

2nd Sub. S.B. 233
MEDICAL CANNABIS AMENDMENTS

Senator **Luz Escamilla** proposes the following amendments:

1. Page 4, Lines 109 through 111:

109 (3) (a) "Anticompetitive business practice" means any practice that reduces the amount
110 of competition in the medical cannabis market that would be considered :
(i) an attempt to
111 monopolize, as defined in Section 76-10-3103 {-} ; or
(ii) an illegal anticompetitive activity under Section 76-10-3104.

2. Page 74, Lines 2270 through 2271h:

2270 (2) ~~§~~ → [For a violation of Section 34A-5-114, the Legislature may withhold future state
2271 appropriations from a state agency or political subdivision] Before taking an adverse employment
2271a action as defined in Section 34A-5-114 against an employee {who is known to be a} solely because
the employee is a medical
2271b cannabis cardholder or holds a prescription for another controlled substance , a state employer or a
political subdivision employer shall:
2271c (a) consult with legal counsel, if one is employed or contracted with to provide services to the
2271d state employer or political subdivision; and
2271e (b) obtain approval from:
2271f (i) for a political subdivision, the mayor {and the city manager or chief administrative officer} or
county executive :
2271g or
2271h (ii) for a state employer, the state employer's {executive director} agency head or the agency head's
designee ← § .