	TRUTH IN ADVERTISING AMENDMENTS
	2015 GENERAL SESSION
	STATE OF UTAH
	Chief Sponsor: Todd Weiler
	House Sponsor:
LON	GTITLE
Gener	al Description:
	This bill modifies provisions of the Truth in Advertising act relating to deceptive trade
practic	ces.
Highli	ighted Provisions:
	This bill:
	<ul> <li>provides that, under certain circumstances, a deceptive trade practice occurs when a</li> </ul>
person	advertises a good or service with an image or photograph that exaggerates or
does n	ot accurately depict the good or service.
Mone	y Appropriated in this Bill:
	None
Other	Special Clauses:
	None
Utah	Code Sections Affected:
AME	NDS:
	13-11a-3, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2010, Chapters 54 and 378
Be it e	nacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
	Section 1. Section <b>13-11a-3</b> is amended to read:
	13-11a-3. Deceptive trade practices enumerated Records to be kept Defenses.
	(1) Deceptive trade practices occur when, in the course of a person's business,



# S.B. 85

01-21-15 4:30 PM

28	vocation, or occupation, that person:
29	(a) passes off goods or services as those of another;
30	(b) causes likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to the source,
31	sponsorship, approval, or certification of goods or services;
32	(c) causes likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to affiliation, connection,
33	association with, or certification by another;
34	(d) uses deceptive representations or designations of geographic origin in connection
35	with goods or services;
36	(e) represents that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics,
37	ingredients, uses, benefits, or qualities that they do not have or that a person has a sponsorship,
38	approval, status, affiliation, or connection that the person does not have;
39	(f) represents that goods are original or new if they are deteriorated, altered,
40	reconditioned, reclaimed, used, or second-hand;
41	(g) represents that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or
42	that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another;
43	(h) disparages the goods, services, or business of another by false or misleading
44	representation of fact;
45	(i) advertises goods or services or the price of goods and services with intent not to sell
46	them as advertised;
47	(j) advertises goods or services with intent not to supply a reasonable expectable public
48	demand, unless:
49	(i) the advertisement clearly and conspicuously discloses a limitation of quantity; or
50	(ii) the person issues rainchecks for the advertised goods or services;
51	(k) makes false or misleading statements of fact concerning the reasons for, existence
52	of, or amounts of price reductions, including the false use of any of the following expressions
53	in an advertisement:
54	(i) "going out of business";
55	(ii) "bankruptcy sale";
56	(iii) "lost our lease";
57	(iv) "building coming down";
58	(v) "forced out of business";

# 01-21-15 4:30 PM

59	(vi) "final days";
60	(vii) "liquidation sale";
61	(viii) "fire sale";
62	(ix) "quitting business"; or
63	(x) an expression similar to any of the expressions in Subsections (1)(k)(i) through
64	(ix);
65	(l) makes a comparison between the person's own sale or discount price and a
66	competitor's nondiscounted price without clearly and conspicuously disclosing that fact;
67	(m) without clearly and conspicuously disclosing the date of the price assessment
68	makes a price comparison with the goods of another based upon a price assessment performed
69	more than seven days prior to the date of the advertisement or uses in an advertisement the
70	results of a price assessment performed more than seven days prior to the date of the
71	advertisement without disclosing, in a print ad, the date of the price assessment, or in a radio or
72	television ad, the time frame of the price assessment;
73	(n) advertises or uses in a price assessment or comparison a price that is not that
74	person's own unless this fact is:
75	(i) clearly and conspicuously disclosed; and
76	(ii) the representation of the price is accurate;
77	(o) represents as independent an audit, accounting, price assessment, or comparison of
78	prices of goods or services, when the audit, accounting, price assessment, or comparison is not
79	independent;
80	(p) represents, in an advertisement of a reduction from the supplier's own prices, that
81	the reduction is from a regular price, when the former price is not a regular price as defined in
82	Subsection 13-11a-2(14);
83	(q) advertises a price comparison or the result of a price assessment or comparison that
84	uses, in any way, an identified competitor's price without clearly and conspicuously disclosing
85	the identity of the price assessor and any relationship between the price assessor and the
86	supplier;
87	(r) makes a price comparison between a category of the supplier's goods and the same
88	category of the goods of another, without randomly selecting the individual goods or services
89	upon whose prices the comparison is based;

# 01-21-15 4:30 PM

# **S.B. 85**

90	(s) makes a comparison between similar but nonidentical goods or services unless the
91	nonidentical goods or services are of essentially similar quality to the advertised goods or
92	services or the dissimilar aspects are clearly and conspicuously disclosed in the advertisements;
93	[ <del>or</del> ]
94	(t) (i) advertises a good or service with an image or photograph that exaggerates or
95	otherwise fails to accurately depict:
96	(A) the good or service; or
97	(B) the expected results of the good or service; and
98	(ii) does not clearly and conspicuously disclose the exaggeration or inaccuracy; or
99	[(t)] (u) engages in any other conduct which similarly creates a likelihood of confusion
100	or of misunderstanding.
101	(2) (a) For purposes of Subsection (1)(i), if a specific advertised price will be in effect
102	for less than one week from the advertisement date, the advertisement shall clearly and
103	conspicuously disclose the specific time period during which the price will be in effect.
104	(b) For purposes of Subsection $(1)(n)$ , with respect to the price of a competitor, the
105	price shall be one at which the competitor offered the goods or services for sale in the product
106	area at the time of the price assessment, and may not be an isolated price.
107	(c) For purposes of Subsection (1)(o), an audit, accounting, price assessment, or
108	comparison shall be independent if the price assessor randomly selects the goods to be
109	compared, and the time and place of the comparison, and no agreement or understanding exists
110	between the supplier and the price assessor that could cause the results of the assessment to be
111	fraudulent or deceptive. The independence of an audit, accounting, or price comparison is not
112	invalidated merely because the advertiser pays a fee for the audit, accounting, or price
113	comparison, but is invalidated if the audit, accounting, or price comparison is done by a full or
114	part-time employee of the advertiser.
115	(d) Examples of a disclosure that complies with Subsection (1)(q) are:
116	(i) "Price assessment performed by Store Z";
117	(ii) "Price assessment performed by a certified public accounting firm"; or
118	(iii) "Price assessment performed by employee of Store Y".
119	(e) For the purposes of Subsection (1)(r), goods or services are randomly selected when
120	the supplier has no advance knowledge of what goods and services will be surveyed by the

## 01-21-15 4:30 PM

121	price assessor, and when the supplier certifies its lack of advance knowledge by an affidavit to
122	be retained in the supplier's records for one year.
123	(f) (i) It is prima facie evidence of compliance with Subsection (1)(s) if:
124	(A) the goods compared are substantially the same size; and
125	(B) the goods compared are of substantially the same quality, which may include
126	similar models of competing brands of goods, or goods made of substantially the same
127	materials and made with substantially the same workmanship.
128	(ii) It is prima facie evidence of a deceptive comparison under this section when the
129	prices of brand name goods and generic goods are compared.
130	(3) Any supplier who makes a comparison with a competitor's price in advertising shall
131	maintain for a period of one year records that disclose the factual basis for such price
132	comparisons and from which the validity of such claim can be established.
133	(4) It is a defense to any claim of false or deceptive price representations under this
134	chapter that a person:
135	(a) has no knowledge that the represented price is not genuine; and
136	(b) has made reasonable efforts to determine whether the represented price is genuine.
137	(5) Subsections (1)(m) and (q) do not apply to price comparisons made in catalogs in
138	which a supplier compares the price of a single item of its goods or services with those of
139	another.
140	(6) To prevail in an action under this chapter, a complainant need not prove
141	competition between the parties or actual confusion or misunderstanding.
142	(7) This chapter does not affect unfair trade practices otherwise actionable at common
143	law or under other statutes of this state.

Legislative Review Note as of 12-1-14 11:38 AM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel