## <u>SB 5452</u> - H AMD 564 By Representative Griffey

1 On page 2, line 2, after "options." insert "In providing this 2 increased flexibility, it is not the intent of the legislature to 3 authorize a local government to impose an impact fee on a property 4 owner more than once for the same facility."

5

6

On page 3, after line 20, insert the following:

7 "Sec. 3. RCW 82.02.100 and 2011 c 331 s 3 are each amended to 8 read as follows:

9 (1) A person required to pay a fee pursuant to RCW 43.21C.060 10 for system improvements shall not be required to pay an impact fee 11 under RCW 82.02.050 through 82.02.090 for those same system 12 improvements.

13 (2) A person installing a residential fire sprinkler system in a 14 single-family home shall not be required to pay the fire operations 15 portion of the impact fee. The exempted fire operations impact fee 16 shall not include the proportionate share related to the delivery of 17 emergency medical services.

18 (3) A person required to pay an impact fee for a bicycle and 19 pedestrian facility designed with multimodal commuting as an 20 intended use that qualifies as a public facility under RCW

21 <u>82.02.090(7)(a) may not be assessed any additional impact fee for</u>

22 the same facility, regardless of whether the facility would also

23 gualify as a public facility under RCW 82.02.090(7)(b), (c), or (d)."

24

25 Correct the title.

- 26
- 27

EFFECT:

- Provides additional intent language.
- Prohibits a person who pays an impact fee for a bicycle and pedestrian facility designed with multimodal commuting as an intended use from being assessed an additional impact fee for the same facility even if the facility would also qualify under another category of public facility for which impact fees could be imposed.

--- END ---