<u>SHB 1436</u> - H AMD 153 By Representative Stokesbary

Strike everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:

- 3
- 4

5 "<u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. The legislature finds that students 6 receiving special education services are entitled, under both 7 federal and state law, to a free appropriate public education that 8 enables their full participation.

9 The legislature also finds that a cap or enrollment limit of 10 13.5 percent on the number of students receiving special education 11 services that generate state special education funding is not 12 consistent with the state's duty to provide a free appropriate 13 public education.

The legislature further finds that school districts pay for special education services with local funding, creating an inequitable situation for school districts and students. The legislature supports a system of funding that does not require school districts to generate local funding to meet their obligation to provide special education services.

The legislature finds that along with reliable and sufficient state funding, receiving special education services in the least restrictive environment possible is crucial to student success. A recent large-scale study found that students who spend at least 80 percent of their day in a general education setting improved their reading scores by 24 points and math scores by 18 points compared to peers with similar disabilities in less inclusive settings.

27 The legislature finds that the documented prevalence of disabilities amongst children, particularly amongst vulnerable 1436-S AMH STOK MACK 278 1 - Official Print populations and communities with disparately poor health outcomes
 and access to health services, indicates that the state should
 improve access to evaluations for disabilities.

The legislature finds that special education is fully part of the state's statutory program of basic education that is deemed by the legislature to implement Article IX, section 1 of the state Constitution.

8 The legislature, therefore, intends to fully fund special 9 education services by providing advocacy support for families to 10 help navigate the special education system, increasing the 13.5 11 percent cap to 15 percent, and increasing the special education 12 multipliers for elementary and secondary students and pre-13 kindergarten students, thereby giving every school district a 14 funding increase.

The legislature intends to require a comprehensive study of funding and services for students with disabilities, to be completed prior to the 2025 legislative session, to understand if the state is appropriately identifying students with disabilities, identify funding and service gaps, and ensure that funding provided by the state to school districts, charter schools, and other entities for services are being used to meet the needs of students with 2 disabilities.

23

24 **Sec. 2.** RCW 28A.150.390 and 2020 c 90 s 3 are each amended to 25 read as follows:

(1) The superintendent of public instruction shall submit to
each regular session of the legislature during an odd-numbered year
a programmed budget request for special education programs for
students with disabilities. Funding for programs operated by local
school districts shall be on an excess cost basis from
appropriations provided by the legislature for special education
programs for students with disabilities and shall take account of
state funds accruing through RCW 28A.150.260 (4)(a), (5), (6), and
(8) and 28A.150.415.

1436-S AMH STOK MACK 278

(2) The excess cost allocation to school districts shall be
 2 based on the following:

3 (a) A district's annual average headcount enrollment of students
4 ages three and four and those five year olds not yet enrolled in
5 kindergarten who are eligible for and receiving special education,
6 multiplied by the district's base allocation per full-time
7 equivalent student, multiplied by ((1.15)) 1.2;

8 (b)(i) Subject to the limitation in (b)(ii) of this subsection 9 (2), a district's annual average enrollment of resident students who 10 are eligible for and receiving special education, excluding students 11 ages three and four and those five year olds not yet enrolled in 12 kindergarten, multiplied by the district's base allocation per full-13 time equivalent student, multiplied by the special education cost 14 multiplier rate of:

15 (A)((In the 2019-20 school year, 0.995 for students eligible for 16 and receiving special education.

17 (B) Beginning in the 2020-21 school year, either:

18 (I) 1.0075)) <u>1.12</u> for students eligible for and receiving 19 special education and reported to be in the general education 20 setting for ((eighty)) <u>80</u> percent or more of the school day; or 21 (((II) 0.995))

(B) 1.06 for students eligible for and receiving special
education and reported to be in the general education setting for
less than ((eighty)) 80 percent of the school day.

(ii) If the enrollment percent exceeds ((thirteen and fivetenths)) <u>15</u> percent, the excess cost allocation calculated under (b) (i) of this subsection must be adjusted by multiplying the allocation by ((thirteen and five-tenths)) <u>15</u> percent divided by the enrollment percent.

30 (3) As used in this section:

(a) "Base allocation" means the total state allocation to all schools in the district generated by the distribution formula under RCW 28A.150.260 (4)(a), (5), (6), and (8) and the allocation under 34 1 RCW 28A.150.415, to be divided by the district's full-time 2 equivalent enrollment.

3 (b) "Basic education enrollment" means enrollment of resident 4 students including nonresident students enrolled under RCW 28A. 5 225.225 and students from nonhigh districts enrolled under RCW 28A. 6 225.210 and excluding students residing in another district enrolled 7 as part of an interdistrict cooperative program under RCW 28A.225.250. 8 (c) "Enrollment percent" means the district's resident annual 9 average enrollment of students who are eligible for and receiving 10 special education, excluding students ages three and four and those 11 five year olds not yet enrolled in kindergarten and students 12 enrolled in institutional education programs, as a percent of the 13 district's annual average full-time equivalent basic education 14 enrollment.

15

16 **Sec. 3.** RCW 28A.150.392 and 2019 c 387 s 2 are each amended to 17 read as follows:

18 (1)(a) To the extent necessary, funds shall be made available 19 for safety net awards for districts with demonstrated needs for 20 special education funding beyond the amounts provided through the 21 special education funding formula under RCW 28A.150.390.

(b) If the federal safety net awards based on the federal eligibility threshold exceed the federal appropriation in any fiscal year, then the superintendent shall expend all available federal discretionary funds necessary to meet this need.

(2) Safety net funds shall be awarded by the state safety net
oversight committee subject to the following conditions and
limitations:

(a) The committee shall award additional funds for districts that can convincingly demonstrate that all legitimate expenditures for special education exceed all available revenues from state funding formulas.

33 (b) In the determination of need, the committee shall consider 34 additional available revenues from federal sources.

1436-S AMH STOK MACK 278

(c) Differences in program costs attributable to district
 philosophy, service delivery choice, or accounting practices are not
 a legitimate basis for safety net awards.

4 (d) In the determination of need, the committee shall require
5 that districts demonstrate that they are maximizing their
6 eligibility for all state revenues related to services for students
7 eligible for special education and all federal revenues from federal
8 impact aid, medicaid, and the individuals with disabilities
9 education act-Part B and appropriate special projects. Awards
10 associated with (e) and (f) of this subsection shall not exceed the
11 total of a district's specific determination of need.

(e) The committee shall then consider the extraordinary high cost needs of one or more individual students eligible for and receiving special education. Differences in costs attributable to district philosophy, service delivery choice, or accounting practices are not a legitimate basis for safety net awards.

(f) Using criteria developed by the committee, the committee shall then consider extraordinary costs associated with communities that draw a larger number of families with children in need of special education services, which may include consideration of proximity to group homes, military bases, and regional hospitals. Safety net awards under this subsection (2)(f) shall be adjusted to reflect amounts awarded under (e) of this subsection.

(g) The committee shall then consider the extraordinary high cost needs of one or more individual students eligible for and receiving special education served in residential schools as defined in RCW ((28A.190.020)) 28A.190.005, programs for juveniles under the department of corrections, and programs for juveniles operated by city and county jails to the extent they are providing a secondary program of education.

(h) The maximum allowable indirect cost for calculating safety and eligibility may not exceed the federal restricted indirect cost are for the district plus one percent.

34

(i) Safety net awards shall be adjusted based on the percent of
 potential medicaid eligible students billed as calculated by the
 superintendent of public instruction in accordance with chapter 318,
 Laws of 1999.

5 (j) Safety net awards must be adjusted for any audit findings or 6 exceptions related to special education funding.

7 (3) The superintendent of public instruction shall adopt such 8 rules and procedures as are necessary to administer the special 9 education funding and safety net award process. By December 1, 2018, 10 the superintendent shall review and revise the rules to achieve full 11 and complete implementation of the requirements of this subsection 12 and subsection (4) of this section including revisions to rules that 13 provide additional flexibility to access community impact awards. 14 Before revising any standards, procedures, or rules, the 15 superintendent shall consult with the office of financial management 16 and the fiscal committees of the legislature. In adopting and 17 revising the rules, the superintendent shall ensure the application 18 process to access safety net funding is streamlined, timelines for 19 submission are not in conflict, feedback to school districts is 20 timely and provides sufficient information to allow school districts 21 to understand how to correct any deficiencies in a safety net 22 application, and that there is consistency between awards approved 23 by school district and by application period. The office of the 24 superintendent of public instruction shall also provide technical 25 assistance to school districts in preparing and submitting special 26 education safety net applications.

(4) On an annual basis, the superintendent shall survey districts regarding their satisfaction with the safety net process and consider feedback from districts to improve the safety net process. Each year by December 1st, the superintendent shall prepare and submit a report to the office of financial management and the appropriate policy and fiscal committees of the legislature that summarizes the survey results and those changes made to the safety at process as a result of the school district feedback.

1436-S AMH STOK MACK 278

1 (5) The safety net oversight committee appointed by the 2 superintendent of public instruction shall consist of:

3 (a) One staff member from the office of the superintendent of4 public instruction;

5 (b) Staff of the office of the state auditor who shall be6 nonvoting members of the committee; and

7 (c) One or more representatives from school districts or
8 educational service districts knowledgeable of special education
9 programs and funding.

10 (6) Beginning in the ((2019-20)) 2023-24 school year, a high-11 need student is eligible for safety net awards from state funding 12 under subsection (2)(e) and (g) of this section if the student's 13 individualized education program costs exceed two and ((three)) two-14 tenths times the average per-pupil expenditure as defined in Title 15 20 U.S.C. Sec. 7801, the every student succeeds act of 2015.

16

17 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 28A.310 18 RCW to read as follows:

19 (1) Subject to amounts appropriated for this specific purpose, 20 each educational service district shall contract for independent 21 special education advocates.

22 (2) The role of a special education advocate is to:

(a) Serve as a resource for a child with disabilities who is
24 eligible for special education due to the disability and the child's
25 parents and family;

26 (b) Advocate on behalf of the child for a free and appropriate 27 public education from the public school system that emphasizes special 28 education and related services that are:

29 (i) Provided in the least restrictive environment;

30 (ii) Designed to meet the child's unique needs;

(iii) Appropriately ambitious and reasonably calculated to enable a child to make progress in light of the child's circumstances; and (iv) Addressing the child's further education, employment, and a independent living goals; and

1436-S AMH STOK MACK 278

1 (c) Assist parents with any one or more of the following:

2 (i) Preparing for a meeting to develop or update their child's3 individualized education program;

4 (ii) Attending the individualized education program meetings to 5 help present the parents' concerns, negotiate components that meet the 6 parents' goals and requests, or otherwise assist with the 7 understanding and navigation of the process;

8 (iii) Attending an individual education program meeting on behalf 9 of the child to assist in writing an appropriate program when a parent 10 opts out or otherwise cannot attend the meeting.

11

12 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 5. A new section is added to chapter 28A.150 13 RCW to read as follows:

14 Beginning July 1, 2025:

(1) It is the policy of the state that for purposes of state funding allocations, students eligible for and receiving special education generate the full basic education allocation under RCW 8 28A.150.260 and, as a class, are to receive the benefits of this allocation for the entire school day, as defined in RCW 28A.150.203, whether the student is placed in the general education setting or another setting.

(2) The superintendent of public instruction must maintain a full cost method of excess cost accounting to account for expenditures beyond amounts provided through the special education funding formula under RCW 28A.150.390. This method of accounting must shift the following portions of a school district's general apportionment revenue for students eligible for and receiving special education to the school district's special education program of expenditure.

30 (a) A percentage of a school district's base allocation as
31 defined in RCW 28A.150.390(3) for students eligible for and
32 receiving special education based on their percentage of time served
33 in a special education setting;

34

1 (b) To the extent that state special education expenditures in 2 the previous year exceeded state funding provided for that year 3 under RCW 28A.150.390, 28A.150.392, and methods for redirecting 4 general apportionment revenue based on the students' percentage of 5 time served in a special education setting, up to 50 percent of the 6 school district's base allocation as defined in RCW 28A.150.390(3) 7 for students eligible for and receiving special education combining 8 portions under (a) of this subsection.

9 (3) Unless otherwise prohibited by law, nothing in this section 10 prohibits school districts from using other funding and state 11 allocations above the amounts provided under RCW 28A.150.390 and 12 subsection (2) of this section to serve students eligible for and 13 receiving special education.

14 (4) The legislature must review any findings and recommendations 15 from the report and audit required under section 7 of this act and 16 adjust formulas in this section as appropriate.

17

18 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 28A.155
19 RCW to read as follows:

(1) The superintendent of public instruction shall annually review data from local education agencies, including the percentage of students receiving special education services, to ensure there is not a disproportionate identification of students, as defined by the superintendent of public instruction in accordance with federal requirements of the individuals with disabilities education act, 20 U.S.C. Sec. 1400.

(2) The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall provide technical assistance to school districts experiencing issues related to disproportionality and will make available professional development opportunities statewide to support local education agencies, schools, and community partners in promoting inclusionary teaching practices within a multitiered system of supports framework to help safeguard against over-identification and other issues related to disproportionality.

1436-S AMH STOK MACK 278

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. (1) The joint legislative audit and review committee and the state auditor must collaborate to conduct a performance audit of the state's system of providing special education services to students with disabilities. The joint legislative audit and review committee and the state auditor may divide responsibility for the work and reporting required in this section as appropriate, and contract with qualified third-party researchers or higher education institutions to perform any aspect of the report and audit. The report and audit must address: (a) The prevalence of disabilities and whether the provisions and funding for evaluating students and providing services reflects the prevalence of disabilities, including whether any populations are disparately underevaluated or underserved;

(b) The degree to which changes in funding formulas intended to sencourage increased inclusion are successful and whether the state and school districts are utilizing best practices to improve inclusion;

18 (c) Whether the changes in evaluation timelines or increases in 19 the funded enrollment limit have resulted in funding for students 20 who do not have disabilities or in excess of districts' costs to 21 serve students with disabilities;

(d) Whether districts are appropriately accounting for and reporting use of basic education allocations for students with disabilities, including if statutory expectations for use of funds are being met;

(e) The amount of funding from levies or other local sources that school districts continue to utilize under current accounting methodologies in order to meet obligations to provide free and appropriate public education to students with disabilities, the degree to which funding shortfalls will continue following planned increases in multipliers, proposed changes to accounting methodologies, and the elimination of a cap on the percent of students for whom the state provides funding; and, options for 1 additional changes to funding formulas to eliminate shortfalls in 2 state funding for special education; and

3 (f) How the state may improve recruitment and retention of 4 certificated educators, instructional aides, or paraeducators and 5 professionals serving students with disabilities.

6 (2) To develop the appropriate scope, define study questions, 7 and select one or more contractors to complete the performance audit 8 and report, the joint legislative audit and review committee and 9 state auditor shall consult with the office of the superintendent of 10 public instruction, the office of the education ombuds, 11 organizations representing and serving students with disabilities, 12 the Washington state special education advisory council, and labor 13 organizations representing educators providing educational services 14 to students with disabilities in developing study questions and 15 choosing appropriate contractors. To address the study questions, 16 the joint legislative audit and review committee and the state 17 auditor may conduct the audit at a sample of school districts as 18 needed.

19 (3) The performance audit required by this section must include20 charter schools to the same extent as school districts.

(4) Upon request, the office of financial management and any state or local agency must provide the joint legislative audit and review committee and the state auditor with education records necessary to conduct the performance audit required under this section. The joint legislative audit and review committee and the state auditor shall be considered authorized representatives of relevant state education authorities, including the superintendent for public instruction and the department of children, youth and families, for the purpose of accessing records for this evaluation. The office of financial management and any state or local agency must provide records within four months from the date of an initial request. The office of financial management or agencies contributing adata to the education research and data center must notify the joint legislative audit and review committee and the state auditor's

1436-S AMH STOK MACK 278

office in writing if they determine a request does not comply with
 the federal educational rights and privacy act, no later than
 twenty-one days after the initial request.

4 (5) Prior to the 2024 legislative session, the joint legislative 5 audit and review committee and the state auditor must identify a 6 lead agency for each element of the report and audit defined in 7 subsection (1)(a) through (f) of this section and any aspects of the 8 study that are being conducted by contractors. These designations 9 must be provided to the governor and the committees of the 10 legislature with jurisdiction over fiscal matters and special 11 education by December 31, 2023.

12 (6) The joint legislative audit and review committee and the 13 state auditor must, in accordance with RCW 43.01.036, report the 14 study's findings and recommendations to the governor and the 15 committees of the legislature with jurisdiction over fiscal matters 16 and special education by November 30, 2024.

17 (7) This section expires August 1, 2025.

18

19 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 8. Sections 2 and 3 of this act take effect 20 September 1, 2023.

21

22 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 9. Section 5 of this act takes effect July 23 1, 2025.

24

25 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 10. If specific funding for the purposes of 26 this act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not 27 provided by June 30, 2023, in the omnibus appropriations act, this 28 act is null and void."

29

<u>EFFECT:</u> Strikes the underlying substitute bill and replaces with the following:

- Increases excess cost multipliers for special education students to:
 - o 1.12 for kindergarten through age 21 (K-21) students 80 percent or more in a general education setting,

- o 1.06 for K-21 students spending less than 80 percent in a general education setting,
- o 1.2 for pre-kindergarten (Pre-K) students.
- Increases the 13.5 percent enrollment limit for special education funding to 15 percent.
- Requires educational service districts to contract for independent special education advocates, subject to appropriations.
- Adds an intent section.
- Maintains the following provisions from the substitute bill:
 - o Reduces the threshold for high-need individuals to access the special education safety net from 2.3 to 2.2 times the average per-pupil expenditure.
 - Requires up to 50 percent of a special education student's base education allocation to be used for special education if district special education expenditures exceeded revenues in the previous year, beginning July 2025.
 - o Requires the OSPI to review disproportionality data and assist schools with inclusionary teaching.
 - o Requires the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee and the State Auditor Office to conduct a performance audit of the state's special education system, with some revisions to the underlying language.

--- END ---