

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16
17

H.263

Introduced by Representatives Cina of Burlington, Anthony of Barre City,
Colburn of Burlington, Cordes of Lincoln, Lippert of
Hinesburg, Toleno of Brattleboro, and Wood of Waterbury

Referred to Committee on

Date:

Subject: Information technology; Agency of Digital Services; State
procurement; automated decision system

Statement of purpose of bill as introduced: This bill proposes to require:

- (1) the Secretary of Digital Services to conduct a review and inventory of all automated decision systems that are developed, used, or procured by the State;
- (2) the Secretary of Digital Services to adopt standards and practices on the development, use, and procurement of automated decision systems by the State; and
- (3) any discrimination by an automated decision system to be deemed as an unfair practice.

An act relating to State development, use, and procurement of automated decision systems

1 It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:

2 Sec. 1. AUTOMATED DECISION SYSTEM; STATE PROCUREMENT;
3 INVENTORY; REPORT

4 (a) Definitions. As used in this section:

5 (1) “Algorithm” means a computerized procedure consisting of a set of
6 steps used to accomplish a determined task.

7 (2) “Automated decision system” means any algorithm, including one
8 incorporating machine learning or other artificial intelligence techniques, that
9 uses data-based analytics to make or support government decisions, judgments,
10 or conclusions.

11 (3) “Automated final decision system” means an automated decision
12 system that makes final decisions, judgments, or conclusions without human
13 intervention.

14 (4) “Automated support decision system” means an automated decision
15 system that provides information to inform the final decision, judgment, or
16 conclusion of a human decision maker.

17 (b) Inventory. The Secretary of Digital Services, in consultation with the
18 Secretary of Administration, shall conduct a review and make an inventory of
19 all automated decision systems that are being developed, used, or procured by
20 the State. The inventory shall include the following for each automated
21 decision system:

1 (1) the automated decision system's name, vendor, and version;

2 (2) a description of the automated decision system's general capabilities,
3 including reasonably foreseeable capabilities outside the scope of the agency's
4 proposed use and whether the automated decision system is used or may be
5 used to deploy or trigger any weapon;

6 (3) the type or types of data inputs that the technology uses, how that
7 data is generated, collected, and processed, and the type or types of data the
8 automated decision system is reasonably likely to generate;

9 (4) whether the automated decision system has been tested by an
10 independent third party, has a known bias, or is untested for bias;

11 (5) a description of the purpose and proposed use of the automated
12 decision system, including:

13 (A) what decision or decisions it will be used to make or support;

14 (B) whether it is an automated final decision system or automated
15 support decision system; and

16 (C) its intended benefits, including any data or research
17 demonstrating those benefits;

18 (6) whether the automated decision system makes decisions affecting
19 the constitutional or legal rights, duties, or privileges of any Vermont resident;

1 (7) whether the automated decision system gives notice to an individual
2 impacted by the automated decision system of the fact that the automated
3 decision system is in use and provides the following information:

4 (A) the automated decision system's name, vendor, and version;

5 (B) what decision or decisions it will be used to make or support;

6 (C) whether it is an automated final decision system or automated
7 support decision system;

8 (D) what policies and guidelines apply to its deployment;

9 (E) whether a human verifies or confirms decisions made by the
10 automated decision system; and

11 (F) how an individual can contest any decision made involving the
12 automated decision system;

13 (8) whether the automated decision system ensures that the agency can
14 explain the basis for its decision to any impacted individual in terms
15 understandable to a layperson including:

16 (A) by requiring the vendor to create such an explanation;

17 (B) whether the automated decision system is subject to appeal or
18 immediate suspension if a legal right, duty, or privilege is impacted by the
19 decision; and

1 (C) potential reversal by a human decision maker through a timely
2 process clearly described and accessible to an individual impacted by the
3 decision;

4 (9) a description of any potential impacts of the automated decision
5 system on civil rights and liberties and potential disparate impacts on
6 marginalized communities, and a mitigation plan; and

7 (10) a clear use and data management policy, including protocols for the
8 following:

9 (A) how and when the automated decision system will be
10 deployed or used and by whom, including but not limited to:

11 (i) the factors that will be used to determine where, when, and
12 how the technology is deployed;

13 (ii) whether the technology will be operated continuously or
14 used only under specific circumstances; and

15 (iii) if the automated decision system will be operated or used
16 by another entity on the agency's behalf, the algorithmic accountability
17 report described in subsection (c) of this section must explicitly include a
18 description of the other entity's access and any applicable protocols;

19 (B) how automated decision system data will be securely stored
20 and accessed, and whether an agency intends to share access to the

1 (1) coordinate and optimize the use of technology within State
2 government;

3 (2) approve, in consultation with the Agency of Administration, State
4 government information technology contracts and procurement activity;

5 (3) review and approve State government information technology and
6 cybersecurity policies;

7 (4) approve State government information technology recruitment and
8 classification of employees; ~~and~~

9 (5) supervise all information technology employees and contractors in
10 State government; and

11 (6) adopt, in consultation with Agency of Administration, standards and
12 practices regarding State development, procurement, use, and issues of bias, in
13 automated decision systems, as defined in subsection. 3305(b) of this
14 subchapter.

15 Sec. 3. 3 V.S.A. § 3305 is added to read:

16 § 3305. AUTOMATED DECISION SYSTEMS; UNFAIR PRACTICES

17 (a)(1) It is an unfair practice for any automated decision system to
18 discriminate against any individual on the basis of race, color, religion,
19 national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, ancestry, place of birth,
20 age, crime victim status, or physical or mental condition.

1 (2) The enforcement provisions and protections against retaliation in
2 9 V.S.A. 4506 shall apply to this section.

3 (b) For the purposes of this section, "automated decision system" means
4 any algorithm, including one incorporating machine learning or other artificial
5 intelligence techniques, that uses data-based analytics to make or support
6 government decisions, judgments, or conclusions.

7 Sec. 4. EFFECTIVE DATE

8 This act shall take effect on passage.