1

2

25

GOVERNMENT RECORDS ACCESS AND MANAGEMENT ACT

JUDICIAL REVIEW AMENDMENTS



Section 1. Section 63G-2-404 is amended to read:

2.0	(30) 3 10 1	T 10 0 1	
26	63G-2-404.	Leibibiil	review.

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

40

41

42 43

44

45

4647

48

49

50

51

5253

54

- (1) (a) A petition for judicial review of an order or decision, as allowed under this part or in Subsection 63G-2-701(6)(a)(ii), shall be filed no later than 30 days after the date of the order or decision.
- (b) The State Records Committee is a necessary party to a petition for judicial review of a State Records Committee order.
- (c) The executive secretary of the State Records Committee shall be served with notice of a petition for judicial review of a State Records Committee order, in accordance with the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.
- (2) A petition for judicial review is a complaint governed by the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure and shall contain:
  - (a) the petitioner's name and mailing address;
- 38 (b) a copy of the State Records Committee order from which the appeal is taken, if the petitioner is seeking judicial review of an order of the State Records Committee;
  - (c) the name and mailing address of the governmental entity that issued the initial determination with a copy of that determination;
    - (d) a request for relief specifying the type and extent of relief requested; and
    - (e) a statement of the reasons why the petitioner is entitled to relief.
  - (3) If the appeal is based on the denial of access to a protected record based on a claim of business confidentiality, the court shall allow the claimant of business confidentiality to provide to the court the reasons for the claim of business confidentiality.
  - (4) All additional pleadings and proceedings in the district court are governed by the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.
    - (5) The district court may review the disputed records. The review shall be in camera.
    - (6) (a) The court shall:
  - (i) make the court's decision de novo, but, for a petition seeking judicial review of a State Records Committee order, allow introduction of evidence presented to the State Records Committee;
    - (ii) determine all questions of fact and law without a jury; and
- 55 (iii) decide the issue at the earliest practical opportunity.
- 56 [(b) In a court's review and decision of a petition seeking judicial review of a State

57	Records Committee order, the court may not remand the petition to the State Records
58	Committee for any additional proceedings.
59	(b) A court may remand a petition for judicial review to the State Records Committee
60	<u>if:</u>
61	(i) the remand is to allow the State Records Committee to decide an issue that:
62	(A) involves access to a record; and
63	(B) the State Records Committee has not previously addressed in the proceeding that
64	led to the petition for judicial review; and
65	(ii) the court determines that remanding to the State Records Committee is in the best
66	interests of justice.
67	(7) (a) Except as provided in Section 63G-2-406, the court may, upon consideration
68	and weighing of the various interests and public policies pertinent to the classification and
69	disclosure or nondisclosure, order the disclosure of information properly classified as private,
70	controlled, or protected if the interest favoring access is greater than or equal to the interest
71	favoring restriction of access.
72	(b) The court shall consider and, where appropriate, limit the requester's use and
73	further disclosure of the record in order to protect privacy interests in the case of private or
74	controlled records, business confidentiality interests in the case of records protected under
75	Subsections 63G-2-305(1) and (2), and privacy interests or the public interest in the case of
76	other protected records.