1	READING SOFTWARE AMENDMENTS
2	2023 GENERAL SESSION
3	STATE OF UTAH
4	Chief Sponsor: Ann Millner
5	House Sponsor: Susan Pulsipher
6 7	LONG TITLE
8	General Description:
9	This bill clarifies that an existing requirement for demonstrating a certain statistical
10	effect does not apply to reading software and converts a grant program into an
11	enrollment-based distribution.
12	Highlighted Provisions:
13	This bill:
14	 clarifies that an existing requirement for demonstrating a certain statistical effect
15	does not apply to reading software;
16	 amends a grant program for reading software to an enrollment-based distribution;
17	repeals an obsolete survey requirement; and
18	makes technical and conforming changes.
19	Money Appropriated in this Bill:
20	None
21	Other Special Clauses:
22	This bill provides a special effective date.
23	Utah Code Sections Affected:
24	AMENDS:
25	53E-4-307, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2022, Chapter 285
26	53F-4-203, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2020, Chapter 324
27	53G-11-303, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2022, Chapter 285
28	

29	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:
30	Section 1. Section 53E-4-307 is amended to read:
31	53E-4-307. Benchmark assessments in reading Report to parent.
32	(1) As used in this section:
33	(a) "Competency" means a demonstrable acquisition of a specified knowledge, skill, or
34	ability that has been organized into a hierarchical arrangement leading to higher levels of
35	knowledge, skill, or ability.
36	(b) "Diagnostic assessment" means an assessment that measures key literacy skills,
37	including phonemic awareness, sound-symbol recognition, alphabet knowledge, decoding and
38	encoding skills, and comprehension, to determine a student's specific strengths and weaknesses
39	in a skill area.
40	(c) "Evidence-based" means the same as that term is defined in Section 53G-11-303.
41	(d) "Evidence-informed" means the same as that term is defined in Section
42	53G-11-303.
43	(2) The state board shall approve a benchmark assessment for use statewide by school
44	districts and charter schools to assess the reading competency of students in grades 1 through 6
45	as provided by this section.
46	(3) A school district or charter school shall:
47	(a) administer benchmark assessments to students in grades 1, 2, and 3 at the
48	beginning, middle, and end of the school year using the benchmark assessment approved by the
49	state board; and
50	(b) after administering a benchmark assessment, report the results to a student's parent.
51	(4) (a) If a benchmark assessment or supplemental reading assessment indicates a
52	student lacks competency in a reading skill, or is lagging behind other students in the student's
53	grade in acquiring a reading skill, the school district or charter school shall:
54	[(a)] (i) administer diagnostic assessments to the student;
55	[(b)] (ii) using data from the diagnostic assessment, provide specific, focused, and

56 individualized intervention or tutoring to develop the reading skill; 57 [(c)] (iii) administer formative assessments and progress monitoring at recommended levels for the benchmark assessment to measure the success of the focused intervention; 58 59 [(d)] (iv) inform the student's parent of activities that the parent may engage in with the 60 student to assist the student in improving reading proficiency; [(e)] (v) provide information to the parent regarding appropriate interventions available 61 62 to the student outside of the regular school day that may include tutoring, before and after 63 school programs, or summer school; and 64 [(f)] (vi) provide instructional materials that are evidence-informed for core instruction 65 and evidence-based for intervention and supplemental instruction. 66 (b) Nothing in this section or in Section 53F-4-203 or 53G-11-303 requires a reading software product to demonstrate the statistically significant effect size described in Subsection 67 53G-11-303(1)(a) in order to be used as an instructional material described in Subsection 68 69 (4)(a)(vi). 70 (5) (a) In accordance with Section 53F-4-201 and except as provided in Subsection 71 (5)(b), the state board shall contract with one or more educational technology providers for a 72 benchmark assessment system for reading for students in kindergarten through grade 6. 73 (b) If revenue is insufficient for the benchmark assessment system for the grades 74 described in Subsection (5)(a), the state board shall first prioritize funding a benchmark 75 assessment for students in kindergarten through grade 3. 76 Section 2. Section 53F-4-203 is amended to read: 77 53F-4-203. Early interactive reading software -- Independent evaluator. 78 (1) [(a)] Subject to legislative appropriations, the state board shall [select and contract 79 with one or more technology providers, through a request for proposals process, to provide 80 early interactive reading software for literacy instruction and assessments] distribute funds to 81 public schools based on enrollment for students in kindergarten through grade 3 to purchase 82 personalized interactive reading software.

83	[(b) By August 1 of each year, the state board shall distribute licenses for early
84	interactive reading software described in Subsection (1)(a) to the school districts and charter
85	schools of LEA governing boards that apply for the licenses.]
86	[(c) Except as provided in state board rule, a school district or charter school that
87	received a license described in Subsection (1)(b) during the prior year shall be given first
88	priority to receive an equivalent license during the current year.]
89	[(d) Licenses distributed to school districts and charter schools in addition to the
90	licenses described in Subsection (1)(c) shall be distributed through a competitive process.]
91	(2) A public school that receives [a license] funds described in Subsection [(1)(b)] (1)
92	shall use the [license] funds for a student in kindergarten or grade 1, 2, or 3:
93	(a) for intervention for the student if the student is reading below grade level; or
94	(b) for advancement beyond grade level for the student if the student is reading at or
95	above grade level.
96	(3) (a) On or before August 1 of each year, the state board shall select and contract with
97	an independent evaluator, through a request for proposals process, to act as an independent
98	contractor to evaluate early interactive reading software provided under this section.
99	(b) The state board shall ensure that a contract with an independent evaluator requires
100	the independent evaluator to:
101	(i) evaluate a student's learning gains as a result of using early interactive reading
102	software provided under Subsection (1);
103	(ii) for the evaluation under Subsection (3)(b)(i), use an assessment that is not
104	developed by a provider of early interactive reading software; and
105	(iii) determine the extent to which a public school uses the early interactive reading
106	software.
107	(c) The state board and the independent evaluator selected under Subsection (3)(a)
108	shall submit a report on the results of the evaluation in accordance with Section 53E-1-201.
109	(4) [The state board] An LEA may acquire an analytical software program that:

110	(a) monitors, for an individual school, early intervention interactive reading software
111	use and the associated impact on student performance; and
112	(b) analyzes the information gathered under Subsection (4)(a) to prescribe individual
113	school usage time to maximize the beneficial impact on student performance.
114	(5) The state board:
115	(a) may use up to 4% of the appropriation provided under Subsection [$(1)(a)$] (1):
116	[(a)] (i) to contract with an independent evaluator selected under Subsection (3)(a); and
117	[(b)] (ii) for administrative costs associated with this section[-]; and
118	(b) shall distribute at least 96% of funds under this section to LEAs in accordance with
119	Subsection (1).
120	(6) Nothing in this section or in Section 53E-4-307 or 53G-11-303 requires a reading
121	software product to demonstrate the statistically significant effect size described in Subsection
122	53G-11-303(1)(a) in order to be used as an instructional material.
123	Section 3. Section 53G-11-303 is amended to read:
124	53G-11-303. Professional learning standards.
125	(1) As used in this section:
126	(a) "Evidence-based" means that a strategy, not including reading software,
127	demonstrates a statistically significant effect, of at least a 0.40 effect size, on improving student
128	outcomes based on:
129	(i) strong evidence from at least one well-designed and well-implemented experimental
130	study, as the state board further defines; or
131	(ii) moderate evidence from at least one well-designed and well-implemented
132	quasi-experimental study, as the state board further defines.
133	(b) "Evidence-informed" means that a strategy:
134	(i) is developed using high-quality research outside of a controlled setting in the given
135	field, as the state board further defines; and
136	(ii) includes strategies and activities with a strong scientific basis for use, as the state

163

137	board further defines.
138	(c) "Professional learning" means a comprehensive, sustained, and evidence-based
139	approach to improving teachers' and principals' effectiveness in raising student achievement.
140	(2) A school district or charter school shall implement high quality professional
141	learning that meets the following standards:
142	(a) professional learning occurs within learning communities committed to continuous
143	improvement, individual and collective responsibility, and goal alignment;
144	(b) professional learning requires skillful leaders who develop capacity, advocate, and
145	create support systems, for professional learning;
146	(c) professional learning requires prioritizing, monitoring, and coordinating resources
147	for educator learning;
148	(d) professional learning uses a variety of sources and types of student, educator, and
149	system data to plan, assess, and evaluate professional learning;
150	(e) professional learning integrates theories, research, and models of human learning to
151	achieve its intended outcomes;
152	(f) professional learning applies research on change and sustains support for
153	implementation of professional learning for long-term change;
154	(g) professional learning aligns its outcomes with:
155	(i) performance standards for teachers and school administrators as described in rules
156	of the state board; and
157	(ii) performance standards for students as described in the core standards for Utah
158	public schools adopted by the state board pursuant to Section 53E-4-202;
159	(h) professional learning:
160	(i) incorporates the use of technology in the design, implementation, and evaluation of
161	high quality professional learning practices; and
162	(ii) includes targeted professional learning on the use of technology devices to enhance

the teaching and learning environment and the integration of technology in content delivery;

164	and
165	(i) professional learning uses evidence-informed core materials and evidence-based
166	instructional practices and intervention materials.
167	(3) School districts and charter schools shall use money appropriated by the Legislature
168	for professional learning or federal grant money awarded for professional learning to
169	implement professional learning that meets the standards specified in Subsection (2).
170	(4) The state board, ULEAD, as that term is defined in Section 53E-10-701, and the
171	Center for the School of the Future, established in Section 53B-18-801, shall jointly, in
172	collaboration with an independent university-based research center, develop and maintain a
173	repository of evidence-based practice and evidence-informed intervention materials to support
174	school districts and charter schools in meeting the standards described in Subsection (2).
175	[(5) (a) In the fall of 2014, the state board, through the state superintendent, and in
176	collaboration with an independent consultant acquired through a competitive bid process, shall
177	conduct a statewide survey of school districts and charter schools to:]
178	[(i) determine the current state of professional learning for educators as aligned with
179	the standards specified in Subsection (2);]
180	[(ii) determine the effectiveness of current professional learning practices; and]
181	[(iii) identify resources to implement professional learning as described in Subsection
182	(2).]
183	[(b) The state board shall select a consultant from bidders who have demonstrated
184	successful experience in conducting a statewide analysis of professional learning.]
185	[(c) (i) Annually in the fall, beginning in 2015 through 2020, the state board, through
186	the state superintendent, in conjunction with school districts and charter schools, shall gather
187	and use data to determine the impact of professional learning efforts and resources.]
188	[(ii) Data used to determine the impact of professional learning efforts and resources
189	under Subsection (5)(c)(i) shall include:
190	[(A) student achievement data;]

S.B. 44

Enrolled Copy

191	[(B) educator evaluation data; and]
192	[(C) survey data.]
193	Section 4. Effective date.
194	(1) Except as provided in Subsection (2), if approved by two-thirds of all the members
195	elected to each house, this bill takes effect upon approval by the governor, or the day following
196	the constitutional time limit of Utah Constitution, Article VII, Section 8, without the governor's
197	signature, or in the case of a veto, the date of veto override.
198	(2) Section 53F-4-203 takes effect on July 1, 2023.