1	S.160
2	Introduced by Senator Doyle
3	Referred to Committee on
4	Date:
5	Subject: Conservation; waste management; liability
6	Statement of purpose: This bill proposes to clarify when a landowner is not
7	liable after acquisition of a property on which a hazardous material has been
8	released. In addition, the bill proposes to provide that when certain
9	governmental regulatory programs reduce the value of real property to less
10	than 50 percent of its value on acquisition or as of January 1, 2012, whichever
11	is later, governmental action shall be considered a taking, and the owner of that
12	property shall be entitled to just compensation. The bill also requires listers to
13	reduce the grand list of taxpayers whose real property has decreased in fair
14	market value because of regulatory action.
15 16	An act relating to liability of an innocent landowner for the release of a hazardous material

It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:

1	Sec. 1. 10 V.S.A. § 6615 is amended to read:
2	§ 6615. LIABILITY
3	(a) Subject only to the defenses set forth in subsections (d) and (e) of this
4	section:
5	(1) the owner or operator of a facility, or both;
6	(2) any person who at the time of release or threatened release of any
7	hazardous material owned or operated any facility at which such hazardous
8	materials were disposed of;
9	(3) any person who by contract, agreement, or otherwise arranged for
10	disposal or treatment, or arranged with a transporter for transport for disposal
11	or treatment, of hazardous materials owned or possessed by such person, by
12	any other person or entity, at any facility owned or operated by another person
13	or entity and containing such hazardous materials; and
14	(4) any person who accepts or accepted any hazardous materials for
15	transport to disposal or treatment facilities selected by such persons, from
16	which there is a release, or a threatened release of hazardous materials shall be
17	liable for:
18	(A) abating such release or threatened release; and
19	(B) costs of investigation, removal, and remedial actions incurred by
20	the state which are necessary to protect the public health or the environment.

* * *

(d)(1) There shall be no liability under this section for a person otherwise
liable who can establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the release of
threat of release of hazardous material and the damages resulting therefrom
were caused solely by any of the following:
(A) an act of God;
(B) an act of war;
(C) an act or omission of a third party other than an employee or
agent of the defendant, or other than one whose act or omission occurs in
connection with a contractual relationship, existing directly or indirectly, with
the defendant. If the sole contractual arrangement arises from a published
tariff and acceptance for carriage by a common carrier by rail, for purposes of
this section, there shall be considered to be no contractual relationship at all.
This subdivision (d)(1)(C) shall only serve as a defense if the defendant
establishes by a preponderance of the evidence:
(i) that the defendant exercised due care with respect to the
hazardous material concerned, taking into consideration the characteristics of
that hazardous material, in light of all relevant facts and circumstances; and
(ii) that the defendant took precautions against foreseeable acts o
omissions of any such third party and the consequences that could foreseeably
result from those acts or omissions; or

(D) any combination of the above.

21

remediation;

1	(2) There shall be no liability under subdivision (a)(1) of this section,
2	regarding a particular facility, for a person otherwise liable who can establish
3	all of the following by a preponderance of the evidence:
4	(A) the release or threat of release of hazardous material on, under, or
5	from that person's property and the resulting damages were caused solely by
6	the migration of a release of hazardous materials that did not originate on that
7	person's property;
8	(B) the release or threat of release of hazardous material and the
9	resulting damages were caused solely by a third party who is not an employee
10	or agent of the person, and whose action was not associated with a contractual
11	relationship with the person;
12	(C) the hazardous substance was not deposited, intentionally
13	contained, or disposed of on a facility while the facility was owned or operated
14	by the person;
15	(D) the person, at the time of any transfer of the property from the
16	person, disclosed any knowledge or information the person had, concerning the
17	nature and extent of any such release;
18	(E) the person has not caused or contributed to a release, such as
19	through activities that knowingly exacerbated the existing contamination, and

has not knowingly affected the release in such a way as to require additional

201

- (F) the owner or operator of the facility provides access for, and does not interfere with, remediation activities.
- (3) A municipality shall not be liable under this section provided that the municipality can show all the following:
- (A) The property was acquired by virtue of its function as sovereign through bankruptcy, tax delinquency, abandonment, or other similar circumstances.
- (B) The municipality did not cause or contribute to the contamination of the property.
- (C) The municipality has entered into an agreement with the secretary regarding sale of the property acquired or has undertaken abatement, investigation, remediation, or removal activities as required by subchapter 3 of this chapter.
- (e) Any person who is the owner or operator of a facility where a release or threatened release existed at the time that person became owner or operator shall be liable unless he or she can establish by a preponderance of the evidence that after making diligent and appropriate investigation of the facility, he or she had no knowledge or reason to know that said release or threatened release was located on the facility A person who is an innocent landowner shall not be liable under subsection (a) of this section. An innocent landowner is a person who:

1	(1) did not cause, contribute, or consent to the release or threatened
2	release;
3	(2) is not liable or potentially liable through any direct or indirect
4	familial, contractual, corporate, or financial relation;
5	(3) is not the result of a reorganization of a business entity that was
6	potentially liable;
7	(4) made all appropriate inquiries into the previous uses of the facility in
8	accordance with generally accepted good commercial and customary standards
9	and practices, including those established by federal law;
10	(5) exercises appropriate care with respect to hazardous substances
11	found at the facility by taking reasonable steps to stop any continuing release,
12	prevent any threatened future release, and prevent or limit human,
13	environmental, or natural resource exposure to any previously released
14	hazardous substances; and
15	(6) does not impede the performance of any response action.
16	* * *
17	Sec. 2. 27 V.S.A. chapter 19 is added to read:
18	CHAPTER 19. REGULATORY TAKING OF
19	REAL PROPERTY

§ 1451. PROTECTION FOR PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS

(a) Whenever implementation by the state or any of its political
subdivisions of a land use planning, zoning, or other regulatory program (other
than an exercise of the police power to prevent noxious use or tangible harm to
the health or safety of the public) results in the reduction of the use or
exchange value of real property to less than 50 percent of its value for the uses
permitted at the time the owner acquired title, or January 1, 2012, whichever is
later, the property shall be deemed to have been taken for the use of the public.
The owner of that real property shall have the right to require condemnation by
and just compensation from the governmental unit imposing the regulations, or
to receive compensation for the reduction in value caused by the government
action, and in either case to have such compensation determined by a jury.
(b) If the governmental unit of which inverse condemnation is successfully
required under subsection (a) of this section is unwilling or unable to pay the
costs awarded, it may instead relax the land use planning, zoning, or other
regulatory program as it affects the plaintiff's land and all similarly situated
land in the jurisdiction in which the regulatory program is in effect, to the level
of regulation in place as of the time the owner acquired title, or January 1,
2012, whichever is later. In such event, the governmental unit shall be liable to
the plaintiff landowner for the reasonable and necessary costs of the inverse
condemnation action, plus any actual and demonstrable economic losses

1	caused the plaintiff by the regulation during the period in which it was in
2	effect.
3	(c) Nothing in this section shall preclude legal challenges by property
4	owners in instances where the regulatory diminution of value does not exceed
5	50 percent of the fair market value for the uses permitted at the time the owner
6	acquired title, or January 1, 2012, whichever is later, nor shall anything in this
7	section be construed to create an exclusive remedy or to diminish the rights of
8	property owners under existing statutory, constitutional, or common law.
9	(d) Whenever, after January 1, 2012, the state or any of its political
10	subdivisions impose, change, or implement any land use planning, zoning, or
11	other regulatory program (other than an exercise of the police power to prevent
12	noxious use or demonstrable harm to the health and safety of the public) in
13	such a way as to reduce the previous fair market value of a taxpayer's property
14	the listers of a municipality shall, on or before the ensuing April 1, adjust the
15	taxpayer's grand list downward by an amount equal to the difference between
16	the fair market value of the property under the new regulatory program and the
17	previous fair market value.
18	Sec. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE
19	This act shall take effect on passage.