legislative Contact:	Cassie Jones		Phone: 360-786-7	7303	Date: 01/12/2023
	Buck Lucas		Phone: 360-725-3		Date: 01/16/2023
Agency Approval:	Jason Davidson		Phone: 360-725-	5080	Date: 01/16/2023
OFM Review:	Myra Baldini		Phone: (360) 688	-8208	Date: 01/16/2023

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1 adds a new section providing legislative intent to ensure equitable recovery and provide business predictability through promotion of site readiness grants and investing in predevelopment work that supports businesses choose Washington.

Section 2 amends RCW 43.160.060 by adding "costs of achieving site readiness" as an element of planning grants within the Community Economic Revitalization Board (CERB) existing program. It also removes the limit for planning grants from the current \$50,000 limit. After December 31, 2028, planning grants may not exceed \$200,000.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

There is no impact to the department. The addition of site readiness as an eligible activity of CERB planning grants is in alignment with the existing programs administered by CERB and can be absorbed into CERB's existing model at current staffing levels.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

#### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

#### NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

There is no impact to the department. Increasing the maximum allowable planning grants from \$50,000 would allow CERB to finance larger planning projects, including new site readiness plans, which fits within CERB's existing project development business model.

The impact of the proposed legislation on the number of planning awards is unclear and subject to the availability of amounts appropriated for CERB and the level of funding requested within communities' applications. Specifically, an increased appropriation will result in increased CERB awards, and the removal of the \$50,000 planning cap may result in an increase in the size of a planning award. From a sample of 12 counties from 2016 to 2022, CERB has awarded 48 planning grants at an average size of \$46,800 with nearly 80% being funded at the current statutory cap of \$50,000.

### Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

CERB has rule-making authority within current statute if rule-making becomes necessary.

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number:	1231 HB	Title:	Site readiness grants					
Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.								
<b>Legislation I</b>	mpacts:							
Cities:								
Counties:								
Special Distr	ricts:							
X Specific juris	sdictions only: Rui	al communit	ties defined by the board and rural counties determined by OFM					
Variance occ	eurs due to:							
Part II: Es	timates							
No fiscal im	pacts.							
Expenditure	s represent one-time	costs:						
Legislation 1	provides local option	:						
X Key variable	es cannot be estimate	d with certai	nty at this time: The amount of site readiness costs by project.					
Estimated reve	nue impacts to:							
	Non-zero	but indeter	minate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.					
Estimated expe	enditure impacts to:							

None

# Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Kristine Williams	Phone:	(564) 669-3002	Date:	01/13/2023
Leg. Committee Contact: Cassie Jones	Phone:	360-786-7303	Date:	01/12/2023
Agency Approval: Alice Zillah	Phone:	360-725-5035	Date:	01/13/2023
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone:	(360) 790-1166	Date:	01/13/2023

Page 1 of 2 Bill Number: 1231 HB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

### Part IV: Analysis

#### A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This legislation would amend RCW 43.160.060 to allow rural communities and counties to apply for a grant to pay the costs of achieving site readiness for an eligible project.

Sec. 2 amends RCW 43.160.060(2)(D)(iii) to include the 'costs of achieving site readiness' and imposes a limit of \$200,000 per grant effective January 1, 2029.

### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

There is no expenditure impact to local governments.

Local governments would be eligible to apply to the Community Economic Revitalization Board (CERB) for a grant to pay for the site-specific costs of achieving site readiness. CERB does not administer competitive grant programs. Board staff provide applicants direct technical and project development assistance during the application process.

### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

This legislation would result in indeterminate revenue for local governments.

The type and amount of site readiness expenses will vary depending on each project. While the CERB program has averaged 17 applications per year since 2016, there is no information available to predict the amount of site readiness costs local governments would apply for. This legislation will impose a limit of \$200,000 per grant award beginning January 1, 2029.

### SOURCES:

Community Economic Revitalization Board

Page 2 of 2 Bill Number: 1231 HB