

Calendar No. 401118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION**S. 4367**

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MAY 20, 2024

Mr. CARPER (for himself, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. KELLY, and Mr. CRAMER) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Environment and Public Works

MAY 22, 2024

Reported by Mr. CARPER, with an amendment

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

2 (a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the
 3 “Water Resources Development Act of 2024”.

4 (b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for
 5 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.
- Sec. 3. Effective date.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 101. Notice to Congress regarding WRDA implementation.
- Sec. 102. Prior guidance.
- Sec. 103. Ability to pay.
- Sec. 104. Federal interest determinations.
- Sec. 105. Annual report to Congress.
- Sec. 106. Processing timelines.
- Sec. 107. Services of volunteers.
- Sec. 108. Support of Army civil works missions.
- Sec. 109. Inland waterway projects.
- Sec. 110. Leveraging Federal infrastructure for increased water supply.
- Sec. 111. Outreach and access.
- Sec. 112. Model development.
- Sec. 113. Planning assistance for States.
- Sec. 114. Corps of Engineers Levee Owners Advisory Board.
- Sec. 115. Silver Jackets program.
- Sec. 116. Tribal partnership program.
- Sec. 117. Tribal project implementation pilot program.
- Sec. 118. Eligibility for inter-Tribal consortiums.
- Sec. 119. Sense of Congress relating to the management of recreation facilities.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.
- Sec. 202. Vertical integration and acceleration of studies.
- Sec. 203. Expedited completion.
- Sec. 204. Expedited completion of other feasibility studies.
- Sec. 205. Alexandria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, feasibility study.
- Sec. 206. Craig Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 207. Sussex County, Delaware.
- Sec. 208. Forecast-informed reservoir operations in the Colorado River Basin.
- Sec. 209. Beaver Lake, Arkansas, reallocation study.
- Sec. 210. Gathright Dam, Virginia, study.
- Sec. 211. Delaware Inland Bays Watershed Study.
- Sec. 212. Upper Susquehanna River Basin comprehensive flood damage reduction feasibility study.
- Sec. 213. Kanawha River Basin.
- Sec. 214. Authorization of feasibility studies for projects from CAP authorities.
- Sec. 215. Port Fourchon Belle Pass channel, Louisiana.

- Sec. 216. Studies for modification of project purposes in the Colorado River Basin in Arizona.
- Sec. 217. Non-Federal interest preparation of water reallocation studies, North Dakota.
- Sec. 218. Technical correction, Walla Walla River.
- Sec. 219. Watershed and river basin assessments.
- Sec. 220. Independent peer review.
- Sec. 221. Ice jam prevention and mitigation.
- Sec. 222. Report on hurricane and storm damage risk reduction design guidelines.
- Sec. 223. Briefing on status of certain activities on the Missouri River.
- Sec. 224. Report on material contaminated by a hazardous substance and the civil works program.
- Sec. 225. Report on efforts to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive species.
- Sec. 226. J. Strom Thurmond Lake, Georgia.
- Sec. 227. Study on land valuation procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program.
- Sec. 228. Report to Congress on levee safety guidelines.
- Sec. 229. Public-private partnership user's guide.
- Sec. 230. Review of authorities and programs for alternative project delivery.
- Sec. 231. Report to Congress on emergency response expenditures.
- Sec. 232. Excess land report for certain projects in North Dakota.
- Sec. 233. GAO studies.
- Sec. 234. Prior reports.
- Sec. 235. Briefing on status of Cape Cod Canal Bridges, Massachusetts.

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS, MODIFICATIONS, AND RELATED PROVISIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorizations.
- Sec. 302. Environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 303. Pennsylvania environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 304. Acequias irrigation systems.
- Sec. 305. Oregon environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 306. Kentucky and West Virginia environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 307. Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York.
- Sec. 308. Ohio and North Dakota.
- Sec. 309. Southern West Virginia.
- Sec. 310. Northern West Virginia.
- Sec. 311. Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.
- Sec. 312. Western rural water.
- Sec. 313. Continuing authorities programs.
- Sec. 314. Small project assistance.
- Sec. 315. Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois.
- Sec. 316. Mamaroneck-Sheldrake Rivers, New York.
- Sec. 317. Lowell Creek Tunnel, Alaska.
- Sec. 318. Selma flood risk management and bank stabilization.
- Sec. 319. Illinois River basin restoration.
- Sec. 320. Hawaii environmental restoration.
- Sec. 321. Connecticut River Basin invasive species partnerships.
- Sec. 322. Expenses for control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.
- Sec. 323. Corps of Engineers Asian carp prevention pilot program.
- Sec. 324. Extension for certain invasive species programs.

- Sec. 325. Storm damage prevention and reduction; coastal erosion; riverine erosion; and ice and glacial damage; Alaska.
- Sec. 326. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.
- Sec. 327. Ediz Hook Beach Erosion Control Project, Port Angeles, Washington.
- Sec. 328. Sense of Congress relating to certain Louisiana hurricane and coastal storm damage risk reduction projects.
- Sec. 329. Chesapeake Bay Oyster Recovery Program.
- Sec. 330. Bosque wildlife restoration project.
- Sec. 331. Expansion of temporary relocation assistance pilot program.
- Sec. 332. Wilson Lock floating guide wall.
- Sec. 333. Delaware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast Coastal Storm Risk Management Study.
- Sec. 334. Upper Mississippi River Plan.
- Sec. 335. Rehabilitation of pump stations.
- Sec. 336. Navigation along the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway.
- Sec. 337. Garrison Dam, North Dakota.
- Sec. 338. Sense of Congress relating to Missouri River priorities.
- Sec. 339. Soil moisture and snowpack monitoring.
- Sec. 340. Contracts for water supply.
- Sec. 341. Rend Lake, Carlyle Lake, and Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.
- Sec. 342. Delaware Coastal System Program.
- Sec. 343. Maintenance of pile dike system.
- Sec. 344. Conveyances.
- Sec. 345. Emergency drought operations pilot program.
- Sec. 346. Rehabilitation of existing levees.
- Sec. 347. Non-Federal implementation pilot program.
- Sec. 348. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.
- Sec. 349. Sense of Congress relating to Mobile Harbor, Alabama.
- Sec. 350. Sense of Congress relating to Port of Portland, Oregon.
- Sec. 351. Chattahoochee River Program.
- Sec. 352. Additional projects for underserved community harbors.
- Sec. 353. Winooski River tributary watershed.
- Sec. 354. Waco Lake, Texas.
- Sec. 355. Seminole Tribal claim extension.

TITLE IV—PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

- Sec. 401. Project authorizations.

1 **SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.**

2 In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-
3 retary of the Army.

4 **SEC. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE.**

5 This Act and the amendments made by this Act shall
6 take effect on the day that is 1 day after the date of enact-
7 ment of this Act.

1 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

2 **SEC. 101. NOTICE TO CONGRESS REGARDING WRDA IMPLE-**
 3 **MENTATION.**

4 (a) **PLAN OF IMPLEMENTATION.—**

5 (1) **IN GENERAL.—**Not later than 90 days after
 6 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
 7 shall develop a plan for implementing this Act and
 8 the amendments made by this Act.

9 (2) **REQUIREMENTS.—**In developing the plan
 10 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

11 (A) identify each provision of this Act (or
 12 an amendment made by this Act) that will re-
 13 quire—

14 (i) the development and issuance of
 15 guidance, including whether that guidance
 16 will be significant guidance;

17 (ii) the development and issuance of a
 18 rule; or

19 (iii) appropriations;

20 (B) develop timelines for the issuance of—

21 (i) any guidance described in subpara-
 22 graph (A)(i); and

23 (ii) each rule described in subpara-
 24 graph (A)(ii); and

1 (C) establish a process to disseminate in-
 2 formation about this Act and the amendments
 3 made by this Act to each District and Division
 4 Office of the Corps of Engineers.

5 (3) TRANSMITTAL.—On completion of the plan
 6 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall transmit
 7 the plan to—

8 (A) the Committee on Environment and
 9 Public Works of the Senate; and

10 (B) the Committee on Transportation and
 11 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

12 (b) IMPLEMENTATION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
 13 DEVELOPMENT LAWS.—

14 (1) DEFINITION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
 15 DEVELOPMENT LAW.—In this subsection, the term
 16 “prior water resources development law” means each
 17 of the following (including the amendments made by
 18 any of the following):

19 (A) The Water Resources Development Act
 20 of 2000 (Public Law 106–541; 114 Stat.
 21 2572).

22 (B) The Water Resources Development
 23 Act of 2007 (Public Law 110–114; 121 Stat.
 24 1041).

1 (C) The Water Resources Reform and De-
2 velopment Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–121;
3 128 Stat. 1193).

4 (D) The Water Infrastructure Improve-
5 ments for the Nation Act (Public Law 114–
6 322; 130 Stat. 1628).

7 (E) The America’s Water Infrastructure
8 Act of 2018 (Public Law 115–270; 132 Stat.
9 3765).

10 (F) Division AA of the Consolidated Ap-
11 propriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116–260;
12 134 Stat. 2615).

13 (G) Title LXXXI of division H of the
14 James M. Inhofe National Defense Authoriza-
15 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law
16 117–263; 136 Stat. 3691).

17 (2) NOTICE.—

18 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days
19 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
20 retary shall submit to the Committee on Envi-
21 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and
22 the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
23 structure of the House of Representatives a
24 written notice of the status of efforts by the

1 Secretary to implement the prior water re-
 2 sources development laws:

3 (B) CONTENTS.—

4 (i) IN GENERAL.—As part of the no-
 5 tice under subparagraph (A), the Secretary
 6 shall include a list describing each provi-
 7 sion of a prior water resources develop-
 8 ment law that has not been fully imple-
 9 mented as of the date of submission of the
 10 notice.

11 (ii) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—For
 12 each provision included on the list under
 13 clause (i), the Secretary shall—

14 (I) establish a timeline for imple-
 15 menting the provision;

16 (II) provide a description of the
 17 status of the provision in the imple-
 18 mentation process; and

19 (III) provide an explanation for
 20 the delay in implementing the provi-
 21 sion.

22 (3) BRIEFINGS.—

23 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180
 24 days after the date of enactment of this Act,
 25 and every 90 days thereafter until the Chairs of

1 the Committee on Environment and Public
2 Works of the Senate and the Committee on
3 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
4 of Representatives determine that this Act, the
5 amendments made by this Act, and prior water
6 resources development laws are fully imple-
7 mented, the Secretary shall provide to relevant
8 congressional committees a briefing on the im-
9 plementation of this Act, the amendments made
10 by this Act, and prior water resources develop-
11 ment laws.

12 (B) INCLUSIONS.—A briefing under sub-
13 paragraph (A) shall include—

14 (i) updates to the implementation plan
15 under subsection (a); and

16 (ii) updates to the written notice
17 under paragraph (2).

18 (c) ADDITIONAL NOTICE PENDING ISSUANCE.—Not
19 later than 30 days before issuing any guidance, rule, no-
20 tice in the Federal Register, or other documentation re-
21 quired to implement this Act, an amendment made by this
22 Act, or a prior water resources development law (as de-
23 fined in subsection (b)(1)), the Secretary shall submit to
24 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
25 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-

1 structure of the House of Representatives a written notice
2 regarding the pending issuance.

3 (d) WRDA IMPLEMENTATION TEAM.—

4 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

5 (A) PRIOR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOP-
6 MENT LAW.—The term “prior water resources
7 development law” has the meaning given the
8 term in subsection (b)(1).

9 (B) TEAM.—The term “team” means the
10 Water Resources Development Act implementa-
11 tion team established under paragraph (2).

12 (2) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall es-
13 tablish a Water Resources Development Act imple-
14 mentation team that shall consist of current employ-
15 ees of the Federal Government, including—

16 (A) not fewer than 2 employees in the Of-
17 fice of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for
18 Civil Works;

19 (B) not fewer than 2 employees at the
20 headquarters of the Corps of Engineers; and

21 (C) a representative of each district and
22 division of the Corps of Engineers.

23 (3) DUTIES.—The team shall be responsible for
24 assisting with the implementation of this Act, the

1 amendments made by this Act, and prior water re-
2 sources development laws, including—

3 (A) performing ongoing outreach to—

4 (i) Congress; and

5 (ii) employees and servicemembers
6 stationed in districts and divisions of the
7 Corps of Engineers to ensure that all
8 Corps of Engineers employees are aware of
9 and implementing provisions of this Act,
10 the amendments made by this Act, and
11 prior water resources development laws, in
12 a manner consistent with congressional in-
13 tent;

14 (B) identifying any issues with implemen-
15 tation of a provision of this Act, the amend-
16 ments made by this Act, and prior water re-
17 sources development laws at the district, divi-
18 sion, or national level;

19 (C) resolving the issues identified under
20 subparagraph (B), in consultation with Corps
21 of Engineers leadership and the Secretary; and

22 (D) ensuring that any interpretation devel-
23 oped as a result of the process under subpara-
24 graph (C) is consistent with congressional in-
25 tent for this Act, the amendments made by this

1 Act, and prior water resources development
2 laws.

3 **SEC. 102. PRIOR GUIDANCE.**

4 Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment
5 of this Act, the Secretary shall issue the guidance required
6 pursuant to each of the following provisions:

7 (1) Section 1043(b)(9) of the Water Resources
8 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
9 2201 note; Public Law 113–121).

10 (2) Section 8136 of the Water Resources Devel-
11 opment Act of 2022 (10 U.S.C. 2667 note; Public
12 Law 117–263).

13 **SEC. 103. ABILITY TO PAY.**

14 (a) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary shall expedite
15 any guidance or rulemaking necessary to the implementa-
16 tion of section 103(m) of the Water Resources Develop-
17 ment Act 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)) to address ability
18 to pay.

19 (b) ABILITY TO PAY.—Section 103(m) of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m))
21 is amended by adding the end the following:

22 “(5) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

23 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
24 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
25 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the

1 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
 2 ture of the House of Representatives written
 3 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
 4 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
 5 pay under this subsection.

6 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
 7 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
 8 Secretary shall include, for each determination
 9 made by the Secretary—

10 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
 11 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-
 12 quest for a determination under this sub-
 13 section;

14 “(ii) the name and location of the
 15 project; and

16 “(iii) the determination made by the
 17 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
 18 mination, including the adjusted share of
 19 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
 20 interest, if applicable.”.

21 (c) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section
 22 203(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000
 23 (33 U.S.C. 2269(d)) is amended by adding at the end the
 24 following:

25 “(7) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

1 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
2 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
3 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
4 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
5 ture of the House of Representatives written
6 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
7 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
8 pay under this subsection.

9 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
10 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
11 Secretary shall include, for each determination
12 made by the Secretary—

13 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
14 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-
15 quest for a determination under paragraph
16 (1)(B)(ii);

17 “(ii) the name and location of the
18 project; and

19 “(iii) the determination made by the
20 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
21 mination, including the adjusted share of
22 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
23 interest, if applicable.”.

1 **SEC. 104. FEDERAL INTEREST DETERMINATIONS.**

2 Section 905(b) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1986 (~~33 U.S.C. 2282(b)~~) is amended—

4 (1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the
5 following:

6 “(1) IN GENERAL.—

7 “(A) IDENTIFICATION.—As part of the
8 submission of a work plan to Congress pursu-
9 ant to the joint explanatory statement for an
10 annual appropriations Act or as part of the
11 submission of a spend plan to Congress for a
12 supplemental appropriations Act under which
13 the Corps of Engineers receives funding, the
14 Secretary shall identify the studies in the
15 plan—

16 “(i) for which the Secretary plans to
17 prepare a feasibility report under sub-
18 section (a) that will benefit—

19 “(I) an economically disadvan-
20 taged community (as defined pursuant
21 to section 160 of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 2020 (~~33 U.S.C.~~
23 ~~2201~~ note; Public Law 116–260)); or

24 “(II) a community other than a
25 community described in subclause (I);
26 and

1 “(ii) that are designated as a new
2 start under the work plan.

3 “(B) DETERMINATION.—

4 “(i) IN GENERAL.—After identifying
5 the studies under subparagraph (A) and
6 subject to subparagraph (C), the Secretary
7 shall, with the consent of the applicable
8 non-Federal interest for the study, first de-
9 termine the Federal interest in carrying
10 out the study and the projects that may be
11 proposed in the study.

12 “(ii) FEASIBILITY COST SHARE
13 AGREEMENT.—The Secretary may make a
14 determination under clause (i) prior to the
15 execution of a feasibility cost share agree-
16 ment between the Secretary and the non-
17 Federal interest.

18 “(C) LIMITATION.—For each fiscal year,
19 the Secretary may not make a determination
20 under subparagraph (B) for more than 20 stud-
21 ies identified under subparagraph (A)(i)(II).

22 “(D) APPLICATION.—

23 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause
24 (ii) and with the consent of the non-Fed-
25 eral interest, the Secretary may use the

1 authority provided under this subsection
 2 for a study in a work plan submitted to
 3 Congress prior to the date of enactment of
 4 the Water Resources Development Act of
 5 2024 if the study otherwise meets the re-
 6 quirements described in subparagraph (A).

7 “(ii) LIMITATION.—Subparagraph (C)
 8 shall apply to the use of authority under
 9 clause (i).”;

10 (2) in paragraph (2)—

11 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking
 12 “and” at the end;

13 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
 14 period and inserting “; and”; and

15 (C) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(C) shall be paid from the funding pro-
 17 vided for the study in the applicable work plan
 18 described in that paragraph.”; and

19 (3) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(6) POST-DETERMINATION WORK.—A study
 21 under this section shall continue after a determina-
 22 tion under paragraph (1)(B)(i) without a new invest-
 23 ment decision.”.

1 **SEC. 105. ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.**

2 Section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and De-
3 velopment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) is amended—

4 (1) by redesignating subsection (g) as sub-
5 section (i); and

6 (2) by inserting after subsection (f) the fol-
7 lowing:

8 ~~“(g) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST NOTIFICATION.—~~

9 ~~“(1) IN GENERAL.—After the publication of the~~
10 ~~annual report under subsection (f), if the proposal of~~
11 ~~a non-Federal interest submitted under subsection~~
12 ~~(b) was included by the Secretary in the appendix~~
13 ~~under subsection (e)(4), the Secretary shall provide~~
14 ~~written notification to the non-Federal interest of~~
15 ~~such inclusion.~~

16 ~~“(2) DEBRIEF.—~~

17 ~~“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30~~
18 ~~days after the date on which a non-Federal in-~~
19 ~~terest receives the written notification under~~
20 ~~paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest shall~~
21 ~~notify the Secretary that the non-Federal inter-~~
22 ~~est is requesting a debrief under this para-~~
23 ~~graph.~~

24 ~~“(B) RESPONSE.—If a non-Federal inter-~~
25 ~~est requests a debrief under this paragraph, the~~
26 ~~Secretary shall provide the debrief to the non-~~

1 Federal interest by not later than 60 days after
2 the date on which the Secretary receives the re-
3 quest for the debrief.

4 “(C) INCLUSIONS.—The debrief provided
5 by the Secretary under this paragraph shall in-
6 clude—

7 “(i) an explanation of the reasons that
8 the proposal was included in the appendix
9 under subsection (e)(4); and

10 “(ii) a description of—

11 “(I) any revisions to the proposal
12 that may allow the proposal to be in-
13 cluded in a subsequent annual report,
14 to the maximum extent practicable;

15 “(II) other existing authorities of
16 the Secretary that may be used to ad-
17 dress the need that prompted the pro-
18 posal, if applicable; and

19 “(III) any other information that
20 the Secretary determines to be appro-
21 priate.

22 “(h) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later
23 than 30 days after the publication of the annual report
24 under subsection (f), for each proposal included in that
25 annual report or appendix, the Secretary shall notify each

1 Member of Congress that represents the State in which
 2 that proposal will be located that the proposal was in-
 3 cluded the annual report or the appendix.”.

4 **SEC. 106. PROCESSING TIMELINES.**

5 Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal
 6 year, the Secretary shall ensure that the public website
 7 for the “permit finder” of the Corps of Engineers accu-
 8 rately reflects the current status of projects for which a
 9 permit was, or is being, processed using amounts accepted
 10 under section 214 of the Water Resources Development
 11 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2352).

12 **SEC. 107. SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS.**

13 The seventeenth paragraph under the heading “GEN-
 14 ERAL PROVISIONS” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGI-
 15 NEERS—CIVIL” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF
 16 THE ARMY” in chapter IV of title I of the Supplemental
 17 Appropriations Act, 1983 (33 U.S.C. 569e), is amended—

18 (1) in the first sentence, by striking “The
 19 United States Army Chief of Engineers” and insert-
 20 ing the following:

21 “SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS

22 “SEC. 141. (a) IN GENERAL.—The Chief of Engi-
 23 neers”.

24 (2) in subsection (a) (as so designated), in the
 25 second sentence, by striking “Such volunteers” and
 26 inserting the following:

1 “(b) TREATMENT.—Volunteers under subsection
2 (a)”; and

3 (3) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(c) RECOGNITION.—

5 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)
6 and (3), the Chief of Engineers may recognize
7 through an award or other appropriate means the
8 service of volunteers under subsection (a).

9 “(2) PROCESS.—The Chief of Engineers shall
10 establish a process to carry out paragraph (1).

11 “(3) LIMITATION.—The Chief of Engineers
12 shall ensure that the recognition provided to a volun-
13 teer under paragraph (1) shall not be in the form
14 of a cash award.”.

15 **SEC. 108. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS MISSIONS.**

16 Section 8159 of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3740) is amended—

18 (1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the
19 end; and

20 (2) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the
21 following:

22 “(4) West Virginia University to conduct aca-
23 demic research on flood resilience planning and risk
24 management, water resource-related emergency
25 management, aquatic ecosystem restoration, water

1 quality, siting and risk management for open- and
2 closed-loop pumped hydropower energy storage, hy-
3 dropower, and water resource-related recreation and
4 management of resources for recreation in the State
5 of West Virginia;

6 “(5) Delaware State University to conduct aca-
7 demic research on water resource ecology, water
8 quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration, coastal res-
9 toration, and water resource-related emergency man-
10 agement in the State of Delaware, the Delaware
11 River Basin, and the Chesapeake Bay watershed;
12 and

13 “(6) the University of Notre Dame to conduct
14 academic research on hazard mitigation policies and
15 practices in coastal communities, including through
16 the incorporation of data analysis and the use of
17 risk-based analytical frameworks for reviewing flood
18 mitigation and hardening plans and for evaluating
19 the design of new infrastructure.”.

20 **SEC. 109. INLAND WATERWAY PROJECTS.**

21 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 102(a) of the Water Re-
22 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) is
23 amended—

1 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
2 striking “65 percent of the costs” and inserting “75
3 percent of the costs”; and

4 (2) in the undesignated matter following para-
5 graph (3), in the second sentence, by striking “35
6 percent of such costs” and inserting “25 percent of
7 such costs”.

8 (b) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by sub-
9 section (a) shall apply beginning on October 1, 2024, to
10 any construction of a project for navigation on the inland
11 waterways that is new or ongoing on or after that date.

12 (c) EXCEPTION.—In the case of an inland waterways
13 project that receives funds under the heading “CONSTRUC-
14 TION” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGINEERS—
15 CIVIL” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF THE
16 ARMY” in title III of division J of the Infrastructure In-
17 vestment and Jobs Act (135 Stat. 1359) that will not com-
18 plete construction, replacement, rehabilitation, and expan-
19 sion with such funds—

20 (1) section 102(a) of the Water Resources De-
21 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) shall
22 not apply; and

23 (2) any remaining costs shall be paid only from
24 amounts appropriated from the general fund of the
25 Treasury.

1 **SEC. 110. LEVERAGING FEDERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FOR**
2 **INCREASED WATER SUPPLY.**

3 Section 1118(i) of Water Resources Development Act
4 of 2016 (~~43~~ U.S.C. ~~390b-2(i)~~) is amended by striking
5 paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

6 “(2) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS FOR OTHER FED-
7 ERAL RESERVOIR PROJECTS.—

8 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is au-
9 thorized to receive and expend funds from a
10 non-Federal interest or a Federal agency that
11 owns a Federal reservoir project described in
12 subparagraph (B) to formulate, review, or re-
13 vise operational documents pursuant to a pro-
14 posal submitted in accordance with subsection
15 (a).

16 “(B) FEDERAL RESERVOIR PROJECTS DE-
17 SCRIBED.—A Federal reservoir project referred
18 to in subparagraph (A) is a reservoir for which
19 the Secretary is authorized to prescribe regula-
20 tions for the use of storage allocated for flood
21 control or navigation pursuant to section 7 of
22 the Act of December 22, 1944 (commonly
23 known as the ‘Flood Control Act of 1944’) (58
24 Stat. 890, chapter 665; ~~33~~ U.S.C. 709).”.

1 **SEC. 111. OUTREACH AND ACCESS.**

2 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 8117(b) of the Water Re-
 3 sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b(b))
 4 is amended—

5 (1) in paragraph (1)—

6 (A) in subparagraph (A)(iii), by striking
 7 “and” at the end;

8 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
 9 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

10 (C) by adding at the end the following:

11 “(C) ensuring that a potential non-Federal
 12 interest is aware of the roles, responsibilities,
 13 and financial commitments associated with a
 14 completed water resources development project
 15 prior to initiating a feasibility study (as defined
 16 in section 105(d) of the Water Resources Devel-
 17 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d)), in-
 18 cluding operations, maintenance, repair, re-
 19 placement, and rehabilitation responsibilities.”;

20 (2) in paragraph (2)—

21 (A) in subparagraph (D), by striking
 22 “and” at the end;

23 (B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the
 24 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

25 (C) by adding at the end the following:

26 “(F) to the maximum extent practicable—

1 “(i) develop and continue to make
2 publicly available, through a publicly avail-
3 able existing website, information on the
4 projects and studies within the jurisdiction
5 of each district of the Corps of Engineers;
6 and

7 “(ii) ensure that the information de-
8 scribed in clause (i) is consistent and made
9 publicly available in the same manner
10 across all districts of the Corps of Engi-
11 neers.”;

12 (3) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as
13 paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

14 (4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
15 lowing:

16 “(3) GUIDANCE.—The Secretary shall develop
17 and issue guidance to ensure that the points of con-
18 tacts established under paragraph (2)(B) are ade-
19 quately fulfilling their obligations under that para-
20 graph.”.

21 (b) BRIEFING.—Not later than 60 days after the date
22 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to
23 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
24 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
25 structure of the House of Representatives a briefing on

1 the status of the implementation of section 8117 of the
 2 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.
 3 2281b), including the amendments made to that section
 4 by subsection (a), including—

5 (1) a plan for implementing any requirements
 6 under that section; and

7 (2) any potential barriers to implementing that
 8 section.

9 **SEC. 112. MODEL DEVELOPMENT.**

10 Section 8230 of the Water Resources Development
 11 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3765) is amended by adding at
 12 the end the following:

13 “(d) MODEL DEVELOPMENT.—

14 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may partner
 15 with other Federal agencies, National Laboratories,
 16 and institutions of higher education to develop, up-
 17 date, and maintain hydrologic and climate-related
 18 models for use in water resources planning, includ-
 19 ing models to assess compound flooding that arises
 20 when 2 or more flood drivers occur simultaneously
 21 or in close succession, or are impacting the same re-
 22 gion over time.

23 “(2) USE.—The Secretary may use models de-
 24 veloped by the entities described in paragraph (1).”.

1 **SEC. 113. PLANNING ASSISTANCE FOR STATES.**

2 Section ~~22(a)(2)(B)~~ of the Water Resources Develop-
 3 ment Act of 1974 (~~42 U.S.C. 1962d-16(a)(2)(B)~~) is
 4 amended by inserting “and title research for abandoned
 5 structures” before the period at the end.

6 **SEC. 114. CORPS OF ENGINEERS LEVEE OWNERS ADVISORY**
 7 **BOARD.**

8 (a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

9 (1) **FEDERAL LEVEE SYSTEM OWNER-OPER-**
 10 **ATOR.**—The term “Federal levee system owner-oper-
 11 ator” means a non-Federal interest that owns and
 12 operates and maintains a levee system that was con-
 13 structed by the Corps of Engineers.

14 (2) **OWNERS BOARD.**—The term “Owners
 15 Board” means the Levee Owners Advisory Board es-
 16 tablished under subsection (b).

17 (b) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 90 days after
 18 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall es-
 19 tablish a Levee Owners Advisory Board.

20 (c) **MEMBERSHIP.**—

21 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Owners Board—

22 (A) shall be composed of—

23 (i) ~~11~~ members, to be appointed by
 24 the Secretary, who shall—

25 (I) represent various regions of
 26 the country, including not less than 1

1 Federal levee system owner-operator
2 from each of the civil works divisions
3 of the Corps of Engineers; and

4 (H) have the requisite experien-
5 tial or technical knowledge to carry
6 out the duties of the Owners Board
7 described in subsection (d); and

8 (ii) a representative of the Corps of
9 Engineers, to be designated by the Sec-
10 retary, who shall serve as a nonvoting
11 member; and

12 (B) may include a representative des-
13 igned by the head of the Federal agency de-
14 scribed in section 9002(1) of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
16 3301(1)), who shall serve as a nonvoting mem-
17 ber.

18 ~~(2) TERMS OF MEMBERS.—~~

19 (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-
20 graphs (B) and (C), a member of the Owners
21 Board shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

22 (B) REAPPOINTMENT.—A member of the
23 Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-
24 ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be
25 appropriate.

1 (C) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Own-
2 ers Board shall be filled in the same manner as
3 the original appointment was made.

4 (3) CHAIRPERSON.—The members of the Own-
5 ers Board shall appoint a chairperson from among
6 the members of the Owners Board.

7 (d) DUTIES.—

8 (1) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Owners Board
9 shall provide advice and recommendations to the
10 Secretary and the Chief of Engineers on—

11 (A) the activities and actions, consistent
12 with applicable statutory authorities, that
13 should be undertaken by the Corps of Engi-
14 neers and Federal levee system owner-operators
15 to improve flood risk management throughout
16 the United States; and

17 (B) how to improve cooperation and com-
18 munication between the Corps of Engineers and
19 Federal levee system owner-operators.

20 (2) MEETINGS.—The Owners Board shall meet
21 not less frequently than semiannually.

22 (3) REPORT.—The Secretary, on behalf of the
23 Owners Board, shall—

24 (A) submit to the Committee on Environ-
25 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the

1 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
2 ture of the House of Representatives a report
3 that includes the recommendations provided
4 under paragraph (1); and

5 (B) make those recommendations publicly
6 available, including on a publicly available exist-
7 ing website.

8 (e) INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.—Any advice or rec-
9 ommendation made by the Owners Board pursuant to sub-
10 section (d)(1) shall reflect the independent judgment of
11 the Owners Board.

12 (f) ADMINISTRATION.—

13 (1) COMPENSATION.—Except as provided in
14 paragraph (2), the members of the Owners Board
15 shall serve without compensation.

16 (2) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—The members of the
17 Owners Board shall receive travel expenses, includ-
18 ing per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance
19 with applicable provisions under subchapter I of
20 chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code.

21 (3) TREATMENT.—The members of the Owners
22 Board shall not be considered to be Federal employ-
23 ees, and the meetings and reports of the Owners
24 Board shall not be considered a major Federal ac-

1 tion under the National Environmental Policy Act of
2 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

3 (g) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—The Owners Board shall not
4 supplant the Committee on Levee Safety established by
5 section 9003 of the Water Resources Development Act of
6 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3302).

7 **SEC. 115. SILVER JACKETS PROGRAM.**

8 The Secretary shall continue the Silver Jackets pro-
9 gram established by the Secretary pursuant to section 206
10 of the Flood Control Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a) and
11 section 204 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and
12 Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5134).

13 **SEC. 116. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

14 Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act
15 of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (b)(2)—

17 (A) in subparagraph (C)(ii), by striking
18 “and” at the end;

19 (B) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as
20 subparagraph (E); and

21 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (C)
22 the following:

23 “(D) projects that improve emergency re-
24 sponse capabilities and provide increased access
25 to infrastructure that may be utilized in the

1 event of a severe weather event or other natural
2 disaster; and”;

3 ~~(2)~~ by striking subsection (e) and inserting the
4 following:

5 “(e) PILOT PROGRAM.—

6 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
7 out a pilot program under which the Secretary shall
8 carry out not more than 5 projects described in
9 paragraph ~~(2)~~.

10 “~~(2)~~ PROJECTS DESCRIBED.—Notwithstanding
11 subsection (b)(1)(B), a project referred to in para-
12 graph ~~(1)~~ is a project—

13 “(A) that is otherwise eligible and meets
14 the requirements under this section; and

15 “(B) that is located—

16 “(i) along the Mid-Columbia River,
17 Washington, Taneum Creek, Washington,
18 or Similk Bay, Washington; or

19 “(ii) at Big Bend, Lake Oahe, Fort
20 Randall, or Gavins Point Reservoirs, South
21 Dakota.

22 “~~(3)~~ REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary shall
23 carry out a project described in paragraph ~~(2)~~ in ac-
24 cordance with this section.

1 “(4) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this sub-
2 section authorizes—

3 “(A) a project for the removal of a dam
4 that otherwise is a project described in para-
5 graph (2);

6 “(B) the study of the removal of a dam; or

7 “(C) the study of any Federal dam, includ-
8 ing the study of power, flood control, or naviga-
9 tion replacement, or the implementation of any
10 functional alteration to that dam, that is lo-
11 cated along a body of water described in clause
12 (i) or (ii) of paragraph (2)(B).”.

13 **SEC. 117. TRIBAL PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
14 **GRAM.**

15 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

16 (1) ELIGIBLE PROJECT.—The term “eligible
17 project” means a project or activity eligible to be
18 carried out under the Tribal partnership program
19 under section 203 of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

21 (2) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term “Indian Tribe”
22 has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the
23 Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance
24 Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

1 (b) AUTHORIZATION.—Not later than 180 days after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall es-
3 tablish and implement a pilot program under which Indian
4 Tribes may directly carry out eligible projects.

5 (c) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the pilot program
6 under this section are—

7 (1) to authorize Tribal contracting to advance
8 Tribal self-determination and provide economic op-
9 portunities for Indian Tribes; and

10 (2) to evaluate the technical, financial, and or-
11 ganizational efficiencies of Indian Tribes carrying
12 out the design, execution, management, and con-
13 struction of 1 or more eligible projects.

14 (d) ADMINISTRATION.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot
16 program under this section, the Secretary shall—

17 (A) identify a total of not more than 5 eli-
18 gible projects that have been authorized for
19 construction;

20 (B) notify the Committee on Environment
21 and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
22 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
23 the House of Representatives on the identifica-
24 tion of each eligible project under the pilot pro-
25 gram under this section;

1 (C) in collaboration with the Indian Tribe,
2 develop a detailed project management plan for
3 each identified eligible project that outlines the
4 scope, budget, design, and construction resource
5 requirements necessary for the Indian Tribe to
6 execute the project or a separable element of
7 the eligible project;

8 (D) on the request of the Indian Tribe and
9 in accordance with subsection (f)(2), enter into
10 a project partnership agreement with the In-
11 dian Tribe for the Indian Tribe to provide full
12 project management control for construction of
13 the eligible project, or a separable element of
14 the eligible project, in accordance with plans
15 approved by the Secretary;

16 (E) following execution of the project part-
17 nership agreement, transfer to the Indian Tribe
18 to carry out construction of the eligible project,
19 or a separable element of the eligible project—

20 (i) if applicable, the balance of the un-
21 obligated amounts appropriated for the eli-
22 gible project, except that the Secretary
23 shall retain sufficient amounts for the
24 Corps of Engineers to carry out any re-
25 sponsibilities of the Corps of Engineers re-

1 lating to the eligible project and the pilot
2 program under this section; and

3 (ii) additional amounts, as determined
4 by the Secretary, from amounts made
5 available to carry out this section, except
6 that the total amount transferred to the
7 Indian Tribe shall not exceed the updated
8 estimate of the Federal share of the cost of
9 construction, including any required de-
10 sign; and

11 (F) regularly monitor and audit each eligi-
12 ble project being constructed by an Indian
13 Tribe under this section to ensure that the con-
14 struction activities are carried out in compli-
15 ance with the plans approved by the Secretary
16 and that the construction costs are reasonable.

17 (2) DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE.—Not later
18 than 180 days after entering into an agreement
19 under paragraph (1)(D), each Indian Tribe, to the
20 maximum extent practicable, shall submit to the
21 Secretary a detailed project schedule, based on esti-
22 mated funding levels, that lists all deadlines for each
23 milestone in the construction of the eligible project.

24 (3) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—On the request
25 of an Indian Tribe, the Secretary may provide tech-

1 nical assistance to the Indian Tribe, if the Indian
2 Tribe contracts with and compensates the Secretary
3 for the technical assistance relating to—

4 (A) any study, engineering activity, and
5 design activity for construction carried out by
6 the Indian Tribe under this section; and

7 (B) expeditiously obtaining any permits
8 necessary for the eligible project.

9 (c) COST SHARE.—Nothing in this section affects the
10 cost-sharing requirement applicable on the day before the
11 date of enactment of this Act to an eligible project carried
12 out under this section.

13 (f) IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days
15 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
16 retary shall issue guidance for the implementation of
17 the pilot program under this section that, to the ex-
18 tent practicable, identifies—

19 (A) the metrics for measuring the success
20 of the pilot program;

21 (B) a process for identifying future eligible
22 projects to participate in the pilot program;

23 (C) measures to address the risks of an In-
24 dian Tribe constructing eligible projects under
25 the pilot program, including which entity bears

1 the risk for eligible projects that fail to meet
2 Corps of Engineers standards for design or
3 quality;

4 (D) the laws and regulations that an In-
5 dian Tribe must follow in carrying out an eligi-
6 ble project under the pilot program; and

7 (E) which entity bears the risk in the event
8 that an eligible project carried out under the
9 pilot program fails to be carried out in accord-
10 ance with the project authorization or this sec-
11 tion.

12 (2) NEW PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
13 MENTS.—The Secretary may not enter into a project
14 partnership agreement under this section until the
15 date on which the Secretary issues the guidance
16 under paragraph (1).

17 (g) REPORT.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after
19 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
20 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
21 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
22 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
23 Representatives and make publicly available a report
24 detailing the results of the pilot program under this
25 section, including—

1 (A) a description of the progress of Indian
2 Tribes in meeting milestones in detailed project
3 schedules developed pursuant to subsection
4 (d)(2); and

5 (B) any recommendations of the Secretary
6 concerning whether the pilot program or any
7 component of the pilot program should be im-
8 plemented on a national basis.

9 (2) UPDATE.—Not later than 5 years after the
10 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
11 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
12 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
13 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
14 Representatives an update to the report under para-
15 graph (1).

16 (3) FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—If the Sec-
17 retary fails to submit a report by the required dead-
18 line under this subsection, the Secretary shall sub-
19 mit to the Committee on Environment and Public
20 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-
21 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
22 resentatives a detailed explanation of why the dead-
23 line was missed and a projected date for submission
24 of the report.

1 (h) ADMINISTRATION.—All laws and regulations that
 2 would apply to the Secretary if the Secretary were ear-
 3 rying out the eligible project shall apply to an Indian Tribe
 4 carrying out an eligible project under this section.

5 (i) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to
 6 commence an eligible project under this section terminates
 7 on December 31, 2029.

8 (j) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—In addi-
 9 tion to any amounts appropriated for a specific eligible
 10 project, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-
 11 retary to carry out this section, including the costs of ad-
 12 ministration of the Secretary, \$15,000,000 for each of fis-
 13 cal years 2024 through 2029.

14 **SEC. 118. ELIGIBILITY FOR INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUMS.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 221(b)(1) of the Flood
 16 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b(b)(1)) is
 17 amended by inserting “and an inter-tribal consortium (as
 18 defined in section 403 of the Indian Child Protection and
 19 Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C. 3202))” after
 20 “5304))”.

21 (b) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section 203
 22 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33
 23 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

24 (1) in subsection (a)—

1 (A) by striking the subsection designation
 2 and heading and all that follows through “the
 3 term” and inserting the following:

4 “(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

5 “(1) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term”; and

6 (B) by adding at the end the following:

7 “(2) INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUM.—The term
 8 ‘inter-tribal consortium’ has the meaning given the
 9 term in section 403 of the Indian Child Protection
 10 and Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C.
 11 3202).

12 “(3) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘Tribal
 13 organization’ has the meaning given the term in sec-
 14 tion 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Edu-
 15 cation Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).”;

16 (2) in subsection (b)—

17 (A) in paragraph (1)—

18 (i) in the matter preceding subpara-
 19 graph (A), by inserting “, inter-tribal con-
 20 sortiums, Tribal organizations,” after “In-
 21 dian tribes”; and

22 (ii) in subparagraph (A), by inserting
 23 “, inter-tribal consortiums, or Tribal orga-
 24 nizations” after “Indian tribes”;

25 (B) in paragraph (2)—

1 (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking
2 “flood hurricane” and inserting “flood or
3 hurricane”;

4 (ii) in subparagraph (C), in the mat-
5 ter preceding clause (i), by inserting “, an
6 inter-tribal consortium, or a Tribal organi-
7 zation” after “Indian tribe”; and

8 (iii) in subparagraph (E) (as redesign-
9 nated by section 116(1)(B)), by inserting
10 “, inter-tribal consortiums, Tribal organi-
11 zations,” after “Indian tribes”; and

12 (C) in paragraph (3)(A), by inserting “,
13 inter-tribal consortium, or Tribal organization”
14 after “Indian tribe” each place it appears.

15 **SEC. 119. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO THE MANAGE-**
16 **MENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES.**

17 It is the sense of Congress that—

18 (1) the Corps of Engineers should have greater
19 access to the revenue collected from the use of Corps
20 of Engineers-managed facilities with recreational
21 purposes;

22 (2) revenue collected from Corps of Engineers-
23 managed facilities with recreational purposes should
24 be available to the Corps of Engineers for necessary

1 operation, maintenance, and improvement activities
 2 at the facility from which the revenue was derived;
 3 ~~(3)~~ the districts of the Corps of Engineers
 4 should be provided with more authority to partner
 5 with non-Federal public entities and private non-
 6 profit entities for the improvement and management
 7 of Corps of Engineers-managed facilities with rec-
 8 reational purposes; and
 9 ~~(4)~~ legislation to address the issues described in
 10 paragraphs ~~(1)~~ through ~~(3)~~ should be considered by
 11 Congress.

12 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND** 13 **REPORTS**

14 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY** 15 **STUDIES.**

16 ~~(a) NEW PROJECTS.~~—The Secretary is authorized to
 17 conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for
 18 water resources development and conservation and other
 19 purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to
 20 Congress on Future Water Resources Development” sub-
 21 mitted to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water
 22 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 ~~(33~~
 23 ~~U.S.C. 2282d)~~ or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

24 ~~(1) YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.~~—Project for
 25 flood risk management, Yavapai County, Arizona.

1 (2) EASTMAN LAKE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
2 ecosystem restoration and water supply, including
3 for conservation and recharge, Eastman Lake,
4 Merced and Madera Counties, California.

5 (3) PINE FLAT DAM, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
6 ecosystem restoration, water supply, and recreation,
7 Pine Flat Dam, Fresno County, California.

8 (4) SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood
9 risk management, including sea level rise, San
10 Diego, California.

11 (5) SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
12 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
13 including levee improvement, Sacramento River,
14 Sacramento, California.

15 (6) SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
16 flood risk management, City of San Mateo, Cali-
17 fornia.

18 (7) SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
19 Project for flood risk management, ecosystem res-
20 toration, and water supply, Lower Cosumnes River,
21 Sacramento County, California.

22 (8) COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO.—Project
23 for ecosystem restoration and flood risk manage-
24 ment, Fountain Creek, Monument Creek, and T-
25 Gap Levee, Colorado Springs, Colorado.

1 (9) PLYMOUTH, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
2 ecosystem restoration, Plymouth, Connecticut.

3 (10) WINDHAM, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
4 ecosystem restoration and recreation, Windham,
5 Connecticut.

6 (11) ENFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
7 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
8 including restoring freshwater brook floodplain, En-
9 field, Connecticut.

10 (12) NEWINGTON, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
11 flood risk management, Newington, Connecticut.

12 (13) HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
13 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Hart-
14 ford, Connecticut.

15 (14) FAIRFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
16 flood risk management, Rooster River, Fairfield,
17 Connecticut.

18 (15) MILTON, DELAWARE.—Project for flood
19 risk management, Milton, Delaware.

20 (16) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—Project for
21 coastal storm risk management, City of Wilmington,
22 Delaware.

23 (17) TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.—Project for
24 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-

1 agement, including the potential for beneficial use of
2 dredged material, Tybee Island, Georgia.

3 (18) HANAPEPE LEVEE, HAWAII.—Project for
4 ecosystem restoration, flood risk management, and
5 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, includ-
6 ing Hanapepe Levee, Kauai County, Hawaii.

7 (19) KAUAI COUNTY, HAWAII.—Project for
8 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-
9 agement, Kauai County, Hawaii.

10 (20) HAWAII KAI, HAWAII.—Project for flood
11 risk management, Hawaii Kai, Hawaii.

12 (21) MAUI, HAWAII.—Project for flood risk
13 management and ecosystem restoration, Maui Coun-
14 ty, Hawaii.

15 (22) BUTTERFIELD CREEK, ILLINOIS.—Project
16 for flood risk management, Butterfield Creek, Illi-
17 nois, including the villages of Flossmoor, Matteson,
18 Park Forest, and Richton Park.

19 (23) ROCKY RIPPLE, INDIANA.—Project for
20 flood risk management, Rocky Ripple, Indiana.

21 (24) COFFEYVILLE, KANSAS.—Project for flood
22 risk management, Coffeyville, Kansas.

23 (25) FULTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
24 flood risk management, including bank stabilization,
25 Fulton County, Kentucky.

1 (26) CUMBERLAND RIVER, CRITTENDEN COUN-
2 TY, LYON COUNTY, AND LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KEN-
3 TUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, includ-
4 ing bank stabilization, Cumberland River, Crittenden
5 County, Lyon County, and Livingston County, Ken-
6 tucky.

7 (27) SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
8 ecosystem restoration, including water supply, Scott
9 County, Kentucky.

10 (28) BULLSKIN CREEK AND SHELBY COUNTY,
11 KENTUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, in-
12 cluding bank stabilization, Bullskin Creek and
13 Shelby County, Kentucky.

14 (29) LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN BARRIER, LOU-
15 ISIANA.—Project for hurricane and storm damage
16 risk reduction, Orleans Parish, St. Tammany Par-
17 ish, and St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana.

18 (30) OCEAN CITY, MARYLAND.—Project for
19 flood risk management, Ocean City, Maryland.

20 (31) BEAVERDAM CREEK, MARYLAND.—Project
21 for flood risk management, Beaverdam Creek,
22 Prince George's County, Maryland.

23 (32) OAK BLUFFS, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project
24 for flood risk management, coastal storm risk man-
25 agement, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, in-

1 eluding shoreline stabilization along East Chop
2 Drive, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.

3 (33) TISBURY, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for
4 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
5 stabilization along Beach Road Causeway, Tisbury,
6 Massachusetts.

7 (34) OAK BLUFFS HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—
8 Project for coastal storm risk management and navi-
9 gation, Oak Bluffs Harbor north and south jetties,
10 Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.

11 (35) CONNECTICUT RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—
12 Project for flood risk management along the Con-
13 necticut River, Massachusetts.

14 (36) MARYSVILLE, MICHIGAN.—Project for
15 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
16 stabilization, City of Marysville, Michigan.

17 (37) CHEBOYGAN, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood
18 risk management, Little Black River, City of Che-
19 boygan, Michigan.

20 (38) KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood
21 risk management and ecosystem restoration, Kala-
22 mazoo River Watershed and tributaries, City of
23 Kalamazoo, Michigan.

1 (39) DEARBORN AND DEARBORN HEIGHTS,
2 MICHIGAN.—Project for flood risk management,
3 Dearborn and Dearborn Heights, Michigan.

4 (40) GRAND TRAVERSE BAY, MICHIGAN.—
5 Project for navigation, Grand Traverse Bay, Michi-
6 gan.

7 (41) GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—
8 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
9 restoration, Grand Traverse County, Michigan.

10 (42) BRIGHTON MILL POND, MICHIGAN.—
11 Project for ecosystem restoration, Brighton Mill
12 Pond, Michigan.

13 (43) LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN.—Project for
14 coastal storm risk management, including feasibility
15 of emergency shoreline protection, Ludington, Michi-
16 gan.

17 (44) PAHRUMP, NEVADA.—Project for hurri-
18 cane and storm damage risk reduction and flood risk
19 management, Pahrump, Nevada.

20 (45) ALLEGHENY RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project
21 for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Allegheny
22 River, New York.

23 (46) TURTLE COVE, NEW YORK.—Project for
24 ecosystem restoration, Turtle Cove, Pelham Bay
25 Park, Bronx, New York.

1 (47) NILES, OHIO.—Project for flood risk man-
2 agement, ecosystem restoration, and recreation, City
3 of Niles, Ohio.

4 (48) GENEVA-ON-THE-LAKE, OHIO.—Project for
5 flood and coastal storm risk management, ecosystem
6 restoration, recreation, and shoreline erosion protec-
7 tion, Geneva-on-the-Lake, Ohio.

8 (49) LITTLE KILLBUCK CREEK, OHIO.—Project
9 for ecosystem restoration, including aquatic invasive
10 species management, Little Killbuck Creek, Ohio.

11 (50) DEFIANCE, OHIO.—Project for flood risk
12 management, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and
13 bank stabilization, Maumee, Auglaize, and Tiffin
14 Rivers, Defiance, Ohio.

15 (51) DILLON LAKE, MUSKINGUM COUNTY,
16 OHIO.—Project for ecosystem restoration, recreation,
17 and shoreline erosion protection, Dillon Lake,
18 Muskingum and Licking Counties, Ohio.

19 (52) JERUSALEM TOWNSHIP, OHIO.—Project
20 for flood and coastal storm risk management and
21 shoreline erosion protection, Jerusalem Township,
22 Ohio.

23 (53) NINE MILE CREEK, CLEVELAND, OHIO.—
24 Project for flood risk management, Nine Mile Creek,
25 Cleveland, Ohio.

1 (54) COLD CREEK, OHIO.—Project for eco-
2 system restoration, Cold Creek, Erie County, Ohio.

3 (55) ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—
4 Project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Al-
5 legheny River, Pennsylvania.

6 (56) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project
7 for ecosystem restoration and recreation, including
8 shoreline stabilization, South Philadelphia Wetlands
9 Park, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

10 (57) GALVESTON BAY, TEXAS.—Project for
11 navigation, Galveston Bay, Texas.

12 (58) WINOOSKI, VERMONT.—Project for flood
13 risk management, Winooski River and tributaries,
14 Winooski, Vermont.

15 (59) MT. ST. HELENS, WASHINGTON.—Project
16 for navigation, Mt. St. Helens, Washington.

17 (60) GRAYS BAY, WASHINGTON.—Project for
18 navigation, flood risk management, and ecosystem
19 restoration, Grays Bay, Wahkiakum County, Wash-
20 ington.

21 (61) WIND, KLICKITAT, HOOD, DESCHUTES,
22 ROCK CREEK, AND JOHN DAY TRIBUTARIES, WASH-
23 INGTON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Wind,
24 Klickitat, Hood, Deschutes, Rock Creek, and John
25 Day tributaries, Washington.

1 (62) LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN.—Project for flood
2 risk management, City of La Crosse, Wisconsin.

3 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-
4 thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following
5 project modifications:

6 (1) LUXAPALILA CREEK, ALABAMA.—Modifica-
7 tions to the project for flood risk management,
8 Luxapalila Creek, Alabama, authorized by section
9 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1958 (72 Stat.
10 307).

11 (2) OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.—Modifica-
12 tions to the project for navigation, Osceola Harbor,
13 Arkansas, authorized under section 107 of the River
14 and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), to evalu-
15 ate the expansion of the harbor.

16 (3) SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.—Modifications to the
17 project for navigation, Savannah Harbor Expansion
18 Project, Georgia, authorized by section 7002(1) of
19 the Water Resources Reform and Development Act
20 of 2014 (128 Stat. 1364) and modified by section
21 1401(6) of the America's Water Infrastructure Act
22 of 2018 (132 Stat. 3839).

23 (4) HAGAMAN CHUTE, LOUISIANA.—Modifica-
24 tions to the project for navigation, including sedi-
25 ment management, Hagaman Chute, Louisiana.

1 (5) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
2 OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the
3 project for flood risk management, including bank
4 stabilization, Ouachita River, Monroe to Caldwell
5 Parish, Louisiana, authorized by the first section of
6 the Act of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534, chapter
7 569).

8 (6) ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-
9 tions to the project for navigation, St. Marys River
10 and tributaries, Michigan, for channel improve-
11 ments.

12 (7) MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, TRUMBULL COUN-
13 TY, OHIO.—Modifications to the project for flood
14 risk management and water supply, Mosquito Creek
15 Lake, Trumbull County, Ohio.

16 (8) LITTLE CONEMAUGH, STONYCREEK, AND
17 CONEMAUGH RIVERS, PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifica-
18 tions to the project for ecosystem restoration, recre-
19 ation, and flood risk management, Little
20 Conemaugh, Stonycreek, and Conemaugh rivers,
21 Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of
22 June 22, 1936 (commonly known as the “Flood
23 Control Act of 1936”) (49 Stat. 1586, chapter 688;
24 50 Stat. 879; chapter 877).

1 (9) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Modi-
2 fications to the project for navigation, Charleston
3 Harbor, South Carolina, authorized by section
4 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
5 2016 (130 Stat. 1709), including improvements to
6 address potential or actual changed conditions on
7 that portion of the project that serves the North
8 Charleston Terminal.

9 (10) ADDICKS AND BARKER RESERVOIRS,
10 TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for flood risk
11 management, Addicks and Barker Reservoirs, Texas.

12 (11) MONONGAHELA RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA.—
13 Modifications to the project for recreation,
14 Monongahela River, West Virginia.

15 (c) SPECIAL RULE, ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—
16 The cost of the study under subsection (b)(6) shall be
17 shared in accordance with the cost share applicable to con-
18 struction of the project for navigation, Sault Sainte Marie,
19 Michigan, authorized by section 1149 of the Water Re-
20 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4254; 121
21 Stat. 1131).

1 **SEC. 202. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF**
2 **STUDIES.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1001 of the Water Re-
4 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
5 2282e) is amended—

6 (1) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), and
7 (f) as subsections (e), (f), and (g), respectively;

8 (2) by inserting after subsection (e) the fol-
9 lowing:

10 “(d) **DELEGATION.**—

11 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall dele-
12 gate the determination to grant an extension under
13 subsection (e) to the Commander of the relevant Di-
14 vision if—

15 “(A) the final feasibility report for the
16 study can be completed with an extension of not
17 more than 1 year beyond the time period de-
18 scribed in subsection (a)(1); or

19 “(B) the feasibility study requires an addi-
20 tional cost of not more than \$1,000,000 above
21 the amount described in subsection (a)(2).

22 “(2) **GUIDANCE.**—If the Secretary determines
23 that implementation guidance is necessary to imple-
24 ment this subsection, the Secretary shall issue such
25 implementation guidance not later than 180 days

1 after the date of enactment of the Water Resources
2 Development Act of 2024.”; and

3 ~~(3)~~ by adding at the end the following:

4 “(h) DEFINITION OF DIVISION.—In this section, the
5 term ‘Division’ means each of the following Divisions of
6 the Corps of Engineers:

7 “(1) The Great Lakes and Ohio River Division.

8 “(2) The Mississippi Valley Division.

9 “(3) The North Atlantic Division.

10 “(4) The Northwestern Division.

11 “(5) The Pacific Ocean Division.

12 “(6) The South Atlantic Division.

13 “(7) The South Pacific Division.

14 “(8) The Southwestern Division.”;

15 (b) DEADLINE.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
17 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
18 retary shall develop and issue implementation guid-
19 ance that improves the implementation of section
20 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
21 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282e).

22 (2) STANDARDIZED FORM.—In carrying out
23 this subsection, the Secretary shall develop and pro-
24 vide to each Division (as defined in subsection (h) of
25 section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and

1 Development of 2014 (~~33 U.S.C. 2282c~~) a stand-
2 ardized form to assist the Divisions in preparing a
3 written request for an exception under subsection (c)
4 of that section.

5 (3) NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall sub-
6 mit a written copy of the implementation guidance
7 developed under paragraph (1) to the Committee on
8 Environment and Public Works of the Senate and
9 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
10 of the House of Representatives not less than 30
11 days before the date on which the Secretary makes
12 that guidance publicly available.

13 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

14 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall ex-
15 pedite the completion of a feasibility study or general re-
16 evaluation report (as applicable) for each of the following
17 projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project
18 is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to
19 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the
20 project:

21 (1) Project for food risk management, Upper
22 Guyandotte River Basin, West Virginia.

23 (2) Project for flood risk management,
24 Kanawha River Basin, West Virginia, Virginia, and
25 North Carolina.

1 (3) Project for flood risk management, Cave
2 Buttes Dam, Phoenix, Arizona.

3 (4) Project for flood risk management,
4 McMicken Dam, Maricopa County, Arizona.

5 (5) Project for ecosystem restoration, Rio Sa-
6 lado, Phoenix, Arizona.

7 (6) Project for flood risk management, Lower
8 San Joaquin River, San Joaquin Valley, California.

9 (7) Project for flood risk management, Strat-
10 ford, Connecticut.

11 (8) Project for flood risk management, Waimea
12 River, Kauai County, Hawaii.

13 (9) Modifications to the project for flood risk
14 management, Cedar River, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, au-
15 thorized by section 8201(b)(6) of the Water Re-
16 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3750).

17 (10) Project for flood risk management, Rah-
18 way River, Rahway, New Jersey.

19 (11) Northeast Levee System portion of the
20 project for flood control and other purposes, Wil-
21 liamsport, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of
22 the Act of June 22, 1936 (commonly known as the
23 “Flood Control Act of 1936”) (49 Stat. 1573, chap-
24 ter 688).

1 (12) Project for navigation, Menominee River,
2 Menominee, Wisconsin.

3 (13) General reevaluation report for the project
4 for flood risk management and other purposes, East
5 St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois.

6 (14) General reevaluation report for project for
7 flood risk management, Green Brook, New Jersey.

8 (15) Project for ecosystem restoration, Imperial
9 Streams Salton Sea, California.

10 (16) Modification of the project for navigation,
11 Honolulu Deep Draft Harbor, Hawaii.

12 (17) Project for shoreline damage mitigation,
13 Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana.

14 (18) Project for hurricane and coastal storm
15 risk management, Dare County Beaches, North
16 Carolina.

17 (19) Modification of the project for flood pro-
18 tection and recreation, Surry Mountain Lake, New
19 Hampshire, including for consideration of low flow
20 augmentation.

21 (20) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
22 ment, Virginia Beach and vicinity, Virginia.

23 (21) Project for secondary water source identi-
24 fication, Washington Metropolitan Area, Wash-
25 ington, DC, Maryland, and Virginia.

1 (b) **STUDY REPORTS.**—The Secretary shall expedite
2 the completion of a Chief’s Report or Director’s Report
3 (as applicable) for each of the following projects for the
4 project to be considered for authorization:

5 (1) Modification of the project for navigation,
6 Norfolk Harbors and Channels, Anchorage E seg-
7 ment, Norfolk, Virginia.

8 (2) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,
9 Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands, Florida.

10 (3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Claiborne
11 and Millers Ferry Locks and Dam Fish Passage,
12 Lower Alabama River, Alabama.

13 (4) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
14 tion, Surf City, North Carolina.

15 (5) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
16 tion, Nassau County Back Bays, New York.

17 (6) Project for flood risk management, Tar
18 Pamlico, North Carolina.

19 (7) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
20 and South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Res-
21 toration Program, Western Everglades Restoration
22 Project, Florida.

23 (8) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
24 tion, Ala Wai, Hawaii.

1 (9) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
2 and South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Res-
3 toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-
4 toration, Florida.

5 (10) Project for flood and coastal storm dam-
6 age reduction, Miami-Dade County Back Bay, Flor-
7 ida.

8 (11) Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,
9 Florida.

10 (12) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
11 tion, Akutan Harbor Navigational Improvements,
12 Alaska.

13 (13) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
14 tion, Amite River and tributaries, Louisiana.

15 (14) Project for flood and coastal storm risk
16 management, Puerto Rico Coastal Study, Puerto
17 Rico.

18 (15) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
19 ment, Baltimore, Maryland.

20 (16) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
21 tion and ecosystem restoration, St. Tammany Par-
22 ish, Louisiana.

23 (17) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
24 tion, Washington, DC.

1 (18) Project for ecosystem restoration, Tres
2 Rios, Arizona.

3 (19) Project for navigation, Oakland Harbor,
4 Oakland, California.

5 (20) Project for water supply reallocation,
6 Stockton Lake Reallocation Study, Missouri.

7 (21) Project for ecosystem restoration,
8 Hatchie–Loosahatchie Mississippi River, Tennessee
9 and Alabama.

10 (22) Project for ecosystem restoration, Biscayne
11 Bay and Southern Everglades, Florida, authorized
12 by section 601 of the Water Resources Development
13 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).

14 (e) PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall, to the max-
15 imum extent practicable, expedite completion of the fol-
16 lowing projects:

17 (1) Project for flood control, Lower Mud River,
18 Milton, West Virginia, authorized by section 580 of
19 the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110
20 Stat. 3790) and modified by section 340 of the
21 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
22 Stat. 2612) and section 3170 of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1154).

24 (2) Project for dam safety modifications,
25 Bluestone Dam, West Virginia, authorized pursuant

1 to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (commonly
2 known as the “Flood Control Act of 1936”) (49
3 Stat. 1586, chapter 688).

4 (3) Project for flood risk management, Tulsa
5 and West-Tulsa Levee System, Tulsa County, Okla-
6 homa, authorized by section 401(2) of the Water Re-
7 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735).

8 (4) Project for flood risk management, Little
9 Colorado River, Navajo County, Arizona.

10 (5) Project for flood risk management, Rio de
11 Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona.

12 (6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Va
13 Shly’AY Akimel, Maricopa Indian Reservation, Ari-
14 zona.

15 (7) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,
16 Quincy Bay, Illinois, Upper Mississippi River Res-
17 toration Program.

18 (8) Project for navigation, Matagorda Ship
19 Channel Improvement Project, Port Lavaca, Texas,
20 authorized by section 401(1) of the Water Resources
21 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2734).

22 (9) Major maintenance on Laupahoehoe Har-
23 bor, Hawaii County, Hawaii.

24 (10) Project for flood risk management, Green
25 Brook, New Jersey.

1 (11) Water control manual update for water
2 supply and flood control, Theodore Roosevelt Dam,
3 Globe, Arizona.

4 (12) Water control manual update for Oroville
5 Dam, Butte County, California.

6 (13) Water control manual update for New
7 Bullards Dam, Yuba County, California.

8 (14) Project for flood risk management, Mor-
9 gan City, Louisiana.

10 (15) Project for hurricane and storm risk re-
11 duction, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana.

12 (16) Project for ecosystem restoration, Mid-
13 Chesapeake Bay, Maryland.

14 (17) Project for navigation, Big Bay Harbor of
15 Refuge, Michigan.

16 (18) Project for George W. Kuhn Headwaters
17 Outfall, Michigan.

18 (19) The portion of the project for flood control
19 and other purposes, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, au-
20 thorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936
21 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of
22 1936”) (49 Stat. 1573, chapter 688), to bring the
23 Northwest Levee System into compliance with cur-
24 rent flood mitigation standards.

1 (20) Project for navigation, Seattle Harbor,
2 Washington, authorized by section 1401(1) of the
3 Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (~~132~~
4 Stat. ~~3836~~), deepening the East Waterway at the
5 Port of Seattle.

6 (21) Project for shoreline stabilization, Clarks-
7 ville, Indiana.

8 (d) CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.—The
9 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expe-
10 dite completion of the following projects and studies:

11 (1) Projects for flood control under section 205
12 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (~~33~~ U.S.C. 701s)
13 for the following areas:

14 (A) Ak Chin Levee, Pinal County, Arizona.

15 (B) McCormick Wash, Globe, Arizona.

16 (C) Rose and Palm Garden Washes, Doug-
17 las, Arizona.

18 (D) Lower Santa Cruz River, Arizona.

19 (2) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration
20 under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1996 (~~33~~ U.S.C. 2330), Corazon de los
22 Tres Rios del Norte, Pima County, Arizona.

23 (3) Project for hurricane and storm damage re-
24 duction under section 3 of the Act of August 13,

1 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C. 426g),
 2 Stratford, Connecticut.

3 (4) Project modification for improvements to
 4 the environment, Surry Mountain Lake, New Hamp-
 5 shire, under section 1135 of the Water Resources
 6 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a).

7 (e) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—The Sec-
 8 retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite
 9 completion of the following projects and studies under the
 10 Tribal partnership program under section 203 of the
 11 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C.
 12 2269).

13 (1) Maricopa (Ak Chin) Indian Reservation, Ar-
 14 izona.

15 (2) Gila River Indian Reservation, Arizona.

16 (3) Navajo Nation, Bird Springs, Arizona.

17 (f) WATERSHED ASSESSMENTS.—The Secretary
 18 shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite comple-
 19 tion of the watershed assessment for flood risk manage-
 20 ment, Upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers, authorized by
 21 section 1206 of Water Resources Development Act of
 22 2016 (130 Stat. 1686) and section 214 of the Water Re-
 23 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2687).

24 (g) EXPEDITED PROSPECTUS.—The Secretary shall
 25 prioritize the completion of the prospectus for the United

1 States Moorings Facility, Portland, Oregon, required for
2 authorization of funding from the revolving fund estab-
3 lished by the first section of the Civil Functions Appro-
4 priations Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576).

5 **SEC. 204. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF OTHER FEASIBILITY**
6 **STUDIES.**

7 (a) CEDAR PORT NAVIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT
8 DISTRICT CHANNEL DEEPENING PROJECT, BAYTOWN,
9 TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and co-
10 ordination of the feasibility study for the project for navi-
11 gation, Cedar Port Navigation and Improvement District
12 Channel Deepening Project, Baytown, Texas, under sec-
13 tion 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of
14 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

15 (b) LAKE OKEECHOBEE WATERSHED RESTORATION
16 PROJECT, FLORIDA.—The Secretary shall expedite the re-
17 view and coordination of the feasibility study for the
18 project for ecosystem restoration, Lake Okeechobee Com-
19 ponent A Reservoir, Everglades, Florida, under section
20 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
21 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

22 (c) SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY NAVIGATION IM-
23 PROVEMENT PROJECT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall ex-
24 pedite the review and coordination of the feasibility study
25 for the project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway,

1 Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources De-
2 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

3 (d) LA QUINTA EXPANSION PROJECT, TEXAS.—The
4 Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the
5 feasibility study for the project for navigation, La Quinta
6 Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas, under section
7 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
8 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

9 **SEC. 205. ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-**
10 **ISIANA, FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to
12 conduct a feasibility study for the project for flood risk
13 management, navigation and ecosystem restoration,
14 Rapides, Avoyelles, Point Coupee, Allen, Evangeline, St.
15 Landry, Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis, Acadia, Lafayette, St.
16 Martin, Iberville, Cameron, Vermilion, Iberia, and St.
17 Mary Parishes, Louisiana.

18 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—The study authorized by sub-
19 section (a) shall be considered a continuation of the study
20 authorized by the resolution of the Committee on Trans-
21 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
22 tives with respect to the study for flood risk management,
23 Alexandria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, dated July
24 23, 1997.

1 **SEC. 206. CRAIG HARBOR, ALASKA.**

2 The cost of completing a general reevaluation report
3 for the project for navigation, Craig Harbor, Alaska, au-
4 thorized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources Devel-
5 opment Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1709) shall be at full Fed-
6 eral expense.

7 **SEC. 207. SUSSEX COUNTY, DELAWARE.**

8 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
9 gress that consistent nourishments of Lewes Beach, Dela-
10 ware, are important for the safety and economic prosperity
11 of Sussex County, Delaware.

12 (b) GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
14 out a general reevaluation report for the project for
15 Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet, and Lewes
16 Beach, Delaware.

17 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The general reevaluation re-
18 port under paragraph (1) shall include a determina-
19 tion of—

20 (A) the area that the project should in-
21 clude; and

22 (B) how section 111 of the River and Har-
23 bor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i) should be ap-
24 plied with respect to the project.

1 **SEC. 208. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS**
 2 **IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.**

3 Section 1222 of the America's Water Infrastructure
 4 Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3811; 134 Stat. 2661) is amended
 5 by adding at the end the following:

6 **“(d) FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS**
 7 **IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.—**

8 **“(1) IN GENERAL.—**Not later than 1 year after
 9 the date of enactment of this subsection, the Sec-
 10 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
 11 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
 12 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
 13 lic Works of the Senate a report that assesses the
 14 viability of forecast-informed reservoir operations at
 15 a reservoir in the Colorado River Basin.

16 **“(2) AUTHORIZATION.—**If the Secretary deter-
 17 mines, and includes in the report under paragraph
 18 (1), that forecast-informed reservoir operations are
 19 viable at a reservoir in the Colorado River Basin, the
 20 Secretary is authorized to carry out forecast-in-
 21 formed reservoir operations at that reservoir, subject
 22 to the availability of appropriations.”.

23 **SEC. 209. BEAVER LAKE, ARKANSAS, REALLOCATION**
 24 **STUDY.**

25 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a
 26 study for the reallocation of water supply storage, carried

1 out in accordance with section 301 of the Water Supply
 2 Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b), for the Beaver Water Dis-
 3 trict, Beaver Lake, Arkansas.

4 **SEC. 210. GATHRIGHT DAM, VIRGINIA, STUDY.**

5 The Secretary shall conduct a study on the feasibility
 6 of modifying the project for flood risk management,
 7 Gathright Dam, Virginia, authorized by section 10 of the
 8 Flood Control Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 645, chapter 596),
 9 to include downstream recreation as a project purpose.

10 **SEC. 211. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS WATERSHED STUDY.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a
 12 study to restore aquatic ecosystems in the Delaware In-
 13 land Bays Watershed.

14 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the study
 16 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

17 (A) conduct a comprehensive analysis of
 18 ecosystem restoration needs in the Delaware In-
 19 land Bays Watershed, including—

20 (i) saltmarsh restoration;

21 (ii) shoreline stabilization;

22 (iii) stormwater management; and

23 (iv) an identification of sources for
 24 the beneficial use of dredged materials;

25 and

1 ~~(B)~~ recommend feasibility studies to ad-
 2 dress the needs identified under subparagraph
 3 ~~(A)~~.

4 ~~(2) NATURAL OR NATURE-BASED FEATURES.—~~

5 To the maximum extent practicable, a feasibility
 6 study that is recommended under paragraph ~~(1)(B)~~
 7 shall consider the use of natural features or nature-
 8 based features (as those terms are defined in section
 9 1184(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
 10 2016 (~~33 U.S.C. 2289a(a)~~)).

11 ~~(c) CONSULTATION AND USE OF EXISTING DATA.—~~

12 ~~(1) CONSULTATION.—~~In carrying out the study
 13 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult
 14 with applicable—

15 ~~(A)~~ Federal, State, and local agencies;

16 ~~(B)~~ Indian Tribes;

17 ~~(C)~~ non-Federal interests; and

18 ~~(D)~~ other stakeholders, as determined ap-
 19 propriate by the Secretary.

20 ~~(2) USE OF EXISTING DATA.—~~To the maximum
 21 extent practicable, in carrying out the study under
 22 subsection (a), the Secretary shall use existing data
 23 provided to the Secretary by entities described in
 24 paragraph ~~(1)~~.

25 ~~(d) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—~~

1 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may carry out
2 a feasibility study for a project recommended under
3 subsection (b)(1)(B).

4 (2) **CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORIZATION.**—The
5 Secretary may not begin construction for a project
6 recommended by a feasibility study described in
7 paragraph (1) unless the project has been authorized
8 by Congress.

9 (c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 3 years after the date
10 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
11 Congress a report that includes—

12 (1) the results of the study under subsection
13 (a); and

14 (2) a description of actions taken under this
15 section, including any feasibility studies under sub-
16 section (b)(1)(B).

17 **SEC. 212. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COM-**
18 **PREHENSIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION**
19 **FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

20 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall, at the re-
21 quest of a non-Federal interest, complete a feasibility
22 study for comprehensive flood damage reduction, Upper
23 Susquehanna River Basin, New York.

24 (b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—In carrying out the feasibility
25 study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

1 (1) use, for purposes of meeting the require-
 2 ments of a final feasibility study, information from
 3 the feasibility study completion report entitled
 4 “Upper Susquehanna River Basin, New York, Com-
 5 prehensive Flood Damage Reduction” and dated
 6 January 2020; and

7 (2) re-evaluate project benefits, as determined
 8 using the framework described in the proposed rule
 9 of the Corps of Engineers entitled “Corps of Engi-
 10 neers Agency Specific Procedures To Implement the
 11 Principles, Requirements, and Guidelines for Federal
 12 Investments in Water Resources” (89 Fed. Reg.
 13 12066 (February 15, 2024)), including a consider-
 14 ation of economically disadvantaged communities (as
 15 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Re-
 16 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
 17 note; Public Law 116–260)).

18 **SEC. 213. KANAWHA RIVER BASIN.**

19 Section 1207 of the Water Resources Development
 20 Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1686) is amended—

21 (1) by striking “The Secretary shall” and in-
 22 serting the following:

23 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall”; and

24 (2) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(b) **PROJECTS AND SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.**—Not-
 2 withstanding any other provision of law, for an authorized
 3 project or a separable element of an authorized project
 4 that is recommended as a result of a study carried out
 5 by the Secretary under subsection (a) benefitting an eco-
 6 nomically disadvantaged community (as defined pursuant
 7 to section 160 of the Water Resources Development Act
 8 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) in
 9 the State of West Virginia, the non-Federal share of the
 10 cost of the project or separable element of a project shall
 11 be 10 percent.”.

12 **SEC. 214. AUTHORIZATION OF FEASIBILITY STUDIES FOR**
 13 **PROJECTS FROM CAP AUTHORITIES.**

14 (a) **CEDAR POINT SEAWALL, SCITUATE, MASSACHU-**
 15 **SETTS.**—

16 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may conduct
 17 a feasibility study for the project for hurricane and
 18 storm damage risk reduction, Cedar Point Seawall,
 19 Scituate, Massachusetts.

20 (2) **REQUIREMENT.**—In carrying out paragraph
 21 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
 22 from the project described in that paragraph that
 23 was carried out under section 3 of the Act of August
 24 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056; chapter 960; 33 U.S.C.
 25 426g).

1 (b) JONES LEVEE, PIERCE COUNTY, WASH-
2 INGTON.—

3 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
4 a feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-
5 agement, Jones Levee, Pierce County, Washington.

6 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
7 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
8 from the project described in that paragraph that
9 was carried out under section 205 of the Flood Con-
10 trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

11 (c) HATCH, NEW MEXICO.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
13 a feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-
14 agement, Hatch, New Mexico.

15 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
16 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
17 from the project described in that paragraph that
18 was carried out under section 205 of the Flood Con-
19 trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

20 (d) FORT GEORGE INLET, JACKSONVILLE, FLOR-
21 IDA.—

22 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
23 a feasibility study to modify the project for naviga-
24 tion, Fort George Inlet, Jacksonville, Florida, to in-

1 elude navigation improvements or shoreline erosion
2 prevention or mitigation as a result of the project.

3 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
4 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
5 from the project described in that paragraph that
6 was carried out under section 111 of the River and
7 Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i).

8 **SEC. 215. PORT FOURCHON BELLE PASS CHANNEL, LOU-**
9 **ISIANA.**

10 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section
12 203(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act
13 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(a)(1)), the non-Federal in-
14 terest for the project for navigation, Port Fourchon
15 Belle Pass Channel, Louisiana, authorized by section
16 403(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act
17 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2743) may, on written notifica-
18 tion to the Secretary, and at the cost of the non-
19 Federal interest, carry out a feasibility study to
20 modify the project for deepening in accordance with
21 section 203 of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231).

23 (2) REQUIREMENT.—A modification rec-
24 ommended by a feasibility study under paragraph

1 (1) shall be approved by the Secretary and author-
2 ized by Congress before construction.

3 (b) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS.—

4 (1) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR SEC-
5 TION 203.—To the maximum extent practicable, the
6 Secretary shall use the previous agreement between
7 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the
8 feasibility study carried about under section 203 of
9 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
10 U.S.C. 2231) that resulted in the project described
11 in subsection (a)(1) in order to expedite the revised
12 agreement between the Secretary and the non-Fed-
13 eral interest for the feasibility study described in
14 that subsection.

15 (2) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR TECH-
16 NICAL ASSISTANCE.—On the request of the non-Fed-
17 eral interest described in subsection (a)(1), the Sec-
18 retary shall use the previous agreement for technical
19 assistance under section 203 of the Water Resources
20 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) between
21 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest in order
22 to provide technical assistance to the non-Federal in-
23 terest for the feasibility study under subsection
24 (a)(1).

1 (e) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary
2 shall—

3 (1) review the feasibility study under subsection
4 (a)(1); and

5 (2) if the Secretary determines that the pro-
6 posed modifications are consistent with the author-
7 ized purposes of the project and the study meets the
8 same legal and regulatory requirements of a Post
9 Authorization Change Report that would be other-
10 wise undertaken by the Secretary, submit to Con-
11 gress the study for authorization of the modification.

12 **SEC. 216. STUDIES FOR MODIFICATION OF PROJECT PUR-**
13 **POSES IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN IN**
14 **ARIZONA.**

15 (a) STUDY.—The Secretary shall carry out a study
16 of a project of the Corps of Engineers in the Colorado
17 River Basin in the State of Arizona to determine whether
18 to include water supply as a project purpose of that
19 project if a request for such a study to modify the project
20 purpose is made to the Secretary by—

21 (1) the non-Federal interest for the project; or

22 (2) in the case of a project for which there is
23 no non-Federal interest, the Governor of the State
24 of Arizona.

1 (b) **COORDINATION.**—The Secretary, to the max-
 2 imum extent practicable, shall coordinate with relevant
 3 State and local authorities in carrying out this section.

4 (c) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—If, after carrying out a
 5 study under subsection (a) with respect to a project de-
 6 scribed in that subsection, the Secretary determines that
 7 water supply should be included as a project purpose for
 8 that project, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
 9 on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the
 10 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
 11 House of Representatives a recommendation for the modi-
 12 fication of the project purpose of that project.

13 **SEC. 217. NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION OF**
 14 **WATER REALLOCATION STUDIES, NORTH DA-**
 15 **KOTA.**

16 Section 301 of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43
 17 U.S.C. 390b) is amended by adding at the following:

18 “(f) **NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION.**—

19 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In accordance with this
 20 subsection, a non-Federal interest may carry out a
 21 water reallocation study at a reservoir project con-
 22 structed by the Corps of Engineers and located in
 23 the State of North Dakota.

1 “(2) SUBMISSION.—On completion of the study
2 under paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest shall
3 submit to the Secretary the results of the study.

4 “(3) GUIDELINES.—

5 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180
6 days after the date of enactment of this sub-
7 section, the Secretary shall issue guidelines for
8 the formulation of a water reallocation study
9 carried out by a non-Federal interest under this
10 subsection.

11 “(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The guidelines
12 under subparagraph (A) shall contain provi-
13 sions that—

14 “(i) ensure that any water reallocation
15 study with respect to which the Secretary
16 submits an assessment under paragraph
17 (6) complies with all of the requirements
18 that would apply to a water reallocation
19 study undertaken by the Secretary; and

20 “(ii) provide sufficient information for
21 the formulation of the water reallocation
22 studies, including processes and procedures
23 related to reviews and assistance under
24 paragraph (7).

1 “(4) AGREEMENT.—Before carrying out a
2 water reallocation study under paragraph (1), the
3 Secretary and the non-Federal interest shall enter
4 into an agreement.

5 “(5) REVIEW BY SECRETARY.—

6 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
7 review each water reallocation study received
8 under paragraph (2) for the purpose of deter-
9 mining whether or not the study, and the pro-
10 cess under which the study was developed, com-
11 ply with Federal laws and regulations applicable
12 to water reallocation studies.

13 “(B) TIMING.—The Secretary may not
14 submit to Congress an assessment of a water
15 reallocation study under paragraph (1) until
16 such time as the Secretary—

17 “(i) determines that the study com-
18 plies with all of the requirements that
19 would apply to a water reallocation study
20 carried out by the Secretary; and

21 “(ii) completes all of the Federal anal-
22 yses, reviews, and compliance processes
23 under the National Environmental Policy
24 Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.); that
25 would be required with respect to the pro-

1 posed action if the Secretary had carried
2 out the water reallocation study.

3 “(6) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later
4 than 180 days after the completion of review of a
5 water reallocation study under paragraph (5), the
6 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environ-
7 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
8 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
9 House of Representatives an assessment that—

10 “(A) describes—

11 “(i) the results of that review;

12 “(ii) based on the results of the water
13 allocation study, any structural or oper-
14 ations changes at the reservoir project that
15 would occur if the water reallocation is ear-
16 ried out; and

17 “(iii) based on the results of the water
18 reallocation study, any effects to the au-
19 thorized purposes of the reservoir project
20 that would occur if the water reallocation
21 is carried out; and

22 “(B) includes a determination by the Sec-
23 retary of whether the modifications rec-
24 ommended under the study are those described
25 in subsection (c).

1 “(7) REVIEW AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

2 “(A) REVIEW.—The Secretary may accept
3 and expend funds provided by non-Federal in-
4 terests to carry out the reviews and other activi-
5 ties that are the responsibility of the Secretary
6 in carrying out this subsection.

7 “(B) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—At the re-
8 quest of the non-Federal interest, the Secretary
9 shall provide to the non-Federal interest tech-
10 nical assistance relating to any aspect of a
11 water reallocation study if the non-Federal in-
12 terest contracts with the Secretary to pay all
13 costs of providing that technical assistance.

14 “(C) IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING.—In
15 carrying out this subsection, the Secretary shall
16 ensure that the use of funds accepted from a
17 non-Federal interest will not affect the impar-
18 tial decisionmaking of the Secretary, either sub-
19 stantively or procedurally.

20 “(D) SAVINGS PROVISION.—The provision
21 of technical assistance by the Secretary under
22 subparagraph (B)—

23 “(i) shall not be considered to be an
24 approval or endorsement of the water re-
25 allocation study; and

1 “(ii) shall not affect the responsibil-
 2 ities of the Secretary under paragraphs (5)
 3 and (6).”.

4 **SEC. 218. TECHNICAL CORRECTION, WALLA WALLA RIVER.**

5 Section 8201(a) of the Water Resources Development
 6 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3744) is amended—

7 (1) by striking paragraph (76) and inserting
 8 the following:

9 “~~(76) NURSERY REACH, WALLA WALLA RIVER,~~
 10 ~~OREGON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Nurs-~~
 11 ~~ery Reach, Walla Walla River, Oregon.”;~~

12 (2) by redesignating paragraphs (92) through
 13 (94) as paragraphs (93) through (95), respectively;
 14 and

15 (3) by inserting after paragraph (91) the fol-
 16 lowing:

17 “~~(92) MILL CREEK, WALLA WALLA RIVER~~
 18 ~~BASIN, WASHINGTON.—Project for ecosystem res-~~
 19 ~~toration, Mill Creek and Mill Creek Flood Control~~
 20 ~~Zone District Channel, Washington.”.~~

21 **SEC. 219. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

22 Section 729(d) of the Water Resources Development
 23 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a(d)) is amended—

24 (1) in paragraph (12), by striking “and” at the
 25 end;

1 (2) in paragraph (13), by striking the period at
2 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

3 (3) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(14) the Walla Walla River Basin; and

5 “(15) the San Francisco Bay Basin.”.

6 **SEC. 220. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.**

7 Section 2034(h)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
8 ment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343(h)(2)) is amended by
9 striking “17 years” and inserting “22 years”.

10 **SEC. 221. ICE JAM PREVENTION AND MITIGATION.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
12 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit
13 to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
14 the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and In-
15 frastructure of the House of Representatives a report on
16 efforts by the Secretary to prevent and mitigate flood
17 damages associated with ice jams.

18 (b) INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in the
19 report under subsection (a)—

20 (1) an assessment of the projects carried out
21 pursuant to section 1150 of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 701s note;
23 Public Law 114–322), if applicable; and

24 (2) a description of—

1 (A) the challenges associated with pre-
2 venting and mitigating ice jams;

3 (B) the potential measures that may pre-
4 vent or mitigate ice jams, including the extent
5 to which additional research and the develop-
6 ment and deployment of technologies are nec-
7 essary; and

8 (C) actions taken by the Secretary to pro-
9 vide non-Federal interests with technical assist-
10 ance, guidance, or other information relating to
11 ice jam events; and

12 (D) how the Secretary plans to conduct
13 outreach and engagement with non-Federal in-
14 terests and other relevant State and local agen-
15 cies to facilitate an understanding of the cir-
16 cumstances in which ice jams could occur and
17 the potential impacts to critical public infra-
18 structure from ice jams.

19 **SEC. 222. REPORT ON HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE**
20 **RISK REDUCTION DESIGN GUIDELINES.**

21 (a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

22 (1) **GUIDELINES.**—The term “guidelines”
23 means the Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Re-
24 duction Design Guidelines of the Corps of Engi-
25 neers.

1 (2) LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW HURRICANE
2 PROTECTION SYSTEM.—The term “Larose to Golden
3 Meadow Hurricane Protection System” means the
4 project for hurricane-flood protection, Grand Isle
5 and Vicinity, Louisiana, authorized by section 204 of
6 the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1077).

7 (b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
8 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
9 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
10 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
11 structure of the House of Representatives a report that
12 compares—

13 (1) the guidelines; and

14 (2) the construction methods used by the South
15 Lafourche Levee District for the levees and flood
16 control structures of the Larose to Golden Meadow
17 Hurricane Protection System.

18 (c) INCLUSIONS.—The report under subsection (b)
19 shall include—

20 (1) a description of—

21 (A) the guidelines;

22 (B) the construction methods used by the
23 South Lafourche Levee District for levees and
24 flood control structures of the Larose to Golden
25 Meadow Hurricane Protection System; and

1 (C) any deviations identified between the
2 guidelines and the construction methods de-
3 scribed in subparagraph (B); and

4 (2) an analysis by the Secretary of geotechnical
5 and other relevant data from the land adjacent to
6 the levees and flood control structures constructed
7 by the South Lafourche Levee District to determine
8 the effectiveness of those structures.

9 **SEC. 223. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES**
10 **ON THE MISSOURI RIVER.**

11 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the
12 date on which the consultation under section 7 of the En-
13 dangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536) that was
14 reinitiated by the Secretary for the operation of the Mis-
15 souri River Mainstem Reservoir System, the operation and
16 maintenance of the Bank Stabilization and Navigation
17 Project, the operation of the Kansas River Reservoir Sys-
18 tem, and the implementation of the Missouri River Recov-
19 ery Management Plan is completed, the Secretary shall
20 brief the Committee on the Environment and Public
21 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
22 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
23 on the outcomes of that consultation.

24 (b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The briefing under subsection
25 (a) shall include a discussion of—

1 (1) any biological opinions that result from the
2 consultation, including any actions that the Sec-
3 retary is required to undertake pursuant to such bio-
4 logical opinions; and

5 (2) any forthcoming requests from the Sec-
6 retary to Congress to provide funding in order carry
7 out the actions described in paragraph (1).

8 **SEC. 224. REPORT ON MATERIAL CONTAMINATED BY A HAZ-**
9 **ARDOUS SUBSTANCE AND THE CIVIL WORKS**
10 **PROGRAM.**

11 (a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date
12 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
13 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
14 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
15 structure of the House of Representatives a report that
16 describes the impact of material contaminated by a haz-
17 ardous substance on the civil works program of the Corps
18 of Engineers.

19 (b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—In developing the report under
20 subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

21 (1) describe—

22 (A) with respect to water resources devel-
23 opment projects—

1 (i) the applicable statutory authorities
2 that require the removal of material con-
3 taminated by a hazardous substance; and

4 (ii) the roles and responsibilities of
5 the Secretary and non-Federal interests for
6 removing material contaminated by a haz-
7 ardous substance; and

8 (B) any regulatory actions or decisions
9 made by another Federal agency that impact—

10 (i) the removal of material contami-
11 nated by a hazardous substance; and

12 (ii) the ability of the Secretary to
13 carry out the civil works program of the
14 Corps of Engineers;

15 (2) discuss the impact of material contaminated
16 by a hazardous substance on—

17 (A) the timely completion of construction
18 of water resources development projects;

19 (B) the operation and maintenance of
20 water resources development projects, including
21 dredging activities of the Corps of Engineers to
22 maintain authorized Federal depths at ports
23 and along the inland waterways; and

24 (C) costs associated with carrying out the
25 civil works program of the Corps of Engineers;

1 (3) include any other information that the Sec-
 2 retary determines to be appropriate to facilitate an
 3 understanding of the impact of material contami-
 4 nated by a hazardous substance on the civil works
 5 program of the Corps of Engineers; and

6 (4) propose any legislative recommendations to
 7 address any issues identified in paragraphs (1)
 8 through (3).

9 **SEC. 225. REPORT ON EFFORTS TO MONITOR, CONTROL,**
 10 **AND ERADICATE INVASIVE SPECIES.**

11 (a) DEFINITION OF INVASIVE SPECIES.—In this sec-
 12 tion, the term “invasive species” has the meaning given
 13 the term in section 1 of Executive Order 13112 (42 U.S.C.
 14 4321 note; relating to invasive species).

15 (b) ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 1 year after the
 16 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall conduct,
 17 and submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
 18 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
 19 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
 20 a report on the results of, an assessment of the efforts
 21 by the Secretary to monitor, control, and eradicate
 22 invasive species at water resources development projects
 23 across the United States.

24 (c) REQUIREMENTS.—The report under subsection
 25 (b) shall include—

1 (1) a description of—

2 (A) the statutory authorities and programs
3 used by the Secretary to monitor, control, and
4 eradicate invasive species; and

5 (B) a geographically diverse sample of suc-
6 cessful projects and activities carried out by the
7 Secretary to monitor, control, and eradicate
8 invasive species;

9 (2) a discussion of—

10 (A) the impact of invasive species on the
11 ability of the Secretary to carry out the civil
12 works program of the Corps of Engineers, with
13 a particular emphasis on impact of invasive spe-
14 cies to the primary missions of the Corps of
15 Engineers;

16 (B) the research conducted and techniques
17 and technologies used by the Secretary con-
18 sistent with the applicable statutory authorities
19 described in paragraph (1)(A) to monitor, con-
20 trol, and eradicate invasive species; and

21 (C) the extent to which the Secretary has
22 partnered with States and units of local govern-
23 ment to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive
24 species within the boundaries of those States or
25 units of local government;

1 (3) an update on the status of the plan devel-
 2 oped by the Secretary pursuant to section 1108(e) of
 3 the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (33
 4 U.S.C. 2263a(e)); and

5 (4) recommendations, including legislative rec-
 6 ommendations, to further the efforts of the Sec-
 7 retary to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive
 8 species.

9 **SEC. 226. J. STROM THURMOND LAKE, GEORGIA.**

10 (a) **ENCROACHMENT RESOLUTION PLAN.—**

11 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraph (2),
 12 the Secretary shall prepare, and submit to the Com-
 13 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the
 14 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and
 15 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, an
 16 encroachment resolution plan for a portion of the
 17 project for flood control, recreation, and fish and
 18 wildlife management, J. Strom Thurmond Lake,
 19 Georgia and South Carolina, authorized by section
 20 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (commonly
 21 known as the “Flood Control Act of 1944”) (58
 22 Stat. 894, chapter 665).

23 (2) **LIMITATION.**—The encroachment resolution
 24 plan under paragraph (1) shall only apply to the

1 portion of the J. Strom Thurmond Lake that is lo-
2 cated within the State of Georgia.

3 (b) CONTENTS.—Subject to subsection (c), the en-
4 croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) shall in-
5 clude—

6 (1) a description of the nature and number of
7 encroachments;

8 (2) a description of the circumstances that con-
9 tributed to the development of the encroachments;

10 (3) an assessment of the impact of the en-
11 croachments on operation and maintenance of the
12 project described in subsection (a) for its authorized
13 purposes;

14 (4) an analysis of alternatives to the removal of
15 encroachments to mitigate any impacts identified in
16 the assessment under paragraph (3);

17 (5) a description of any actions necessary or ad-
18 visable to prevent further encroachments; and

19 (6) an estimate of the cost and timeline to
20 carry out the plan, including actions described under
21 paragraph (5).

22 (c) RESTRICTION.—To the maximum extent prac-
23 ticable, the encroachment resolution plan under subsection
24 (a) shall minimize adverse impacts to private landowners

1 while maintaining the functioning of the project described
2 in that subsection for its authorized purposes.

3 (d) NOTICE AND PUBLIC COMMENT.—

4 (1) TO OWNERS.—In preparing the encroachment
5 resolution plan under subsection (a), not later
6 than 30 days after the Secretary identifies an en-
7 croachment, the Secretary shall notify the owner of
8 the encroachment.

9 (2) TO PUBLIC.—The Secretary shall provide
10 an opportunity for the public to comment on the en-
11 croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) be-
12 fore the completion of the plan.

13 (e) MORATORIUM.—The Secretary shall not take ac-
14 tion to compel removal of an encroachment covered by the
15 encroachment resolution plan under subsection (a) unless
16 Congress specifically authorizes such action.

17 (f) SAVINGS PROVISION.—This section does not—

18 (1) grant any rights to the owner of an en-
19 croachment; or

20 (2) impose any liability on the United States
21 for operation and maintenance of the project de-
22 scribed in subsection (a) for its authorized purposes.

1 **SEC. 227. STUDY ON LAND VALUATION PROCEDURES FOR**
2 **THE TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

3 (a) **DEFINITION OF TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PRO-**
4 **GRAM.**—In this section, the term “Tribal Partnership Pro-
5 gram” means the Tribal Partnership Program established
6 under section 203 of the Water Resources Development
7 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

8 (b) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—Not later than 1 year after
9 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall carry
10 out, and submit to the Committee on Environment and
11 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-
12 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
13 tives a report describing the results of, a study on appro-
14 priate procedures for determining the value of real estate
15 and cost-share contributions for projects under the Tribal
16 Partnership Program.

17 (c) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The report required under sub-
18 section (b) shall include—

19 (1) an evaluation of the procedures used for de-
20 termining the valuation of real estate and contribu-
21 tion of real estate value to cost-share for projects
22 under the Tribal Partnership Program, including
23 consideration of cultural factors that are unique to
24 the Tribal Partnership Program and land valuation;

25 (2) a description of any existing Federal au-
26 thorities that the Secretary intends to use to imple-

1 ment policy changes that result from the evaluation
2 under paragraph (1); and

3 ~~(3)~~ recommendations for any legislation that
4 may be needed to revise land valuation or cost-share
5 procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program pur-
6 suant to the evaluation under paragraph (1).

7 **SEC. 228. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON LEVEE SAFETY GUIDE-**
8 **LINES.**

9 (a) **DEFINITION OF LEVEE SAFETY GUIDELINES.**—

10 In this section, the term “levee safety guidelines” means
11 the levee safety guidelines established under section
12 9005(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007
13 ~~(33 U.S.C. 3303a(e)).~~

14 (b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date
15 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in coordination
16 with other applicable Federal agencies, shall submit to the
17 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
18 ate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
19 ture of the House of Representatives a report on the levee
20 safety guidelines.

21 (c) **INCLUSIONS.**—The report under subsection (b)
22 shall include—

23 (1) a description of—

24 (A) the levee safety guidelines;

1 ~~(B)~~ the process utilized to develop the
2 levee safety guidelines; and

3 ~~(C)~~ the extent to which the levee safety
4 guidelines are being used by Federal, State,
5 Tribal, and local agencies;

6 ~~(2)~~ an assessment of the requirement for the
7 levee safety guidelines to be voluntary and a descrip-
8 tion of actions taken by the Secretary and other ap-
9 plicable Federal agencies to ensure that the guide-
10 lines are voluntary; and

11 ~~(3)~~ any recommendations of the Secretary, in-
12 cluding the extent to which the levee safety guide-
13 lines should be revised.

14 **SEC. 229. PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP USER'S GUIDE.**

15 ~~(a) IN GENERAL.~~—Not later than 1 year after the
16 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop
17 and make publicly available on an existing website of the
18 Corps of Engineers a guide on the use of public-private
19 partnerships for water resources development projects.

20 ~~(b) INCLUSIONS.~~—In developing the guide under sub-
21 section ~~(a)~~, the Secretary shall include—

22 ~~(1)~~ a description of—

23 ~~(A)~~ applicable authorities and programs of
24 the Secretary that allow for the use of public-

1 private partnerships to carry out water re-
2 sources development projects; and

3 ~~(B)~~ opportunities across the civil works
4 program of the Corps of Engineers for the use
5 of public-private partnerships, including at rec-
6 reational facilities;

7 ~~(2)~~ a summary of prior public-private partner-
8 ships for water resources development projects, in-
9 cluding lessons learned and best practices from those
10 partnerships and projects;

11 ~~(3)~~ a discussion of—

12 ~~(A)~~ the roles and responsibilities of the
13 Corps of Engineers and non-Federal interests
14 when using a public-private partnership for a
15 water resources development project, including
16 the opportunities for risk-sharing; and

17 ~~(B)~~ the potential benefits associated with
18 using a public-private partnership for a water
19 resources development project, including the op-
20 portunities to accelerate funding as compared
21 to the annual appropriations process; and

22 ~~(4)~~ a description of the process for executing a
23 project partnership agreement for a water resources
24 development project, including any unique consider-
25 ations when using a public-private partnership.

1 (c) FLEXIBILITY.—The Secretary may satisfy the re-
2 quirements of this section by modifying an existing part-
3 nership handbook in accordance with this section.

4 **SEC. 230. REVIEW OF AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS FOR**
5 **ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
7 date of enactment of this Act and subject to subsections
8 (b) and (c), the Secretary shall carry out a study of the
9 authorities and programs of the Corps of Engineers that
10 facilitate the use of alternative project delivery methods
11 for water resources development projects, including public-
12 private partnerships.

13 (b) AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS INCLUDED.—In
14 carrying out the study under subsection (a), the authori-
15 ties and programs that are studied shall include any pro-
16 grams and authorities under—

17 (1) section 204 of the Water Resources Devel-
18 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232);

19 (2) section 221 of the Flood Control Act of
20 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b); and

21 (3) section 5014 of the Water Resources Re-
22 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201
23 note; Public Law 113–121).

24 (c) REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit to the
25 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-

1 ate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
2 ture of the House of Representatives a report that—

3 (1) describes the findings of the study under
4 subsection (a); and

5 (2) includes—

6 (A) an assessment of how each authority
7 and program included in the study under sub-
8 section (a) has been used by the Secretary;

9 (B) a list of the water resources develop-
10 ment projects that have been carried out pursu-
11 ant to the authorities and programs included in
12 the study under subsection (a);

13 (C) a discussion of the implementation
14 challenges, if any, associated with the authori-
15 ties and programs included in the study under
16 subsection (a);

17 (D) a description of lessons learned and
18 best practices identified by the Secretary from
19 carrying out the authorities and programs in-
20 cluded in the study under subsection (a); and

21 (E) any recommendations, including legis-
22 lative recommendations, that result from the
23 study under subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 231. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON EMERGENCY RE-**
 2 **SPONSE EXPENDITURES.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall conduct a re-
 4 view of emergency response expenditures from the emer-
 5 gency fund authorized by section 5(a) of the Act of August
 6 18, 1941 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of
 7 1941”) (55 Stat. 650, chapter 377; 33 U.S.C. 701n(a))
 8 (referred to in this section as the “Flood Control and
 9 Coastal Emergencies Account”) and from post-disaster
 10 supplemental appropriations Acts during the period of fis-
 11 cal years 2013 through 2023.

12 (b) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 1 year
 13 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
 14 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
 15 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
 16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
 17 a report that includes the results of the review under sub-
 18 section (a), including—

19 (1) for each of fiscal years 2013 through 2023,
 20 a summary of—

21 (A) annual expenditures from the Flood
 22 Control and Coastal Emergencies Account;

23 (B) annual budget requests for that ac-
 24 count; and

1 ~~(C)~~ any activities, including any re-
 2 programming, that may have been required to
 3 cover any annual shortfall in that account;

4 ~~(2)~~ a description of the contributing factors
 5 that resulted in any annual variability in the
 6 amounts described in subparagraphs ~~(A)~~ and ~~(B)~~ of
 7 paragraph ~~(1)~~ and activities described in subpara-
 8 graph ~~(C)~~ of that paragraph;

9 ~~(3)~~ an assessment and a description of future
 10 budget needs of the Flood Control and Coastal
 11 Emergencies Account based on trends observed and
 12 anticipated by the Secretary; and

13 ~~(4)~~ an assessment and a description of the use
 14 and impact of funds from post-disaster supplemental
 15 appropriations on emergency response activities.

16 **SEC. 232. EXCESS LAND REPORT FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS**
 17 **IN NORTH DAKOTA.**

18 ~~(a)~~ **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 1 year after the
 19 date of enactment of this Act, and subject to subsection
 20 ~~(b)~~, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Envi-
 21 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
 22 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
 23 of Representatives a report that identifies any real prop-
 24 erty associated with the project of the Corps of Engineers

1 at Lake Oahe, North Dakota, that the Secretary deter-
2 mines—

3 (1) is not needed to carry out the authorized
4 purposes of the project; and

5 (2) may be transferred to the Standing Rock
6 Sioux Tribe to support recreation opportunities for
7 the Tribe, including, at a minimum—

8 (A) Walker Bottom Marina, Lake Oahe;

9 (B) Fort Yates Boat Ramp, Lake Oahe;

10 (C) Cannonball District, Lake Oahe; and

11 (D) any other recreation opportunities
12 identified by the Tribe.

13 (b) INCLUSION.—If the Secretary determines that
14 there is not any real property that may be transferred to
15 the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe as described in subsection
16 (a), the Secretary shall include in the report required
17 under that subsection—

18 (1) a list of the real property considered by the
19 Secretary;

20 (2) an explanation of why the real property
21 identified under paragraph (1) is needed to carry
22 out the authorized purposes of the project described
23 in subsection (a); and

24 (3) a description of how the Secretary has re-
25 cently utilized the real property identified under

1 paragraph (1) to carry out the authorized purpose of
2 the project described in subsection (a).

3 **SEC. 233. GAO STUDIES.**

4 (a) REVIEW OF THE ACCURACY OF PROJECT COST
5 ESTIMATES.—

6 (1) REVIEW.—

7 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year
8 after the date of enactment of this Act, the
9 Comptroller General of the United States (re-
10 ferred to in this section as the “Comptroller
11 General”) shall initiate a review of the accuracy
12 of the project cost estimates developed by the
13 Corps of Engineers for completed and ongoing
14 water resources development projects carried
15 out by the Secretary.

16 (B) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out sub-
17 paragraph (A), the Comptroller General shall
18 determine the factors, if any, that impact the
19 accuracy of the estimates described in that sub-
20 paragraph, including—

21 (i) applicable statutory requirements,
22 including—

23 (I) section 1001 of the Water Re-
24 sources Reform and Development Act
25 of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and

1 (H) section 905(b) of the Water
2 Resources Development Act of 1986
3 (~~33 U.S.C. 2282(b)~~); and

4 (ii) applicable guidance, regulations,
5 and policies of the Corps of Engineers.

6 (C) INCORPORATION OF PREVIOUS RE-
7 PORT.—In carrying out subparagraph (A), the
8 Comptroller General may incorporate applicable
9 information from the report carried out by the
10 Comptroller General under section 8236(e) of
11 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
12 (~~136 Stat. 3769~~).

13 (2) REPORT.—On completion of the review con-
14 ducted under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
15 eral shall submit to the Committee on Environment
16 and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee
17 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
18 of Representatives a report on the findings of the re-
19 view and any recommendations that result from the
20 review.

21 (b) REPORT ON PROJECT LIFESPAN AND INDEM-
22 NIFICATION CLAUSE IN PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
23 MENTS.—

24 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

1 (A) INDEMNIFICATION CLAUSE.—The term
 2 “indemnification clause” means the indem-
 3 nification clause required in project partnership
 4 agreements for water resources development
 5 projects under sections 101(e)(2) and
 6 103(j)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Develop-
 7 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(e)(2);
 8 2213(j)(1)(A)).

9 (B) OMRR&R.—The term “OMRR&R”,
 10 with respect to a water resources development
 11 project, means operation, maintenance, repair,
 12 replacement, and rehabilitation.

13 (2) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of
 14 Congress that—

15 (A) there are significant concerns about
 16 whether—

17 (i) the indemnification clause, which
 18 was first applied in 1910 to flood control
 19 projects, should still be included in project
 20 partnership agreements prepared by the
 21 Corps of Engineers for water resources de-
 22 velopment projects; and

23 (ii) non-Federal interests for water re-
 24 sources development projects should be re-
 25 quired to assume full responsibility for

1 OMRR&R of water resources development
2 projects in perpetuity;

3 ~~(B) non-Federal interests have reported~~
4 that the indemnification clause and OMRR&R
5 requirements are a barrier to entering into
6 project partnership agreements with the Corps
7 of Engineers;

8 ~~(C) critical water resources development~~
9 projects are being delayed by years, or not pur-
10 sued at all, due to the barriers described in sub-
11 paragraph ~~(B)~~; and

12 ~~(D) legal structures have changed since the~~
13 indemnification clause was first applied and
14 there may be more suitable tools available to
15 address risk and liability issues.

16 ~~(3) ANALYSIS.—~~Not later than 1 year after the
17 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
18 eral shall conduct an analysis of the implications
19 of—

20 ~~(A) the indemnification clause; and~~

21 ~~(B) the assumption of OMRR&R respon-~~
22 sibilities by non-Federal interests in perpetuity
23 for water resources development projects.

24 ~~(4) INCLUSIONS.—~~The analysis under para-
25 graph ~~(3)~~ shall include—

1 (A) a review of risk for the Federal Gov-
2 ernment and non-Federal interests with respect
3 to removing requirements for the indemnifica-
4 tion clause;

5 (B) an assessment of whether the indem-
6 nification clause is still necessary given the
7 changes in engineering, legal structures, and
8 water resources development projects since
9 1910, with a focus on the quantity and types of
10 claims and takings over time;

11 (C) an identification of States with State
12 laws that prohibit those States from entering
13 into agreements that include an indemnification
14 clause;

15 (D) a comparison to other Federal agen-
16 cies with respect to how those agencies ap-
17 proach indemnification and OMRR&R require-
18 ments in projects, if applicable;

19 (E) a review of indemnification and
20 OMRR&R requirements for projects that States
21 require with respect to agreements with cities
22 and localities, if applicable;

23 (F) an analysis of the useful lifespan of
24 water resources development projects, including
25 any variations in that lifespan for different

1 types of water resources development projects
 2 and how changing weather patterns and in-
 3 creased extreme weather events impact that
 4 lifespan;

5 (G) a review of situations in which non-
 6 Federal interests have been unable to meet
 7 OMRR&R requirements; and

8 (H) a review of policy alternatives to
 9 OMRR&R requirements, such as allowing ex-
 10 tension, reevaluation, or deauthorization of
 11 water resources development projects.

12 (5) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
 13 under paragraph (3), the Comptroller General shall
 14 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
 15 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
 16 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
 17 Representatives a report that includes—

18 (A) the results of the analysis; and

19 (B) any recommendations for changes
 20 needed to existing law or policy of the Corps of
 21 Engineers to address those results.

22 (c) REVIEW OF CERTAIN PERMITS.—

23 (1) DEFINITION OF SECTION 408 PROGRAM.—In
 24 this subsection, the term “section 408 program”
 25 means the program administered by the Secretary

1 pursuant to section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899
2 (commonly known as the “Rivers and Harbors Act
3 of 1899”) (30 Stat. 1152, chapter 425; 33 U.S.C.
4 408).

5 (2) REVIEW.—Not later than 1 year after the
6 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
7 eral shall initiate a review of the section 408 pro-
8 gram.

9 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—The review by the Comp-
10 troller General under paragraph (2) shall include, at
11 a minimum—

12 (A) an identification of trends related to
13 the number and types of permits applied for
14 each year under the section 408 program;

15 (B) an evaluation of—

16 (i) the materials developed by the Sec-
17 retary to educate potential applicants
18 about—

19 (I) the section 408 program; and

20 (II) the process for applying for
21 a permit under the section 408 pro-
22 gram;

23 (ii) the public website of the Corps of
24 Engineers that tracks the status of permits
25 issued under the section 408 program; in-

1 including whether the information provided
2 by the website is updated in a timely man-
3 ner;

4 (iii) the ability of the districts and di-
5 visions of the Corps of Engineers to con-
6 sistently administer the section 408 pro-
7 gram; and

8 (iv) the extent to which the Secretary
9 carries out the process for issuing a permit
10 under the section 408 program concur-
11 rently with the review required under the
12 National Environmental Policy Act of
13 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), if applica-
14 ble;

15 (C) a determination of the factors, if any,
16 that impact the ability of the Secretary to ad-
17 here to the timelines required for reviewing and
18 making a decision on an application for a per-
19 mit under the section 408 program; and

20 (D) ways to expedite the review of applica-
21 tions for permits under the section 408 pro-
22 gram; including the use of categorical permis-
23 sions.

24 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the review
25 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall

1 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
 2 lie Works of the Senate and the Committee on
 3 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
 4 Representatives a report on the findings of the re-
 5 view and any recommendations that result from the
 6 review.

7 (d) CORPS OF ENGINEERS MODERNIZATION
 8 STUDY.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
 10 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
 11 General shall initiate an analysis of opportunities for
 12 the Corps of Engineers to modernize the civil works
 13 program through the use of technology, where ap-
 14 propriate, and the best available engineering prac-
 15 tices.

16 (2) INCLUSIONS.—In conducting the analysis
 17 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General of the
 18 United States shall include an assessment of the ex-
 19 tent to which—

20 (A) existing engineering practices and
 21 technologies could be better utilized by the
 22 Corps of Engineers—

23 (i) to improve study, planning, and
 24 design efforts of the Corps of Engineers to
 25 further the benefits of water resources de-

1 development projects of the Corps of Engi-
2 neers;

3 (ii) to reduce delays of water re-
4 sources development projects, including
5 through the improvement of environmental
6 review and permitting processes;

7 (iii) to provide cost savings over the
8 lifecycle of a project, including through im-
9 proved design processes or a reduction of
10 operation and maintenance costs; and

11 (iv) to improve data collection and
12 data sharing capabilities; and

13 (B) the Corps of Engineers—

14 (i) currently utilizes the engineering
15 practices and technologies identified under
16 subparagraph (A), including any challenges
17 associated with acquisition and application;

18 (ii) has effective processes to share
19 best practices associated with the engineer-
20 ing practices and technologies identified
21 under subparagraph (A) among the dis-
22 tricts, divisions, and headquarters of the
23 Corps of Engineers; and

1 (iii) partners with National Labora-
 2 tories, academic institutions, and other
 3 Federal agencies.

4 (3) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
 5 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
 6 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
 7 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
 8 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
 9 Representatives a report on the findings of the anal-
 10 ysis and any recommendations that result from the
 11 analysis.

12 (c) STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER RE-
 13 SOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—

14 (1) DEFINITION OF COVERED EASEMENT.—In
 15 this subsection, the term “covered easement” has
 16 the meaning given the term in section 8235(e) of the
 17 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
 18 Stat. 3768).

19 (2) STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER
 20 RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Not later
 21 than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act,
 22 the Comptroller General shall initiate an analysis of
 23 the use of covered easements that may be provided
 24 to the Secretary by non-Federal interests in relation
 25 to the construction, operation, or maintenance of a

1 project for flood risk management, hurricane and
2 storm damage risk reduction, or ecosystem restora-
3 tion.

4 (3) SCOPE.—In carrying out the analysis under
5 paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
6 United States shall—

7 (A) review—

8 (i) the report submitted by the Sec-
9 retary under section 8235(b) of the Water
10 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
11 Stat. 3768); and

12 (ii) the existing statutory, regulatory,
13 and policy requirements and procedures re-
14 lating to the use of covered easements; and

15 (B) assess—

16 (i) the minimum rights in property
17 that are necessary to construct, operate, or
18 maintain projects for flood risk manage-
19 ment, hurricane and storm damage risk re-
20 duction, or ecosystem restoration;

21 (ii) whether increased use of covered
22 easements in relation to projects described
23 in clause (i) could promote greater partici-
24 pation from cooperating landowners in ad-

1 dressing local flooding or ecosystem res-
2 toration challenges;

3 (iii) whether such increased use could
4 result in cost savings in the implementa-
5 tion of the projects described in clause (i),
6 without any reduction in project benefits;
7 and

8 (iv) the extent to which the Secretary
9 should expand what is considered by the
10 Secretary to be part of a series of estates
11 deemed standard for construction, oper-
12 ation, or maintenance of a project for flood
13 risk management, hurricane and storm
14 damage risk reduction, or ecosystem res-
15 toration.

16 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
17 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
18 United States shall submit to the Committee on En-
19 vironment and Public Works of the Senate and the
20 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
21 the House of Representatives a report on the find-
22 ings of the analysis, including any recommendations,
23 including legislative recommendations, as a result of
24 the analysis.

1 (f) MODERNIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RE-
2 VIEWS.—

3 (1) DEFINITION OF PROJECT STUDY.—In this
4 subsection, the term “project study” means a feasi-
5 bility study for a project carried out pursuant to sec-
6 tion 905 of the Water Resources Development Act
7 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282).

8 (2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the
9 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
10 eral shall submit to the Committee on Environment
11 and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee
12 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
13 of Representatives a report that describes the efforts
14 of the Secretary to facilitate improved environmental
15 review processes for project studies, including
16 through the consideration of expanded use of cat-
17 egorical exclusions, environmental assessments, or
18 programmatic environmental impact statements.

19 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—In completing the report
20 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
21 United States shall—

22 (A) describe the actions the Secretary is
23 taking or plans to take to implement the
24 amendments to the National Environmental
25 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.)

1 made by section 321 of the Fiscal Responsi-
2 bility Act of 2023 (Public Law 118-5; 137
3 Stat. 38);

4 (B) describe the existing categorical exclu-
5 sions most frequently used by the Secretary to
6 streamline the environmental review of project
7 studies;

8 (C) consider—

9 (i) whether the adoption of additional
10 categorical exclusions, including those used
11 by other Federal agencies, would facilitate
12 the environmental review of project stud-
13 ies;

14 (ii) whether the adoption of new pro-
15 grammatic environmental impact state-
16 ments would facilitate the environmental
17 review of project studies; and

18 (iii) whether agreements with other
19 Federal agencies would facilitate a more
20 efficient process for the environmental re-
21 view of project studies; and

22 (D) identify—

23 (i) any discrepancies or conflicts, as
24 applicable, between the amendments to the
25 National Environmental Policy Act of

1 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) made by
 2 section 321 of the Fiscal Responsibility
 3 Act of 2023 (Public Law 118-5; 137 Stat.
 4 38) and—

5 (I) section 2045 of the Water Re-
 6 sources Development Act of 2007 (33
 7 U.S.C. 2348); and

8 (II) section 1001 of the Water
 9 Resources Reform and Development
 10 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and

11 (ii) other issues, as applicable, relat-
 12 ing to section 2045 of the Water Resources
 13 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
 14 2348) that are impeding the implementa-
 15 tion of that section consistent with con-
 16 gressional intent.

17 (g) STUDY ON DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITE
 18 CONSTRUCTION.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General
 20 shall conduct a study that—

21 (A) assesses the costs and limitations of
 22 the construction of various types of dredged
 23 material disposal sites; with a particular focus
 24 on aquatic confined placement structures in the
 25 Lower Columbia River; and

1 (B) includes a comparison of—

2 (i) the operation and maintenance
3 needs and costs associated with the avail-
4 ability of aquatic confined placement struc-
5 tures; and

6 (ii) the operation and maintenance
7 needs and costs associated with the lack of
8 availability of aquatic confined placement
9 structures.

10 (2) REPORT.—On completion of the study
11 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
12 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
13 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
14 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
15 Representatives a report on the findings of the
16 study, and any recommendations that result from
17 that study.

18 (h) GAO STUDY ON DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDING
19 FROM THE HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND.—

20 (1) DEFINITION OF HARBOR MAINTENANCE
21 TRUST FUND.—In this subsection, the term “Harbor
22 Maintenance Trust Fund” means the Harbor Main-
23 tenance Trust Fund established by section 9505(a)
24 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

1 (2) ANALYSIS.—Not later than 1 year after the
2 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
3 eral shall initiate an analysis of the distribution of
4 funding from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

5 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the anal-
6 ysis under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General
7 shall assess—

8 (A) the implementation of provisions re-
9 lated to the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund in
10 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
11 (134 Stat. 2615) and the amendments made by
12 that Act by the Corps of Engineers, including—

13 (i) changes to the budgetary treat-
14 ment of funding from the Harbor Mainte-
15 nance Trust Fund; and

16 (ii) amendments to the definitions of
17 the terms “donor ports”, “medium-sized
18 donor parts”, and “energy transfer ports”
19 under section 2106(a) of the Water Re-
20 sources Reform and Development Act of
21 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238e(a)), including—

22 (I) the reliability of metrics, data
23 for those metrics, and sources for that
24 data used by the Corps of Engineers
25 to determine if a port satisfies the re-

1 requirements of 1 or more of those defi-
2 nitions; and

3 (H) the extent of the impact of
4 cyclical dredging cycles for operations
5 and maintenance activities and deep
6 draft navigation construction projects
7 on the ability of ports to meet the re-
8 quirements of 1 or more of those defi-
9 nitions; and

10 (B) the amount of Harbor Maintenance
11 Trust Fund funding in the annual appropria-
12 tions Acts enacted after the date of enactment
13 of the Water Resources Development Act of
14 2020 (134 Stat. 2615), including an analysis
15 of—

16 (i) the allocation of funding to donor
17 ports and energy transfer ports (as those
18 terms are defined in section 2106(a) of the
19 Water Resources Reform and Development
20 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c(a))) and the
21 use of that funding by those ports;

22 (ii) activities funded pursuant to sec-
23 tion 210 of the Water Resources Develop-
24 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238); and

1 (iii) challenges associated with ex-
2 pending the remaining balance of the Har-
3 bor Maintenance Trust Fund.

4 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
5 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall
6 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
7 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
8 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
9 Representatives a report describing the findings of
10 the analysis and any recommendations that result
11 from that analysis.

12 **SEC. 234. PRIOR REPORTS.**

13 (a) REPORTS.—The Secretary shall prioritize the
14 completion of the reports required pursuant to the fol-
15 lowing provisions:

16 (1) Section 2036(b) of the Water Resources De-
17 velopment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2283a).

18 (2) Section 1008(e) of the Water Resources Re-
19 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
20 2321b(e)).

21 (3) Section 164(e) of the Water Resources De-
22 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2668).

23 (4) Section 226(a) of the Water Resources De-
24 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2697).

1 (5) Section 503(d) of the Water Resources De-
2 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public
3 Law 116–260).

4 (6) Section 509(a)(7) of the Water Resources
5 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Pub-
6 lic Law 116–260).

7 (7) Section 8205(a) of the Water Resources De-
8 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).

9 (8) Section 8206(c) of the Water Resources De-
10 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3756).

11 (9) Section 8218 of the Water Resources Devel-
12 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

13 (10) Section 8227(b) of the Water Resources
14 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3764).

15 (11) Section 8232(b) of the Water Resources
16 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3766).

17 (b) NOTICE.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after
19 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
20 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
21 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
22 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
23 Representatives a written notification of the status
24 of each report described in subsection (a).

1 (2) **CONTENTS.**—As part of the notification
2 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include for
3 each report described in subsection (a)—

4 (A) a description of the status of the re-
5 port; and

6 (B) if not completed, a timeline for the
7 completion of the report.

8 **SEC. 235. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CAPE COD CANAL**
9 **BRIDGES, MASSACHUSETTS.**

10 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the
11 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall brief
12 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
13 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
14 structure of the House of Representatives on the status
15 of the project for the replacement of the Bourne and Saga-
16 more Highway Bridges that cross the Cape Cod Canal
17 Federal Navigation Project.

18 (b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The briefing under subsection
19 (a) shall include discussion of—

20 (1) the current status of environmental review
21 under the National Environmental Policy Act of
22 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and expected
23 timelines for completion;

1 (2) project timelines and relevant paths to move
2 the project described in that subsection toward com-
3 pletion; and

4 (3) any issues that are impacting the delivery
5 of the project described in that subsection.

6 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS,**
7 **MODIFICATIONS, AND RE-**
8 **LATED PROVISIONS**

9 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

10 (a) TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NEVADA.—The project for
11 flood control, Truckee Meadows, Nevada, authorized by
12 section 3(a)(10) of the Water Resources Development Act
13 of 1988 (102 Stat. 4014) and section 7002(2) of the
14 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014
15 (128 Stat. 1366) is no longer authorized beginning on the
16 date of enactment of this Act.

17 (b) SEATTLE HARBOR, WASHINGTON.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
19 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
20 navigation, Seattle Harbor, Washington, described in
21 paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

22 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The portion of the
23 project referred to in paragraph (1) is the approxi-
24 mately 74,490 square foot area of the Federal chan-
25 nel within the East Waterway—

1 (A) starting at a point on the United
2 States pierhead line in the southwest corner of
3 block 386 of plat of Seattle Tidelands, T. 24
4 N., R. 4. E., sec.18, Willamette Meridian;

5 (B) thence running $N90^{\circ}00'00''W$ along
6 the projection of the south line of block 386,
7 206.58 feet to the centerline of the East Water-
8 way;

9 (C) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$ along
10 the centerline and parallel with the northwest-
11 erly line of block 386, 64.83 feet;

12 (D) thence running $N33^{\circ}32'59''E$, 235.85
13 feet;

14 (E) thence running $N39^{\circ}55'22''E$, 128.70
15 feet;

16 (F) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$, parallel
17 with the northwesterly line of block 386, 280.45
18 feet;

19 (G) thence running $N90^{\circ}00'00''E$, 70.00
20 feet to the pierhead line and the northwesterly
21 line of block 386; and

22 (H) thence running $S14^{\circ}30'00''W$, 650.25
23 feet along the pierhead line and northwesterly
24 line of block 386 to the point of beginning.

1 (e) CHERRYFIELD DAM, MAINE.—The project for
2 flood control, Narraguagus River, Cherryfield Dam,
3 Maine, authorized by, and constructed pursuant to, sec-
4 tion 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C.
5 701s) is no longer authorized beginning on the date of
6 enactment of this Act.

7 (d) UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM.—
8 Section 2010 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-
9 opment Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1270; 136 Stat. 3796) is
10 amended by adding at the end the following:

11 “(h) NAVIGATION.—Beginning on the date of enact-
12 ment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024,
13 the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam is no longer
14 authorized for navigation purposes.”

15 (e) EAST SAN PEDRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—The study
16 for the project for ecosystem restoration, East San Pedro
17 Bay, California, authorized by the resolution of the Com-
18 mittee on Public Works of the Senate, dated June 25,
19 1969, relating to the report of the Chief of Engineers for
20 Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers, Ballona Creek, is
21 no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment
22 of this Act.

23 (f) SOURIS RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA.—The
24 Talbott’s Nursery portion, consisting of approximately
25 2,600 linear feet of levee, of stage 4 of the project for

1 flood control, Souris River Basin, North Dakota, author-
 2 ized by section 1124 of the Water Resources Development
 3 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4243; 101 Stat. 1329–111), is no
 4 longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of
 5 this Act.

6 (g) MASARYKTOWN CANAL, FLORIDA.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project
 8 for the Four River Basins, Florida, authorized by
 9 section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76
 10 Stat. 1183) described in paragraph (2) is no longer
 11 authorized beginning on the date of enactment of
 12 this Act.

13 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The portion of the
 14 project referred to in paragraph (1) is the
 15 Masaryktown Canal C-534, which spans approxi-
 16 mately 5.5 miles from Hernando County, between
 17 Ayers Road and County Line Road east of United
 18 States Route 41, and continues south to Pasco
 19 County, discharging into Crews Lake.

20 **SEC. 302. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

21 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—Section 219(f) of the Water
 22 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
 23 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3808) is amended by adding at the
 24 end the following:

1 “(406) GLENDALE, ARIZONA.—\$5,200,000 for
2 environmental infrastructure, including water and
3 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
4 management), drainage systems, and water quality
5 enhancement, Glendale, Arizona.

6 “(407) TOHONO O’ODHAM NATION, ARIZONA.—
7 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
8 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
9 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
10 tion), Tohono O’odham Nation, Arizona.

11 “(408) FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.—\$4,800,000 for
12 environmental infrastructure, including water and
13 wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for
14 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Flagstaff,
15 Arizona.

16 “(409) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—\$30,000,000 for
17 environmental infrastructure, including water and
18 wastewater infrastructure (including recycled water
19 systems), Tucson, Arizona.

20 “(410) BAY-DELTA, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000
21 for environmental infrastructure, including water
22 and wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
23 management), drainage systems, and water quality
24 enhancement, San Francisco Bay–Sacramento–San
25 Joaquin River Delta, California.

1 “(411) INDIAN WELLS VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—
2 \$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
3 ing water and wastewater infrastructure, Indian
4 Wells Valley, Kern County, California.

5 “(412) OAKLAND—ALAMEDA ESTUARY, CALI-
6 FORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for environmental infrastruc-
7 ture, including water and wastewater infrastructure
8 (including stormwater management), drainage sys-
9 tems, and water quality enhancement, Oakland—Ala-
10 meda Estuary, Oakland and Alameda Counties, Cali-
11 fornia.

12 “(413) TIJUANA RIVER VALLEY WATERSHED,
13 CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for environmental infra-
14 structure, including water and wastewater infra-
15 structure, Tijuana River Valley Watershed, San
16 Diego County, California.

17 “(414) EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO.—
18 \$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
19 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure and
20 stormwater management, El Paso County, Colorado.

21 “(415) REHOBOTH BEACH, LEWES, DEWEY,
22 BETHANY, SOUTH BETHANY, FENWICK ISLAND,
23 DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental infra-
24 structure, including water and wastewater infra-

1 structure, Rehoboth Beach, Lewes, Dewey, Bethany,
2 South Bethany, and Fenwick Island, Delaware.

3 “(416) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—
4 \$25,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
5 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure, Wil-
6 mington, Delaware.

7 “(417) PICKERING BEACH, KITTS HUMMOCK,
8 BOWERS BEACH, SOUTH BOWERS BEACH, SLAUGH-
9 TER BEACH, PRIME HOOK BEACH, MILTON, MIL-
10 FORD, DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental
11 infrastructure, including water and wastewater in-
12 frastructure, Pickering Beach, Kitts Hummock,
13 Bowers Beach, South Bowers Beach, Slaughter
14 Beach, Prime Hook Beach, Milton, and Milford,
15 Delaware.

16 “(418) COASTAL GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for en-
17 vironmental infrastructure, including water and
18 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
19 management), Glynn County, Chatham County,
20 Bryan County, Effingham County, McIntosh Coun-
21 ty, and Camden County, Georgia.

22 “(419) COLUMBUS, HENRY, AND CLAYTON
23 COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000 for environ-
24 mental infrastructure, including water and waste-
25 water infrastructure (including stormwater manage-

1 ment); Columbus, Henry, and Clayton Counties,
2 Georgia.

3 “(420) COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000
4 for environmental infrastructure, including water
5 and wastewater infrastructure, Cobb County, Geor-
6 gia.

7 “(421) CALUMET CITY, ILLINOIS.—\$10,000,000
8 for environmental infrastructure, including water
9 and wastewater infrastructure, Calumet City, Illi-
10 nois.

11 “(422) WYANDOTTE COUNTY AND KANSAS
12 CITY, KANSAS.—\$35,000,000 for water and waste-
13 water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-
14 ment (including combined sewer overflows), Wyan-
15 dotte County and Kansas City, Kansas.

16 “(423) EASTHAMPTON, MASSACHUSETTS.—
17 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
18 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
19 ing wastewater treatment plant outfalls),
20 Easthampton, Massachusetts.

21 “(424) BYRAM, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
22 environmental infrastructure, including water and
23 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
24 management), drainage systems, and water quality
25 enhancement, Byram, Mississippi.

1 “(425) DIAMONDHEAD, MISSISSIPPI.—
2 \$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
3 ing water and wastewater infrastructure and drain-
4 age systems, Diamondhead, Mississippi.

5 “(426) HANCOCK COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—
6 \$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
7 ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including
8 stormwater management), drainage systems, and
9 water quality enhancement, Hancock County, Mis-
10 sissippi.

11 “(427) MADISON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
12 environmental infrastructure, including water and
13 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
14 management), drainage systems, and water quality
15 enhancement, Madison, Mississippi.

16 “(428) PEARL, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
17 environmental infrastructure, including water and
18 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
19 management), drainage systems, and water quality
20 enhancement, Pearl, Mississippi.

21 “(429) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—\$20,000,000 for en-
22 vironmental infrastructure, including water and
23 wastewater infrastructure, New Hampshire.

24 “(430) CAPE MAY COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—
25 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-

1 eluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
2 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
3 tion); Cape May County, New Jersey.

4 “(431) NYE COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$10,000,000
5 for environmental infrastructure, including water
6 and wastewater infrastructure (including water
7 wellfield and pipeline in the Pahrump Valley), Nye
8 County, Nevada.

9 “(432) STOREY COUNTY, NEVADA.—
10 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
11 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
12 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
13 tion); Storey County, Nevada.

14 “(433) NEW ROCHELLE, NEW YORK.—
15 \$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
16 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
17 ing stormwater management), New Rochelle, New
18 York.

19 “(434) CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO.—\$5,000,000
20 for environmental infrastructure, including water
21 and wastewater infrastructure (including combined
22 sewer overflows); Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

23 “(435) BLOOMINGBURG, OHIO.—\$6,500,000 for
24 environmental infrastructure, including water and
25 wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for

1 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution),
2 Bloomingburg, Ohio.

3 “(436) CITY OF AKRON, OHIO.—\$5,500,000 for
4 environmental infrastructure, including water and
5 wastewater infrastructure (including drainage sys-
6 tems), City of Akron, Ohio.

7 “(437) EAST CLEVELAND, OHIO.—\$13,000,000
8 for environmental infrastructure, including water
9 and wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
10 management), East Cleveland, Ohio.

11 “(438) ASHTABULA COUNTY, OHIO.—
12 \$1,500,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
13 ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including
14 water supply and water quality enhancement), Ash-
15 tabula County, Ohio.

16 “(439) STRUTHERS, OHIO.—\$500,000 for envi-
17 ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-
18 water infrastructure (including wastewater infra-
19 structure, stormwater management, and sewer im-
20 provements), Struthers, Ohio.

21 “(440) STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.—
22 \$30,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
23 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure and
24 water supply infrastructure (including facilities for

1 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Stillwater,
2 Oklahoma.

3 “(441) PENNSYLVANIA.—\$38,600,000 for envi-
4 ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-
5 water infrastructure, Pennsylvania.

6 “(442) CHESTERFIELD COUNTY, SOUTH CARO-
7 LINA.—\$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
8 structure and other environmental infrastructure
9 (including stormwater management), Chesterfield
10 County, South Carolina.

11 “(443) TIPTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—
12 \$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure and
13 water supply infrastructure, including facilities for
14 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution, Tipton
15 County, Tennessee.

16 “(444) OTHELLO, WASHINGTON.—\$14,000,000
17 for environmental infrastructure, including water
18 supply and storage treatment, Othello, Washington.

19 “(445) COLLEGE PLACE, WASHINGTON.—
20 \$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
21 ing water and wastewater infrastructure, College
22 Place, Washington.”.

23 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—

24 (1) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress
25 finds that the project modifications described in this

1 subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-
2 mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section
3 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
4 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report
5 to Congress on Future Water Resources Develop-
6 ment”, or have otherwise been reviewed by Congress.

7 (2) MODIFICATIONS.—

8 (A) ALABAMA.—Section 219(f)(274) of the
9 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
10 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.
11 3808) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000”
12 and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

13 (B) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
14 Section 219(f)(93) of the Water Resources De-
15 velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
16 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is
17 amended by striking “Santa Clarity Valley”
18 and inserting “Santa Clarita Valley”.

19 (C) KENT, DELAWARE.—Section
20 219(f)(313) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
22 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
23 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

24 (D) NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE.—Section
25 219(f)(314) of the Water Resources Develop-

1 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
2 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
3 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

4 (E) SUSSEX, DELAWARE.—Section
5 219(f)(315) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
8 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

9 (F) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section
10 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 121 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817) is
13 amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and insert-
14 ing “\$20,000,000”.

15 (G) MADISON COUNTY AND ST. CLAIR
16 COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(55) of the
17 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
18 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat.
19 2763A-221; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended—

20 (i) by striking “\$100,000,000” and
21 inserting “\$110,000,000”; and

22 (ii) by inserting “(including
23 stormwater management)” after “waste-
24 water assistance”.

1 (H) MONTGOMERY COUNTY AND CHRIS-
 2 TIAN COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(333)
 3 of the Water Resources Development Act of
 4 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.
 5 3812) is amended—

6 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
 7 ing “MONTGOMERY AND CHRISTIAN COUN-
 8 TIES” and inserting “MONTGOMERY,
 9 CHRISTIAN, FAYETTE, SHELBY, JASPER,
 10 RICHLAND, CRAWFORD, AND LAWRENCE
 11 COUNTIES”; and

12 (ii) by striking “Montgomery County
 13 and Christian County” and inserting
 14 “Montgomery County, Christian County,
 15 Fayette County, Shelby County, Jasper
 16 County, Richland County, Crawford Coun-
 17 ty, and Lawrence County”.

18 (I) WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section
 19 219(f)(334) of the Water Resources Develop-
 20 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
 21 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended—

22 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
 23 ing “WILL COUNTY” and inserting “WILL
 24 AND GRUNDY COUNTIES”; and

1 (ii) by striking “Will County” and in-
2 serting “Will County and Grundy County”.

3 (J) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
4 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Develop-
5 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
6 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
7 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

8 (K) MICHIGAN.—See 219(f)(157) of the
9 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
10 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat.
11 1262) is amended, in the paragraph heading, by
12 striking “COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS”.

13 (L) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
14 tion 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Devel-
15 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
16 336; 134 Stat. 2718) is amended by striking
17 “\$130,000,000” and inserting “\$144,000,000”.

18 (M) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
19 219(f)(167) of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
21 334; 121 Stat. 1263; 136 Stat. 3818) is
22 amended by striking “\$125,000,000” and in-
23 serting “\$139,000,000”.

24 (N) MADISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
25 tion 219(f)(351) of the Water Resources Devel-

1 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
2 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
3 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

4 (O) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
5 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
8 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

9 (P) RANKIN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—See
10 219(f)(354) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
13 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

14 (Q) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—See 219(f)(206)
15 of the Water Resources Development Act of
16 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat.
17 1265) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000”
18 and inserting “\$9,000,000”.

19 (R) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section
20 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
22 334; 121 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat. 2719) is
23 amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and insert-
24 ing “\$10,000,000”.

1 (S) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Sec-
 2 tion 219(f)(243) of the Water Resources Devel-
 3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
 4 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is amended—

5 (i) by striking “\$1,600,000” and in-
 6 serting “\$3,000,000”; and

7 (ii) by inserting “water supply and”
 8 before “wastewater”.

9 (T) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE,
 10 SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the
 11 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
 12 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136 Stat.
 13 3818) is amended by striking “\$165,000,000”
 14 and inserting “\$232,000,000”.

15 (U) MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.—Section
 16 219(f)(405) of the Water Resources Develop-
 17 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
 18 334; 136 Stat. 3816) is amended by striking
 19 “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$10,500,000”.

20 (e) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 219 of the
 21 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
 22 4835) is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting
 23 the following:

24 “(b) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise pro-
2 vided in this subsection, the non-Federal share of
3 the cost of a project for which assistance is provided
4 under this section shall be not less than 25 percent.

5 “(2) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-
6 NITIES.—The non-Federal share of the cost of a
7 project for which assistance is provided under this
8 section benefitting an economically disadvantaged
9 community (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
10 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33
11 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10
12 percent.

13 “(3) ABILITY TO PAY.—

14 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal
15 share of the cost of a project for which assist-
16 ance is provided under this section shall be sub-
17 ject to the ability of the non-Federal interest to
18 pay.

19 “(B) DETERMINATION.—The ability of a
20 non-Federal interest to pay shall be determined
21 by the Secretary in accordance with procedures
22 established by the Secretary.

23 “(C) DEADLINE.—Not later than 60 days
24 after the date of enactment of the Water Re-
25 sources Development Act of 2024, the Secretary

1 shall issue guidance on the procedures described
2 in subparagraph (B).

3 ~~“(4) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—~~

4 ~~“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall~~
5 ~~annually submit to the Committee on Environ-~~
6 ~~ment and Public Works of the Senate and the~~
7 ~~Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-~~
8 ~~ture of the House of Representatives a written~~
9 ~~notification of determinations made by the Sec-~~
10 ~~retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to~~
11 ~~pay under this section.~~

12 ~~“(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-~~
13 ~~ten notification under subparagraph (A), the~~
14 ~~Secretary shall include, for each determination~~
15 ~~made by the Secretary—~~

16 ~~“(i) the name of the non-Federal in-~~
17 ~~terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-~~
18 ~~quest for a determination under paragraph~~
19 ~~(3)(B);~~

20 ~~“(ii) the name and location of the~~
21 ~~project; and~~

22 ~~“(iii) the determination made by the~~
23 ~~Secretary and the reasons for the deter-~~
24 ~~mination, including the adjusted share of~~

1 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
2 interest, if applicable.”.

3 **SEC. 303. PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUC-**
4 **TURE.**

5 Section ~~313~~ of the Water Resources Development Act
6 of 1992 (~~106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat. 3723;~~
7 ~~113 Stat. 310; 117 Stat. 142; 121 Stat. 1146; 134 Stat.~~
8 ~~2719; 136 Stat. 3821~~) is amended—

9 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**SOUTH**
10 **CENTRAL**”;

11 (2) by striking “south central” each place it ap-
12 pears;

13 (3) by striking subsections (e) and (h);

14 (4) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), (f),
15 and (g) as subsections (e), (d), (e), and (f), respec-
16 tively; and

17 (5) in paragraph (2)(A) of subsection (e) (as
18 redesignated), by striking “the SARCD Council and
19 other”.

20 **SEC. 304. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.**

21 Section ~~1113~~ of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 1986 (~~100 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719; 136 Stat.~~
23 ~~3782~~) is amended—

24 (1) in subsection (d)—

1 (A) by striking “costs,” and all that fol-
 2 lows through “except that” and inserting
 3 “costs, shall be as described in the second sen-
 4 tence of subsection (b) (as in effect on the day
 5 before the date of enactment of the Water Re-
 6 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
 7 3691)); except that”; and

8 (B) by striking “measure benefitting” and
 9 inserting “measure (other than a reconnais-
 10 sance study) benefitting”; and

11 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$80,000,000”
 12 and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

13 **SEC. 305. OREGON ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

14 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 8359 of the Water Re-
 15 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3802) is
 16 amended—

17 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**SOUTH-**
 18 **WESTERN**”;

19 (2) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by strik-
 20 ing “southwestern” each place it appears;

21 (3) in subsection (c)(1), by striking
 22 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”; and

23 (4) by striking subsection (f).

24 (b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

1 (1) NDAA.—The table of contents in section
 2 2(b) of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Au-
 3 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat.
 4 2430) is amended by striking the item relating to
 5 section 8359 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8359. Oregon.”.

6 (2) WRDA.—The table of contents in section
 7 8001(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of
 8 2022 (136 Stat. 3694) is amended by striking the
 9 item relating to section 8359 and inserting the fol-
 10 lowing:

“Sec. 8359. Oregon.”.

11 **SEC. 306. KENTUCKY AND WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRON-**
 12 **MENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

13 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary
 14 shall establish a program to provide environmental assist-
 15 ance to non-Federal interests in Kentucky and West Vir-
 16 ginia.

17 (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided
 18 under this section may be in the form of design and con-
 19 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-
 20 structure and resource protection and development
 21 projects in Kentucky and West Virginia, including projects
 22 for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water sup-
 23 ply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and
 24 surface water resource protection and development.

1 (e) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may
2 provide assistance for a project under this section only if
3 the project is publicly owned.

4 (d) LOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENTS.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance
6 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a
7 local cooperation agreement with a non-Federal in-
8 terest to provide for design and construction of the
9 project to be carried out with such assistance.

10 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each local cooperation
11 agreement entered into under this subsection shall
12 provide for the following:

13 (A) Development by the Secretary, in con-
14 sultation with appropriate Federal and State of-
15 ficials, of a facilities or resource protection and
16 development plan, including appropriate engi-
17 neering plans and specifications.

18 (B) Establishment of such legal and insti-
19 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure
20 the effective long-term operation of the project
21 by the non-Federal interest.

22 (3) COST SHARING.—

23 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of
24 the cost of a project carried out under this sec-
25 tion—

1 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

2 (ii) may be provided in the form of
3 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

4 (B) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a
5 delay in the funding of the Federal share of a
6 project that is the subject of a local cooperation
7 agreement under this section, the non-Federal
8 interest shall receive credit for reasonable inter-
9 est incurred in providing the non-Federal share
10 of the project cost.

11 (C) LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-
12 WAY CREDIT.—The non-Federal interest shall
13 receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-
14 way, and relocations toward the non-Federal
15 share of project costs (including all reasonable
16 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-
17 essary for the construction, operation, and
18 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or
19 controlled land); but such credit may not exceed
20 25 percent of total project costs.

21 (D) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The
22 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-
23 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-
24 ance provided under this section shall be 100
25 percent.

1 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be
3 appropriated \$75,000,000 to carry out this section,
4 to be divided between the States described in sub-
5 section (a).

6 (2) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not
7 more than 10 percent of the amounts made available
8 to carry out this section may be used by the Corps
9 of Engineers to administer projects under this sec-
10 tion.

11 **SEC. 307. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND**
12 **NEW YORK.**

13 Section 542(c)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Devel-
14 opment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2672) is amended by in-
15 serting “, or in the case of a critical restoration project
16 benefitting an economically disadvantaged community (as
17 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Resources
18 Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public
19 Law 116–260)), 10 percent of the total costs of the
20 project” after “project”.

21 **SEC. 308. OHIO AND NORTH DAKOTA.**

22 Section 594(d)(3)(A) of the Water Resources Devel-
23 opment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 382) is amended—

24 (1) in the second sentence, by striking “The
25 Federal share may” and inserting the following:

1 “(iii) FORM.—The Federal share
2 may”;

3 (2) by striking the subparagraph designation
4 and heading and all that follows through “The Fed-
5 eral share of” in the first sentence and inserting the
6 following:

7 “(A) PROJECT COSTS.—

8 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as pro-
9 vided in clause (ii), the Federal share of”;
10 and

11 (3) by inserting after clause (i) (as so des-
12 ignated) the following:

13 “(ii) EXCEPTION.—The non-Federal
14 share of the cost of a project under this
15 section benefitting an economically dis-
16 advantaged community (as defined pursu-
17 ant to section 160 of the Water Resources
18 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
19 note; Public Law 116-260)) shall be 10
20 percent.”.

21 **SEC. 309. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

22 Section 340 of the Water Resources Development Act
23 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4856; 136 Stat. 3807) is amended—

24 (1) in subsection (c)(3)—

1 (A) in the first sentence, by striking
2 “Total project costs” and inserting the fol-
3 lowing:

4 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in
5 subparagraph (B), total project costs”; and

6 (B) by adding at the end the following:

7 “(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a project
8 benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-
9 munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
10 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
11 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)),
12 the Federal share of the total project costs
13 under the applicable local cooperation agree-
14 ment entered into under this subsection shall be
15 90 percent.

16 “(C) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal
17 share of the total project costs under this para-
18 graph may be provided in the same form as de-
19 scribed in section 571(e)(3)(A) of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.
21 371).”;

22 (2) by striking subsection (e);

23 (3) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), (h),
24 and (i) as subsections (e), (f), (g), and (h), respec-
25 tively; and

1 (4) in subsection (f) (as so redesignated), in the
 2 first sentence, by striking “\$140,000,000” and in-
 3 serting “\$170,000,000”.

4 **SEC. 310. NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

5 Section 571 of the Water Resources Development Act
 6 of 1999 (113 Stat. 371; 121 Stat. 1257; 136 Stat. 3807)
 7 is amended—

8 (1) in subsection (e)(3)—

9 (A) in subparagraph (A), in the first sen-
 10 tence, by striking “The Federal share” and in-
 11 serting “Except as provided in subparagraph
 12 (B), the Federal share”;

13 (B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B),
 14 (C), (D), and (E) as subparagraphs (C), (D),
 15 (E), and (F), respectively; and

16 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (A)
 17 the following:

18 “(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a project
 19 benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-
 20 munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
 21 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
 22 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)),
 23 the Federal share of the project costs under the
 24 applicable local cooperation agreement entered
 25 into under this subsection shall be 90 percent.”;

1 (2) by striking subsection (g);
 2 (3) by redesignating subsections (h), (i), and (j)
 3 as sections (g), (h), and (i), respectively; and
 4 (4) in subsection (g) (as so redesignated), by
 5 striking “\$120,000,000” and inserting
 6 “\$150,000,000”.

7 **SEC. 311. OHIO, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WEST VIRGINIA.**

8 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

9 (1) IMPAIRED WATER.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “impaired
 11 *water*” means a stream of a watershed that is
 12 not, as of the date of an application under this
 13 section, achieving the designated use of the
 14 stream.

15 (B) INCLUSION.—The term “impaired
 16 *water*” includes any stream identified by a
 17 State under section 303(d) of the Federal
 18 Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C.
 19 1313(d)).

20 (2) RESTORATION.—

21 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “restora-
 22 tion”, with respect to impaired water, means
 23 the restoration of the impaired water to such an
 24 extent that the stream could achieve its des-
 25 ignated use over the greatest practical number

1 of stream-miles, as determined using, if avail-
2 able, State-designated or Tribal-designated cri-
3 teria.

4 (B) INCLUSION.—The term “restoration”
5 includes the removal of covered pollutants.

6 (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary
7 may establish a pilot program to provide environmental
8 assistance to non-Federal interests for the restoration of
9 impaired water impacted by acid mine drainage in Ohio,
10 Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

11 (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance under this
12 section may be in the form of technical assistance and de-
13 sign and construction assistance for water-related environ-
14 mental infrastructure to address acid mine drainage, in-
15 cluding projects for centralized water treatment and re-
16 lated facilities.

17 (d) PRIORITIZATION.—The Secretary shall prioritize
18 assistance under this section to a project that—

19 (1) addresses acid mine drainage from multiple
20 sources impacting impaired waters; or

21 (2) includes a centralized water treatment sys-
22 tem to reduce the acid mine drainage load in im-
23 paired waters.

1 (e) PUBLIC OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-
2 retary may provide assistance for a project under this sec-
3 tion only if the project is publicly owned.

4 (f) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall, to the
5 maximum extent practicable, work with States, units of
6 local government, and other relevant Federal agencies to
7 secure any permits, variances, or approvals necessary to
8 facilitate the completion of projects receiving assistance
9 under this section.

10 (g) COST-SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost
11 of a project carried out under this section shall be 25 per-
12 cent, including provision of all land, easements, rights-of-
13 way, and necessary relocations.

14 (h) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a project under
15 this section shall be initiated only after the non-Federal
16 interest has entered into a binding agreement with the
17 Secretary to pay—

18 (1) the non-Federal share of the costs of con-
19 struction of a project carried out under this section;
20 and

21 (2) 100 percent of any operation, maintenance,
22 and replacement and rehabilitation costs of a project
23 carried out under this section.

24 (i) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary, with the
25 consent of the non-Federal interest for a project carried

1 out under this section, may receive or expend funds con-
 2 tributed by a nonprofit entity for the project.

3 (j) ~~AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.~~—There is
 4 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
 5 \$50,000,000, to remain available until expended.

6 **SEC. 312. WESTERN RURAL WATER.**

7 Section 595(a) of the Water Resources Development
 8 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 1836) is amend-
 9 ed—

10 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as
 11 paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and

12 (2) by inserting before paragraph (2) (as so re-
 13 designated) the following:

14 “(1) ~~NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.~~—The term
 15 ‘non-Federal interest’ includes an entity declared to
 16 be a political subdivision of the State of New Mex-
 17 ico.”.

18 **SEC. 313. CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.**

19 (a) ~~REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-~~
 20 ~~NELS.~~—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat.
 21 877, chapter 877; 33 U.S.C. 701g), is amended—

22 (1) by striking “\$7,500,000” and inserting
 23 “\$15,000,000”;

1 (2) by inserting “for preventing and mitigating
2 flood damages associated with ice jams,” after
3 “other debris,”; and

4 (3) by striking “\$500,000” and inserting
5 “\$1,000,000”.

6 (b) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE
7 PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of
8 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended—

9 (1) by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting
10 “\$40,000,000”; and

11 (2) by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
12 “\$15,000,000”.

13 (c) STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-
14 PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.—Section 3(e) of the Act
15 of August 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33
16 U.S.C. 426g(e)), is amended—

17 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking
18 “\$37,500,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”; and

19 (2) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking
20 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

21 (d) SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.—Section
22 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)
23 is amended—

24 (1) in the first sentence, by striking
25 “\$68,750,000” and inserting “\$85,000,000”; and

1 (2) in the third sentence, by striking
2 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

3 (c) AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—Section
4 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33
5 U.S.C. 2230) is amended—

6 (1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the
7 following:

8 “(4) DROUGHT RESILIENCE.—A project under
9 this section may include measures that enhance
10 drought resilience through the restoration of wet-
11 lands or the removal of invasive species.”;

12 (2) in subsection (d), by striking
13 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”; and

14 (3) in subsection (f), by striking “\$62,500,000”
15 and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

16 (f) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF
17 ENVIRONMENT.—Section 1135 of the Water Resources
18 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a) is amend-
19 ed—

20 (1) in subsection (d), in the third sentence, by
21 striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
22 “\$15,000,000”; and

23 (2) in subsection (h), by striking
24 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

1 (g) SHORE DAMAGE PREVENTION OR MITIGATION.—
 2 Section 111(e) of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33
 3 U.S.C. 426i(e)) is amended by striking “\$12,500,000”
 4 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

5 (h) SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT
 6 PROJECTS.—Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act
 7 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking
 8 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

9 (i) REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.—Section
 10 204(e)(1)(C) of the Water Resources Development Act of
 11 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326(e)(1)(C)) is amended by striking
 12 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

13 **SEC. 314. SMALL PROJECT ASSISTANCE.**

14 Section 165(b) of the Water Resources Development
 15 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)
 16 is amended by striking “2024” each place it appears and
 17 inserting “2029”.

18 **SEC. 315. GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER**
 19 **INTERBASIN PROJECT, BRANDON ROAD,**
 20 **WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

21 After completion of construction of the project for
 22 ecosystem restoration, Great Lakes and Mississippi River
 23 Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois,
 24 authorized by section 401(5) of the Water Resources De-
 25 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2740) and modified by

1 section 402(a) of that Act (134 Stat. 2742) and section
 2 8337 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
 3 (136 Stat. 3793), the Federal share of operation and
 4 maintenance costs of the project shall be 90 percent.

5 **SEC. 316. MAMARONECK-SHELDRAKE RIVERS, NEW YORK.**

6 The non-Federal share of the cost of features of the
 7 project for flood risk management, Mamaroneck-
 8 Sheldrake Rivers, New York, authorized by section
 9 1401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018
 10 (132 Stat. 3837), benefitting an economically disadvan-
 11 taged community (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
 12 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
 13 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.

14 **SEC. 317. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, ALASKA.**

15 Section 5032(a)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
 16 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1205; 134 Stat. 2719) is
 17 amended by striking “20” and inserting “25”.

18 **SEC. 318. SELMA FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND BANK**

19 **STABILIZATION.**

20 (a) EXPEDITED REVIEW.—The Secretary shall expe-
 21 dite the review of, and give due consideration to, the re-
 22 quest from the City of Selma, Alabama, that the Secretary
 23 apply section 103(k) of the Water Resources Development
 24 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(k)) to the project for flood
 25 risk management, Selma Flood Risk Management and

1 Bank Stabilization, Alabama, authorized by section
 2 8401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
 3 (136 Stat. 3839).

4 (b) COST-SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost
 5 of the project for flood risk management, Selma Flood
 6 Risk Management and Bank Stabilization, Alabama, au-
 7 thorized by section 8401(2) of the Water Resources Devel-
 8 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3839), shall be 10 percent.

9 **SEC. 319. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.**

10 Section 519(c)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
 11 ment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2654; 121 Stat. 1221) is
 12 amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2029”.

13 **SEC. 320. HAWAII ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.**

14 Section 444 of the Water Resources Development Act
 15 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286) is amended—

16 (1) by striking “and environmental restoration”
 17 and inserting “environmental restoration, and coast-
 18 al storm risk management”; and

19 (2) by inserting “Hawaii,” after “Guam,”.

20 **SEC. 321. CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
 21 **PARTNERSHIPS.**

22 Section 104(g)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
 23 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(g)(2)(A)) is amended by inserting
 24 “the Connecticut River Basin,” after “the Ohio River
 25 Basin,”.

1 **SEC. 322. EXPENSES FOR CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT**
2 **GROWTHS AND INVASIVE SPECIES.**

3 Section 104(d)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
4 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(d)(2)(A)) is amended by striking
5 “50 percent” and inserting “35 percent”.

6 **SEC. 323. CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASIAN CARP PREVENTION**
7 **PILOT PROGRAM.**

8 Section 509(a)(2)(C)(ii) of the Water Resources De-
9 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law
10 116–260) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting
11 “2029”.

12 **SEC. 324. EXTENSION FOR CERTAIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
13 **PROGRAMS.**

14 Section 104(b)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
15 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(b)(2)(A)) is amended—

16 (1) in clause (i), by striking “each of fiscal
17 years 2021 through 2024” and inserting “each of
18 fiscal years 2025 through 2029”; and

19 (2) in clause (ii), by striking “2028” and in-
20 serting “2029”.

21 **SEC. 325. STORM DAMAGE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION,**
22 **COASTAL EROSION, RIVERINE EROSION, AND**
23 **ICE AND GLACIAL DAMAGE, ALASKA.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8315 of the Water Re-
25 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3783) is
26 amended—

1 (1) in the section heading, by inserting
 2 “**RIVERINE EROSION,**” after “**COASTAL ERO-**
 3 **SION,**” and

4 (2) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding
 5 paragraph (1), by inserting “riverine erosion,” after
 6 “coastal erosion,”.

7 (b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—**

8 (1) The table of contents in section 2(b) of the
 9 James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization
 10 Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat. 2429) is
 11 amended by striking the item relating to section
 12 8315 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine
 erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.”

13 (2) The table of contents in section 8001(b) of
 14 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
 15 Stat. 3693) is amended by striking the item relating
 16 to section 8315 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine
 erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.”

17 **SEC. 326. REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-**
 18 **STRUCTED DAMS.**

19 Section 1177 of the Water Resources Development
 20 Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f-2 note; Public Law 114-
 21 322) is amended—

22 (1) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the
 23 following:

1 “(e) COST SHARING.—The non-Federal share of the
 2 cost of a project for rehabilitation of a dam under this
 3 section, including the cost of any required study, shall be
 4 the same share assigned to the non-Federal interest for
 5 the cost of initial construction of that dam, including pro-
 6 vision of all land, easements, rights-of-way, and necessary
 7 relocations.”;

8 (2) in subsection (e)—

9 (A) by striking the subsection designation
 10 and heading and all that follows through “The
 11 Secretary” and inserting the following:

12 “(e) COST LIMITATION.—

13 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
 14 graph (2), the Secretary”;

15 (B) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(2) CERTAIN DAMS.—The Secretary shall not
 17 expend more than \$100,000,000 under this section
 18 for the Waterbury Dam Spillway Project,
 19 Vermont.”;

20 (3) in subsection (f), by striking “fiscal years
 21 2017 through 2026” and inserting “fiscal years
 22 2025 through 2029”; and

23 (4) by striking subsection (g).

1 **SEC. 327. EDIZ HOOK BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT,**
2 **PORT ANGELES, WASHINGTON.**

3 The cost-share for operation and maintenance costs
4 for the project for beach erosion control, Ediz Hook, Port
5 Angeles, Washington, authorized by section 4 of the Water
6 Resources Development Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 15), shall
7 be in accordance with the cost-share described in section
8 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
9 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)).

10 **SEC. 328. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO CERTAIN**
11 **LOUISIANA HURRICANE AND COASTAL**
12 **STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION**
13 **PROJECTS.**

14 It is the sense of Congress that all efforts should be
15 made to extend the scope of the project for hurricane and
16 storm damage risk reduction, Morganza to the Gulf, Lou-
17 isiana, authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Re-
18 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.
19 1368), and the project for hurricane and storm damage
20 risk reduction, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana, author-
21 ized by section 8401(3) of the Water Resources Develop-
22 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3841), in order to connect
23 the two projects and realize the benefits of continuous hur-
24 ricane and coastal storm damage risk reduction from west
25 of Houma in Gibson, Louisiana, to the connection with

1 the Hurricane Storm Damage Risk Reduction System
2 around New Orleans, Louisiana.

3 **SEC. 329. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY PROGRAM.**

4 Section 704(b)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
5 ment Act of 1986 (~~33 U.S.C. 2263~~ note; Public Law 99-
6 662) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking
7 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$120,000,000”.

8 **SEC. 330. BOSQUE WILDLIFE RESTORATION PROJECT.**

9 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall establish a
10 program to carry out appropriate planning, design, and
11 construction measures for wildfire prevention and restora-
12 tion in the Middle Rio Grande Bosque, including the re-
13 moval of jetty jacks.

14 (b) **COST SHARE.**—

15 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in para-
16 graph (2), the non-Federal share of the cost of a
17 project carried out under this section shall be in ac-
18 cordance with sections ~~103~~ and ~~105~~ of the Water
19 Resources Development Act of 1986 (~~33 U.S.C.~~
20 ~~2213, 2215~~).

21 (2) **EXCEPTION.**—The non-Federal share of the
22 cost of a project carried out under this section bene-
23 fitting an economically disadvantaged community (as
24 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
2 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.

3 (c) REPEAL.—Section 116 of the Energy and Water
4 Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (117 Stat. 1836),
5 is repealed.

6 (d) TREATMENT.—The program authorized under
7 subsection (a) shall be considered a continuation of the
8 program authorized by section 116 of the Energy and
9 Water Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (117 Stat.
10 1836) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment
11 of this Act).

12 **SEC. 331. EXPANSION OF TEMPORARY RELOCATION ASSIST-**
13 **ANCE PILOT PROGRAM.**

14 Section 8154(g)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
15 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3735) is amended by adding
16 at the end the following:

17 “(F) Project for hurricane and storm dam-
18 age risk reduction, Norfolk, Virginia, authorized
19 by section 401(3) of the Water Resources De-
20 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.

21 **SEC. 332. WILSON LOCK FLOATING GUIDE WALL.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—On the request of the relevant
23 Federal entity, the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent
24 practicable, use all relevant authorities to expeditiously
25 provide technical assistance, including engineering and de-

1 sign assistance, and cost estimation assistance to the rel-
 2 evant Federal entity in order to address the impacts to
 3 navigation along the Tennessee River at the Wilson Lock
 4 and Dam, Alabama.

5 (b) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section au-
 6 thorizes the Secretary to expend funding on the repair,
 7 replacement, or removal of a capital asset owned by the
 8 relevant Federal entity, including the Wilson Lock and
 9 Dam.

10 **SEC. 333. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND DELAWARE BAY**
 11 **COAST COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT**
 12 **STUDY.**

13 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

14 (1) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-
 15 NITY.—The term “economically disadvantaged com-
 16 munity” has the meaning given the term pursuant
 17 to section 160 of the Water Resources Development
 18 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116-
 19 260)).

20 (2) STUDY.—The term “study” means the
 21 Delaware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast
 22 Coastal Storm Risk Management Study, authorized
 23 by the resolution of the Committee on Public Works
 24 and Transportation of the House of Representatives
 25 dated October 1, 1986, and the resolution of the

1 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
2 Senate dated June 23, 1988.

3 (b) **STUDY, PROJECTS, AND SEPARABLE ELE-**
4 **MENTS.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if
5 the Secretary determines that the study will benefit 1 or
6 more economically disadvantaged communities, the non-
7 Federal share of the costs of carrying out the study, or
8 project construction or a separable element of a project
9 authorized based on the study, shall be 10 percent.

10 (c) **COST SHARING AGREEMENT.**—The Secretary
11 shall seek to expedite any amendments to any existing
12 cost-share agreement for the study in accordance with this
13 section.

14 **SEC. 334. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER PLAN.**

15 Section 1103(e)(4) of the Water Resources Develop-
16 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)) is amended by
17 striking “\$15,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

18 **SEC. 335. REHABILITATION OF PUMP STATIONS.**

19 Notwithstanding the requirements of section 133 of
20 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
21 2327a), for purposes of that section, each of the following
22 shall be considered to be an eligible pump station (as de-
23 fined in subsection (a) of that section) that meets the re-
24 quirements described in subsection (b) of that section:

1 (1) The flood control pump station, Hockanum
2 Road, Northampton, Massachusetts.

3 (2) Pointe Celeste Pump Station, Plaquemines
4 Parish, Louisiana.

5 **SEC. 336. NAVIGATION ALONG THE TENNESSEE-**
6 **TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY.**

7 The Secretary shall, consistent with applicable statu-
8 tory authorities—

9 (1) coordinate with the relevant stakeholders
10 and communities in the State of Alabama and the
11 State of Mississippi to address the dredging needs of
12 the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway in those States;
13 and

14 (2) ensure continued navigation at the locks
15 and dams owned and operated by the Corps of Engi-
16 neers located along the Tennessee-Tombigbee Wa-
17 terway.

18 **SEC. 337. GARRISON DAM, NORTH DAKOTA.**

19 The Secretary shall expedite the review of, and give
20 due consideration to, the request from the relevant Fed-
21 eral power marketing administration that the Secretary
22 apply section 1203 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 467n) to the project for dam safe-
24 ty at Garrison Dam, North Dakota.

1 **SEC. 338. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MISSOURI**
2 **RIVER PRIORITIES.**

3 It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should
4 make publicly available, where appropriate, any data used
5 and any decisions made by the Corps of Engineers relating
6 to the operations of civil works projects within the Mis-
7 souri River Basin in order to ensure transparency for the
8 communities in that Basin.

9 **SEC. 339. SOIL MOISTURE AND SNOWPACK MONITORING.**

10 Section 511(a)(3) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2753) is amended by striking
12 “2025” and inserting “2029”.

13 **SEC. 340. CONTRACTS FOR WATER SUPPLY.**

14 (a) COPAN LAKE, OKLAHOMA.—Section 8358(b)(2)
15 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
16 Stat. 3802) is amended by striking “more than 110 per-
17 cent of the initial project investment cost per acre-foot of
18 storage for the acre-feet of storage space sought under an
19 agreement under paragraph (1)” and inserting “, for the
20 acre-feet of storage space being sought under an agree-
21 ment under paragraph (1), more than 110 percent of the
22 contractual rate per acre-foot of storage in the most recent
23 agreement of the City for water supply storage space at
24 the project”.

25 (b) STATE OF KANSAS.—

1 (1) ~~IN GENERAL.~~—The Secretary shall amend
2 the contracts described in paragraph (2) between the
3 United States and the State of Kansas, relating to
4 storage space for water supply, to change the meth-
5 od of calculation of the interest charges that began
6 accruing on February 1, 1977, on the investment
7 costs for the 198,350 acre-feet of future use storage
8 space and on April 1, 1979, on 125,000 acre-feet of
9 future use storage from compounding interest annu-
10 ally to charging simple interest annually on the prin-
11 cipal amount, until—

12 (A) the State of Kansas informs the Sec-
13 retary of the desire to convert the future use
14 storage space to present use; and

15 (B) the principal amount plus the accumu-
16 lated interest becomes payable pursuant to the
17 terms of the contracts.

18 (2) ~~CONTRACTS DESCRIBED.~~—The contracts re-
19 ferred to in paragraph (1) are the following con-
20 tracts between the United States and the State of
21 Kansas:

22 (A) Contract ~~DACW41-74-C-0081~~, en-
23 tered into on March 8, 1974, for the use by the
24 State of Kansas of storage space for water sup-
25 ply in Milford Lake, Kansas.

1 (B) Contract ~~DACW41-77-C-0003~~, en-
2 tered into on December 10, 1976, for the use
3 by the State of ~~Kansas~~ for water supply in
4 Perry Lake, Kansas.

5 **SEC. 341. REND LAKE, CARLYLE LAKE, AND LAKE SHELBY-**
6 **VILLE, ILLINOIS.**

7 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the
8 date on which the Secretary receives a request from the
9 Governor of Illinois to terminate a contract described in
10 subsection (c), the Secretary shall amend the contract to
11 release to the United States all rights of the State of Illi-
12 nois to utilize water storage space in the reservoir project
13 to which the contract applies.

14 (b) **RELIEF OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.**—On execu-
15 tion of an amendment described in subsection (a), the
16 State of Illinois shall be relieved of the obligation to pay
17 the percentage of the annual operation and maintenance
18 expense; the percentage of major replacement cost, and
19 the percentage of major rehabilitation cost allocated to the
20 water supply storage specified in the contract for the res-
21 ervoir project to which the contract applies.

22 (c) **CONTRACTS.**—Subsection (a) applies to the fol-
23 lowing contracts between the United States and the State
24 of Illinois:

1 (1) Contract ~~DACW43-88-C-0088~~, entered
2 into on September 23, 1988, for utilization of stor-
3 age space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illinois.

4 (2) Contract ~~DA-23-065-CIVENG-65-493~~,
5 entered into on April 28, 1965, for utilization of
6 storage space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illi-
7 nois.

8 (3) Contract ~~DACW43-83-C-0008~~, entered
9 into on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space
10 in Carlyle Lake, Illinois.

11 (4) Contract ~~DACW43-83-C-0009~~, entered
12 into on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space
13 in Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.

14 **SEC. 342. DELAWARE COASTAL SYSTEM PROGRAM.**

15 (a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to pro-
16 vide for the collective planning and implementation of
17 coastal storm risk management and hurricane and storm
18 risk reduction projects in Delaware to provide greater effi-
19 ciency and a more comprehensive approach to life safety
20 and economic growth.

21 (b) DESIGNATION.—The following projects for coast-
22 al storm risk management and hurricane and storm risk
23 reduction shall be known and designated as the “Delaware
24 Coastal System Program” (referred to in this section as
25 the “Program”):

1 (1) Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet
2 and Lewes Beach, Delaware, authorized by section
3 ~~101(a)(13)~~ of the Water Resources Development Act
4 of 1999 (~~113~~ Stat. 276).

5 (2) Delaware Coast, Bethany Beach and South
6 Bethany, Delaware, authorized by section
7 ~~101(a)(15)~~ of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 1999 (~~113~~ Stat. 276).

9 (3) Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to
10 Fenwick Island, Delaware, authorized by section
11 ~~101(b)(11)~~ of the Water Resources Development Act
12 of 2000 (~~114~~ Stat. 2577).

13 (4) Rehoboth Beach and Dewey Beach, Dela-
14 ware, authorized by section ~~101(b)(6)~~ of the Water
15 Resources Development Act of 1996 (~~110~~ Stat.
16 3667).

17 (5) Indian River Inlet, Delaware.

18 (6) The project for hurricane and storm dam-
19 age risk reduction, Delaware Beneficial Use of
20 Dredged Material for the Delaware River, Delaware,
21 authorized by section ~~401(3)~~ of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 2020 (~~134~~ Stat. 2736) and
23 modified by section ~~8327(a)~~ of the Water Resources
24 Development Act of 2022 (~~136~~ Stat. 3788) and sub-
25 section (e).

1 (c) ~~MANAGEMENT.~~—The Secretary shall manage the
2 projects described in subsection (b) as components of a
3 single, comprehensive system, recognizing the inter-
4 dependence of the projects.

5 (d) ~~COST-SHARE.~~—Notwithstanding any other provi-
6 sion of law, the Federal share of the cost of each of the
7 projects described in paragraphs (1) through (4) of sub-
8 section (b) shall be 80 percent.

9 (c) ~~BROADKILL BEACH, DELAWARE.~~—The project
10 for hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Delaware
11 Beneficial Use of Dredged Material for the Delaware
12 River, Delaware, authorized by section 401(3) of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
14 2736) and modified by section 8327(a) of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788), is
16 modified to include the project for hurricane and storm
17 damage reduction, Delaware Bay coastline, Delaware and
18 New Jersey—Broadkill Beach, Delaware, authorized by
19 section 101(a)(11) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275).

21 **SEC. 343. MAINTENANCE OF PILE DIKE SYSTEM.**

22 The Secretary shall continue to maintain the pile dike
23 system constructed by the Corps of Engineers for the pur-
24 pose of navigation along the Lower Columbia River and
25 Willamette River, Washington, at Federal expense.

1 **SEC. 344. CONVEYANCES.**

2 ~~(a) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—~~

3 ~~(1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—~~

4 The exact acreage and the legal description of any
5 real property to be conveyed under this section shall
6 be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the
7 Secretary.

8 ~~(2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING~~
9 ~~PROVISIONS.—~~Section 2696 of title 10, United
10 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance
11 under this section.

12 ~~(3) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—~~An entity to
13 which a conveyance is made under this section shall
14 be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,
15 including real estate transaction and environmental
16 documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

17 ~~(4) LIABILITY.—~~

18 ~~(A) HOLD HARMLESS.—~~An entity to which
19 a conveyance is made under this section shall
20 hold the United States harmless from any li-
21 ability with respect to activities carried out, on
22 or after the date of the conveyance, on the real
23 property conveyed.

24 ~~(B) FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY.—~~The
25 United States shall remain responsible for any
26 liability with respect to activities carried out be-

1 fore the date of conveyance on the real property
2 conveyed.

3 ~~(5) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—~~

4 The Secretary may require that any conveyance
5 under this section be subject to such additional
6 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers nec-
7 essary and appropriate to protect the interests of the
8 United States.

9 ~~(b) DILLARD ROAD, INDIANA.—~~

10 ~~(1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—~~The Secretary
11 shall convey to the State of Indiana all right, title,
12 and interest of the United States, together with any
13 improvements on the land, in and to the property
14 described in paragraph (2).

15 ~~(2) PROPERTY.—~~The property to be conveyed
16 under this subsection is the approximately 11.85
17 acres of land and road easements associated with
18 Dillard Road, including improvements on that land,
19 located in Patoka Township, Crawford County, Indi-
20 ana.

21 ~~(3) DEED.—~~The Secretary shall convey the
22 property under this subsection by quitclaim deed
23 under such terms and conditions as the Secretary
24 determines appropriate to protect the interests of
25 the United States.

1 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
2 that the property conveyed under this subsection is
3 not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-
4 terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-
5 cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.

6 (c) PORT OF SKAMANIA, WASHINGTON.—

7 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
8 shall convey to the Port of Skamania, Washington,
9 all right, title, and interest of the United States, to-
10 gether with any improvements on the land, in and
11 to the property described in paragraph (2).

12 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
13 under this subsection is the approximately 1.6 acres
14 of land designated as “Lot I-2”, including any im-
15 provements on the land, located in North Bonneville,
16 Washington, T. 2 N., R. 7 E., sec. 19, Willamette
17 Meridian.

18 (3) CONSIDERATION.—The Port of Skamania,
19 Washington, shall pay to the Secretary an amount
20 that is not less than the fair market value of the
21 property conveyed under this subsection, as deter-
22 mined by the Secretary.

1 **SEC. 345. EMERGENCY DROUGHT OPERATIONS PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) DEFINITION OF COVERED PROJECT.—In this sec-
4 tion, the term “covered project” means a project—

5 (1) that is located in the State of California or
6 the State of Arizona; and

7 (2)(A) of the Corps of Engineers for which
8 water supply is an authorized purpose; or

9 (B) for which the Secretary develops a water
10 control manual under section 7 of the Act of Decem-
11 ber 22, 1944 (commonly known as the “Flood Con-
12 trol Act of 1944”) (58 Stat. 890, chapter 665; 33
13 U.S.C. 709).

14 (b) EMERGENCY OPERATION DURING DROUGHT.—
15 Consistent with other authorized project purposes and in
16 coordination with the non-Federal interest, in operating
17 a covered project during a drought emergency in the
18 project area, the Secretary may carry out a pilot program
19 to operate the covered project with water supply as the
20 primary project purpose.

21 (c) UPDATES.—In carrying out this section, the Sec-
22 retary may update the water control manual for a covered
23 project to include drought operations and contingency
24 plans.

25 (d) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (b),
26 the Secretary shall ensure that—

1 (1) operations described in that subsection—

2 (A) are consistent with water management
3 deviations and drought contingency plans in the
4 water control manual for the covered project;

5 (B) impact only the flood pool managed by
6 the Secretary; and

7 (C) shall not be carried out in the event of
8 a forecast or anticipated flood or weather event
9 that would require flood risk management to
10 take precedence;

11 (2) to the maximum extent practicable, the Sec-
12 retary uses forecast-informed reservoir operations;
13 and

14 (3) the covered project returns to the operations
15 that were in place prior to the use of the authority
16 provided under that subsection at a time determined
17 by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-Fed-
18 eral interest.

19 (e) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary may re-
20 ceive and expend funds contributed by a non-Federal in-
21 terest to carry out activities under this section.

22 (f) REPORT.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after
24 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
25 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and

1 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
2 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
3 Representatives a report on the pilot program ear-
4 ried out under this section.

5 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall include
6 in the report under paragraph (1) a description of
7 the activities of the Secretary that were carried out
8 for each covered project and any lessons learned
9 from carrying out those activities.

10 (g) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section—

11 (1) affects, modifies, or changes the authorized
12 purposes of a covered project;

13 (2) affects existing Corps of Engineers authori-
14 ties, including authorities with respect to navigation,
15 flood damage reduction, and environmental protec-
16 tion and restoration;

17 (3) affects the ability of the Corps of Engineers
18 to provide for temporary deviations;

19 (4) affects the application of a cost-share re-
20 quirement under section 101, 102, or 103 of the
21 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
22 U.S.C. 2211, 2212, 2213);

23 (5) supersedes or modifies any written agree-
24 ment between the Federal Government and a non-

1 Federal interest that is in effect on the date of en-
2 actment of this Act;

3 (6) supersedes or modifies any amendment to
4 an existing multistate water control plan for the Col-
5 orado River Basin, if applicable;

6 (7) affects any water right in existence on the
7 date of enactment of this Act;

8 (8) preempts or affects any State water law or
9 interstate compact governing water;

10 (9) affects existing water supply agreements be-
11 tween the Secretary and the non-Federal interest; or

12 (10) affects any obligation to comply with the
13 provisions of any Federal or State environmental
14 law, including—

15 (A) the National Environmental Policy Act
16 of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.);

17 (B) the Federal Water Pollution Control
18 Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.); and

19 (C) the Endangered Species Act of 1973
20 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

21 **SEC. 346. REHABILITATION OF EXISTING LEVEES.**

22 Section 3017(e) of the Water Resources Reform and
23 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3303a note; Public
24 Law 113–121) is amended by striking “2028” and insert-
25 ing “2029”.

1 **SEC. 347. NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
 2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1043(b) of the Water Re-
 4 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
 5 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (3)(A)(i)—

7 (A) in the matter preceding subclause (I),
 8 by striking “20” and inserting “30”; and

9 (B) in subclause (III), by striking “5” and
 10 inserting “15”; and

11 (2) in paragraph (8), by striking “each of fiscal
 12 years 2019 through 2026” and inserting “each of
 13 fiscal years 2025 through 2029”.

14 (b) **LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA RESTORATION**
 15 **PROJECTS.**—

16 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—In carrying out the pilot
 17 program under section 1043(b) of the Water Re-
 18 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
 19 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 113–121), the Sec-
 20 retary may include in the pilot program a project
 21 authorized to be implemented under, or in accord-
 22 ance with, title VII of the Water Resources Develop-
 23 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1270).

24 (2) **ELIGIBILITY.**—In the case of a project de-
 25 scribed in paragraph (1) for which the non-Federal
 26 interest has initiated construction in accordance with

1 authorities governing the provision of in-kind con-
 2 tributions for the project, the Secretary shall take
 3 into account the value of any in-kind contributions
 4 provided by the non-Federal interest for the project
 5 prior to the date of execution of the project partner-
 6 ship agreement under section 1043(b) of the Water
 7 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (~~33~~
 8 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) for pur-
 9 poses of determining the non-Federal share of the
 10 costs to complete construction of the project.

11 **SEC. 348. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
 12 **GRAM.**

13 Section 128(c) of the Water Resources Development
 14 Act of 2020 (~~33~~ U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law 116–260)
 15 is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (13), by striking “and” at the
 17 end;

18 (2) in paragraph (14), by striking the period at
 19 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

20 (3) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(15) Lake Elsinore, California; and

22 “(16) Willamette River, Oregon.”

1 **SEC. 349. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MOBILE HAR-**
2 **BOR, ALABAMA.**

3 It is sense of Congress that the Secretary should, con-
4 sistent with applicable statutory authorities, coordinate
5 with relevant stakeholders in the State of Alabama to ad-
6 dress the dredging and dredging material placement needs
7 associated with the project for navigation, Mobile Harbor,
8 Alabama, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Control
9 Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5) and modified by section
10 309 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
11 (134 Stat. 2704).

12 **SEC. 350. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO PORT OF**
13 **PORTLAND, OREGON.**

14 It is sense of Congress that—

15 (1) the Port of Portland, Oregon, is the sole
16 dredging operator of the federally authorized naviga-
17 tion channel in the Columbia River, which was au-
18 thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbors
19 Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1177);

20 (2) the Corps of Engineers should continue to
21 provide operation and maintenance support for the
22 Port of Portland, Oregon, including for dredging
23 equipment;

24 (3) the pipeline dredge of the Port of Portland,
25 known as the “Dredge Oregon”, was built in 1965,

1 58 years ago, while the average age of a dredging
2 vessel in the United States is 25 years; and

3 (4) Congress commits to ensuring continued
4 dredging for the Port of Portland.

5 **SEC. 351. CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER PROGRAM.**

6 Section 8144 of the Water Resources Development
7 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3724) is amended—

8 (1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “2 years”
9 and inserting “4 years”; and

10 (2) in subsection (j), by striking “3 years” and
11 inserting “5 years”.

12 **SEC. 352. ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR UNDERSERVED COM-**
13 **MUNITY HARBORS.**

14 Section 8132 of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “and for pur-
17 poses of contributing to ecosystem restoration” be-
18 fore the period at the end; and

19 (2) in subsection (h)(1), by striking “2026”
20 and inserting “2029”.

1 **SEC. 353. WINOOSKI RIVER TRIBUTARY WATERSHED.**

2 Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
 3 ment Act of 1999 (~~33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)~~) is amended by
 4 adding at the end the following:

5 “(L) Winooski River tributary watershed,
 6 Vermont.”.

7 **SEC. 354. WACO LAKE, TEXAS.**

8 The Secretary shall, to the maximum extent prac-
 9 ticable, expedite the review of, and give due consideration
 10 to, the request from the City of Waco, Texas, that the
 11 Secretary apply section 147 of the Water Resources Devel-
 12 opment Act of 2020 (~~33 U.S.C. 701q-1~~) to the embank-
 13 ment adjacent to Waco Lake in Waco, Texas.

14 **SEC. 355. SEMINOLE TRIBAL CLAIM EXTENSION.**

15 Section 349 of the Water Resources Development Act
 16 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2716) is amended in the matter pre-
 17 ceeding paragraph (1) by striking “2022” and inserting
 18 “2027”.

19 **TITLE IV—PROJECT**
 20 **AUTHORIZATIONS**

21 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

22 The following projects for water resources develop-
 23 ment and conservation and other purposes, as identified
 24 in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water
 25 Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant
 26 to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-

1 opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise re-
 2 viewed by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by
 3 the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans,
 4 and subject to the conditions, described in the respective
 5 reports or decision documents designated in this section:

6 (1) NAVIGATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. MD	Baltimore Harbor Anchorage and Channels, Sea Girt Loop	June 22, 2023	Federal: \$47,956,500 Non-Federal: \$15,985,500 Total: \$63,942,000

7 (2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. KS	Manhattan Levees	May 6, 2024	Federal: \$29,455,000 Non-Federal: \$15,860,000 Total: \$45,315,000

8 (3) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-
 9 DUCATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. RI	Rhode Island Coastline Storm Risk Manage- ment	September 28, 2023	Federal: \$188,353,750 Non-Federal: \$101,421,250 Total: \$289,775,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
2. FL	St. Johns County, Ponte Vedra Beach, Coastal Storm Risk Management	April 18, 2024	Federal: \$49,223,000 Non-Federal: \$89,097,000 Total: \$138,320,000

1 (4) NAVIGATION AND HURRICANE AND STORM
2 DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazoria and Matagorda Counties	June 2, 2023	Federal: \$204,244,000 Inland Waterways Trust Fund: \$109,977,000 Total: \$314,221,000

3 (5) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND AQUATIC
4 ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. MS	Memphis Metro- politan Stormwater— North DeSoto County	December 18, 2023	Federal: \$17,289,000 Non-Federal: \$9,310,000 Total: \$26,599,000

5 (6) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report or Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. NY	South Shore Staten Island, Fort Wadsworth to Oakwood Beach Coastal Storm Risk Management	February 6, 2024	Federal: \$1,730,973,900 Non-Federal: \$363,228,100 Total: \$2,094,202,000
2. MO	University City Branch, River Des Peres	February 9, 2024	Federal: \$9,094,000 Non-Federal: \$4,897,000 Total: \$13,990,000

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

2 (a) *SHORT TITLE.*—*This Act may be cited as the*
3 *“Thomas R. Carper Water Resources Development Act of*
4 *2024”.*

5 (b) *TABLE OF CONTENTS.*—*The table of contents for*
6 *this Act is as follows:*

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 101. Notice to Congress regarding WRDA implementation.

Sec. 102. Prior guidance.

Sec. 103. Ability to pay.

Sec. 104. Federal interest determinations.

Sec. 105. Annual report to Congress.

Sec. 106. Processing timelines.

Sec. 107. Services of volunteers.

Sec. 108. Support of Army civil works missions.

Sec. 109. Inland waterway projects.

Sec. 110. Leveraging Federal infrastructure for increased water supply.

Sec. 111. Outreach and access.

Sec. 112. Model development.

Sec. 113. Planning assistance for States.

Sec. 114. Corps of Engineers Levee Owners Advisory Board.

Sec. 115. Silver Jackets program.

Sec. 116. Tribal partnership program.

Sec. 117. Tribal project implementation pilot program.

Sec. 118. Eligibility for inter-Tribal consortiums.

Sec. 119. Sense of Congress relating to the management of recreation facilities.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.*
Sec. 202. Vertical integration and acceleration of studies.
Sec. 203. Expedited completion.
Sec. 204. Expedited completion of other feasibility studies.
Sec. 205. Alexandria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, feasibility study.
Sec. 206. Craig Harbor, Alaska.
Sec. 207. Sussex County, Delaware.
Sec. 208. Forecast-informed reservoir operations in the Colorado River Basin.
Sec. 209. Beaver Lake, Arkansas, reallocation study.
Sec. 210. Gathright Dam, Virginia, study.
Sec. 211. Delaware Inland Bays Watershed Study.
Sec. 212. Upper Susquehanna River Basin comprehensive flood damage reduction feasibility study.
Sec. 213. Kanawha River Basin.
Sec. 214. Authorization of feasibility studies for projects from CAP authorities.
Sec. 215. Port Fourchon Belle Pass channel, Louisiana.
Sec. 216. Studies for modification of project purposes in the Colorado River Basin in Arizona.
Sec. 217. Non-Federal interest preparation of water reallocation studies, North Dakota.
Sec. 218. Technical correction, Walla Walla River.
Sec. 219. Watershed and river basin assessments.
Sec. 220. Independent peer review.
Sec. 221. Ice jam prevention and mitigation.
Sec. 222. Report on hurricane and storm damage risk reduction design guidelines.
Sec. 223. Briefing on status of certain activities on the Missouri River.
Sec. 224. Report on material contaminated by a hazardous substance and the civil works program.
Sec. 225. Report on efforts to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive species.
Sec. 226. J. Strom Thurmond Lake, Georgia.
Sec. 227. Study on land valuation procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program.
Sec. 228. Report to Congress on levee safety guidelines.
Sec. 229. Public-private partnership user's guide.
Sec. 230. Review of authorities and programs for alternative project delivery.
Sec. 231. Report to Congress on emergency response expenditures.
Sec. 232. Excess land report for certain projects in North Dakota.
Sec. 233. GAO studies.
Sec. 234. Prior reports.
Sec. 235. Briefing on status of Cape Cod Canal Bridges, Massachusetts.

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS, MODIFICATIONS, AND RELATED PROVISIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorizations.*
Sec. 302. Environmental infrastructure.
Sec. 303. Pennsylvania environmental infrastructure.
Sec. 304. Acequias irrigation systems.
Sec. 305. Oregon environmental infrastructure.
Sec. 306. Kentucky and West Virginia environmental infrastructure.
Sec. 307. Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York.

- Sec. 308. *Ohio and North Dakota.*
- Sec. 309. *Southern West Virginia.*
- Sec. 310. *Northern West Virginia.*
- Sec. 311. *Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.*
- Sec. 312. *Western rural water.*
- Sec. 313. *Continuing authorities programs.*
- Sec. 314. *Small project assistance.*
- Sec. 315. *Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois.*
- Sec. 316. *Mamaroneck-Sheldrake Rivers, New York.*
- Sec. 317. *Lowell Creek Tunnel, Alaska.*
- Sec. 318. *Selma flood risk management and bank stabilization.*
- Sec. 319. *Illinois River basin restoration.*
- Sec. 320. *Hawaii environmental restoration.*
- Sec. 321. *Connecticut River Basin invasive species partnerships.*
- Sec. 322. *Expenses for control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.*
- Sec. 323. *Corps of Engineers Asian carp prevention pilot program.*
- Sec. 324. *Extension for certain invasive species programs.*
- Sec. 325. *Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.*
- Sec. 326. *Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.*
- Sec. 327. *Ediz Hook Beach Erosion Control Project, Port Angeles, Washington.*
- Sec. 328. *Sense of Congress relating to certain Louisiana hurricane and coastal storm damage risk reduction projects.*
- Sec. 329. *Chesapeake Bay Oyster Recovery Program.*
- Sec. 330. *Bosque wildlife restoration project.*
- Sec. 331. *Expansion of temporary relocation assistance pilot program.*
- Sec. 332. *Wilson Lock floating guide wall.*
- Sec. 333. *Delaware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast Coastal Storm Risk Management Study.*
- Sec. 334. *Upper Mississippi River Plan.*
- Sec. 335. *Rehabilitation of pump stations.*
- Sec. 336. *Navigation along the Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway.*
- Sec. 337. *Garrison Dam, North Dakota.*
- Sec. 338. *Sense of Congress relating to Missouri River priorities.*
- Sec. 339. *Soil moisture and snowpack monitoring.*
- Sec. 340. *Contracts for water supply.*
- Sec. 341. *Rend Lake, Carlyle Lake, and Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.*
- Sec. 342. *Delaware Coastal System Program.*
- Sec. 343. *Maintenance of pile dike system.*
- Sec. 344. *Conveyances.*
- Sec. 345. *Emergency drought operations pilot program.*
- Sec. 346. *Rehabilitation of existing levees.*
- Sec. 347. *Non-Federal implementation pilot program.*
- Sec. 348. *Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.*
- Sec. 349. *Sense of Congress relating to Mobile Harbor, Alabama.*
- Sec. 350. *Sense of Congress relating to Port of Portland, Oregon.*
- Sec. 351. *Chattahoochee River Program.*
- Sec. 352. *Additional projects for underserved community harbors.*
- Sec. 353. *Winooski River tributary watershed.*
- Sec. 354. *Waco Lake, Texas.*
- Sec. 355. *Seminole Tribal claim extension.*
- Sec. 356. *Coastal erosion project, Barrow, Alaska.*
- Sec. 357. *Colebrook River Reservoir, Connecticut.*

Sec. 358. Sense of Congress relating to shallow draft dredging in the Chesapeake Bay.

TITLE IV—PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

Sec. 401. Project authorizations.

1 **SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.**

2 *In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary*
3 *of the Army.*

4 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

5 **SEC. 101. NOTICE TO CONGRESS REGARDING WRDA IMPLE-**
6 **MENTATION.**

7 *(a) PLAN OF IMPLEMENTATION.—*

8 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after*
9 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
10 *develop a plan for implementing this Act and the*
11 *amendments made by this Act.*

12 *(2) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the plan*
13 *under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—*

14 *(A) identify each provision of this Act (or*
15 *an amendment made by this Act) that will re-*
16 *quire—*

17 *(i) the development and issuance of*
18 *guidance, including whether that guidance*
19 *will be significant guidance;*

20 *(ii) the development and issuance of a*
21 *rule; or*

22 *(iii) appropriations;*

23 *(B) develop timelines for the issuance of—*

1 (i) any guidance described in subpara-
2 graph (A)(i); and

3 (ii) each rule described in subpara-
4 graph (A)(ii); and

5 (C) establish a process to disseminate infor-
6 mation about this Act and the amendments
7 made by this Act to each District and Division
8 Office of the Corps of Engineers.

9 (3) TRANSMITTAL.—On completion of the plan
10 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall transmit the
11 plan to—

12 (A) the Committee on Environment and
13 Public Works of the Senate; and

14 (B) the Committee on Transportation and
15 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

16 (b) IMPLEMENTATION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
17 DEVELOPMENT LAWS.—

18 (1) DEFINITION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
19 DEVELOPMENT LAW.—In this subsection, the term
20 “prior water resources development law” means each
21 of the following (including the amendments made by
22 any of the following):

23 (A) The Water Resources Development Act
24 of 2000 (Public Law 106–541; 114 Stat. 2572).

1 (B) *The Water Resources Development Act*
2 of 2007 (Public Law 110–114; 121 Stat. 1041).

3 (C) *The Water Resources Reform and Devel-*
4 *opment Act of 2014* (Public Law 113–121; 128
5 Stat. 1193).

6 (D) *The Water Infrastructure Improvements*
7 *for the Nation Act* (Public Law 114–322; 130
8 Stat. 1628).

9 (E) *The America’s Water Infrastructure Act*
10 of 2018 (Public Law 115–270; 132 Stat. 3765).

11 (F) *Division AA of the Consolidated Appro-*
12 *priations Act, 2021* (Public Law 116–260; 134
13 Stat. 2615).

14 (G) *Title LXXXI of division H of the James*
15 *M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act for*
16 *Fiscal Year 2023* (Public Law 117–263; 136
17 Stat. 3691).

18 (2) *NOTICE.*—

19 (A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 60 days
20 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
21 retary shall submit to the Committee on Envi-
22 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and the
23 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
24 of the House of Representatives a written notice

1 *of the status of efforts by the Secretary to imple-*
2 *ment the prior water resources development laws.*

3 *(B) CONTENTS.—*

4 *(i) IN GENERAL.—As part of the notice*
5 *under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall*
6 *include a list describing each provision of a*
7 *prior water resources development law that*
8 *has not been fully implemented as of the*
9 *date of submission of the notice.*

10 *(ii) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—For*
11 *each provision included on the list under*
12 *clause (i), the Secretary shall—*

13 *(I) establish a timeline for imple-*
14 *menting the provision;*

15 *(II) provide a description of the*
16 *status of the provision in the imple-*
17 *mentation process; and*

18 *(III) provide an explanation for*
19 *the delay in implementing the provi-*
20 *sion.*

21 *(3) BRIEFINGS.—*

22 *(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days*
23 *after the date of enactment of this Act, and every*
24 *90 days thereafter until the Chairs of the Com-*
25 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the*

1 *Senate and the Committee on Transportation*
2 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*
3 *tives determine that this Act, the amendments*
4 *made by this Act, and prior water resources de-*
5 *velopment laws are fully implemented, the Sec-*
6 *retary shall provide to relevant congressional*
7 *committees a briefing on the implementation of*
8 *this Act, the amendments made by this Act, and*
9 *prior water resources development laws.*

10 *(B) INCLUSIONS.—A briefing under sub-*
11 *paragraph (A) shall include—*

12 *(i) updates to the implementation plan*
13 *under subsection (a); and*

14 *(ii) updates to the written notice under*
15 *paragraph (2).*

16 *(c) ADDITIONAL NOTICE PENDING ISSUANCE.—Not*
17 *later than 30 days before issuing any guidance, rule, notice*
18 *in the Federal Register, or other documentation required*
19 *to implement this Act, an amendment made by this Act,*
20 *or a prior water resources development law (as defined in*
21 *subsection (b)(1)), the Secretary shall submit to the Com-*
22 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and*
23 *the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
24 *House of Representatives a written notice regarding the*
25 *pending issuance.*

1 (d) *WRDA IMPLEMENTATION TEAM.*—

2 (1) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this subsection:*

3 (A) *PRIOR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOP-*
4 *MENT LAW.*—*The term “prior water resources de-*
5 *velopment law” has the meaning given the term*
6 *in subsection (b)(1).*

7 (B) *TEAM.*—*The term “team” means the*
8 *Water Resources Development Act implementa-*
9 *tion team established under paragraph (2).*

10 (2) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—*The Secretary shall estab-*
11 *lish a Water Resources Development Act implementa-*
12 *tion team that shall consist of current employees of*
13 *the Federal Government, including—*

14 (A) *not fewer than 2 employees in the Office*
15 *of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil*
16 *Works;*

17 (B) *not fewer than 2 employees at the head-*
18 *quarters of the Corps of Engineers; and*

19 (C) *a representative of each district and di-*
20 *vision of the Corps of Engineers.*

21 (3) *DUTIES.*—*The team shall be responsible for*
22 *assisting with the implementation of this Act, the*
23 *amendments made by this Act, and prior water re-*
24 *sources development laws, including—*

25 (A) *performing ongoing outreach to—*

1 (i) Congress; and
2 (ii) employees and servicemembers sta-
3 tioned in districts and divisions of the
4 Corps of Engineers to ensure that all Corps
5 of Engineers employees are aware of and
6 implementing provisions of this Act, the
7 amendments made by this Act, and prior
8 water resources development laws, in a
9 manner consistent with congressional in-
10 tent;

11 (B) identifying any issues with implemen-
12 tation of a provision of this Act, the amendments
13 made by this Act, and prior water resources de-
14 velopment laws at the district, division, or na-
15 tional level;

16 (C) resolving the issues identified under
17 subparagraph (B), in consultation with Corps of
18 Engineers leadership and the Secretary; and

19 (D) ensuring that any interpretation devel-
20 oped as a result of the process under subpara-
21 graph (C) is consistent with congressional intent
22 for this Act, the amendments made by this Act,
23 and prior water resources development laws.

1 **SEC. 102. PRIOR GUIDANCE.**

2 *Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment*
3 *of this Act, the Secretary shall issue the guidance required*
4 *pursuant to each of the following provisions:*

5 (1) *Section 1043(b)(9) of the Water Resources*
6 *Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.*
7 *2201 note; Public Law 113–121).*

8 (2) *Section 8136 of the Water Resources Develop-*
9 *ment Act of 2022 (10 U.S.C. 2667 note; Public Law*
10 *117–263).*

11 **SEC. 103. ABILITY TO PAY.**

12 (a) *IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary shall expedite*
13 *any guidance or rulemaking necessary to the implementa-*
14 *tion of section 103(m) of the Water Resources Development*
15 *Act 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)) to address ability to pay.*

16 (b) *ABILITY TO PAY.—Section 103(m) of the Water Re-*
17 *sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)) is*
18 *amended by adding the end the following:*

19 “(5) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—*

20 “(A) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall an-*
21 *nually submit to the Committee on Environment*
22 *and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-*
23 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
24 *the House of Representatives written notification*
25 *of determinations made by the Secretary of the*

1 *ability of non-Federal interests to pay under this*
 2 *subsection.*

3 “(B) *CONTENTS.*—*In preparing the written*
 4 *notification under subparagraph (A), the Sec-*
 5 *retary shall include, for each determination*
 6 *made by the Secretary—*

7 “(i) *the name of the non-Federal inter-*
 8 *est that submitted to the Secretary a request*
 9 *for a determination under this subsection;*

10 “(ii) *the name and location of the*
 11 *project; and*

12 “(iii) *the determination made by the*
 13 *Secretary and the reasons for the deter-*
 14 *mination, including the adjusted share of*
 15 *the costs of the project of the non-Federal*
 16 *interest, if applicable.”.*

17 “(c) *TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.*—*Section 203(d)*
 18 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C.*
 19 *2269(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

20 “(7) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—

21 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall an-*
 22 *nually submit to the Committee on Environment*
 23 *and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-*
 24 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
 25 *the House of Representatives written notification*

1 *of determinations made by the Secretary of the*
2 *ability of non-Federal interests to pay under this*
3 *subsection.*

4 “(B) CONTENTS.—*In preparing the written*
5 *notification under subparagraph (A), the Sec-*
6 *retary shall include, for each determination*
7 *made by the Secretary—*

8 “(i) *the name of the non-Federal inter-*
9 *est that submitted to the Secretary a request*
10 *for a determination under paragraph*
11 *(1)(B)(ii);*

12 “(ii) *the name and location of the*
13 *project; and*

14 “(iii) *the determination made by the*
15 *Secretary and the reasons for the deter-*
16 *mination, including the adjusted share of*
17 *the costs of the project of the non-Federal*
18 *interest, if applicable.”.*

19 **SEC. 104. FEDERAL INTEREST DETERMINATIONS.**

20 *Section 905(b) of the Water Resources Development Act*
21 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b)) is amended—*

22 (1) *by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the*
23 *following:*

24 “(1) *IN GENERAL.—*

1 “(A) *IDENTIFICATION.*—As part of the sub-
2 mission of a work plan to Congress pursuant to
3 the joint explanatory statement for an annual
4 appropriations Act or as part of the submission
5 of a spend plan to Congress for a supplemental
6 appropriations Act under which the Corps of
7 Engineers receives funding, the Secretary shall
8 identify the studies in the plan—

9 “(i) for which the Secretary plans to
10 prepare a feasibility report under subsection
11 (a) that will benefit—

12 “(I) an economically disadvan-
13 taged community (as defined pursuant
14 to section 160 of the Water Resources
15 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
16 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)); or

17 “(II) a community other than a
18 community described in subclause (I);
19 and

20 “(ii) that are designated as a new start
21 under the work plan.

22 “(B) *DETERMINATION.*—

23 “(i) *IN GENERAL.*—After identifying
24 the studies under subparagraph (A) and
25 subject to subparagraph (C), the Secretary

1 *shall, with the consent of the applicable*
2 *non-Federal interest for the study, first de-*
3 *termine the Federal interest in carrying out*
4 *the study and the projects that may be pro-*
5 *posed in the study.*

6 “(ii) *FEASIBILITY COST SHARE AGREE-*
7 *MENT.—The Secretary may make a deter-*
8 *mination under clause (i) prior to the exe-*
9 *cution of a feasibility cost share agreement*
10 *between the Secretary and the non-Federal*
11 *interest.*

12 “(C) *LIMITATION.—For each fiscal year, the*
13 *Secretary may not make a determination under*
14 *subparagraph (B) for more than 20 studies iden-*
15 *tified under subparagraph (A)(i)(II).*

16 “(D) *APPLICATION.—*

17 “(i) *IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause*
18 *(ii) and with the consent of the non-Federal*
19 *interest, the Secretary may use the author-*
20 *ity provided under this subsection for a*
21 *study in a work plan submitted to Congress*
22 *prior to the date of enactment of the Thom-*
23 *as R. Carper Water Resources Development*
24 *Act of 2024 if the study otherwise meets the*

1 requirements described in subparagraph
2 (A).

3 “(ii) *LIMITATION.*—Subparagraph (C)
4 shall apply to the use of authority under
5 clause (i).”;

6 (2) in paragraph (2)—

7 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and”
8 at the end;

9 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
10 period and inserting “; and”; and

11 (C) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(C) shall be paid from the funding pro-
13 vided for the study in the applicable work plan
14 described in that paragraph.”; and

15 (3) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(6) *POST-DETERMINATION WORK.*—A study
17 under this section shall continue after a determina-
18 tion under paragraph (1)(B)(i) without a new invest-
19 ment decision.”.

20 **SEC. 105. ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.**

21 Section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and De-
22 velopment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) is amended—

23 (1) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection
24 (i); and

25 (2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following:

1 “(g) *NON-FEDERAL INTEREST NOTIFICATION.*—

2 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—After the publication of the
3 annual report under subsection (f), if the proposal of
4 a non-Federal interest submitted under subsection (b)
5 was included by the Secretary in the appendix under
6 subsection (c)(4), the Secretary shall provide written
7 notification to the non-Federal interest of such inclu-
8 sion.

9 “(2) *DEBRIEF.*—

10 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 30 days
11 after the date on which a non-Federal interest
12 receives the written notification under para-
13 graph (1), the non-Federal interest shall notify
14 the Secretary that the non-Federal interest is re-
15 questing a debrief under this paragraph.

16 “(B) *RESPONSE.*—If a non-Federal interest
17 requests a debrief under this paragraph, the Sec-
18 retary shall provide the debrief to the non-Fed-
19 eral interest by not later than 60 days after the
20 date on which the Secretary receives the request
21 for the debrief.

22 “(C) *INCLUSIONS.*—The debrief provided by
23 the Secretary under this paragraph shall in-
24 clude—

1 “(i) an explanation of the reasons that
2 the proposal was included in the appendix
3 under subsection (c)(4); and

4 “(ii) a description of—

5 “(I) any revisions to the proposal
6 that may allow the proposal to be in-
7 cluded in a subsequent annual report,
8 to the maximum extent practicable;

9 “(II) other existing authorities of
10 the Secretary that may be used to ad-
11 dress the need that prompted the pro-
12 posal, if applicable; and

13 “(III) any other information that
14 the Secretary determines to be appro-
15 priate.

16 “(h) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later than
17 30 days after the publication of the annual report under
18 subsection (f), for each proposal included in that annual
19 report or appendix, the Secretary shall notify each Member
20 of Congress that represents the State in which that proposal
21 will be located that the proposal was included the annual
22 report or the appendix.”.

23 **SEC. 106. PROCESSING TIMELINES.**

24 Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal year,
25 the Secretary shall ensure that the public website for the

1 “permit finder” of the Corps of Engineers accurately reflects
 2 the current status of projects for which a permit was, or
 3 is being, processed using amounts accepted under section
 4 214 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33
 5 U.S.C. 2352).

6 **SEC. 107. SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS.**

7 The seventeenth paragraph under the heading “GEN-
 8 ERAL PROVISIONS” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGI-
 9 NEERS—CIVIL” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF
 10 THE ARMY” in chapter IV of title I of the Supplemental
 11 Appropriations Act, 1983 (33 U.S.C. 569c), is amended—

12 (1) in the first sentence, by striking “The United
 13 States Army Chief of Engineers” and inserting the
 14 following:

15 “SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS

16 “SEC. 141. (a) IN GENERAL.—The Chief of Engi-
 17 neers”.

18 (2) in subsection (a) (as so designated), in the
 19 second sentence, by striking “Such volunteers” and
 20 inserting the following:

21 “(b) TREATMENT.—Volunteers under subsection (a)”;

22 and

23 (3) by adding at the end the following:

24 “(c) RECOGNITION.—

25 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)
 26 and (3), the Chief of Engineers may recognize through

1 *an award or other appropriate means the service of*
 2 *volunteers under subsection (a).*

3 “(2) *PROCESS.—The Chief of Engineers shall es-*
 4 *tablish a process to carry out paragraph (1).*

5 “(3) *LIMITATION.—The Chief of Engineers shall*
 6 *ensure that the recognition provided to a volunteer*
 7 *under paragraph (1) shall not be in the form of a*
 8 *cash award.”.*

9 **SEC. 108. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS MISSIONS.**

10 *Section 8159 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 11 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3740) is amended—*

12 *(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the*
 13 *end; and*

14 *(2) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the*
 15 *following:*

16 *“(4) West Virginia University to conduct aca-*
 17 *ademic research on flood resilience planning and risk*
 18 *management, water resource-related emergency man-*
 19 *agement, aquatic ecosystem restoration, water quality,*
 20 *siting and risk management for open- and closed-loop*
 21 *pumped hydropower energy storage, hydropower, and*
 22 *water resource-related recreation and management of*
 23 *resources for recreation in the State of West Virginia;*

24 *“(5) Delaware State University to conduct aca-*
 25 *ademic research on water resource ecology, water qual-*

1 *ity, aquatic ecosystem restoration, coastal restoration,*
2 *and water resource-related emergency management in*
3 *the State of Delaware, the Delaware River Basin, and*
4 *the Chesapeake Bay watershed;*

5 *“(6) the University of Notre Dame to conduct*
6 *academic research on hazard mitigation policies and*
7 *practices in coastal communities, including through*
8 *the incorporation of data analysis and the use of risk-*
9 *based analytical frameworks for reviewing flood miti-*
10 *gation and hardening plans and for evaluating the*
11 *design of new infrastructure; and*

12 *“(7) Mississippi State University to conduct*
13 *academic research on technology to be used in water*
14 *resources development infrastructure, analyses of the*
15 *environment before and after a natural disaster, and*
16 *geospatial data collection.”.*

17 **SEC. 109. INLAND WATERWAY PROJECTS.**

18 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 102(a) of the Water Re-*
19 *sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) is*
20 *amended—*

21 *(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by*
22 *striking “65 percent of the costs” and inserting “75*
23 *percent of the costs”; and*

24 *(2) in the undesignated matter following para-*
25 *graph (3), in the second sentence, by striking “35 per-*

1 *cent of such costs” and inserting “25 percent of such*
2 *costs”.*

3 **(b) APPLICATION.**—*The amendments made by sub-*
4 *section (a) shall apply beginning on October 1, 2024, to*
5 *any construction of a project for navigation on the inland*
6 *waterways that is new or ongoing on or after that date.*

7 **(c) EXCEPTION.**—*In the case of an inland waterways*
8 *project that receives funds under the heading “CONSTRUC-*
9 *TION” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL”*
10 *under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY” in*
11 *title III of division J of the Infrastructure Investment and*
12 *Jobs Act (135 Stat. 1359) that will not complete construc-*
13 *tion, replacement, rehabilitation, and expansion with such*
14 *funds—*

15 *(1) section 102(a) of the Water Resources Devel-*
16 *opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) shall not*
17 *apply; and*

18 *(2) any remaining costs shall be paid only from*
19 *amounts appropriated from the general fund of the*
20 *Treasury.*

21 **SEC. 110. LEVERAGING FEDERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FOR IN-**
22 **CREASED WATER SUPPLY.**

23 *Section 1118(i) of Water Resources Development Act*
24 *of 2016 (43 U.S.C. 390b–2(i)) is amended by striking para-*
25 *graph (2) and inserting the following:*

1 “(2) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS FOR OTHER FEDERAL
2 RESERVOIR PROJECTS.—

3 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is au-
4 thorized to receive and expend funds from a non-
5 Federal interest or a Federal agency that owns
6 a Federal reservoir project described in subpara-
7 graph (B) to formulate, review, or revise oper-
8 ational documents pursuant to a proposal sub-
9 mitted in accordance with subsection (a).

10 “(B) FEDERAL RESERVOIR PROJECTS DE-
11 SCRIBED.—A Federal reservoir project referred to
12 in subparagraph (A) is a reservoir for which the
13 Secretary is authorized to prescribe regulations
14 for the use of storage allocated for flood control
15 or navigation pursuant to section 7 of the Act of
16 December 22, 1944 (commonly known as the
17 ‘Flood Control Act of 1944’) (58 Stat. 890, chap-
18 ter 665; 33 U.S.C. 709).”.

19 **SEC. 111. OUTREACH AND ACCESS.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8117(b) of the Water Re-
21 sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b(b)) is
22 amended—

23 (1) in paragraph (1)—

24 (A) in subparagraph (A)(iii), by striking
25 “and” at the end;

1 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
2 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

3 (C) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(C) ensuring that a potential non-Federal
5 interest is aware of the roles, responsibilities,
6 and financial commitments associated with a
7 completed water resources development project
8 prior to initiating a feasibility study (as defined
9 in section 105(d) of the Water Resources Devel-
10 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d))), in-
11 cluding operations, maintenance, repair, replace-
12 ment, and rehabilitation responsibilities.”;

13 (2) in paragraph (2)—

14 (A) in subparagraph (D), by striking “and”
15 at the end;

16 (B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the
17 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

18 (C) by adding at the end the following:

19 “(F) to the maximum extent practicable—

20 “(i) develop and continue to make pub-
21 licly available, through a publicly available
22 existing website, information on the projects
23 and studies within the jurisdiction of each
24 district of the Corps of Engineers; and

1 “(ii) ensure that the information de-
2 scribed in clause (i) is consistent and made
3 publicly available in the same manner
4 across all districts of the Corps of Engi-
5 neers.”;

6 (3) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as
7 paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

8 (4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
9 lowing:

10 “(3) *GUIDANCE.*—The Secretary shall develop
11 and issue guidance to ensure that the points of con-
12 tacts established under paragraph (2)(B) are ade-
13 quately fulfilling their obligations under that para-
14 graph.”.

15 (b) *BRIEFING.*—Not later than 60 days after the date
16 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to the
17 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
18 and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
19 of the House of Representatives a briefing on the status of
20 the implementation of section 8117 of the Water Resources
21 Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b), including the
22 amendments made to that section by subsection (a), includ-
23 ing—

24 (1) a plan for implementing any requirements
25 under that section; and

1 (2) *any potential barriers to implementing that*
2 *section.*

3 **SEC. 112. MODEL DEVELOPMENT.**

4 *Section 8230 of the Water Resources Development Act*
5 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3765) is amended by adding at the end*
6 *the following:*

7 “(d) **MODEL DEVELOPMENT.**—

8 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary may partner*
9 *with other Federal agencies, National Laboratories,*
10 *and institutions of higher education to develop, up-*
11 *date, and maintain hydrologic and climate-related*
12 *models for use in water resources planning, including*
13 *models to assess compound flooding that arises when*
14 *2 or more flood drivers occur simultaneously or in*
15 *close succession, or are impacting the same region*
16 *over time.*

17 “(2) **USE.**—*The Secretary may use models devel-*
18 *oped by the entities described in paragraph (1).”.*

19 **SEC. 113. PLANNING ASSISTANCE FOR STATES.**

20 *Section 22(a)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Develop-*
21 *ment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16(a)(2)(B)) is amend-*
22 *ed by inserting “and title research for abandoned struc-*
23 *tures” before the period at the end.*

1 **SEC. 114. CORPS OF ENGINEERS LEVEE OWNERS ADVISORY**
2 **BOARD.**

3 (a) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

4 (1) *FEDERAL LEVEE SYSTEM OWNER-OPER-*
5 *ATOR.—The term “Federal levee system owner-oper-*
6 *ator” means a non-Federal interest that owns and op-*
7 *erates and maintains a levee system that was con-*
8 *structed by the Corps of Engineers.*

9 (2) *OWNERS BOARD.—The term “Owners Board”*
10 *means the Levee Owners Advisory Board established*
11 *under subsection (b).*

12 (b) *ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 90 days after*
13 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall estab-*
14 *lish a Levee Owners Advisory Board.*

15 (c) *MEMBERSHIP.—*

16 (1) *IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board—*

17 (A) *shall be composed of—*

18 (i) *11 members, to be appointed by the*
19 *Secretary, who shall—*

20 (I) *represent various regions of*
21 *the country, including not less than 1*
22 *Federal levee system owner-operator*
23 *from each of the civil works divisions*
24 *of the Corps of Engineers; and*

25 (II) *have the requisite experiential*
26 *or technical knowledge to carry out the*

1 *duties of the Owners Board described*
2 *in subsection (d); and*

3 *(ii) a representative of the Corps of*
4 *Engineers, to be designated by the Sec-*
5 *retary, who shall serve as a nonvoting mem-*
6 *ber; and*

7 *(B) may include a representative designated*
8 *by the head of the Federal agency described in*
9 *section 9002(1) of the Water Resources Develop-*
10 *ment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3301(1)), who shall*
11 *serve as a nonvoting member.*

12 *(2) TERMS OF MEMBERS.—*

13 *(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-*
14 *graphs (B) and (C), a member of the Owners*
15 *Board shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.*

16 *(B) REAPPOINTMENT.—A member of the*
17 *Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-*
18 *ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be ap-*
19 *propriate.*

20 *(C) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Owners*
21 *Board shall be filled in the same manner as the*
22 *original appointment was made.*

23 *(3) CHAIRPERSON.—The members of the Owners*
24 *Board shall appoint a chairperson from among the*
25 *members of the Owners Board.*

1 (d) *DUTIES.*—

2 (1) *RECOMMENDATIONS.*—*The Owners Board*
3 *shall provide advice and recommendations to the Sec-*
4 *retary and the Chief of Engineers on—*

5 (A) *the activities and actions, consistent*
6 *with applicable statutory authorities, that should*
7 *be undertaken by the Corps of Engineers and*
8 *Federal levee system owner-operators to improve*
9 *flood risk management throughout the United*
10 *States; and*

11 (B) *how to improve cooperation and com-*
12 *munication between the Corps of Engineers and*
13 *Federal levee system owner-operators.*

14 (2) *MEETINGS.*—*The Owners Board shall meet*
15 *not less frequently than semiannually.*

16 (3) *REPORT.*—*The Secretary, on behalf of the*
17 *Owners Board, shall—*

18 (A) *submit to the Committee on Environ-*
19 *ment and Public Works of the Senate and the*
20 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
21 *of the House of Representatives a report that in-*
22 *cludes the recommendations provided under*
23 *paragraph (1); and*

1 (B) *make those recommendations publicly*
2 *available, including on a publicly available ex-*
3 *isting website.*

4 (e) *INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.*—*Any advice or rec-*
5 *ommendation made by the Owners Board pursuant to sub-*
6 *section (d)(1) shall reflect the independent judgment of the*
7 *Owners Board.*

8 (f) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

9 (1) *COMPENSATION.*—*Except as provided in*
10 *paragraph (2), the members of the Owners Board*
11 *shall serve without compensation.*

12 (2) *TRAVEL EXPENSES.*—*The members of the*
13 *Owners Board shall receive travel expenses, including*
14 *per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance with*
15 *applicable provisions under subchapter I of chapter*
16 *57 of title 5, United States Code.*

17 (3) *TREATMENT.*—*The members of the Owners*
18 *Board shall not be considered to be Federal employees,*
19 *and the meetings and reports of the Owners Board*
20 *shall not be considered a major Federal action under*
21 *the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42*
22 *U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).*

23 (g) *SAVINGS CLAUSE.*—*The Owners Board shall not*
24 *supplant the Committee on Levee Safety established by sec-*

1 *tion 9003 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007*
 2 *(33 U.S.C. 3302).*

3 **SEC. 115. SILVER JACKETS PROGRAM.**

4 *The Secretary shall continue the Silver Jackets pro-*
 5 *gram established by the Secretary pursuant to section 206*
 6 *of the Flood Control Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a) and sec-*
 7 *tion 204 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and*
 8 *Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5134).*

9 **SEC. 116. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

10 *Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 11 *of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—*

12 *(1) in subsection (b)(2)—*

13 *(A) in subparagraph (C)(ii), by striking*
 14 *“and” at the end;*

15 *(B) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as*
 16 *subparagraph (E); and*

17 *(C) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the*
 18 *following:*

19 *“(D) projects that improve emergency re-*
 20 *sponse capabilities and provide increased access*
 21 *to infrastructure that may be utilized in the*
 22 *event of a severe weather event or other natural*
 23 *disaster; and”;* and

24 *(2) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the*
 25 *following:*

1 “(e) *PILOT PROGRAM.*—

2 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall carry*
3 *out a pilot program under which the Secretary shall*
4 *carry out not more than 5 projects described in para-*
5 *graph (2).*

6 “(2) *PROJECTS DESCRIBED.*—*Notwithstanding*
7 *subsection (b)(1)(B), a project referred to in para-*
8 *graph (1) is a project—*

9 “(A) *that is otherwise eligible and meets the*
10 *requirements under this section; and*

11 “(B) *that is located—*

12 “(i) *along the Mid-Columbia River,*
13 *Washington, Taneum Creek, Washington, or*
14 *Similk Bay, Washington; or*

15 “(ii) *at Big Bend, Lake Oahe, Fort*
16 *Randall, or Gavins Point Reservoirs, South*
17 *Dakota.*

18 “(3) *REQUIREMENT.*—*The Secretary shall carry*
19 *out a project described in paragraph (2) in accord-*
20 *ance with this section.*

21 “(4) *SAVINGS PROVISION.*—*Nothing in this sub-*
22 *section authorizes—*

23 “(A) *a project for the removal of a dam that*
24 *otherwise is a project described in paragraph (2);*

25 “(B) *the study of the removal of a dam; or*

1 “(C) the study of any Federal dam, includ-
 2 ing the study of power, flood control, or naviga-
 3 tion replacement, or the implementation of any
 4 functional alteration to that dam, that is located
 5 along a body of water described in clause (i) or
 6 (ii) of paragraph (2)(B).”.

7 **SEC. 117. TRIBAL PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
 8 **GRAM.**

9 (a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

10 (1) *ELIGIBLE PROJECT.*—The term “eligible
 11 project” means a project or activity eligible to be car-
 12 ried out under the Tribal partnership program under
 13 section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act
 14 of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

15 (2) *INDIAN TRIBE.*—The term “Indian Tribe”
 16 has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the In-
 17 dian Self-Determination and Education Assistance
 18 Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

19 (b) *AUTHORIZATION.*—Not later than 180 days after
 20 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall estab-
 21 lish and implement a pilot program under which Indian
 22 Tribes may directly carry out eligible projects.

23 (c) *PURPOSES.*—The purposes of the pilot program
 24 under this section are—

1 (1) *to authorize Tribal contracting to advance*
2 *Tribal self-determination and provide economic op-*
3 *portunities for Indian Tribes; and*

4 (2) *to evaluate the technical, financial, and orga-*
5 *nizational efficiencies of Indian Tribes carrying out*
6 *the design, execution, management, and construction*
7 *of 1 or more eligible projects.*

8 (d) *ADMINISTRATION.—*

9 (1) *IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot pro-*
10 *gram under this section, the Secretary shall—*

11 (A) *identify a total of not more than 5 eli-*
12 *gible projects that have been authorized for con-*
13 *struction;*

14 (B) *notify the Committee on Environment*
15 *and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-*
16 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
17 *the House of Representatives on the identifica-*
18 *tion of each eligible project under the pilot pro-*
19 *gram under this section;*

20 (C) *in collaboration with the Indian Tribe,*
21 *develop a detailed project management plan for*
22 *each identified eligible project that outlines the*
23 *scope, budget, design, and construction resource*
24 *requirements necessary for the Indian Tribe to*

1 *execute the project or a separable element of the*
2 *eligible project;*

3 *(D) on the request of the Indian Tribe and*
4 *in accordance with subsection (f)(2), enter into a*
5 *project partnership agreement with the Indian*
6 *Tribe for the Indian Tribe to provide full project*
7 *management control for construction of the eligi-*
8 *ble project, or a separable element of the eligible*
9 *project, in accordance with plans approved by*
10 *the Secretary;*

11 *(E) following execution of the project part-*
12 *nership agreement, transfer to the Indian Tribe*
13 *to carry out construction of the eligible project,*
14 *or a separable element of the eligible project—*

15 *(i) if applicable, the balance of the un-*
16 *obligated amounts appropriated for the eli-*
17 *gible project, except that the Secretary shall*
18 *retain sufficient amounts for the Corps of*
19 *Engineers to carry out any responsibilities*
20 *of the Corps of Engineers relating to the eli-*
21 *gible project and the pilot program under*
22 *this section; and*

23 *(ii) additional amounts, as determined*
24 *by the Secretary, from amounts made avail-*
25 *able to carry out this section, except that*

1 the total amount transferred to the Indian
2 Tribe shall not exceed the updated estimate
3 of the Federal share of the cost of construc-
4 tion, including any required design; and

5 (F) regularly monitor and audit each eligi-
6 ble project being constructed by an Indian Tribe
7 under this section to ensure that the construction
8 activities are carried out in compliance with the
9 plans approved by the Secretary and that the
10 construction costs are reasonable.

11 (2) *DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE.*—Not later
12 than 180 days after entering into an agreement under
13 paragraph (1)(D), each Indian Tribe, to the max-
14 imum extent practicable, shall submit to the Sec-
15 retary a detailed project schedule, based on estimated
16 funding levels, that lists all deadlines for each mile-
17 stone in the construction of the eligible project.

18 (3) *TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.*—On the request of
19 an Indian Tribe, the Secretary may provide technical
20 assistance to the Indian Tribe, if the Indian Tribe
21 contracts with and compensates the Secretary for the
22 technical assistance relating to—

23 (A) any study, engineering activity, and de-
24 sign activity for construction carried out by the
25 Indian Tribe under this section; and

1 (B) expeditiously obtaining any permits
2 necessary for the eligible project.

3 (e) *COST SHARE*.—Nothing in this section affects the
4 cost-sharing requirement applicable on the day before the
5 date of enactment of this Act to an eligible project carried
6 out under this section.

7 (f) *IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE*.—

8 (1) *IN GENERAL*.—Not later than 120 days after
9 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
10 issue guidance for the implementation of the pilot
11 program under this section that, to the extent prac-
12 ticable, identifies—

13 (A) the metrics for measuring the success of
14 the pilot program;

15 (B) a process for identifying future eligible
16 projects to participate in the pilot program;

17 (C) measures to address the risks of an In-
18 dian Tribe constructing eligible projects under
19 the pilot program, including which entity bears
20 the risk for eligible projects that fail to meet
21 Corps of Engineers standards for design or qual-
22 ity;

23 (D) the laws and regulations that an In-
24 dian Tribe must follow in carrying out an eligi-
25 ble project under the pilot program; and

1 (E) which entity bears the risk in the event
2 that an eligible project carried out under the
3 pilot program fails to be carried out in accord-
4 ance with the project authorization or this sec-
5 tion.

6 (2) *NEW PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.*—The Secretary may not enter into a project
7 partnership agreement under this section until the
8 date on which the Secretary issues the guidance under
9 paragraph (1).
10 paragraph (1).

11 (g) *REPORT.*—

12 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 years after
13 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
14 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
15 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
17 tives and make publicly available a report detailing
18 the results of the pilot program under this section, in-
19 cluding—

20 (A) a description of the progress of Indian
21 Tribes in meeting milestones in detailed project
22 schedules developed pursuant to subsection
23 (d)(2); and

24 (B) any recommendations of the Secretary
25 concerning whether the pilot program or any

1 *component of the pilot program should be imple-*
2 *mented on a national basis.*

3 (2) *UPDATE.*—*Not later than 5 years after the*
4 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-*
5 *mit to the Committee on Environment and Public*
6 *Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-*
7 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*
8 *tives an update to the report under paragraph (1).*

9 (3) *FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.*—*If the Sec-*
10 *retary fails to submit a report by the required dead-*
11 *line under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit*
12 *to the Committee on Environment and Public Works*
13 *of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation*
14 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a*
15 *detailed explanation of why the deadline was missed*
16 *and a projected date for submission of the report.*

17 (h) *ADMINISTRATION.*—*All laws and regulations that*
18 *would apply to the Secretary if the Secretary were carrying*
19 *out the eligible project shall apply to an Indian Tribe car-*
20 *rying out an eligible project under this section.*

21 (i) *TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.*—*The authority to*
22 *commence an eligible project under this section terminates*
23 *on December 31, 2029.*

24 (j) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—*In addi-*
25 *tion to any amounts appropriated for a specific eligible*

1 *project, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-*
 2 *retary to carry out this section, including the costs of ad-*
 3 *ministration of the Secretary, \$15,000,000 for each of fiscal*
 4 *years 2024 through 2029.*

5 **SEC. 118. ELIGIBILITY FOR INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUMS.**

6 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 221(b)(1) of the Flood Con-
 7 *trol Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)(1)) is amended by*
 8 *inserting “and an inter-tribal consortium (as defined in*
 9 *section 403 of the Indian Child Protection and Family Vio-*
 10 *lence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C. 3202))” after “(5304))”.*

11 (b) *TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.*—Section 203 of
 12 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C.*
 13 *2269) is amended—*

14 (1) *in subsection (a)—*

15 (A) *by striking the subsection designation*
 16 *and heading and all that follows through “the*
 17 *term” and inserting the following:*

18 “(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

19 “(1) *INDIAN TRIBE.*—*The term*”; and

20 (B) *by adding at the end the following:*

21 “(2) *INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUM.*—*The term*
 22 *‘inter-tribal consortium’ has the meaning given the*
 23 *term in section 403 of the Indian Child Protection*
 24 *and Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C.*
 25 *3202).*

1 “(3) *TRIBAL ORGANIZATION*.—The term ‘Tribal
2 organization’ has the meaning given the term in sec-
3 tion 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Edu-
4 cation Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).”; and

5 (2) in subsection (b)—

6 (A) in paragraph (1)—

7 (i) in the matter preceding subpara-
8 graph (A), by inserting “, inter-tribal con-
9 sortiums, Tribal organizations,” after “In-
10 dian tribes”; and

11 (ii) in subparagraph (A), by inserting
12 “, inter-tribal consortiums, or Tribal orga-
13 nizations” after “Indian tribes”;

14 (B) in paragraph (2)—

15 (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking
16 “flood hurricane” and inserting “flood or
17 hurricane”;

18 (ii) in subparagraph (C), in the matter
19 preceding clause (i), by inserting “, an
20 inter-tribal consortium, or a Tribal organi-
21 zation” after “Indian tribe”; and

22 (iii) in subparagraph (E) (as redesign-
23 ated by section 116(1)(B)), by inserting “,
24 inter-tribal consortiums, Tribal organiza-
25 tions,” after “Indian tribes”; and

1 (C) in paragraph (3)(A), by inserting “,
2 inter-tribal consortium, or Tribal organization”
3 after “Indian tribe” each place it appears.

4 **SEC. 119. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO THE MANAGE-**
5 **MENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES.**

6 *It is the sense of Congress that—*

7 (1) *the Corps of Engineers should have greater*
8 *access to the revenue collected from the use of Corps*
9 *of Engineers-managed facilities with recreational*
10 *purposes;*

11 (2) *revenue collected from Corps of Engineers-*
12 *managed facilities with recreational purposes should*
13 *be available to the Corps of Engineers for necessary*
14 *operation, maintenance, and improvement activities*
15 *at the facility from which the revenue was derived;*

16 (3) *the districts of the Corps of Engineers should*
17 *be provided with more authority to partner with non-*
18 *Federal public entities and private nonprofit entities*
19 *for the improvement and management of Corps of En-*
20 *gineers-managed facilities with recreational purposes;*
21 *and*

22 (4) *legislation to address the issues described in*
23 *paragraphs (1) through (3) should be considered by*
24 *Congress.*

1 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND**
2 **REPORTS**

3 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY**
4 **STUDIES.**

5 (a) *NEW PROJECTS.*—*The Secretary is authorized to*
6 *conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for*
7 *water resources development and conservation and other*
8 *purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to Con-*
9 *gress on Future Water Resources Development” submitted*
10 *to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water Resources*
11 *Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d)*
12 *or otherwise reviewed by Congress:*

13 (1) *YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.*—*Project for*
14 *flood risk management, Yavapai County, Arizona.*

15 (2) *EASTMAN LAKE, CALIFORNIA.*—*Project for*
16 *ecosystem restoration and water supply, including for*
17 *conservation and recharge, Eastman Lake, Merced*
18 *and Madera Counties, California.*

19 (3) *PINE FLAT DAM, CALIFORNIA.*—*Project for*
20 *ecosystem restoration, water supply, and recreation,*
21 *Pine Flat Dam, Fresno County, California.*

22 (4) *SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.*—*Project for flood*
23 *risk management, including sea level rise, San Diego,*
24 *California.*

1 (5) SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.—*Project for flood*
2 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, includ-*
3 *ing levee improvement, Sacramento River, Sac-*
4 *ramento, California.*

5 (6) SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA.—*Project for flood*
6 *risk management, City of San Mateo, California.*

7 (7) SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*Project*
8 *for flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and*
9 *water supply, Lower Cosumnes River, Sacramento*
10 *County, California.*

11 (8) COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO.—*Project for*
12 *ecosystem restoration and flood risk management,*
13 *Fountain Creek, Monument Creek, and T-Gap Levee,*
14 *Colorado Springs, Colorado.*

15 (9) PLYMOUTH, CONNECTICUT.—*Project for eco-*
16 *system restoration, Plymouth, Connecticut.*

17 (10) WINDHAM, CONNECTICUT.—*Project for eco-*
18 *system restoration and recreation, Windham, Con-*
19 *necticut.*

20 (11) ENFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—*Project for flood*
21 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, includ-*
22 *ing restoring freshwater brook floodplain, Enfield,*
23 *Connecticut.*

24 (12) NEWINGTON, CONNECTICUT.—*Project for*
25 *flood risk management, Newington, Connecticut.*

1 (13) *HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for*
2 *hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Hart-*
3 *ford, Connecticut.*

4 (14) *FAIRFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for*
5 *flood risk management, Rooster River, Fairfield, Con-*
6 *necticut.*

7 (15) *MILTON, DELAWARE.—Project for flood risk*
8 *management, Milton, Delaware.*

9 (16) *WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—Project for*
10 *coastal storm risk management, City of Wilmington,*
11 *Delaware.*

12 (17) *TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.—Project for flood*
13 *risk management and coastal storm risk management,*
14 *including the potential for beneficial use of dredged*
15 *material, Tybee Island, Georgia.*

16 (18) *HANAPEPE LEVEE, HAWAII.—Project for*
17 *ecosystem restoration, flood risk management, and*
18 *hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, includ-*
19 *ing Hanapepe Levee, Kauai County, Hawaii.*

20 (19) *KAUAI COUNTY, HAWAII.—Project for flood*
21 *risk management and coastal storm risk management,*
22 *Kauai County, Hawaii.*

23 (20) *HAWAI‘I KAI, HAWAII.—Project for flood*
24 *risk management, Hawai‘i Kai, Hawaii.*

1 (21) MAUI, HAWAII.—Project for flood risk man-
2 agement and ecosystem restoration, Maui County,
3 Hawaii.

4 (22) BUTTERFIELD CREEK, ILLINOIS.—Project
5 for flood risk management, Butterfield Creek, Illinois,
6 including the villages of Flossmoor, Matteson, Park
7 Forest, and Richton Park.

8 (23) ROCKY RIPPLE, INDIANA.—Project for flood
9 risk management, Rocky Ripple, Indiana.

10 (24) COFFEYVILLE, KANSAS.—Project for flood
11 risk management, Coffeyville, Kansas.

12 (25) FULTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
13 flood risk management, including bank stabilization,
14 Fulton County, Kentucky.

15 (26) CUMBERLAND RIVER, CRITTENDEN COUNTY,
16 LYON COUNTY, AND LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KEN-
17 TUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, including
18 bank stabilization, Cumberland River, Crittenden
19 County, Lyon County, and Livingston County, Ken-
20 tucky.

21 (27) SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
22 ecosystem restoration, including water supply, Scott
23 County, Kentucky.

24 (28) BULLSKIN CREEK AND SHELBY COUNTY,
25 KENTUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, includ-

1 *ing bank stabilization, Bullskin Creek and Shelby*
2 *County, Kentucky.*

3 (29) *LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN BARRIER, LOU-*
4 *ISIANA.—Project for hurricane and storm damage*
5 *risk reduction, Orleans Parish, St. Tammany Parish,*
6 *and St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana.*

7 (30) *OCEAN CITY, MARYLAND.—Project for flood*
8 *risk management, Ocean City, Maryland.*

9 (31) *BEAVERDAM CREEK, MARYLAND.—Project*
10 *for flood risk management, Beaverdam Creek, Prince*
11 *George’s County, Maryland.*

12 (32) *OAK BLUFFS, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for*
13 *flood risk management, coastal storm risk manage-*
14 *ment, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, including*
15 *shoreline stabilization along East Chop Drive, Oak*
16 *Bluffs, Massachusetts.*

17 (33) *TISBURY, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for*
18 *coastal storm risk management, including shoreline*
19 *stabilization along Beach Road Causeway, Tisbury,*
20 *Massachusetts.*

21 (34) *OAK BLUFFS HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
22 *Project for coastal storm risk management and navi-*
23 *gation, Oak Bluffs Harbor north and south jetties,*
24 *Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.*

1 (35) *CONNECTICUT RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
2 *Project for flood risk management along the Con-*
3 *necticut River, Massachusetts.*

4 (36) *MARYSVILLE, MICHIGAN.—Project for coast-*
5 *al storm risk management, including shoreline sta-*
6 *bilization, City of Marysville, Michigan.*

7 (37) *CHEBOYGAN, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood*
8 *risk management, Little Black River, City of Che-*
9 *boygan, Michigan.*

10 (38) *KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood*
11 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, Kala-*
12 *mazoo River Watershed and tributaries, City of Kala-*
13 *mazoo, Michigan.*

14 (39) *DEARBORN AND DEARBORN HEIGHTS,*
15 *MICHIGAN.—Project for flood risk management, Dear-*
16 *born and Dearborn Heights, Michigan.*

17 (40) *GRAND TRAVERSE BAY, MICHIGAN.—Project*
18 *for navigation, Grand Traverse Bay, Michigan.*

19 (41) *GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—*
20 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
21 *toration, Grand Traverse County, Michigan.*

22 (42) *BRIGHTON MILL POND, MICHIGAN.—Project*
23 *for ecosystem restoration, Brighton Mill Pond, Michi-*
24 *gan.*

1 (43) *LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN.—Project for coastal*
2 *storm risk management, including feasibility of emer-*
3 *gency shoreline protection, Ludington, Michigan.*

4 (44) *PAHRUMP, NEVADA.—Project for hurricane*
5 *and storm damage risk reduction and flood risk man-*
6 *agement, Pahrump, Nevada.*

7 (45) *ALLEGHENY RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project for*
8 *navigation and ecosystem restoration, Allegheny*
9 *River, New York.*

10 (46) *TURTLE COVE, NEW YORK.—Project for eco-*
11 *system restoration, Turtle Cove, Pelham Bay Park,*
12 *Bronx, New York.*

13 (47) *NILES, OHIO.—Project for flood risk man-*
14 *agement, ecosystem restoration, and recreation, City*
15 *of Niles, Ohio.*

16 (48) *GENEVA-ON-THE-LAKE, OHIO.—Project for*
17 *flood and coastal storm risk management, ecosystem*
18 *restoration, recreation, and shoreline erosion protec-*
19 *tion, Geneva-on-the-Lake, Ohio.*

20 (49) *LITTLE KILLBUCK CREEK, OHIO.—Project*
21 *for ecosystem restoration, including aquatic invasive*
22 *species management, Little Killbuck Creek, Ohio.*

23 (50) *DEFIANCE, OHIO.—Project for flood risk*
24 *management, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and*

1 *bank stabilization, Maumee, Auglaize, and Tiffin*
2 *Rivers, Defiance, Ohio.*

3 (51) *DILLON LAKE, MUSKINGUM COUNTY,*
4 *OHIO.—Project for ecosystem restoration, recreation,*
5 *and shoreline erosion protection, Dillon Lake,*
6 *Muskingum and Licking Counties, Ohio.*

7 (52) *JERUSALEM TOWNSHIP, OHIO.—Project for*
8 *flood and coastal storm risk management and shore-*
9 *line erosion protection, Jerusalem Township, Ohio.*

10 (53) *NINE MILE CREEK, CLEVELAND, OHIO.—*
11 *Project for flood risk management, Nine Mile Creek,*
12 *Cleveland, Ohio.*

13 (54) *COLD CREEK, OHIO.—Project for ecosystem*
14 *restoration, Cold Creek, Erie County, Ohio.*

15 (55) *ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—*
16 *Project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Alle-*
17 *gheny River, Pennsylvania.*

18 (56) *PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project*
19 *for ecosystem restoration and recreation, including*
20 *shoreline stabilization, South Philadelphia Wetlands*
21 *Park, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.*

22 (57) *GALVESTON BAY, TEXAS.—Project for navi-*
23 *gation, Galveston Bay, Texas.*

1 (58) *WINOOSKI, VERMONT.—Project for flood risk*
2 *management, Winooski River and tributaries,*
3 *Winooski, Vermont.*

4 (59) *MT. ST. HELENS, WASHINGTON.—Project for*
5 *navigation, Mt. St. Helens, Washington.*

6 (60) *GRAYS BAY, WASHINGTON.—Project for*
7 *navigation, flood risk management, and ecosystem*
8 *restoration, Grays Bay, Wahkiakum County, Wash-*
9 *ington.*

10 (61) *WIND, KLICKITAT, HOOD, DESCHUTES, ROCK*
11 *CREEK, AND JOHN DAY TRIBUTARIES, WASHINGTON.—*
12 *Project for ecosystem restoration, Wind, Klickitat,*
13 *Hood, Deschutes, Rock Creek, and John Day tribu-*
14 *taries, Washington.*

15 (62) *LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN.—Project for flood*
16 *risk management, City of La Crosse, Wisconsin.*

17 (b) *PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-*
18 *thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following*
19 *project modifications:*

20 (1) *LUXAPALILA CREEK, ALABAMA.—Modifica-*
21 *tions to the project for flood risk management,*
22 *Luxapalila Creek, Alabama, authorized by section*
23 *203 of the Flood Control Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 307).*

24 (2) *OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.—Modifica-*
25 *tions to the project for navigation, Osceola Harbor,*

1 *Arkansas, authorized under section 107 of the River*
2 *and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), to evaluate*
3 *the expansion of the harbor.*

4 (3) *SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.—Modifications to the*
5 *project for navigation, Savannah Harbor Expansion*
6 *Project, Georgia, authorized by section 7002(1) of the*
7 *Water Resources Reform and Development Act of*
8 *2014 (128 Stat. 1364) and modified by section*
9 *1401(6) of the America’s Water Infrastructure Act of*
10 *2018 (132 Stat. 3839).*

11 (4) *HAGAMAN CHUTE, LOUISIANA.—Modifica-*
12 *tions to the project for navigation, including sediment*
13 *management, Hagaman Chute, Louisiana.*

14 (5) *MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,*
15 *OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the*
16 *project for flood risk management, including bank*
17 *stabilization, Ouachita River, Monroe to Caldwell*
18 *Parish, Louisiana, authorized by the first section of*
19 *the Act of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534, chapter 569).*

20 (6) *ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Modifications*
21 *to the project for navigation, St. Marys River and*
22 *tributaries, Michigan, for channel improvements.*

23 (7) *MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, TRUMBULL COUNTY,*
24 *OHIO.—Modifications to the project for flood risk*

1 *management and water supply, Mosquito Creek Lake,*
2 *Trumbull County, Ohio.*

3 (8) *LITTLE CONEMAUGH, STONYCREEK, AND*
4 *CONEMAUGH RIVERS, PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifications*
5 *to the project for ecosystem restoration, recreation,*
6 *and flood risk management, Little Conemaugh,*
7 *Stonycreek, and Conemaugh rivers, Pennsylvania, au-*
8 *thorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936*
9 *(commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of*
10 *1936”)* (49 Stat. 1586, chapter 688; 50 Stat. 879;
11 *chapter 877).*

12 (9) *CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Modifica-*
13 *tions to the project for navigation, Charleston Harbor,*
14 *South Carolina, authorized by section 1401(1) of the*
15 *Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.*
16 *1709), including improvements to address potential*
17 *or actual changed conditions on that portion of the*
18 *project that serves the North Charleston Terminal.*

19 (10) *ADDICKS AND BARKER RESERVOIRS,*
20 *TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for flood risk*
21 *management, Addicks and Barker Reservoirs, Texas.*

22 (11) *MONONGAHELA RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA.—*
23 *Modifications to the project for recreation,*
24 *Monongahela River, West Virginia.*

1 (c) *SPECIAL RULE, ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.*—
 2 *The cost of the study under subsection (b)(6) shall be shared*
 3 *in accordance with the cost share applicable to construction*
 4 *of the project for navigation, Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan,*
 5 *authorized by section 1149 of the Water Resources Develop-*
 6 *ment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4254; 121 Stat. 1131).*

7 **SEC. 202. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF**
 8 **STUDIES.**

9 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Section 1001 of the Water Re-*
 10 *sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.*
 11 *2282c) is amended—*

12 (1) *by redesignating subsections (d), (e), and (f)*
 13 *as subsections (e), (f), and (g), respectively;*

14 (2) *by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-*
 15 *lowing:*

16 “(d) *DELEGATION.*—

17 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall delegate*
 18 *the determination to grant an extension under sub-*
 19 *section (c) to the Commander of the relevant Division*
 20 *if—*

21 “(A) *the final feasibility report for the*
 22 *study can be completed with an extension of not*
 23 *more than 1 year beyond the time period de-*
 24 *scribed in subsection (a)(1); or*

1 “(B) the feasibility study requires an addi-
 2 tional cost of not more than \$1,000,000 above the
 3 amount described in subsection (a)(2).

4 “(2) *GUIDANCE*.—If the Secretary determines
 5 that implementation guidance is necessary to imple-
 6 ment this subsection, the Secretary shall issue such
 7 implementation guidance not later than 180 days
 8 after the date of enactment of the Thomas R. Carper
 9 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024*.”; and
 10 (3) by adding at the end the following:

11 “(h) *DEFINITION OF DIVISION*.—In this section, the
 12 term ‘Division’ means each of the following Divisions of the
 13 Corps of Engineers:

14 “(1) *The Great Lakes and Ohio River Division*.

15 “(2) *The Mississippi Valley Division*.

16 “(3) *The North Atlantic Division*.

17 “(4) *The Northwestern Division*.

18 “(5) *The Pacific Ocean Division*.

19 “(6) *The South Atlantic Division*.

20 “(7) *The South Pacific Division*.

21 “(8) *The Southwestern Division*.”;

22 (b) *DEADLINE*.—

23 (1) *IN GENERAL*.—Not later than 180 days after
 24 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
 25 develop and issue implementation guidance that im-

1 *proves the implementation of section 1001 of the*
2 *Water Resources Reform and Development Act of*
3 *2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c).*

4 (2) *STANDARDIZED FORM.*—*In carrying out this*
5 *subsection, the Secretary shall develop and provide to*
6 *each Division (as defined in subsection (h) of section*
7 *1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development*
8 *of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c)) a standardized form to as-*
9 *ist the Divisions in preparing a written request for*
10 *an exception under subsection (c) of that section.*

11 (3) *NOTIFICATION.*—*The Secretary shall submit*
12 *a written copy of the implementation guidance devel-*
13 *oped under paragraph (1) to the Committee on Envi-*
14 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate and the*
15 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
16 *the House of Representatives not less than 30 days be-*
17 *fore the date on which the Secretary makes that guid-*
18 *ance publicly available.*

19 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

20 (a) *FEASIBILITY STUDIES.*—*The Secretary shall expe-*
21 *dite the completion of a feasibility study or general reevalu-*
22 *ation report (as applicable) for each of the following*
23 *projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project*
24 *is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to*

1 *preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the*
2 *project:*

3 (1) *Project for food risk management, Upper*
4 *Guyandotte River Basin, West Virginia.*

5 (2) *Project for flood risk management, Kanawha*
6 *River Basin, West Virginia, Virginia, and North*
7 *Carolina.*

8 (3) *Project for flood risk management, Cave*
9 *Buttes Dam, Phoenix, Arizona.*

10 (4) *Project for flood risk management, McMicken*
11 *Dam, Maricopa County, Arizona.*

12 (5) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Rio Salado,*
13 *Phoenix, Arizona.*

14 (6) *Project for flood risk management, Lower*
15 *San Joaquin River, San Joaquin Valley, California.*

16 (7) *Project for flood risk management, Stratford,*
17 *Connecticut.*

18 (8) *Project for flood risk management, Waimea*
19 *River, Kauai County, Hawaii.*

20 (9) *Modifications to the project for flood risk*
21 *management, Cedar River, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, au-*
22 *thorized by section 8201(b)(6) of the Water Resources*
23 *Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3750).*

24 (10) *Project for flood risk management, Rahway*
25 *River, Rahway, New Jersey.*

1 (11) *Northeast Levee System portion of the*
2 *project for flood control and other purposes, Williams-*
3 *port, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act*
4 *of June 22, 1936 (commonly known as the “Flood*
5 *Control Act of 1936”)* (49 Stat. 1573, chapter 688).

6 (12) *Project for navigation, Menominee River,*
7 *Menominee, Wisconsin.*

8 (13) *General reevaluation report for the project*
9 *for flood risk management and other purposes, East*
10 *St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois.*

11 (14) *General reevaluation report for project for*
12 *flood risk management, Green Brook, New Jersey.*

13 (15) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Imperial*
14 *Streams Salton Sea, California.*

15 (16) *Modification of the project for navigation,*
16 *Honolulu Deep Draft Harbor, Hawaii.*

17 (17) *Project for shoreline damage mitigation,*
18 *Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana.*

19 (18) *Project for hurricane and coastal storm risk*
20 *management, Dare County Beaches, North Carolina.*

21 (19) *Modification of the project for flood protec-*
22 *tion and recreation, Surry Mountain Lake, New*
23 *Hampshire, including for consideration of low flow*
24 *augmentation.*

1 (20) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
2 *Virginia Beach and vicinity, Virginia.*

3 (21) *Project for secondary water source identi-*
4 *fication, Washington Metropolitan Area, Washington,*
5 *DC, Maryland, and Virginia.*

6 (b) *STUDY REPORTS.—The Secretary shall expedite*
7 *the completion of a Chief's Report or Director's Report (as*
8 *applicable) for each of the following projects for the project*
9 *to be considered for authorization:*

10 (1) *Modification of the project for navigation,*
11 *Norfolk Harbors and Channels, Anchorage F segment,*
12 *Norfolk, Virginia.*

13 (2) *Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,*
14 *Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands, Florida.*

15 (3) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Claiborne*
16 *and Millers Ferry Locks and Dam Fish Passage,*
17 *Lower Alabama River, Alabama.*

18 (4) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
19 *tion, Surf City, North Carolina.*

20 (5) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
21 *tion, Nassau County Back Bays, New York.*

22 (6) *Project for flood risk management, Tar*
23 *Pamlico, North Carolina.*

24 (7) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Central and*
25 *South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Restoration*

1 *Program, Western Everglades Restoration Project,*
2 *Florida.*

3 (8) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
4 *tion, Ala Wai, Hawaii.*

5 (9) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Central and*
6 *South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Restoration*
7 *Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Restoration,*
8 *Florida.*

9 (10) *Project for flood and coastal storm damage*
10 *reduction, Miami-Dade County Back Bay, Florida.*

11 (11) *Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,*
12 *Florida.*

13 (12) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
14 *tion, Akutan Harbor Navigational Improvements,*
15 *Alaska.*

16 (13) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
17 *tion, Amite River and tributaries, Louisiana.*

18 (14) *Project for flood and coastal storm risk*
19 *management, Puerto Rico Coastal Study, Puerto*
20 *Rico.*

21 (15) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
22 *Baltimore, Maryland.*

23 (16) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
24 *tion and ecosystem restoration, St. Tammany Parish,*
25 *Louisiana.*

1 (17) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
2 *tion, Washington, DC.*

3 (18) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Tres Rios,*
4 *Arizona.*

5 (19) *Project for navigation, Oakland Harbor,*
6 *Oakland, California.*

7 (20) *Project for water supply reallocation, Stock-*
8 *ton Lake Reallocation Study, Missouri.*

9 (21) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Hatchie-*
10 *Loosahatchie Mississippi River, Tennessee and Ar-*
11 *kansas.*

12 (22) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Biscayne*
13 *Bay and Southern Everglades, Florida, authorized by*
14 *section 601 of the Water Resources Development Act*
15 *of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).*

16 (c) *PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall, to the maximum*
17 *extent practicable, expedite completion of the following*
18 *projects:*

19 (1) *Project for flood control, Lower Mud River,*
20 *Milton, West Virginia, authorized by section 580 of*
21 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110*
22 *Stat. 3790) and modified by section 340 of the Water*
23 *Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2612)*
24 *and section 3170 of the Water Resources Development*
25 *Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1154).*

1 (2) *Project for dam safety modifications,*
2 *Bluestone Dam, West Virginia, authorized pursuant*
3 *to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (commonly*
4 *known as the “Flood Control Act of 1936”)* (49 Stat.
5 *1586, chapter 688).*

6 (3) *Project for flood risk management, Tulsa and*
7 *West–Tulsa Levee System, Tulsa County, Oklahoma,*
8 *authorized by section 401(2) of the Water Resources*
9 *Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735).*

10 (4) *Project for flood risk management, Little Col-*
11 *orado River, Navajo County, Arizona.*

12 (5) *Project for flood risk management, Rio de*
13 *Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona.*

14 (6) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Va Shly’AY*
15 *Akimel, Maricopa Indian Reservation, Arizona.*

16 (7) *Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,*
17 *Quincy Bay, Illinois, Upper Mississippi River Res-*
18 *toration Program.*

19 (8) *Project for navigation, Matagorda Ship*
20 *Channel Improvement Project, Port Lavaca, Texas,*
21 *authorized by section 401(1) of the Water Resources*
22 *Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2734).*

23 (9) *Major maintenance on Laupahoehoe Harbor,*
24 *Hawaii County, Hawaii.*

1 (10) *Project for flood risk management, Green*
2 *Brook, New Jersey.*

3 (11) *Water control manual update for water sup-*
4 *ply and flood control, Theodore Roosevelt Dam, Globe,*
5 *Arizona.*

6 (12) *Water control manual update for Oroville*
7 *Dam, Butte County, California.*

8 (13) *Water control manual update for New*
9 *Bullards Dam, Yuba County, California.*

10 (14) *Project for flood risk management, Morgan*
11 *City, Louisiana.*

12 (15) *Project for hurricane and storm risk reduc-*
13 *tion, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana.*

14 (16) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Mid-*
15 *Chesapeake Bay, Maryland.*

16 (17) *Project for navigation, Big Bay Harbor of*
17 *Refuge, Michigan.*

18 (18) *Project for George W. Kuhn Headwaters*
19 *Outfall, Michigan.*

20 (19) *The portion of the project for flood control*
21 *and other purposes, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, au-*
22 *thorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936*
23 *(commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of*
24 *1936”)* (49 Stat. 1573, chapter 688), to bring the

1 *Northwest Levee System into compliance with current*
2 *flood mitigation standards.*

3 (20) *Project for navigation, Seattle Harbor,*
4 *Washington, authorized by section 1401(1) of the*
5 *Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat.*
6 *3836), deepening the East Waterway at the Port of*
7 *Seattle.*

8 (21) *Project for shoreline stabilization, Clarks-*
9 *ville, Indiana.*

10 (d) *CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.—The Sec-*
11 *retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite*
12 *completion of the following projects and studies:*

13 (1) *Projects for flood control under section 205 of*
14 *the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) for the*
15 *following areas:*

16 (A) *Ak Chin Levee, Pinal County, Arizona.*

17 (B) *McCormick Wash, Globe, Arizona.*

18 (C) *Rose and Palm Garden Washes, Doug-*
19 *las, Arizona.*

20 (D) *Lower Santa Cruz River, Arizona.*

21 (2) *Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration*
22 *under section 206 of the Water Resources Development*
23 *Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), Corazon de los Tres*
24 *Rios del Norte, Pima County, Arizona.*

1 (3) *Project for hurricane and storm damage re-*
2 *duction under section 3 of the Act of August 13, 1946*
3 *(60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C. 426g), Strat-*
4 *ford, Connecticut.*

5 (4) *Project modification for improvements to the*
6 *environment, Surry Mountain Lake, New Hampshire,*
7 *under section 1135 of the Water Resources Develop-*
8 *ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a).*

9 (e) *TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—The Secretary*
10 *shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite comple-*
11 *tion of the following projects and studies under the Tribal*
12 *partnership program under section 203 of the Water Re-*
13 *sources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269):*

14 (1) *Maricopa (Ak Chin) Indian Reservation, Ar-*
15 *izona.*

16 (2) *Gila River Indian Reservation, Arizona.*

17 (3) *Navajo Nation, Bird Springs, Arizona.*

18 (f) *WATERSHED ASSESSMENTS.—The Secretary shall,*
19 *to the maximum extent practicable, expedite completion of*
20 *the watershed assessment for flood risk management, Upper*
21 *Mississippi and Illinois Rivers, authorized by section 1206*
22 *of Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.*
23 *1686) and section 214 of the Water Resources Development*
24 *Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2687).*

1 (g) *EXPEDITED PROSPECTUS.*—*The Secretary shall*
2 *prioritize the completion of the prospectus for the United*
3 *States Moorings Facility, Portland, Oregon, required for*
4 *authorization of funding from the revolving fund established*
5 *by the first section of the Civil Functions Appropriations*
6 *Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576).*

7 **SEC. 204. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF OTHER FEASIBILITY**
8 **STUDIES.**

9 (a) *CEDAR PORT NAVIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT DIS-*
10 *TRICT CHANNEL DEEPENING PROJECT, BAYTOWN,*
11 *TEXAS.*—*The Secretary shall expedite the review and co-*
12 *ordination of the feasibility study for the project for naviga-*
13 *tion, Cedar Port Navigation and Improvement District*
14 *Channel Deepening Project, Baytown, Texas, under section*
15 *203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
16 *U.S.C. 2231(b)).*

17 (b) *LAKE OKEECHOBEE WATERSHED RESTORATION*
18 *PROJECT, FLORIDA.*—*The Secretary shall expedite the re-*
19 *view and coordination of the feasibility study for the project*
20 *for ecosystem restoration, Lake Okeechobee Component A*
21 *Reservoir, Everglades, Florida, under section 203(b) of the*
22 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
23 *2231(b)).*

24 (c) *SABINE—NECHES WATERWAY NAVIGATION IM-*
25 *PROVEMENT PROJECT, TEXAS.*—*The Secretary shall expe-*

1 *dite the review and coordination of the feasibility study for*
 2 *the project for navigation, Sabine–Neches Waterway, Texas,*
 3 *under section 203(b) of the Water Resources Development*
 4 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).*

5 *(d) LA QUINTA EXPANSION PROJECT, TEXAS.—The*
 6 *Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the*
 7 *feasibility study for the project for navigation, La Quinta*
 8 *Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas, under section 203(b)*
 9 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
 10 *2231(b)).*

11 **SEC. 205. ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-**
 12 **ISIANA, FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

13 *(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to con-*
 14 *duct a feasibility study for the project for flood risk manage-*
 15 *ment, navigation and ecosystem restoration, Rapides,*
 16 *Avoyelles, Point Coupee, Allen, Evangeline, St. Landry,*
 17 *Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis, Acadia, Lafayette, St. Martin,*
 18 *Iberville, Cameron, Vermilion, Iberia, and St. Mary Par-*
 19 *ishes, Louisiana.*

20 *(b) SPECIAL RULE.—The study authorized by sub-*
 21 *section (a) shall be considered a continuation of the study*
 22 *authorized by the resolution of the Committee on Transpor-*
 23 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives*
 24 *with respect to the study for flood risk management, Alexan-*
 25 *dria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, dated July 23, 1997.*

1 **SEC. 206. CRAIG HARBOR, ALASKA.**

2 *The cost of completing a general reevaluation report*
3 *for the project for navigation, Craig Harbor, Alaska, au-*
4 *thorized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources Develop-*
5 *ment Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1709) shall be at full Federal*
6 *expense.*

7 **SEC. 207. SUSSEX COUNTY, DELAWARE.**

8 *(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress*
9 *that consistent nourishments of Lewes Beach, Delaware, are*
10 *important for the safety and economic prosperity of Sussex*
11 *County, Delaware.*

12 *(b) GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT.—*

13 *(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out*
14 *a general reevaluation report for the project for Dela-*
15 *ware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet, and Lewes*
16 *Beach, Delaware.*

17 *(2) INCLUSIONS.—The general reevaluation re-*
18 *port under paragraph (1) shall include a determina-*
19 *tion of—*

20 *(A) the area that the project should include;*

21 *and*

22 *(B) how section 111 of the River and Har-*
23 *bor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i) should be ap-*
24 *plied with respect to the project.*

1 **SEC. 208. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS**
2 **IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.**

3 *Section 1222 of the America’s Water Infrastructure*
4 *Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3811; 134 Stat. 2661) is amended*
5 *by adding at the end the following:*

6 “(d) **FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS**
7 **IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.**—

8 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 1 year after*
9 *the date of enactment of this subsection, the Secretary*
10 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and*
11 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
12 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
13 *Senate a report that assesses the viability of forecast-*
14 *informed reservoir operations at a reservoir in the*
15 *Colorado River Basin.*

16 “(2) **AUTHORIZATION.**—*If the Secretary deter-*
17 *mines, and includes in the report under paragraph*
18 *(1), that forecast-informed reservoir operations are*
19 *viable at a reservoir in the Colorado River Basin, the*
20 *Secretary is authorized to carry out forecast-informed*
21 *reservoir operations at that reservoir, subject to the*
22 *availability of appropriations.”.*

23 **SEC. 209. BEAVER LAKE, ARKANSAS, REALLOCATION STUDY.**

24 *The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a study*
25 *for the reallocation of water supply storage, carried out in*
26 *accordance with section 301 of the Water Supply Act of*

1 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b), for the Beaver Water District, Bea-
2 ver Lake, Arkansas.

3 **SEC. 210. GATHRIGHT DAM, VIRGINIA, STUDY.**

4 *The Secretary shall conduct a study on the feasibility*
5 *of modifying the project for flood risk management,*
6 *Gathright Dam, Virginia, authorized by section 10 of the*
7 *Flood Control Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 645, chapter 596), to*
8 *include downstream recreation as a project purpose.*

9 **SEC. 211. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS WATERSHED STUDY.**

10 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall conduct a*
11 *study to restore aquatic ecosystems in the Delaware Inland*
12 *Bays Watershed.*

13 (b) *REQUIREMENTS.*—

14 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*In carrying out the study*
15 *under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—*

16 (A) *conduct a comprehensive analysis of*
17 *ecosystem restoration needs in the Delaware In-*
18 *land Bays Watershed, including—*

19 (i) *saltmarsh restoration;*

20 (ii) *shoreline stabilization;*

21 (iii) *stormwater management; and*

22 (iv) *an identification of sources for the*
23 *beneficial use of dredged materials; and*

24 (B) *recommend feasibility studies to address*
25 *the needs identified under subparagraph (A).*

1 (2) *NATURAL OR NATURE-BASED FEATURES.*—*To*
2 *the maximum extent practicable, a feasibility study*
3 *that is recommended under paragraph (1)(B) shall*
4 *consider the use of natural features or nature-based*
5 *features (as those terms are defined in section 1184(a)*
6 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33*
7 *U.S.C. 2289a(a))).*

8 (c) *CONSULTATION AND USE OF EXISTING DATA.*—

9 (1) *CONSULTATION.*—*In carrying out the study*
10 *under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult with*
11 *applicable—*

12 (A) *Federal, State, and local agencies;*

13 (B) *Indian Tribes;*

14 (C) *non-Federal interests; and*

15 (D) *other stakeholders, as determined ap-*
16 *propriate by the Secretary.*

17 (2) *USE OF EXISTING DATA.*—*To the maximum*
18 *extent practicable, in carrying out the study under*
19 *subsection (a), the Secretary shall use existing data*
20 *provided to the Secretary by entities described in*
21 *paragraph (1).*

22 (d) *FEASIBILITY STUDIES.*—

23 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may carry out*
24 *a feasibility study for a project recommended under*
25 *subsection (b)(1)(B).*

1 (2) *CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORIZATION.*—*The Sec-*
2 *retary may not begin construction for a project rec-*
3 *ommended by a feasibility study described in para-*
4 *graph (1) unless the project has been authorized by*
5 *Congress.*

6 (e) *REPORT.*—*Not later than 3 years after the date of*
7 *enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Con-*
8 *gress a report that includes—*

9 (1) *the results of the study under subsection (a);*
10 *and*

11 (2) *a description of actions taken under this sec-*
12 *tion, including any feasibility studies under sub-*
13 *section (b)(1)(B).*

14 **SEC. 212. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMPREHEN-**
15 **SIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION FEASI-**
16 **BILITY STUDY.**

17 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall, at the request*
18 *of a non-Federal interest, complete a feasibility study for*
19 *comprehensive flood damage reduction, Upper Susquehanna*
20 *River Basin, New York.*

21 (b) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*In carrying out the feasibility*
22 *study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—*

23 (1) *use, for purposes of meeting the requirements*
24 *of a final feasibility study, information from the fea-*
25 *sibility study completion report entitled “Upper Sus-*

1 *quehanna River Basin, New York, Comprehensive*
2 *Flood Damage Reduction” and dated January 2020;*
3 *and*

4 (2) *re-evaluate project benefits, as determined*
5 *using the framework described in the proposed rule of*
6 *the Corps of Engineers entitled “Corps of Engineers*
7 *Agency Specific Procedures To Implement the Prin-*
8 *ciples, Requirements, and Guidelines for Federal In-*
9 *vestments in Water Resources” (89 Fed. Reg. 12066*
10 *(February 15, 2024)), including a consideration of*
11 *economically disadvantaged communities (as defined*
12 *pursuant to section 160 of the Water Resources Devel-*
13 *opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law*
14 *116–260)).*

15 **SEC. 213. KANAWHA RIVER BASIN.**

16 *Section 1207 of the Water Resources Development Act*
17 *of 2016 (130 Stat. 1686) is amended—*

18 (1) *by striking “The Secretary shall” and insert-*
19 *ing the following:*

20 *“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall”; and*

21 (2) *by adding at the end the following:*

22 *“(b) PROJECTS AND SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.—Not-*
23 *withstanding any other provision of law, for an authorized*
24 *project or a separable element of an authorized project that*
25 *is recommended as a result of a study carried out by the*

1 *Secretary under subsection (a) benefitting an economically*
 2 *disadvantaged community (as defined pursuant to section*
 3 *160 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33*
 4 *U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) in the State of*
 5 *West Virginia, the non-Federal share of the cost of the*
 6 *project or separable element of a project shall be 10 per-*
 7 *cent.”.*

8 **SEC. 214. AUTHORIZATION OF FEASIBILITY STUDIES FOR**
 9 **PROJECTS FROM CAP AUTHORITIES.**

10 (a) *CEDAR POINT SEAWALL, SCITUATE, MASSACHU-*
 11 *SETTS.—*

12 (1) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct a*
 13 *feasibility study for the project for hurricane and*
 14 *storm damage risk reduction, Cedar Point Seawall,*
 15 *Scituate, Massachusetts.*

16 (2) *REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph*
 17 *(1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information*
 18 *from the project described in that paragraph that was*
 19 *carried out under section 3 of the Act of August 13,*
 20 *1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C. 426g).*

21 (b) *JONES LEVEE, PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—*

22 (1) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct a*
 23 *feasibility study for the project for flood risk manage-*
 24 *ment, Jones Levee, Pierce County, Washington.*

1 (2) *REQUIREMENT.*—*In carrying out paragraph*
2 (1), *the Secretary shall use any relevant information*
3 *from the project described in that paragraph that was*
4 *carried out under section 205 of the Flood Control Act*
5 *of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).*

6 (c) *HATCH, NEW MEXICO.*—

7 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may conduct a*
8 *feasibility study for the project for flood risk manage-*
9 *ment, Hatch, New Mexico.*

10 (2) *REQUIREMENT.*—*In carrying out paragraph*
11 (1), *the Secretary shall use any relevant information*
12 *from the project described in that paragraph that was*
13 *carried out under section 205 of the Flood Control Act*
14 *of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).*

15 (d) *FORT GEORGE INLET, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA.*—

16 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may conduct a*
17 *feasibility study to modify the project for navigation,*
18 *Fort George Inlet, Jacksonville, Florida, to include*
19 *navigation improvements or shoreline erosion preven-*
20 *tion or mitigation as a result of the project.*

21 (2) *REQUIREMENT.*—*In carrying out paragraph*
22 (1), *the Secretary shall use any relevant information*
23 *from the project described in that paragraph that was*
24 *carried out under section 111 of the River and Har-*
25 *bor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i).*

1 **SEC. 215. PORT FOURCHON BELLE PASS CHANNEL, LOU-**
2 **ISIANA.**

3 (a) *FEASIBILITY STUDY.*—

4 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Notwithstanding section*
5 *203(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
6 *1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(a)(1)), the non-Federal interest*
7 *for the project for navigation, Port Fourchon Belle*
8 *Pass Channel, Louisiana, authorized by section*
9 *403(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
10 *2020 (134 Stat. 2743) may, on written notification to*
11 *the Secretary, and at the cost of the non-Federal in-*
12 *terest, carry out a feasibility study to modify the*
13 *project for deepening in accordance with section 203*
14 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
15 *U.S.C. 2231).*

16 (2) *REQUIREMENT.*—*A modification rec-*
17 *ommended by a feasibility study under paragraph (1)*
18 *shall be approved by the Secretary and authorized by*
19 *Congress before construction.*

20 (b) *PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS.*—

21 (1) *PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR SECTION*
22 *203.*—*To the maximum extent practicable, the Sec-*
23 *retary shall use the previous agreement between the*
24 *Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the feasi-*
25 *bility study carried about under section 203 of the*
26 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*

1 2231) that resulted in the project described in sub-
2 section (a)(1) in order to expedite the revised agree-
3 ment between the Secretary and the non-Federal in-
4 terest for the feasibility study described in that sub-
5 section.

6 (2) *PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR TECH-*
7 *NICAL ASSISTANCE.*—On the request of the non-Fed-
8 eral interest described in subsection (a)(1), the Sec-
9 retary shall use the previous agreement for technical
10 assistance under section 203 of the Water Resources
11 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) between
12 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest in order to
13 provide technical assistance to the non-Federal inter-
14 est for the feasibility study under subsection (a)(1).

15 (c) *SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.*—The Secretary
16 shall—

17 (1) review the feasibility study under subsection
18 (a)(1); and

19 (2) if the Secretary determines that the proposed
20 modifications are consistent with the authorized pur-
21 poses of the project and the study meets the same legal
22 and regulatory requirements of a Post Authorization
23 Change Report that would be otherwise undertaken by
24 the Secretary, submit to Congress the study for au-
25 thorization of the modification.

1 **SEC. 216. STUDIES FOR MODIFICATION OF PROJECT PUR-**
2 **POSES IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN IN**
3 **ARIZONA.**

4 (a) *STUDY.*—*The Secretary shall carry out a study of*
5 *a project of the Corps of Engineers in the Colorado River*
6 *Basin in the State of Arizona to determine whether to in-*
7 *clude water supply as a project purpose of that project if*
8 *a request for such a study to modify the project purpose*
9 *is made to the Secretary by—*

10 (1) *the non-Federal interest for the project; or*

11 (2) *in the case of a project for which there is no*
12 *non-Federal interest, the Governor of the State of Ari-*
13 *zona.*

14 (b) *COORDINATION.*—*The Secretary, to the maximum*
15 *extent practicable, shall coordinate with relevant State and*
16 *local authorities in carrying out this section.*

17 (c) *RECOMMENDATIONS.*—*If, after carrying out a*
18 *study under subsection (a) with respect to a project de-*
19 *scribed in that subsection, the Secretary determines that*
20 *water supply should be included as a project purpose for*
21 *that project, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee*
22 *on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the*
23 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
24 *House of Representatives a recommendation for the modi-*
25 *fication of the project purpose of that project.*

1 **SEC. 217. NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION OF**
2 **WATER REALLOCATION STUDIES, NORTH DA-**
3 **KOTA.**

4 *Section 301 of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43*
5 *U.S.C. 390b) is amended by adding at the following:*

6 *“(f) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION.—*

7 *“(1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with this sub-*
8 *section, a non-Federal interest may carry out a water*
9 *reallocation study at a reservoir project constructed*
10 *by the Corps of Engineers and located in the State of*
11 *North Dakota.*

12 *“(2) SUBMISSION.—On completion of the study*
13 *under paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest shall*
14 *submit to the Secretary the results of the study.*

15 *“(3) GUIDELINES.—*

16 *“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180*
17 *days after the date of enactment of this sub-*
18 *section, the Secretary shall issue guidelines for*
19 *the formulation of a water reallocation study*
20 *carried out by a non-Federal interest under this*
21 *subsection.*

22 *“(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The guidelines*
23 *under subparagraph (A) shall contain provisions*
24 *that—*

25 *“(i) ensure that any water reallocation*
26 *study with respect to which the Secretary*

1 *submits an assessment under paragraph (6)*
2 *complies with all of the requirements that*
3 *would apply to a water reallocation study*
4 *undertaken by the Secretary; and*

5 *“(ii) provide sufficient information for*
6 *the formulation of the water reallocation*
7 *studies, including processes and procedures*
8 *related to reviews and assistance under*
9 *paragraph (7).*

10 *“(4) AGREEMENT.—Before carrying out a water*
11 *reallocation study under paragraph (1), the Secretary*
12 *and the non-Federal interest shall enter into an*
13 *agreement.*

14 *“(5) REVIEW BY SECRETARY.—*

15 *“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall re-*
16 *view each water reallocation study received*
17 *under paragraph (2) for the purpose of deter-*
18 *mining whether or not the study, and the process*
19 *under which the study was developed, comply*
20 *with Federal laws and regulations applicable to*
21 *water reallocation studies.*

22 *“(B) TIMING.—The Secretary may not sub-*
23 *mit to Congress an assessment of a water re-*
24 *allocation study under paragraph (1) until such*
25 *time as the Secretary—*

1 “(i) determines that the study complies
2 with all of the requirements that would
3 apply to a water reallocation study carried
4 out by the Secretary; and

5 “(ii) completes all of the Federal anal-
6 yses, reviews, and compliance processes
7 under the National Environmental Policy
8 Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), that
9 would be required with respect to the pro-
10 posed action if the Secretary had carried
11 out the water reallocation study.

12 “(6) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than
13 180 days after the completion of review of a water re-
14 allocation study under paragraph (5), the Secretary
15 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
16 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
17 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
18 Representatives an assessment that—

19 “(A) describes—

20 “(i) the results of that review;

21 “(ii) based on the results of the water
22 allocation study, any structural or oper-
23 ations changes at the reservoir project that
24 would occur if the water reallocation is car-
25 ried out; and

1 “(iii) based on the results of the water
2 reallocation study, any effects to the author-
3 ized purposes of the reservoir project that
4 would occur if the water reallocation is car-
5 ried out; and

6 “(B) includes a determination by the Sec-
7 retary of whether the modifications recommended
8 under the study are those described in subsection
9 (e).

10 “(7) REVIEW AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

11 “(A) REVIEW.—The Secretary may accept
12 and expend funds provided by non-Federal inter-
13 ests to carry out the reviews and other activities
14 that are the responsibility of the Secretary in
15 carrying out this subsection.

16 “(B) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—At the re-
17 quest of the non-Federal interest, the Secretary
18 shall provide to the non-Federal interest tech-
19 nical assistance relating to any aspect of a water
20 reallocation study if the non-Federal interest
21 contracts with the Secretary to pay all costs of
22 providing that technical assistance.

23 “(C) IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING.—In car-
24 rying out this subsection, the Secretary shall en-
25 sure that the use of funds accepted from a non-

1 *Federal interest will not affect the impartial de-*
 2 *cisionmaking of the Secretary, either sub-*
 3 *stantively or procedurally.*

4 “(D) SAVINGS PROVISION.—*The provision of*
 5 *technical assistance by the Secretary under sub-*
 6 *paragraph (B)—*

7 “(i) *shall not be considered to be an*
 8 *approval or endorsement of the water re-*
 9 *allocation study; and*

10 “(ii) *shall not affect the responsibilities*
 11 *of the Secretary under paragraphs (5) and*
 12 *(6).”.*

13 **SEC. 218. TECHNICAL CORRECTION, WALLA WALLA RIVER.**

14 *Section 8201(a) of the Water Resources Development*
 15 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3744) is amended—*

16 (1) *by striking paragraph (76) and inserting the*
 17 *following:*

18 “(76) *NURSERY REACH, WALLA WALLA RIVER,*
 19 *OREGON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Nursery*
 20 *Reach, Walla Walla River, Oregon.”;*

21 (2) *by redesignating paragraphs (92) through*
 22 *(94) as paragraphs (93) through (95), respectively;*
 23 *and*

24 (3) *by inserting after paragraph (91) the fol-*
 25 *lowing:*

1 “(92) *MILL CREEK, WALLA WALLA RIVER BASIN,*
2 *WASHINGTON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Mill*
3 *Creek and Mill Creek Flood Control Zone District*
4 *Channel, Washington.*”.

5 **SEC. 219. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

6 *Section 729(d) of the Water Resources Development*
7 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a(d)) is amended—*

8 (1) *in paragraph (12), by striking “and” at the*
9 *end;*

10 (2) *in paragraph (13), by striking the period at*
11 *the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

12 (3) *by adding at the end the following:*

13 “(14) *the Walla Walla River Basin; and*

14 “(15) *the San Francisco Bay Basin.*”.

15 **SEC. 220. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.**

16 *Section 2034(h)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-*
17 *ment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343(h)(2)) is amended by*
18 *striking “17 years” and inserting “22 years”.*

19 **SEC. 221. ICE JAM PREVENTION AND MITIGATION.**

20 (a) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date*
21 *of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the*
22 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
23 *and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
24 *of the House of Representatives a report on efforts by the*

1 *Secretary to prevent and mitigate flood damages associated*
2 *with ice jams.*

3 (b) *INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in the re-*
4 *port under subsection (a)—*

5 (1) *an assessment of the projects carried out pur-*
6 *suant to section 1150 of the Water Resources Develop-*
7 *ment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 701s note; Public Law*
8 *114–322), if applicable; and*

9 (2) *a description of—*

10 (A) *the challenges associated with pre-*
11 *venting and mitigating ice jams;*

12 (B) *the potential measures that may pre-*
13 *vent or mitigate ice jams, including the extent to*
14 *which additional research and the development*
15 *and deployment of technologies are necessary;*
16 *and*

17 (C) *actions taken by the Secretary to pro-*
18 *vide non-Federal interests with technical assist-*
19 *ance, guidance, or other information relating to*
20 *ice jam events; and*

21 (D) *how the Secretary plans to conduct out-*
22 *reach and engagement with non-Federal interests*
23 *and other relevant State and local agencies to fa-*
24 *cilitate an understanding of the circumstances in*
25 *which ice jams could occur and the potential im-*

1 *pacts to critical public infrastructure from ice*
2 *jams.*

3 **SEC. 222. REPORT ON HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE**
4 **RISK REDUCTION DESIGN GUIDELINES.**

5 *(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

6 *(1) GUIDELINES.—The term “guidelines” means*
7 *the Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Reduction*
8 *Design Guidelines of the Corps of Engineers.*

9 *(2) LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW HURRICANE*
10 *PROTECTION SYSTEM.—The term “Larose to Golden*
11 *Meadow Hurricane Protection System” means the*
12 *project for hurricane-flood protection, Grand Isle and*
13 *Vicinity, Louisiana, authorized by section 204 of the*
14 *Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1077).*

15 *(b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of*
16 *enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the*
17 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
18 *and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
19 *of the House of Representatives a report that compares—*

20 *(1) the guidelines; and*

21 *(2) the construction methods used by the South*
22 *Lafourche Levee District for the levees and flood con-*
23 *trol structures of the Larose to Golden Meadow Hur-*
24 *ricane Protection System.*

1 (c) *INCLUSIONS.*—*The report under subsection (b)*
2 *shall include—*

3 (1) *a description of—*

4 (A) *the guidelines;*

5 (B) *the construction methods used by the*
6 *South Lafourche Levee District for levees and*
7 *flood control structures of the Larose to Golden*
8 *Meadow Hurricane Protection System; and*

9 (C) *any deviations identified between the*
10 *guidelines and the construction methods de-*
11 *scribed in subparagraph (B); and*

12 (2) *an analysis by the Secretary of geotechnical*
13 *and other relevant data from the land adjacent to the*
14 *levees and flood control structures constructed by the*
15 *South Lafourche Levee District to determine the effec-*
16 *tiveness of those structures.*

17 **SEC. 223. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES ON**
18 **THE MISSOURI RIVER.**

19 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 30 days after the*
20 *date on which the consultation under section 7 of the En-*
21 *dangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536) that was*
22 *reinitiated by the Secretary for the operation of the Mis-*
23 *souri River Mainstem Reservoir System, the operation and*
24 *maintenance of the Bank Stabilization and Navigation*
25 *Project, the operation of the Kansas River Reservoir Sys-*

1 *tem, and the implementation of the Missouri River Recov-*
2 *ery Management Plan is completed, the Secretary shall brief*
3 *the Committee on the Environment and Public Works of*
4 *the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and In-*
5 *frastructure of the House of Representatives on the outcomes*
6 *of that consultation.*

7 (b) *REQUIREMENTS.—The briefing under subsection*
8 *(a) shall include a discussion of—*

9 (1) *any biological opinions that result from the*
10 *consultation, including any actions that the Secretary*
11 *is required to undertake pursuant to such biological*
12 *opinions; and*

13 (2) *any forthcoming requests from the Secretary*
14 *to Congress to provide funding in order carry out the*
15 *actions described in paragraph (1).*

16 **SEC. 224. REPORT ON MATERIAL CONTAMINATED BY A HAZ-**
17 **ARDOUS SUBSTANCE AND THE CIVIL WORKS**
18 **PROGRAM.**

19 (a) *REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of*
20 *enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the*
21 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
22 *and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
23 *of the House of Representatives a report that describes the*
24 *impact of material contaminated by a hazardous substance*
25 *on the civil works program of the Corps of Engineers.*

1 (b) *REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the report under*
2 *subsection (a), the Secretary shall—*

3 (1) *describe—*

4 (A) *with respect to water resources develop-*
5 *ment projects—*

6 (i) *the applicable statutory authorities*
7 *that require the removal of material con-*
8 *taminated by a hazardous substance; and*

9 (ii) *the roles and responsibilities of the*
10 *Secretary and non-Federal interests for re-*
11 *moving material contaminated by a haz-*
12 *ardous substance; and*

13 (B) *any regulatory actions or decisions*
14 *made by another Federal agency that impact—*

15 (i) *the removal of material contami-*
16 *nated by a hazardous substance; and*

17 (ii) *the ability of the Secretary to*
18 *carry out the civil works program of the*
19 *Corps of Engineers;*

20 (2) *discuss the impact of material contaminated*
21 *by a hazardous substance on—*

22 (A) *the timely completion of construction of*
23 *water resources development projects;*

24 (B) *the operation and maintenance of water*
25 *resources development projects, including dredg-*

1 *to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive species at water*
2 *resources development projects across the United States.*

3 (c) *REQUIREMENTS.—The report under subsection (b)*
4 *shall include—*

5 (1) *a description of—*

6 (A) *the statutory authorities and programs*
7 *used by the Secretary to monitor, control, and*
8 *eradicate invasive species; and*

9 (B) *a geographically diverse sample of suc-*
10 *cessful projects and activities carried out by the*
11 *Secretary to monitor, control, and eradicate*
12 *invasive species;*

13 (2) *a discussion of—*

14 (A) *the impact of invasive species on the*
15 *ability of the Secretary to carry out the civil*
16 *works program of the Corps of Engineers, with*
17 *a particular emphasis on impact of invasive spe-*
18 *cies to the primary missions of the Corps of En-*
19 *gineers;*

20 (B) *the research conducted and techniques*
21 *and technologies used by the Secretary consistent*
22 *with the applicable statutory authorities de-*
23 *scribed in paragraph (1)(A) to monitor, control,*
24 *and eradicate invasive species; and*

1 (C) *the extent to which the Secretary has*
2 *partnered with States and units of local govern-*
3 *ment to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive*
4 *species within the boundaries of those States or*
5 *units of local government;*

6 (3) *an update on the status of the plan developed*
7 *by the Secretary pursuant to section 1108(c) of the*
8 *Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (33 U.S.C.*
9 *2263a(c)); and*

10 (4) *recommendations, including legislative rec-*
11 *ommendations, to further the efforts of the Secretary*
12 *to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive species.*

13 **SEC. 226. J. STROM THURMOND LAKE, GEORGIA.**

14 (a) *ENCROACHMENT RESOLUTION PLAN.—*

15 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the*
16 *Secretary shall prepare, and submit to the Committee*
17 *on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and*
18 *the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
19 *of the House of Representatives, an encroachment res-*
20 *olution plan for a portion of the project for flood con-*
21 *trol, recreation, and fish and wildlife management, J.*
22 *Strom Thurmond Lake, Georgia and South Carolina,*
23 *authorized by section 10 of the Act of December 22,*
24 *1944 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of*
25 *1944”)* (58 Stat. 894, chapter 665).

1 (2) *LIMITATION.*—*The encroachment resolution*
2 *plan under paragraph (1) shall only apply to the*
3 *portion of the J. Strom Thurmond Lake that is lo-*
4 *cated within the State of Georgia.*

5 (b) *CONTENTS.*—*Subject to subsection (c), the en-*
6 *croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) shall in-*
7 *clude—*

8 (1) *a description of the nature and number of*
9 *encroachments;*

10 (2) *a description of the circumstances that con-*
11 *tributed to the development of the encroachments;*

12 (3) *an assessment of the impact of the encroach-*
13 *ments on operation and maintenance of the project*
14 *described in subsection (a) for its authorized purposes;*

15 (4) *an analysis of alternatives to the removal of*
16 *encroachments to mitigate any impacts identified in*
17 *the assessment under paragraph (3);*

18 (5) *a description of any actions necessary or ad-*
19 *visable to prevent further encroachments; and*

20 (6) *an estimate of the cost and timeline to carry*
21 *out the plan, including actions described under para-*
22 *graph (5).*

23 (c) *RESTRICTION.*—*To the maximum extent prac-*
24 *ticable, the encroachment resolution plan under subsection*
25 *(a) shall minimize adverse impacts to private landowners*

1 *while maintaining the functioning of the project described*
2 *in that subsection for its authorized purposes.*

3 *(d) NOTICE AND PUBLIC COMMENT.—*

4 *(1) TO OWNERS.—In preparing the encroach-*
5 *ment resolution plan under subsection (a), not later*
6 *than 30 days after the Secretary identifies an en-*
7 *croachment, the Secretary shall notify the owner of*
8 *the encroachment.*

9 *(2) TO PUBLIC.—The Secretary shall provide an*
10 *opportunity for the public to comment on the en-*
11 *croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) be-*
12 *fore the completion of the plan.*

13 *(e) MORATORIUM.—The Secretary shall not take action*
14 *to compel removal of an encroachment covered by the en-*
15 *croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) unless*
16 *Congress specifically authorizes such action.*

17 *(f) SAVINGS PROVISION.—This section does not—*

18 *(1) grant any rights to the owner of an encroach-*
19 *ment; or*

20 *(2) impose any liability on the United States for*
21 *operation and maintenance of the project described in*
22 *subsection (a) for its authorized purposes.*

1 **SEC. 227. STUDY ON LAND VALUATION PROCEDURES FOR**
2 **THE TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

3 (a) *DEFINITION OF TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PRO-*
4 *GRAM.*—*In this section, the term “Tribal Partnership Pro-*
5 *gram” means the Tribal Partnership Program established*
6 *under section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act*
7 *of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).*

8 (b) *STUDY REQUIRED.*—*Not later than 1 year after*
9 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall carry*
10 *out, and submit to the Committee on Environment and*
11 *Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-*
12 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives*
13 *a report describing the results of, a study on appropriate*
14 *procedures for determining the value of real estate and cost-*
15 *share contributions for projects under the Tribal Partner-*
16 *ship Program.*

17 (c) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*The report required under sub-*
18 *section (b) shall include—*

19 (1) *an evaluation of the procedures used for de-*
20 *termining the valuation of real estate and contribu-*
21 *tion of real estate value to cost-share for projects*
22 *under the Tribal Partnership Program, including*
23 *consideration of cultural factors that are unique to*
24 *the Tribal Partnership Program and land valuation;*

25 (2) *a description of any existing Federal au-*
26 *thorities that the Secretary intends to use to imple-*

1 *ment policy changes that result from the evaluation*
2 *under paragraph (1); and*
3 *(3) recommendations for any legislation that*
4 *may be needed to revise land valuation or cost-share*
5 *procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program pur-*
6 *suant to the evaluation under paragraph (1).*

7 **SEC. 228. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON LEVEE SAFETY GUIDE-**
8 **LINES.**

9 *(a) DEFINITION OF LEVEE SAFETY GUIDELINES.—In*
10 *this section, the term “levee safety guidelines” means the*
11 *levee safety guidelines established under section 9005(c) of*
12 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
13 *3303a(c)).*

14 *(b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of*
15 *enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in coordination with*
16 *other applicable Federal agencies, shall submit to the Com-*
17 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and*
18 *the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
19 *House of Representatives a report on the levee safety guide-*
20 *lines.*

21 *(c) INCLUSIONS.—The report under subsection (b)*
22 *shall include—*

23 *(1) a description of—*

24 *(A) the levee safety guidelines;*

1 (B) the process utilized to develop the levee
2 safety guidelines; and

3 (C) the extent to which the levee safety
4 guidelines are being used by Federal, State,
5 Tribal, and local agencies;

6 (2) an assessment of the requirement for the levee
7 safety guidelines to be voluntary and a description of
8 actions taken by the Secretary and other applicable
9 Federal agencies to ensure that the guidelines are vol-
10 untary; and

11 (3) any recommendations of the Secretary, in-
12 cluding the extent to which the levee safety guidelines
13 should be revised.

14 **SEC. 229. PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP USER'S GUIDE.**

15 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after the date
16 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop and
17 make publicly available on an existing website of the Corps
18 of Engineers a guide on the use of public-private partner-
19 ships for water resources development projects.

20 (b) *INCLUSIONS.*—In developing the guide under sub-
21 section (a), the Secretary shall include—

22 (1) a description of—

23 (A) applicable authorities and programs of
24 the Secretary that allow for the use of public-pri-

1 *vate partnerships to carry out water resources*
2 *development projects; and*

3 *(B) opportunities across the civil works pro-*
4 *gram of the Corps of Engineers for the use of*
5 *public-private partnerships, including at rec-*
6 *reational facilities;*

7 *(2) a summary of prior public-private partner-*
8 *ships for water resources development projects, includ-*
9 *ing lessons learned and best practices from those part-*
10 *nerships and projects;*

11 *(3) a discussion of—*

12 *(A) the roles and responsibilities of the*
13 *Corps of Engineers and non-Federal interests*
14 *when using a public-private partnership for a*
15 *water resources development project, including*
16 *the opportunities for risk-sharing; and*

17 *(B) the potential benefits associated with*
18 *using a public-private partnership for a water*
19 *resources development project, including the op-*
20 *portunities to accelerate funding as compared to*
21 *the annual appropriations process; and*

22 *(4) a description of the process for executing a*
23 *project partnership agreement for a water resources*
24 *development project, including any unique consider-*
25 *ations when using a public-private partnership.*

1 (c) *FLEXIBILITY.*—*The Secretary may satisfy the re-*
2 *quirements of this section by modifying an existing partner-*
3 *ship handbook in accordance with this section.*

4 **SEC. 230. REVIEW OF AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS FOR**
5 **ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY.**

6 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 1 year after the date*
7 *of enactment of this Act and subject to subsections (b) and*
8 *(c), the Secretary shall carry out a study of the authorities*
9 *and programs of the Corps of Engineers that facilitate the*
10 *use of alternative project delivery methods for water re-*
11 *sources development projects, including public-private part-*
12 *nerships.*

13 (b) *AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS INCLUDED.*—*In car-*
14 *rying out the study under subsection (a), the authorities*
15 *and programs that are studied shall include any programs*
16 *and authorities under—*

17 (1) *section 204 of the Water Resources Develop-*
18 *ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232);*

19 (2) *section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970*
20 *(42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b); and*

21 (3) *section 5014 of the Water Resources Reform*
22 *and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note;*
23 *Public Law 113–121).*

24 (c) *REPORT.*—*The Secretary shall submit to the Com-*
25 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and*

1 *the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
2 *House of Representatives a report that—*

3 *(1) describes the findings of the study under sub-*
4 *section (a); and*

5 *(2) includes—*

6 *(A) an assessment of how each authority*
7 *and program included in the study under sub-*
8 *section (a) has been used by the Secretary;*

9 *(B) a list of the water resources development*
10 *projects that have been carried out pursuant to*
11 *the authorities and programs included in the*
12 *study under subsection (a);*

13 *(C) a discussion of the implementation chal-*
14 *lenges, if any, associated with the authorities*
15 *and programs included in the study under sub-*
16 *section (a);*

17 *(D) a description of lessons learned and best*
18 *practices identified by the Secretary from car-*
19 *rying out the authorities and programs included*
20 *in the study under subsection (a); and*

21 *(E) any recommendations, including legis-*
22 *lative recommendations, that result from the*
23 *study under subsection (a).*

1 **SEC. 231. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON EMERGENCY RE-**
2 **SPONSE EXPENDITURES.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall conduct a re-*
4 *view of emergency response expenditures from the emer-*
5 *gency fund authorized by section 5(a) of the Act of August*
6 *18, 1941 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of*
7 *1941”) (55 Stat. 650, chapter 377; 33 U.S.C. 701n(a)) (re-*
8 *ferred to in this section as the “Flood Control and Coastal*
9 *Emergencies Account”)* and from post-disaster supple-
10 mental appropriations Acts during the period of fiscal
11 years 2013 through 2023.

12 (b) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 1 year*
13 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
14 *submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works*
15 *of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and*
16 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report that*
17 *includes the results of the review under subsection (a), in-*
18 *cluding—*

19 (1) *for each of fiscal years 2013 through 2023,*
20 *a summary of—*

21 (A) *annual expenditures from the Flood*
22 *Control and Coastal Emergencies Account;*

23 (B) *annual budget requests for that account;*

24 *and*

1 (C) any activities, including any re-
2 programming, that may have been required to
3 cover any annual shortfall in that account;

4 (2) a description of the contributing factors that
5 resulted in any annual variability in the amounts de-
6 scribed in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph
7 (1) and activities described in subparagraph (C) of
8 that paragraph;

9 (3) an assessment and a description of future
10 budget needs of the Flood Control and Coastal Emer-
11 gencies Account based on trends observed and antici-
12 pated by the Secretary; and

13 (4) an assessment and a description of the use
14 and impact of funds from post-disaster supplemental
15 appropriations on emergency response activities.

16 **SEC. 232. EXCESS LAND REPORT FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS**
17 **IN NORTH DAKOTA.**

18 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after the date
19 of enactment of this Act, and subject to subsection (b), the
20 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment
21 and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
22 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
23 resentatives a report that identifies any real property asso-
24 ciated with the project of the Corps of Engineers at Lake
25 Oahe, North Dakota, that the Secretary determines—

1 (1) *is not needed to carry out the authorized*
2 *purposes of the project; and*

3 (2) *may be transferred to the Standing Rock*
4 *Sioux Tribe to support recreation opportunities for*
5 *the Tribe, including, at a minimum—*

6 (A) *Walker Bottom Marina, Lake Oahe;*

7 (B) *Fort Yates Boat Ramp, Lake Oahe;*

8 (C) *Cannonball District, Lake Oahe; and*

9 (D) *any other recreation opportunities iden-*
10 *tified by the Tribe.*

11 (b) *INCLUSION.—If the Secretary determines that there*
12 *is not any real property that may be transferred to the*
13 *Standing Rock Sioux Tribe as described in subsection (a),*
14 *the Secretary shall include in the report required under that*
15 *subsection—*

16 (1) *a list of the real property considered by the*
17 *Secretary;*

18 (2) *an explanation of why the real property*
19 *identified under paragraph (1) is needed to carry out*
20 *the authorized purposes of the project described in*
21 *subsection (a); and*

22 (3) *a description of how the Secretary has re-*
23 *cently utilized the real property identified under*
24 *paragraph (1) to carry out the authorized purpose of*
25 *the project described in subsection (a).*

1 **SEC. 233. GAO STUDIES.**

2 (a) *REVIEW OF THE ACCURACY OF PROJECT COST ES-*
3 *TIMATES.*—

4 (1) *REVIEW.*—

5 (A) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 1 year*
6 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the*
7 *Comptroller General of the United States (re-*
8 *ferred to in this section as the “Comptroller Gen-*
9 *eral”)* shall initiate a review of the accuracy of
10 *the project cost estimates developed by the Corps*
11 *of Engineers for completed and ongoing water re-*
12 *sources development projects carried out by the*
13 *Secretary.*

14 (B) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*In carrying out sub-*
15 *paragraph (A), the Comptroller General shall de-*
16 *termine the factors, if any, that impact the accu-*
17 *racy of the estimates described in that subpara-*
18 *graph, including—*

19 (i) *applicable statutory requirements,*
20 *including—*

21 (I) *section 1001 of the Water Re-*
22 *sources Reform and Development Act*
23 *of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and*

24 (II) *section 905(b) of the Water*
25 *Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
26 *U.S.C. 2282(b)); and*

1 (ii) applicable guidance, regulations,
2 and policies of the Corps of Engineers.

3 (C) INCORPORATION OF PREVIOUS RE-
4 PORT.—In carrying out subparagraph (A), the
5 Comptroller General may incorporate applicable
6 information from the report carried out by the
7 Comptroller General under section 8236(c) of the
8 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
9 Stat. 3769).

10 (2) REPORT.—On completion of the review con-
11 ducted under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General
12 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
13 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
14 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
15 Representatives a report on the findings of the review
16 and any recommendations that result from the re-
17 view.

18 (b) REPORT ON PROJECT LIFESPAN AND INDEM-
19 NIFICATION CLAUSE IN PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
20 MENTS.—

21 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

22 (A) INDEMNIFICATION CLAUSE.—The term
23 “indemnification clause” means the indemnifica-
24 tion clause required in project partnership agree-
25 ments for water resources development projects

1 *under sections 101(e)(2) and 103(j)(1)(A) of the*
2 *Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
3 *U.S.C. 2211(e)(2), 2213(j)(1)(A)).*

4 *(B) OMRR&R.—The term “OMRR&R”,*
5 *with respect to a water resources development*
6 *project, means operation, maintenance, repair,*
7 *replacement, and rehabilitation.*

8 *(2) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of*
9 *Congress that—*

10 *(A) there are significant concerns about*
11 *whether—*

12 *(i) the indemnification clause, which*
13 *was first applied in 1910 to flood control*
14 *projects, should still be included in project*
15 *partnership agreements prepared by the*
16 *Corps of Engineers for water resources de-*
17 *velopment projects; and*

18 *(ii) non-Federal interests for water re-*
19 *sources development projects should be re-*
20 *quired to assume full responsibility for*
21 *OMRR&R of water resources development*
22 *projects in perpetuity;*

23 *(B) non-Federal interests have reported that*
24 *the indemnification clause and OMRR&R re-*
25 *quirements are a barrier to entering into project*

1 *partnership agreements with the Corps of Engi-*
2 *neers;*

3 *(C) critical water resources development*
4 *projects are being delayed by years, or not pur-*
5 *sued at all, due to the barriers described in sub-*
6 *paragraph (B); and*

7 *(D) legal structures have changed since the*
8 *indemnification clause was first applied and*
9 *there may be more suitable tools available to ad-*
10 *dress risk and liability issues.*

11 (3) *ANALYSIS.*—*Not later than 1 year after the*
12 *date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General*
13 *shall conduct an analysis of the implications of—*

14 *(A) the indemnification clause; and*

15 *(B) the assumption of OMRR&R respon-*
16 *sibilities by non-Federal interests in perpetuity*
17 *for water resources development projects.*

18 (4) *INCLUSIONS.*—*The analysis under paragraph*
19 (3) *shall include—*

20 *(A) a review of risk for the Federal Govern-*
21 *ment and non-Federal interests with respect to*
22 *removing requirements for the indemnification*
23 *clause;*

24 *(B) an assessment of whether the indem-*
25 *nification clause is still necessary given the*

1 *changes in engineering, legal structures, and*
2 *water resources development projects since 1910,*
3 *with a focus on the quantity and types of claims*
4 *and takings over time;*

5 *(C) an identification of States with State*
6 *laws that prohibit those States from entering*
7 *into agreements that include an indemnification*
8 *clause;*

9 *(D) a comparison to other Federal agencies*
10 *with respect to how those agencies approach in-*
11 *demnification and OMRR&R requirements in*
12 *projects, if applicable;*

13 *(E) a review of indemnification and*
14 *OMRR&R requirements for projects that States*
15 *require with respect to agreements with cities*
16 *and localities, if applicable;*

17 *(F) an analysis of the useful lifespan of*
18 *water resources development projects, including*
19 *any variations in that lifespan for different*
20 *types of water resources development projects and*
21 *how changing weather patterns and increased ex-*
22 *treme weather events impact that lifespan;*

23 *(G) a review of situations in which non-*
24 *Federal interests have been unable to meet*
25 *OMRR&R requirements; and*

1 (H) a review of policy alternatives to
2 OMRR&R requirements, such as allowing exten-
3 sion, reevaluation, or deauthorization of water
4 resources development projects.

5 (5) *REPORT.*—On completion of the analysis
6 under paragraph (3), the Comptroller General shall
7 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
8 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
9 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
10 tives a report that includes—

11 (A) the results of the analysis; and

12 (B) any recommendations for changes need-
13 ed to existing law or policy of the Corps of Engi-
14 neers to address those results.

15 (c) *REVIEW OF CERTAIN PERMITS.*—

16 (1) *DEFINITION OF SECTION 408 PROGRAM.*—In
17 this subsection, the term “section 408 program”
18 means the program administered by the Secretary
19 pursuant to section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899
20 (commonly known as the “Rivers and Harbors Act of
21 1899”) (30 Stat. 1152, chapter 425; 33 U.S.C. 408).

22 (2) *REVIEW.*—Not later than 1 year after the
23 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General
24 shall initiate a review of the section 408 program.

1 (3) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*The review by the Com-*
2 *troller General under paragraph (2) shall include, at*
3 *a minimum—*

4 (A) *an identification of trends related to the*
5 *number and types of permits applied for each*
6 *year under the section 408 program;*

7 (B) *an evaluation of—*

8 (i) *the materials developed by the Sec-*
9 *retary to educate potential applicants*
10 *about—*

11 (I) *the section 408 program; and*

12 (II) *the process for applying for a*
13 *permit under the section 408 program;*

14 (ii) *the public website of the Corps of*
15 *Engineers that tracks the status of permits*
16 *issued under the section 408 program, in-*
17 *cluding whether the information provided*
18 *by the website is updated in a timely man-*
19 *ner;*

20 (iii) *the ability of the districts and di-*
21 *visions of the Corps of Engineers to consist-*
22 *ently administer the section 408 program;*
23 *and*

24 (iv) *the extent to which the Secretary*
25 *carries out the process for issuing a permit*

1 *under the section 408 program concurrently*
2 *with the review required under the National*
3 *Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42*
4 *U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), if applicable;*

5 *(C) a determination of the factors, if any,*
6 *that impact the ability of the Secretary to adhere*
7 *to the timelines required for reviewing and mak-*
8 *ing a decision on an application for a permit*
9 *under the section 408 program; and*

10 *(D) ways to expedite the review of applica-*
11 *tions for permits under the section 408 program,*
12 *including the use of categorical permissions.*

13 *(4) REPORT.—On completion of the review*
14 *under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall*
15 *submit to the Committee on Environment and Public*
16 *Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-*
17 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*
18 *tives a report on the findings of the review and any*
19 *recommendations that result from the review.*

20 *(d) CORPS OF ENGINEERS MODERNIZATION STUDY.—*

21 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
22 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
23 *General shall initiate an analysis of opportunities for*
24 *the Corps of Engineers to modernize the civil works*

1 *program through the use of technology, where appro-*
2 *priate, and the best available engineering practices.*

3 (2) *INCLUSIONS.—In conducting the analysis*
4 *under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General of the*
5 *United States shall include an assessment of the ex-*
6 *tent to which—*

7 (A) *existing engineering practices and tech-*
8 *nologies could be better utilized by the Corps of*
9 *Engineers—*

10 (i) *to improve study, planning, and*
11 *design efforts of the Corps of Engineers to*
12 *further the benefits of water resources devel-*
13 *opment projects of the Corps of Engineers;*

14 (ii) *to reduce delays of water resources*
15 *development projects, including through the*
16 *improvement of environmental review and*
17 *permitting processes;*

18 (iii) *to provide cost savings over the*
19 *lifecycle of a project, including through im-*
20 *proved design processes or a reduction of*
21 *operation and maintenance costs; and*

22 (iv) *to improve data collection and*
23 *data sharing capabilities; and*

24 (B) *the Corps of Engineers—*

1 (i) currently utilizes the engineering
2 practices and technologies identified under
3 subparagraph (A), including any challenges
4 associated with acquisition and application;

5 (ii) has effective processes to share best
6 practices associated with the engineering
7 practices and technologies identified under
8 subparagraph (A) among the districts, divi-
9 sions, and headquarters of the Corps of En-
10 gineers; and

11 (iii) partners with National Labora-
12 tories, academic institutions, and other Fed-
13 eral agencies.

14 (3) *REPORT.*—On completion of the analysis
15 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
16 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
17 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
18 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
19 tives a report on the findings of the analysis and any
20 recommendations that result from the analysis.

21 (e) *STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER RE-*
22 *SOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.*—

23 (1) *DEFINITION OF COVERED EASEMENT.*—In
24 this subsection, the term “covered easement” has the
25 meaning given the term in section 8235(c) of the

1 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
2 *3768).*

3 (2) *STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER*
4 *RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Not later*
5 *than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act,*
6 *the Comptroller General shall initiate an analysis of*
7 *the use of covered easements that may be provided to*
8 *the Secretary by non-Federal interests in relation to*
9 *the construction, operation, or maintenance of a*
10 *project for flood risk management, hurricane and*
11 *storm damage risk reduction, or ecosystem restora-*
12 *tion.*

13 (3) *SCOPE.—In carrying out the analysis under*
14 *paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the United*
15 *States shall—*

16 (A) *review—*

17 (i) *the report submitted by the Sec-*
18 *retary under section 8235(b) of the Water*
19 *Resources Development Act of 2022 (136*
20 *Stat. 3768); and*

21 (ii) *the existing statutory, regulatory,*
22 *and policy requirements and procedures re-*
23 *lating to the use of covered easements; and*

24 (B) *assess—*

1 (i) *the minimum rights in property*
2 *that are necessary to construct, operate, or*
3 *maintain projects for flood risk manage-*
4 *ment, hurricane and storm damage risk re-*
5 *duction, or ecosystem restoration;*

6 (ii) *whether increased use of covered*
7 *easements in relation to projects described*
8 *in clause (i) could promote greater partici-*
9 *pation from cooperating landowners in ad-*
10 *dresssing local flooding or ecosystem restora-*
11 *tion challenges;*

12 (iii) *whether such increased use could*
13 *result in cost savings in the implementation*
14 *of the projects described in clause (i), with-*
15 *out any reduction in project benefits; and*

16 (iv) *the extent to which the Secretary*
17 *should expand what is considered by the*
18 *Secretary to be part of a series of estates*
19 *deemed standard for construction, oper-*
20 *ation, or maintenance of a project for flood*
21 *risk management, hurricane and storm*
22 *damage risk reduction, or ecosystem restora-*
23 *tion.*

24 (4) *REPORT.*—*On completion of the analysis*
25 *under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the*

1 *United States shall submit to the Committee on Envi-*
2 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate and the*
3 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
4 *the House of Representatives a report on the findings*
5 *of the analysis, including any recommendations, in-*
6 *cluding legislative recommendations, as a result of the*
7 *analysis.*

8 *(f) MODERNIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS.—*

9 *(1) DEFINITION OF PROJECT STUDY.—In this*
10 *subsection, the term “project study” means a feasi-*
11 *bility study for a project carried out pursuant to sec-*
12 *tion 905 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
13 *1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282).*

14 *(2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the*
15 *date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General*
16 *shall submit to the Committee on Environment and*
17 *Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on*
18 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of*
19 *Representatives a report that describes the efforts of*
20 *the Secretary to facilitate improved environmental re-*
21 *view processes for project studies, including through*
22 *the consideration of expanded use of categorical exclu-*
23 *sions, environmental assessments, or programmatic*
24 *environmental impact statements.*

1 (3) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*In completing the report*
2 *under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the*
3 *United States shall—*

4 (A) *describe the actions the Secretary is tak-*
5 *ing or plans to take to implement the amend-*
6 *ments to the National Environmental Policy Act*
7 *of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) made by section*
8 *321 of the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023*
9 *(Public Law 118–5; 137 Stat. 38);*

10 (B) *describe the existing categorical exclu-*
11 *sions most frequently used by the Secretary to*
12 *streamline the environmental review of project*
13 *studies;*

14 (C) *consider—*

15 (i) *whether the adoption of additional*
16 *categorical exclusions, including those used*
17 *by other Federal agencies, would facilitate*
18 *the environmental review of project studies;*

19 (ii) *whether the adoption of new pro-*
20 *grammatic environmental impact state-*
21 *ments would facilitate the environmental re-*
22 *view of project studies; and*

23 (iii) *whether agreements with other*
24 *Federal agencies would facilitate a more ef-*

1 *efficient process for the environmental review*
 2 *of project studies; and*

3 *(D) identify—*

4 *(i) any discrepancies or conflicts, as*
 5 *applicable, between the amendments to the*
 6 *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*
 7 *(42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) made by section*
 8 *321 of the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023*
 9 *(Public Law 118–5; 137 Stat. 38) and—*

10 *(I) section 2045 of the Water Re-*
 11 *sources Development Act of 2007 (33*
 12 *U.S.C. 2348); and*

13 *(II) section 1001 of the Water Re-*
 14 *sources Reform and Development Act*
 15 *of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and*

16 *(ii) other issues, as applicable, relating*
 17 *to section 2045 of the Water Resources De-*
 18 *velopment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2348)*
 19 *that are impeding the implementation of*
 20 *that section consistent with congressional*
 21 *intent.*

22 *(g) STUDY ON DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITE*
 23 *CONSTRUCTION.—*

24 *(1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General shall*
 25 *conduct a study that—*

1 (A) assesses the costs and limitations of the
 2 construction of various types of dredged material
 3 disposal sites, with a particular focus on aquatic
 4 confined placement structures in the Lower Co-
 5 lumbia River; and

6 (B) includes a comparison of—

7 (i) the operation and maintenance
 8 needs and costs associated with the avail-
 9 ability of aquatic confined placement struc-
 10 tures; and

11 (ii) the operation and maintenance
 12 needs and costs associated with the lack of
 13 availability of aquatic confined placement
 14 structures.

15 (2) *REPORT.*—On completion of the study under
 16 paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall submit
 17 to the Committee on Environment and Public Works
 18 of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation
 19 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a
 20 report on the findings of the study, and any rec-
 21 ommendations that result from that study.

22 (h) *GAO STUDY ON DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDING FROM*
 23 *THE HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND.*—

24 (1) *DEFINITION OF HARBOR MAINTENANCE*
 25 *TRUST FUND.*—In this subsection, the term “Harbor

1 *Maintenance Trust Fund*” means the Harbor Maintenance
2 *Trust Fund* established by section 9505(a) of
3 the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

4 (2) *ANALYSIS*.—Not later than 1 year after the
5 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General
6 shall initiate an analysis of the distribution of fund-
7 ing from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

8 (3) *REQUIREMENTS*.—In conducting the analysis
9 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall
10 assess—

11 (A) the implementation of provisions related
12 to the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund in the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134
14 Stat. 2615) and the amendments made by that
15 Act by the Corps of Engineers, including—

16 (i) changes to the budgetary treatment
17 of funding from the Harbor Maintenance
18 Trust Fund; and

19 (ii) amendments to the definitions of
20 the terms “donor ports”, “medium-sized
21 donor parts”, and “energy transfer ports”
22 under section 2106(a) of the Water Re-
23 sources Reform and Development Act of
24 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c(a)), including—

1 (I) the reliability of metrics, data
2 for those metrics, and sources for that
3 data used by the Corps of Engineers to
4 determine if a port satisfies the re-
5 quirements of 1 or more of those defini-
6 tions; and

7 (II) the extent of the impact of cy-
8 clical dredging cycles for operations
9 and maintenance activities and deep
10 draft navigation construction projects
11 on the ability of ports to meet the re-
12 quirements of 1 or more of those defini-
13 tions; and

14 (B) the amount of Harbor Maintenance
15 Trust Fund funding in the annual appropria-
16 tions Acts enacted after the date of enactment of
17 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
18 (134 Stat. 2615), including an analysis of—

19 (i) the allocation of funding to donor
20 ports and energy transfer ports (as those
21 terms are defined in section 2106(a) of the
22 Water Resources Reform and Development
23 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c(a))) and the
24 use of that funding by those ports;

1 (ii) activities funded pursuant to sec-
2 tion 210 of the Water Resources Develop-
3 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238); and

4 (iii) challenges associated with expend-
5 ing the remaining balance of the Harbor
6 Maintenance Trust Fund.

7 (4) *REPORT.*—On completion of the analysis
8 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall
9 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
10 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
11 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
12 tives a report describing the findings of the analysis
13 and any recommendations that result from that anal-
14 ysis.

15 **SEC. 234. PRIOR REPORTS.**

16 (a) *REPORTS.*—The Secretary shall prioritize the com-
17 pletion of the reports required pursuant to the following
18 provisions:

19 (1) Section 2036(b) of the Water Resources De-
20 velopment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2283a).

21 (2) Section 1008(c) of the Water Resources Re-
22 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
23 2321b(c)).

24 (3) Section 164(c) of the Water Resources Devel-
25 opment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2668).

1 (4) *Section 226(a) of the Water Resources Devel-*
2 *opment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2697).*

3 (5) *Section 503(d) of the Water Resources Devel-*
4 *opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law*
5 *116–260).*

6 (6) *Section 509(a)(7) of the Water Resources De-*
7 *velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public*
8 *Law 116–260).*

9 (7) *Section 8205(a) of the Water Resources De-*
10 *velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).*

11 (8) *Section 8206(c) of the Water Resources De-*
12 *velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3756).*

13 (9) *Section 8218 of the Water Resources Develop-*
14 *ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).*

15 (10) *Section 8227(b) of the Water Resources De-*
16 *velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3764).*

17 (11) *Section 8232(b) of the Water Resources De-*
18 *velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3766).*

19 (b) *NOTICE.—*

20 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after*
21 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
22 *submit to the Committee on Environment and Public*
23 *Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transporta-*
24 *tion and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*

1 *tives a written notification of the status of each report*
2 *described in subsection (a).*

3 (2) *CONTENTS.—As part of the notification*
4 *under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include for*
5 *each report described in subsection (a)—*

6 (A) *a description of the status of the report;*

7 *and*

8 (B) *if not completed, a timeline for the com-*
9 *pletion of the report.*

10 **SEC. 235. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CAPE COD CANAL**
11 **BRIDGES, MASSACHUSETTS.**

12 (a) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the*
13 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall brief the*
14 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
15 *and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
16 *of the House of Representatives on the status of the project*
17 *for the replacement of the Bourne and Sagamore Highway*
18 *Bridges that cross the Cape Cod Canal Federal Navigation*
19 *Project.*

20 (b) *REQUIREMENTS.—The briefing under subsection*
21 *(a) shall include discussion of—*

22 (1) *the current status of environmental review*
23 *under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*
24 *(42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and expected timelines for*
25 *completion;*

1 (2) *project timelines and relevant paths to move*
 2 *the project described in that subsection toward com-*
 3 *pletion; and*

4 (3) *any issues that are impacting the delivery of*
 5 *the project described in that subsection.*

6 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS,**
 7 **MODIFICATIONS, AND RE-**
 8 **LATED PROVISIONS**

9 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

10 (a) *TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NEVADA.—The project for*
 11 *flood control, Truckee Meadows, Nevada, authorized by sec-*
 12 *tion 3(a)(10) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 13 *1988 (102 Stat. 4014) and section 7002(2) of the Water Re-*
 14 *sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.*
 15 *1366) is no longer authorized beginning on the date of en-*
 16 *actment of this Act.*

17 (b) *SEATTLE HARBOR, WASHINGTON.—*

18 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-*
 19 *actment of this Act, the portion of the project for*
 20 *navigation, Seattle Harbor, Washington, described in*
 21 *paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.*

22 (2) *PORTION DESCRIBED.—The portion of the*
 23 *project referred to in paragraph (1) is the approxi-*
 24 *mately 74,490 square foot area of the Federal channel*
 25 *within the East Waterway—*

1 (A) starting at a point on the United States
2 pierhead line in the southwest corner of block
3 386 of plat of Seattle Tidelands, T. 24 N., R. 4.
4 E, sec.18, Willamette Meridian;

5 (B) thence running $N90^{\circ}00'00''W$ along the
6 projection of the south line of block 386, 206.58
7 feet to the centerline of the East Waterway;

8 (C) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$ along the
9 centerline and parallel with the northwesterly
10 line of block 386, 64.83 feet;

11 (D) thence running $N33^{\circ}32'59''E$, 235.85
12 feet;

13 (E) thence running $N39^{\circ}55'22''E$, 128.70
14 feet;

15 (F) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$, parallel
16 with the northwesterly line of block 386, 280.45
17 feet;

18 (G) thence running $N90^{\circ}00'00''E$, 70.00 feet
19 to the pierhead line and the northwesterly line of
20 block 386; and

21 (H) thence running $S14^{\circ}30'00''W$, 650.25
22 feet along the pierhead line and northwesterly
23 line of block 386 to the point of beginning.

24 (c) CHERRYFIELD DAM, MAINE.—The project for flood
25 control, Narraguagus River, Cherryfield Dam, Maine, au-

1 *thorized by, and constructed pursuant to, section 205 of the*
2 *Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) is no longer*
3 *authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.*

4 *(d) UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM.—*
5 *Section 2010 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-*
6 *ment Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1270; 136 Stat. 3796) is*
7 *amended by adding at the end the following:*

8 *“(h) NAVIGATION.—Beginning on the date of enact-*
9 *ment of the Thomas R. Carper Water Resources Develop-*
10 *ment Act of 2024, the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and*
11 *Dam is no longer authorized for navigation purposes.”.*

12 *(e) EAST SAN PEDRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—The study*
13 *for the project for ecosystem restoration, East San Pedro*
14 *Bay, California, authorized by the resolution of the Com-*
15 *mittee on Public Works of the Senate, dated June 25, 1969,*
16 *relating to the report of the Chief of Engineers for Los Ange-*
17 *les and San Gabriel Rivers, Ballona Creek, is no longer*
18 *authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.*

19 *(f) SOURIS RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA.—The*
20 *Talbott’s Nursery portion, consisting of approximately*
21 *2,600 linear feet of levee, of stage 4 of the project for flood*
22 *control, Souris River Basin, North Dakota, authorized by*
23 *section 1124 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
24 *1986 (100 Stat. 4243; 101 Stat. 1329–111), is no longer*
25 *authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.*

1 (g) *MASARYKTOWN CANAL, FLORIDA.*—

2 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The portion of the project for*
 3 *the Four River Basins, Florida, authorized by section*
 4 *203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1183)*
 5 *described in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized be-*
 6 *ginning on the date of enactment of this Act.*

7 (2) *PORTION DESCRIBED.*—*The portion of the*
 8 *project referred to in paragraph (1) is the*
 9 *Masaryktown Canal C-534, which spans approxi-*
 10 *mately 5.5 miles from Hernando County, between*
 11 *Ayers Road and County Line Road east of United*
 12 *States Route 41, and continues south to Pasco Coun-*
 13 *ty, discharging into Crews Lake.*

14 **SEC. 302. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

15 (a) *NEW PROJECTS.*—*Section 219(f) of the Water Re-*
 16 *sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.*
 17 *334; 136 Stat. 3808) is amended by adding at the end the*
 18 *following:*

19 “(406) *GLENDALE, ARIZONA.*—*\$5,200,000 for en-*
 20 *vironmental infrastructure, including water and*
 21 *wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater*
 22 *management), drainage systems, and water quality*
 23 *enhancement, Glendale, Arizona.*

24 “(407) *TOHONO O’ODHAM NATION, ARIZONA.*—
 25 *\$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*

1 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including*
2 *facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-*
3 *tion), Tohono O’odham Nation, Arizona.*

4 “(408) *FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.—\$4,800,000 for*
5 *environmental infrastructure, including water and*
6 *wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for*
7 *withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Flagstaff,*
8 *Arizona.*

9 “(409) *TUCSON, ARIZONA.—\$30,000,000 for en-*
10 *vironmental infrastructure, including water and*
11 *wastewater infrastructure (including recycled water*
12 *systems), Tucson, Arizona.*

13 “(410) *BAY-DELTA, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000*
14 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
15 *wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater*
16 *management), drainage systems, and water quality*
17 *enhancement, San Francisco Bay–Sacramento–San*
18 *Joaquin River Delta, California.*

19 “(411) *INDIAN WELLS VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—*
20 *\$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*
21 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure, Indian*
22 *Wells Valley, Kern County, California.*

23 “(412) *OAKLAND–ALAMEDA ESTUARY, CALI-*
24 *FORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for environmental infrastruc-*
25 *ture, including water and wastewater infrastructure*

1 *(including stormwater management), drainage sys-*
2 *tems, and water quality enhancement, Oakland–Ala-*
3 *meda Estuary, Oakland and Alameda Counties, Cali-*
4 *fornia.*

5 “(413) TIJUANA RIVER VALLEY WATERSHED,
6 CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for environmental infra-
7 structure, including water and wastewater infrastruc-
8 ture, Tijuana River Valley Watershed, San Diego
9 County, California.

10 “(414) EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO.—
11 \$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
12 ing water and wastewater infrastructure and
13 stormwater management, El Paso County, Colorado.

14 “(415) REHOBOTH BEACH, LEWES, DEWEY,
15 BETHANY, SOUTH BETHANY, FENWICK ISLAND, DELA-
16 WARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental infrastruc-
17 ture, including water and wastewater infrastructure,
18 Rehoboth Beach, Lewes, Dewey, Bethany, South Beth-
19 any, and Fenwick Island, Delaware.

20 “(416) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000
21 for environmental infrastructure, including water and
22 wastewater infrastructure, Wilmington, Delaware.

23 “(417) PICKERING BEACH, KITTS HUMMOCK,
24 BOWERS BEACH, SOUTH BOWERS BEACH, SLAUGHTER
25 BEACH, PRIME HOOK BEACH, MILTON, MILFORD,

1 *DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental infra-*
2 *structure, including water and wastewater infrastruc-*
3 *ture, Pickering Beach, Kitts Hummock, Bowers*
4 *Beach, South Bowers Beach, Slaughter Beach, Prime*
5 *Hook Beach, Milton, and Milford, Delaware.*

6 “(418) *COASTAL GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for envi-*
7 *ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-*
8 *water infrastructure (including stormwater manage-*
9 *ment), Glynn County, Chatham County, Bryan*
10 *County, Effingham County, McIntosh County, and*
11 *Camden County, Georgia.*

12 “(419) *COLUMBUS, HENRY, AND CLAYTON COUN-*
13 *TIES, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000 for environmental in-*
14 *frastructure, including water and wastewater infra-*
15 *structure (including stormwater management), Co-*
16 *lumbus, Henry, and Clayton Counties, Georgia.*

17 “(420) *COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for*
18 *environmental infrastructure, including water and*
19 *wastewater infrastructure, Cobb County, Georgia.*

20 “(421) *CALUMET CITY, ILLINOIS.—\$10,000,000*
21 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
22 *wastewater infrastructure, Calumet City, Illinois.*

23 “(422) *WYANDOTTE COUNTY AND KANSAS CITY,*
24 *KANSAS.—\$35,000,000 for water and wastewater in-*
25 *frastructure, including stormwater management (in-*

1 *cluding combined sewer overflows), Wyandotte County*
2 *and Kansas City, Kansas.*

3 “(423) *EASTHAMPTON, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
4 *\$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*
5 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including*
6 *wastewater treatment plant outfalls), Easthampton,*
7 *Massachusetts.*

8 “(424) *BYRAM, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for en-*
9 *vironmental infrastructure, including water and*
10 *wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater*
11 *management), drainage systems, and water quality*
12 *enhancement, Byram, Mississippi.*

13 “(425) *DIAMONDHEAD, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000*
14 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
15 *wastewater infrastructure and drainage systems,*
16 *Diamondhead, Mississippi.*

17 “(426) *HANCOCK COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—*
18 *\$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*
19 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including*
20 *stormwater management), drainage systems, and*
21 *water quality enhancement, Hancock County, Mis-*
22 *issippi.*

23 “(427) *MADISON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for*
24 *environmental infrastructure, including water and*
25 *wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater*

1 *management), drainage systems, and water quality*
2 *enhancement, Madison, Mississippi.*

3 “(428) PEARL, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for en-
4 *vironmental infrastructure, including water and*
5 *wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater*
6 *management), drainage systems, and water quality*
7 *enhancement, Pearl, Mississippi.*

8 “(429) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—\$20,000,000 for envi-
9 *ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-*
10 *water infrastructure, New Hampshire.*

11 “(430) CAPE MAY COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—
12 *\$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*
13 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including*
14 *facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-*
15 *tion), Cape May County, New Jersey.*

16 “(431) NYE COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$10,000,000 for
17 *environmental infrastructure, including water and*
18 *wastewater infrastructure (including water wellfield*
19 *and pipeline in the Pahrump Valley), Nye County,*
20 *Nevada.*

21 “(432) STOREY COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$10,000,000
22 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
23 *wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for*
24 *withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Storey*
25 *County, Nevada.*

1 “(433) *NEW ROCHELLE, NEW YORK.—*
2 *\$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*
3 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including*
4 *stormwater management), New Rochelle, New York.*

5 “(434) *CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO.—\$5,000,000*
6 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
7 *wastewater infrastructure (including combined sewer*
8 *overflows), Cuyahoga County, Ohio.*

9 “(435) *BLOOMINGBURG, OHIO.—\$6,500,000 for*
10 *environmental infrastructure, including water and*
11 *wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for*
12 *withdrawal, treatment, and distribution),*
13 *Bloomington, Ohio.*

14 “(436) *CITY OF AKRON, OHIO.—\$5,500,000 for*
15 *environmental infrastructure, including water and*
16 *wastewater infrastructure (including drainage sys-*
17 *tems), City of Akron, Ohio.*

18 “(437) *EAST CLEVELAND, OHIO.—\$13,000,000*
19 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
20 *wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater*
21 *management), East Cleveland, Ohio.*

22 “(438) *ASHTABULA COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,500,000*
23 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
24 *wastewater infrastructure (including water supply*

1 *and water quality enhancement), Ashtabula County,*
2 *Ohio.*

3 “(439) *STRUTHERS, OHIO.—\$500,000 for envi-*
4 *ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-*
5 *water infrastructure (including wastewater infra-*
6 *structure, stormwater management, and sewer im-*
7 *provements), Struthers, Ohio.*

8 “(440) *STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.—\$30,000,000*
9 *for environmental infrastructure, including water and*
10 *wastewater infrastructure and water supply infra-*
11 *structure (including facilities for withdrawal, treat-*
12 *ment, and distribution), Stillwater, Oklahoma.*

13 “(441) *PENNSYLVANIA.—\$38,600,000 for envi-*
14 *ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-*
15 *water infrastructure, Pennsylvania.*

16 “(442) *CHESTERFIELD COUNTY, SOUTH CARO-*
17 *LINA.—\$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-*
18 *structure and other environmental infrastructure (in-*
19 *cluding stormwater management), Chesterfield Coun-*
20 *ty, South Carolina.*

21 “(443) *TIPTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—*
22 *\$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure and water*
23 *supply infrastructure, including facilities for with-*
24 *drawal, treatment, and distribution, Tipton County,*
25 *Tennessee.*

1 “(444) *OTHELLO, WASHINGTON.—\$14,000,000*
2 *for environmental infrastructure, including water*
3 *supply and storage treatment, Othello, Washington.*

4 “(445) *COLLEGE PLACE, WASHINGTON.—*
5 *\$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-*
6 *ing water and wastewater infrastructure, College*
7 *Place, Washington.”.*

8 **(b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—**

9 **(1) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—***Congress*
10 *finds that the project modifications described in this*
11 *subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-*
12 *mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section*
13 *7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development*
14 *Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report to Con-*
15 *gress on Future Water Resources Development”, or*
16 *have otherwise been reviewed by Congress.*

17 **(2) MODIFICATIONS.—**

18 **(A) ALABAMA.—***Section 219(f)(274) of the*
19 *Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
20 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3808) is*
21 *amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and insert-*
22 *ing “\$85,000,000”.*

23 **(B) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—**
24 *Section 219(f)(93) of the Water Resources Devel-*
25 *opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.*

1 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is amended
2 by striking “Santa Clarity Valley” and inserting
3 “Santa Clarita Valley”.

4 (C) KENT, DELAWARE.—Section 219(f)(313)
5 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
6 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3810)
7 is amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and in-
8 serting “\$40,000,000”.

9 (D) NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE.—Section
10 219(f)(314) of the Water Resources Development
11 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
12 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
13 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

14 (E) SUSSEX, DELAWARE.—Section
15 219(f)(315) of the Water Resources Development
16 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
17 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
18 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

19 (F) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section
20 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Development
21 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
22 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended by strik-
23 ing “\$15,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

24 (G) MADISON COUNTY AND ST. CLAIR COUN-
25 TY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(55) of the Water

1 *Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.*
2 *4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat. 2763A–221; 136*
3 *Stat. 3817) is amended—*

4 *(i) by striking “\$100,000,000” and in-*
5 *serting “\$110,000,000”; and*

6 *(ii) by inserting “(including*
7 *stormwater management)” after “waste-*
8 *water assistance”.*

9 *(H) MONTGOMERY COUNTY AND CHRISTIAN*
10 *COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(333) of the*
11 *Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
12 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is*
13 *amended—*

14 *(i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-*
15 *ing “MONTGOMERY AND CHRISTIAN COUN-*
16 *TIES” and inserting “MONTGOMERY, CHRIS-*
17 *TIAN, FAYETTE, SHELBY, JASPER, RICH-*
18 *LAND, CRAWFORD, AND LAWRENCE COUN-*
19 *TIES”; and*

20 *(ii) by striking “Montgomery County*
21 *and Christian County” and inserting*
22 *“Montgomery County, Christian County,*
23 *Fayette County, Shelby County, Jasper*
24 *County, Richland County, Crawford Coun-*
25 *ty, and Lawrence County”.*

1 (I) WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section
2 219(f)(334) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
4 Stat. 3812) is amended—

5 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
6 ing “WILL COUNTY” and inserting “WILL
7 AND GRUNDY COUNTIES”; and

8 (ii) by striking “Will County” and in-
9 serting “Will County and Grundy County”.

10 (J) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
11 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
13 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
14 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

15 (K) MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of the
16 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
17 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1262) is
18 amended, in the paragraph heading, by striking
19 “COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS”.

20 (L) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
21 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 134
23 Stat. 2718) is amended by striking
24 “\$130,000,000” and inserting “\$144,000,000”.

1 (M) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
2 219(f)(167) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
4 Stat. 1263; 136 Stat. 3818) is amended by strik-
5 ing “\$125,000,000” and inserting
6 “\$139,000,000”.

7 (N) MADISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
8 tion 219(f)(351) of the Water Resources Develop-
9 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334;
10 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
11 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

12 (O) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
13 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
15 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
16 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

17 (P) RANKIN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
18 219(f)(354) of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136
20 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
21 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

22 (Q) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—Section 219(f)(206)
23 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
24 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1265)

1 is amended by striking “\$1,000,000” and insert-
2 ing “\$9,000,000”.

3 (R) *MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.*—Section
4 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121
6 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat. 2719) is amended by strik-
7 ing “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

8 (S) *PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.*—Sec-
9 tion 219(f)(243) of the Water Resources Develop-
10 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334;
11 121 Stat. 1266) is amended—

12 (i) by striking “\$1,600,000” and in-
13 serting “\$3,000,000”; and

14 (ii) by inserting “water supply and”
15 before “wastewater”.

16 (T) *LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH*
17 *CAROLINA.*—Section 219(f)(25) of the Water Re-
18 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
19 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136 Stat. 3818) is amended
20 by striking “\$165,000,000” and inserting
21 “\$232,000,000”.

22 (U) *MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.*—Section
23 219(f)(405) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136

1 *Stat. 3816) is amended by striking “\$4,500,000”*
2 *and inserting “\$10,500,000”.*

3 (c) *NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—*Section 219 of the Water*
4 *Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835) is*
5 *amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the fol-*
6 *lowing:*

7 “(b) *NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—

8 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Except as otherwise provided*
9 *in this subsection, the non-Federal share of the cost of*
10 *a project for which assistance is provided under this*
11 *section shall be not less than 25 percent.*

12 “(2) *ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-*
13 *NITIES.*—*The non-Federal share of the cost of a*
14 *project for which assistance is provided under this*
15 *section benefitting an economically disadvantaged*
16 *community (as defined pursuant to section 160 of the*
17 *Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.*
18 *2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.*

19 “(3) *ABILITY TO PAY.*—

20 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The non-Federal share*
21 *of the cost of a project for which assistance is*
22 *provided under this section shall be subject to the*
23 *ability of the non-Federal interest to pay.*

24 “(B) *DETERMINATION.*—*The ability of a*
25 *non-Federal interest to pay shall be determined*

1 *by the Secretary in accordance with procedures*
2 *established by the Secretary.*

3 “(C) *DEADLINE.*—*Not later than 60 days*
4 *after the date of enactment of the Thomas R.*
5 *Carper Water Resources Development Act of*
6 *2024, the Secretary shall issue guidance on the*
7 *procedures described in subparagraph (B).*

8 “(4) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—

9 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall an-*
10 *nually submit to the Committee on Environment*
11 *and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-*
12 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
13 *the House of Representatives a written notifica-*
14 *tion of determinations made by the Secretary of*
15 *the ability of non-Federal interests to pay under*
16 *this section.*

17 “(B) *CONTENTS.*—*In preparing the written*
18 *notification under subparagraph (A), the Sec-*
19 *retary shall include, for each determination*
20 *made by the Secretary—*

21 “(i) *the name of the non-Federal inter-*
22 *est that submitted to the Secretary a request*
23 *for a determination under paragraph*
24 *(3)(B);*

1 “(ii) the name and location of the
2 project; and

3 “(iii) the determination made by the
4 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
5 mination, including the adjusted share of
6 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
7 interest, if applicable.”.

8 **SEC. 303. PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUC-**
9 **TURE.**

10 Section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act
11 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat. 3723;
12 113 Stat. 310; 117 Stat. 142; 121 Stat. 1146; 134 Stat.
13 2719; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended—

14 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**SOUTH**
15 **CENTRAL**”;

16 (2) by striking “south central” each place it ap-
17 pears;

18 (3) by striking subsections (c) and (h);

19 (4) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), (f), and
20 (g) as subsections (c), (d), (e), and (f), respectively;
21 and

22 (5) in paragraph (2)(A) of subsection (c) (as re-
23 designated), by striking “the SARCD Council and
24 other”.

1 **SEC. 304. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.**

2 *Section 1113 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 3 *of 1986 (100 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719; 136 Stat. 3782)*
 4 *is amended—*

5 *(1) in subsection (d)—*

6 *(A) by striking “costs,” and all that follows*
 7 *through “except that” and inserting “costs, shall*
 8 *be as described in the second sentence of sub-*
 9 *section (b) (as in effect on the day before the date*
 10 *of enactment of the Water Resources Development*
 11 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3691)), except that”; and*

12 *(B) by striking “measure benefitting” and*
 13 *inserting “measure (other than a reconnaissance*
 14 *study) benefitting”; and*

15 *(2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$80,000,000”*
 16 *and inserting “\$100,000,000”.*

17 **SEC. 305. OREGON ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

18 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8359 of the Water Re-*
 19 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3802) is amend-*
 20 *ed—*

21 *(1) in the section heading, by striking “SOUTH-*
 22 ***WESTERN*”;**

23 *(2) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by striking*
 24 *“southwestern” each place it appears;*

25 *(3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking*
 26 *“\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”; and*

1 (4) *by striking subsection (f).*

2 (b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—*

3 (1) *NDAA.—The table of contents in section 2(b)*
 4 *of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Authoriza-*
 5 *tion Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat. 2430) is*
 6 *amended by striking the item relating to section 8359*
 7 *and inserting the following:*

“*Sec. 8359. Oregon.*”.

8 (2) *WRDA.—The table of contents in section*
 9 *8001(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 10 *2022 (136 Stat. 3694) is amended by striking the*
 11 *item relating to section 8359 and inserting the fol-*
 12 *lowing:*

“*Sec. 8359. Oregon.*”.

13 **SEC. 306. KENTUCKY AND WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL**
 14 **INFRASTRUCTURE.**

15 (a) *ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary*
 16 *shall establish a program to provide environmental assist-*
 17 *ance to non-Federal interests in Kentucky and West Vir-*
 18 *ginia.*

19 (b) *FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided under*
 20 *this section may be in the form of design and construction*
 21 *assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure*
 22 *and resource protection and development projects in Ken-*
 23 *tucky and West Virginia, including projects for wastewater*
 24 *treatment and related facilities, water supply and related*

1 *facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water re-*
2 *source protection and development.*

3 (c) *OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may*
4 *provide assistance for a project under this section only if*
5 *the project is publicly owned.*

6 (d) *LOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENTS.—*

7 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance*
8 *under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a*
9 *local cooperation agreement with a non-Federal inter-*
10 *est to provide for design and construction of the*
11 *project to be carried out with such assistance.*

12 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.—Each local cooperation*
13 *agreement entered into under this subsection shall*
14 *provide for the following:*

15 (A) *Development by the Secretary, in con-*
16 *sultation with appropriate Federal and State of-*
17 *ficials, of a facilities or resource protection and*
18 *development plan, including appropriate engi-*
19 *neering plans and specifications.*

20 (B) *Establishment of such legal and institu-*
21 *tional structures as are necessary to ensure the*
22 *effective long-term operation of the project by the*
23 *non-Federal interest.*

24 (3) *COST SHARING.—*

1 (A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Federal share of the*
2 *cost of a project carried out under this section—*

3 (i) *shall be 75 percent; and*

4 (ii) *may be provided in the form of*
5 *grants or reimbursements of project costs.*

6 (B) *CREDIT FOR INTEREST.*—*In case of a*
7 *delay in the funding of the Federal share of a*
8 *project that is the subject of a local cooperation*
9 *agreement under this section, the non-Federal in-*
10 *terest shall receive credit for reasonable interest*
11 *incurred in providing the non-Federal share of*
12 *the project cost.*

13 (C) *LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY*
14 *CREDIT.*—*The non-Federal interest shall receive*
15 *credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and re-*
16 *locations toward the non-Federal share of project*
17 *costs (including all reasonable costs associated*
18 *with obtaining permits necessary for the con-*
19 *struction, operation, and maintenance of the*
20 *project on publicly owned or controlled land),*
21 *but such credit may not exceed 25 percent of*
22 *total project costs.*

23 (D) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—*The*
24 *non-Federal share of operation and maintenance*

1 costs for projects constructed with assistance pro-
2 vided under this section shall be 100 percent.

3 (e) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—

4 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—There is authorized to be ap-
5 propriated \$75,000,000 to carry out this section, to be
6 divided between the States described in subsection (a).

7 (2) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.*—Not more
8 than 10 percent of the amounts made available to
9 carry out this section may be used by the Corps of
10 Engineers to administer projects under this section.

11 **SEC. 307. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND**
12 **NEW YORK.**

13 Section 542(e)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Develop-
14 ment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2672) is amended by inserting
15 “, or in the case of a critical restoration project benefitting
16 an economically disadvantaged community (as defined pur-
17 suant to section 160 of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)),
19 10 percent of the total costs of the project” after “project”.

20 **SEC. 308. OHIO AND NORTH DAKOTA.**

21 Section 594(d)(3)(A) of the Water Resources Develop-
22 ment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 382) is amended—

23 (1) in the second sentence, by striking “The Fed-
24 eral share may” and inserting the following:

1 “(iii) *FORM.—The Federal share*
2 *may*”;

3 (2) *by striking the subparagraph designation*
4 *and heading and all that follows through “The Fed-*
5 *eral share of” in the first sentence and inserting the*
6 *following:*

7 “(A) *PROJECT COSTS.—*

8 “(i) *IN GENERAL.—Except as provided*
9 *in clause (ii), the Federal share of*”; and

10 (3) *by inserting after clause (i) (as so des-*
11 *ignated) the following:*

12 “(ii) *EXCEPTION.—The non-Federal*
13 *share of the cost of a project under this sec-*
14 *tion benefitting an economically disadvan-*
15 *taged community (as defined pursuant to*
16 *section 160 of the Water Resources Develop-*
17 *ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note;*
18 *Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.”.*

19 **SEC. 309. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

20 *Section 340 of the Water Resources Development Act*
21 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4856; 136 Stat. 3807) is amended—*

22 (1) *in subsection (c)(3)—*

23 (A) *in the first sentence, by striking “Total*
24 *project costs” and inserting the following:*

1 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*Except as provided in*
2 *subparagraph (B), total project costs*”; and

3 *(B) by adding at the end the following:*

4 “(B) *EXCEPTION.*—*In the case of a project*
5 *benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-*
6 *munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of*
7 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2020*
8 *(33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)), the*
9 *Federal share of the total project costs under the*
10 *applicable local cooperation agreement entered*
11 *into under this subsection shall be 90 percent.*

12 “(C) *FEDERAL SHARE.*—*The Federal share*
13 *of the total project costs under this paragraph*
14 *may be provided in the same form as described*
15 *in section 571(e)(3)(A) of the Water Resources*
16 *Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 371).”;*

17 *(2) by striking subsection (e);*

18 *(3) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), (h), and*
19 *(i) as subsections (e), (f), (g), and (h), respectively;*
20 *and*

21 *(4) in subsection (f) (as so redesignated), in the*
22 *first sentence, by striking “\$140,000,000” and insert-*
23 *ing “\$170,000,000”.*

1 **SEC. 310. NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

2 *Section 571 of the Water Resources Development Act*
3 *of 1999 (113 Stat. 371; 121 Stat. 1257; 136 Stat. 3807)*
4 *is amended—*

5 *(1) in subsection (e)(3)—*

6 *(A) in subparagraph (A), in the first sen-*
7 *tence, by striking “The Federal share” and in-*
8 *serting “Except as provided in subparagraph*
9 *(B), the Federal share”;*

10 *(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B),*
11 *(C), (D), and (E) as subparagraphs (C), (D),*
12 *(E), and (F), respectively; and*

13 *(C) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the*
14 *following:*

15 *“(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a project*
16 *benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-*
17 *munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of*
18 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2020*
19 *(33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)), the*
20 *Federal share of the project costs under the ap-*
21 *plicable local cooperation agreement entered into*
22 *under this subsection shall be 90 percent.”;*

23 *(2) by striking subsection (g);*

24 *(3) by redesignating subsections (h), (i), and (j)*
25 *as sections (g), (h), and (i), respectively; and*

1 (4) *in subsection (g) (as so redesignated), by*
2 *striking “\$120,000,000” and inserting*
3 *“\$150,000,000”.*

4 **SEC. 311. OHIO, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WEST VIRGINIA.**

5 (a) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

6 (1) *IMPAIRED WATER.—*

7 (A) *IN GENERAL.—The term “impaired*
8 *water” means a stream of a watershed that is*
9 *not, as of the date of an application under this*
10 *section, achieving the designated use of the*
11 *stream.*

12 (B) *INCLUSION.—The term “impaired*
13 *water” includes any stream identified by a State*
14 *under section 303(d) of the Federal Water Pollu-*
15 *tion Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1313(d)).*

16 (2) *RESTORATION.—*

17 (A) *IN GENERAL.—The term “restoration”,*
18 *with respect to impaired water, means the res-*
19 *toration of the impaired water to such an extent*
20 *that the stream could achieve its designated use*
21 *over the greatest practical number of stream-*
22 *miles, as determined using, if available, State-*
23 *designated or Tribal-designated criteria.*

24 (B) *INCLUSION.—The term “restoration”*
25 *includes the removal of covered pollutants.*

1 (b) *ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.*—*The Secretary*
2 *may establish a pilot program to provide environmental as-*
3 *sistance to non-Federal interests for the restoration of im-*
4 *paired water impacted by acid mine drainage in Ohio,*
5 *Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.*

6 (c) *FORM OF ASSISTANCE.*—*Assistance under this sec-*
7 *tion may be in the form of technical assistance and design*
8 *and construction assistance for water-related environmental*
9 *infrastructure to address acid mine drainage, including*
10 *projects for centralized water treatment and related facili-*
11 *ties.*

12 (d) *PRIORITIZATION.*—*The Secretary shall prioritize*
13 *assistance under this section to a project that—*

14 (1) *addresses acid mine drainage from multiple*
15 *sources impacting impaired waters; or*

16 (2) *includes a centralized water treatment sys-*
17 *tem to reduce the acid mine drainage load in im-*
18 *paired waters.*

19 (e) *PUBLIC OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.*—*The Sec-*
20 *retary may provide assistance for a project under this sec-*
21 *tion only if the project is publicly owned.*

22 (f) *COORDINATION.*—*The Secretary shall, to the max-*
23 *imum extent practicable, work with States, units of local*
24 *government, and other relevant Federal agencies to secure*
25 *any permits, variances, or approvals necessary to facilitate*

1 *the completion of projects receiving assistance under this*
 2 *section.*

3 (g) *COST-SHARE.*—*The non-Federal share of the cost*
 4 *of a project carried out under this section shall be 25 per-*
 5 *cent, including provision of all land, easements, rights-of-*
 6 *way, and necessary relocations.*

7 (h) *AGREEMENTS.*—*Construction of a project under*
 8 *this section shall be initiated only after the non-Federal in-*
 9 *terest has entered into a binding agreement with the Sec-*
 10 *retary to pay—*

11 (1) *the non-Federal share of the costs of construc-*
 12 *tion of a project carried out under this section; and*

13 (2) *100 percent of any operation, maintenance,*
 14 *and replacement and rehabilitation costs of a project*
 15 *carried out under this section.*

16 (i) *CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.*—*The Secretary, with the*
 17 *consent of the non-Federal interest for a project carried out*
 18 *under this section, may receive or expend funds contributed*
 19 *by a nonprofit entity for the project.*

20 (j) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—*There is*
 21 *authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section*
 22 *\$50,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

23 **SEC. 312. WESTERN RURAL WATER.**

24 *Section 595(a) of the Water Resources Development*
 25 *Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 1836) is amended—*

1 (1) *by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as*
2 *paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and*

3 (2) *by inserting before paragraph (2) (as so re-*
4 *designated) the following:*

5 “(1) *NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.*—*The term ‘non-*
6 *Federal interest’ includes an entity declared to be a*
7 *political subdivision of the State of New Mexico.”.*

8 **SEC. 313. CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.**

9 (a) *REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-*
10 *NELS.*—*Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat.*
11 *877, chapter 877; 33 U.S.C. 701g), is amended—*

12 (1) *by striking “\$7,500,000” and inserting*
13 *“\$15,000,000”;*

14 (2) *by inserting “for preventing and mitigating*
15 *flood damages associated with ice jams,” after “other*
16 *debris,”; and*

17 (3) *by striking “\$500,000” and inserting*
18 *“\$1,000,000”.*

19 (b) *EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PRO-*
20 *TECTION.*—*Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33*
21 *U.S.C. 701r) is amended—*

22 (1) *by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting*
23 *“\$40,000,000”; and*

24 (2) *by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting*
25 *“\$15,000,000”.*

1 (c) *STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-*
2 *PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.*—Section 3(c) of the Act of
3 August 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C.
4 426g(c)), is amended—

5 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “\$37,500,000”
6 and inserting “\$45,000,000”; and

7 (2) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking
8 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

9 (d) *SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.*—Section 205
10 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) is amend-
11 ed—

12 (1) in the first sentence, by striking
13 “\$68,750,000” and inserting “\$85,000,000”; and

14 (2) in the third sentence, by striking
15 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

16 (e) *AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.*—Section 206
17 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C.
18 2330) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the
20 following:

21 “(4) *DROUGHT RESILIENCE.*—A project under
22 this section may include measures that enhance
23 drought resilience through the restoration of wetlands
24 or the removal of invasive species.”;

1 (2) *in subsection (d), by striking “\$10,000,000”*
2 *and inserting “\$15,000,000”; and*

3 (3) *in subsection (f), by striking “\$62,500,000”*
4 *and inserting “\$75,000,000”.*

5 (f) *PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF*
6 *ENVIRONMENT.—Section 1135 of the Water Resources De-*
7 *velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a) is amended—*

8 (1) *in subsection (d), in the third sentence, by*
9 *striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”;*
10 *and*

11 (2) *in subsection (h), by striking “\$50,000,000”*
12 *and inserting “\$60,000,000”.*

13 (g) *SHORE DAMAGE PREVENTION OR MITIGATION.—*
14 *Section 111(c) of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33*
15 *U.S.C. 426i(c)) is amended by striking “\$12,500,000” and*
16 *inserting “\$15,000,000”.*

17 (h) *SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT*
18 *PROJECTS.—Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act*
19 *of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking*
20 *“\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.*

21 (i) *REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.—Section*
22 *204(c)(1)(C) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
23 *1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326(c)(1)(C)) is amended by striking*
24 *“\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.*

1 **SEC. 314. SMALL PROJECT ASSISTANCE.**

2 *Section 165(b) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 3 *of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260) is*
 4 *amended by striking “2024” each place it appears and in-*
 5 *serting “2029”.*

6 **SEC. 315. GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER**
 7 **INTERBASIN PROJECT, BRANDON ROAD, WILL**
 8 **COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

9 *After completion of construction of the project for eco-*
 10 *system restoration, Great Lakes and Mississippi River*
 11 *Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois,*
 12 *authorized by section 401(5) of the Water Resources Devel-*
 13 *opment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2740) and modified by sec-*
 14 *tion 402(a) of that Act (134 Stat. 2742) and section 8337*
 15 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
 16 *3793), the Federal share of operation and maintenance costs*
 17 *of the project shall be 90 percent.*

18 **SEC. 316. MAMARONECK-SHELDRAKE RIVERS, NEW YORK.**

19 *The non-Federal share of the cost of features of the*
 20 *project for flood risk management, Mamaroneck-Sheldrake*
 21 *Rivers, New York, authorized by section 1401(2) of the*
 22 *Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3837),*
 23 *benefitting an economically disadvantaged community (as*
 24 *defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Resources De-*
 25 *velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law*
 26 *116–260)) shall be 10 percent.*

1 **SEC. 317. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, ALASKA.**

2 *Section 5032(a)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-*
3 *ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1205; 134 Stat. 2719) is*
4 *amended by striking “20” and inserting “25”.*

5 **SEC. 318. SELMA FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND BANK**
6 **STABILIZATION.**

7 *(a) EXPEDITED REVIEW.—The Secretary shall expe-*
8 *dite the review of, and give due consideration to, the request*
9 *from the City of Selma, Alabama, that the Secretary apply*
10 *section 103(k) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
11 *1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(k)) to the project for flood risk man-*
12 *agement, Selma Flood Risk Management and Bank Sta-*
13 *bilization, Alabama, authorized by section 8401(2) of the*
14 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3839).*

15 *(b) COST-SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost*
16 *of the project for flood risk management, Selma Flood Risk*
17 *Management and Bank Stabilization, Alabama, authorized*
18 *by section 8401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act*
19 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3839), shall be 10 percent.*

20 **SEC. 319. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.**

21 *Section 519(c)(2) of the Water Resources Development*
22 *Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2654; 121 Stat. 1221) is amended*
23 *by striking “2010” and inserting “2029”.*

24 **SEC. 320. HAWAII ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.**

25 *Section 444 of the Water Resources Development Act*
26 *of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286) is amended—*

1 (1) by striking “and environmental restoration”
 2 and inserting “environmental restoration, and coastal
 3 storm risk management”; and

4 (2) by inserting “Hawaii,” after “Guam,”.

5 **SEC. 321. CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
 6 **PARTNERSHIPS.**

7 Section 104(g)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act of
 8 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(g)(2)(A)) is amended by inserting “the
 9 Connecticut River Basin,” after “the Ohio River Basin,”.

10 **SEC. 322. EXPENSES FOR CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT**
 11 **GROWTHS AND INVASIVE SPECIES.**

12 Section 104(d)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act of
 13 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(d)(2)(A)) is amended by striking “50
 14 percent” and inserting “35 percent”.

15 **SEC. 323. CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASIAN CARP PREVENTION**
 16 **PILOT PROGRAM.**

17 Section 509(a)(2)(C)(ii) of the Water Resources Devel-
 18 opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law 116-
 19 260) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting “2029”.

20 **SEC. 324. EXTENSION FOR CERTAIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
 21 **PROGRAMS.**

22 Section 104(b)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act of
 23 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(b)(2)(A)) is amended—

1 (1) *in clause (i), by striking “each of fiscal years*
 2 *2021 through 2024” and inserting “each of fiscal*
 3 *years 2025 through 2029”;* and

4 (2) *in clause (ii), by striking “2028” and insert-*
 5 *ing “2029”.*

6 **SEC. 325. STORM DAMAGE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION,**
 7 **COASTAL EROSION, RIVERINE EROSION, AND**
 8 **ICE AND GLACIAL DAMAGE, ALASKA.**

9 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 8315 of the Water Re-
 10 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3783) is amend-*
 11 *ed—*

12 (1) *in the section heading, by inserting*
 13 **“RIVERINE EROSION,”** after **“COASTAL ERO-**
 14 **SION,”**; and

15 (2) *in subsection (a), in the matter preceding*
 16 *paragraph (1), by inserting “riverine erosion,” after*
 17 *“coastal erosion,”.*

18 (b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.*—

19 (1) *The table of contents in section 2(b) of the*
 20 *James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act*
 21 *for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat. 2429) is amended by*
 22 *striking the item relating to section 8315 and insert-*
 23 *ing the following:*

“Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine
 erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.”.

1 (2) *The table of contents in section 8001(b) of the*
 2 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
 3 *3693) is amended by striking the item relating to sec-*
 4 *tion 8315 and inserting the following:*

 “*Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.*”.

5 **SEC. 326. REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-**
 6 **STRUCTED DAMS.**

7 *Section 1177 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 8 *of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f–2 note; Public Law 114–322) is*
 9 *amended—*

10 (1) *by striking subsection (c) and inserting the*
 11 *following:*

12 “*(c) COST SHARING.—The non-Federal share of the*
 13 *cost of a project for rehabilitation of a dam under this sec-*
 14 *tion, including the cost of any required study, shall be the*
 15 *same share assigned to the non-Federal interest for the cost*
 16 *of initial construction of that dam, including provision of*
 17 *all land, easements, rights-of-way, and necessary reloca-*
 18 *tions.*”;

19 (2) *in subsection (e)—*

20 (A) *by striking the subsection designation*
 21 *and heading and all that follows through “The*
 22 *Secretary” and inserting the following:*

23 “*(e) COST LIMITATION.—*

1 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Except as provided in para-*
2 *graph (2), the Secretary*”; and

3 *(B) by adding at the end the following:*

4 “(2) *CERTAIN DAMS.*—*The Secretary shall not*
5 *expend more than \$100,000,000 under this section for*
6 *the Waterbury Dam Spillway Project, Vermont.*”;

7 *(3) in subsection (f), by striking “fiscal years*
8 *2017 through 2026” and inserting “fiscal years 2025*
9 *through 2029”;* and

10 *(4) by striking subsection (g).*

11 **SEC. 327. EDIZ HOOK BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT,**
12 **PORT ANGELES, WASHINGTON.**

13 *The cost-share for operation and maintenance costs for*
14 *the project for beach erosion control, Ediz Hook, Port Ange-*
15 *les, Washington, authorized by section 4 of the Water Re-*
16 *sources Development Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 15), shall be in*
17 *accordance with the cost-share described in section*
18 *101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
19 *(33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)).*

20 **SEC. 328. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO CERTAIN**
21 **LOUISIANA HURRICANE AND COASTAL**
22 **STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION PROJECTS.**

23 *It is the sense of Congress that all efforts should be*
24 *made to extend the scope of the project for hurricane and*
25 *storm damage risk reduction, Morganza to the Gulf, Lou-*

1 isiana, authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Re-
 2 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.
 3 1368), and the project for hurricane and storm damage risk
 4 reduction, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana, authorized
 5 by section 8401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act
 6 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3841), in order to connect the two
 7 projects and realize the benefits of continuous hurricane and
 8 coastal storm damage risk reduction from west of Houma
 9 in Gibson, Louisiana, to the connection with the Hurricane
 10 Storm Damage Risk Reduction System around New Orle-
 11 ans, Louisiana.

12 **SEC. 329. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY PROGRAM.**

13 Section 704(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development
 14 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263 note; Public Law 99–662) is
 15 amended, in the second sentence, by striking
 16 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$120,000,000”.

17 **SEC. 330. BOSQUE WILDLIFE RESTORATION PROJECT.**

18 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall establish a pro-
 19 gram to carry out appropriate planning, design, and con-
 20 struction measures for wildfire prevention and restoration
 21 in the Middle Rio Grande Bosque, including the removal
 22 of jetty jacks.

23 (b) *COST SHARE.*—

24 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in para-
 25 graph (2), the non-Federal share of the cost of a

1 *by section 401(3) of the Water Resources Devel-*
 2 *opment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.*

3 **SEC. 332. WILSON LOCK FLOATING GUIDE WALL.**

4 *(a) IN GENERAL.—On the request of the relevant Fed-*
 5 *eral entity, the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent*
 6 *practicable, use all relevant authorities to expeditiously*
 7 *provide technical assistance, including engineering and de-*
 8 *sign assistance, and cost estimation assistance to the rel-*
 9 *evant Federal entity in order to address the impacts to*
 10 *navigation along the Tennessee River at the Wilson Lock*
 11 *and Dam, Alabama.*

12 *(b) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section author-*
 13 *izes the Secretary to expend funding on the repair, replace-*
 14 *ment, or removal of a capital asset owned by the relevant*
 15 *Federal entity, including the Wilson Lock and Dam.*

16 **SEC. 333. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND DELAWARE BAY**
 17 **COAST COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT**
 18 **STUDY.**

19 *(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

20 (1) *ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-*
 21 *NITY.—The term “economically disadvantaged com-*
 22 *munity” has the meaning given the term pursuant to*
 23 *section 160 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 24 *of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)).*

1 (2) *STUDY.*—*The term “study” means the Dela-*
2 *ware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast Coastal*
3 *Storm Risk Management Study, authorized by the*
4 *resolution of the Committee on Public Works and*
5 *Transportation of the House of Representatives dated*
6 *October 1, 1986, and the resolution of the Committee*
7 *on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
8 *dated June 23, 1988.*

9 (b) *STUDY, PROJECTS, AND SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.*—
10 *Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if the Sec-*
11 *retary determines that the study will benefit 1 or more eco-*
12 *nomically disadvantaged communities, the non-Federal*
13 *share of the costs of carrying out the study, or project con-*
14 *struction or a separable element of a project authorized*
15 *based on the study, shall be 10 percent.*

16 (c) *COST SHARING AGREEMENT.*—*The Secretary shall*
17 *seek to expedite any amendments to any existing cost-share*
18 *agreement for the study in accordance with this section.*

19 **SEC. 334. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER PLAN.**

20 *Section 1103(e)(4) of the Water Resources Development*
21 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)) is amended by striking*
22 *“\$15,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.*

23 **SEC. 335. REHABILITATION OF PUMP STATIONS.**

24 *Notwithstanding the requirements of section 133 of the*
25 *Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.*

1 2327a), for purposes of that section, each of the following
 2 shall be considered to be an eligible pump station (as de-
 3 fined in subsection (a) of that section) that meets the re-
 4 quirements described in subsection (b) of that section:

5 (1) The flood control pump station, Hockanum
 6 Road, Northampton, Massachusetts.

7 (2) Pointe Celeste Pump Station, Plaquemines
 8 Parish, Louisiana.

9 **SEC. 336. NAVIGATION ALONG THE TENNESSEE-**
 10 **TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY.**

11 *The Secretary shall, consistent with applicable statu-*
 12 *tory authorities—*

13 (1) *coordinate with the relevant stakeholders and*
 14 *communities in the State of Alabama and the State*
 15 *of Mississippi to address the dredging needs of the*
 16 *Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway in those States; and*

17 (2) *ensure continued navigation at the locks and*
 18 *dams owned and operated by the Corps of Engineers*
 19 *located along the Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway.*

20 **SEC. 337. GARRISON DAM, NORTH DAKOTA.**

21 *The Secretary shall expedite the review of, and give*
 22 *due consideration to, the request from the relevant Federal*
 23 *power marketing administration that the Secretary apply*
 24 *section 1203 of the Water Resources Development Act of*

1 1986 (33 U.S.C. 467n) to the project for dam safety at Gar-
2 rison Dam, North Dakota.

3 **SEC. 338. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MISSOURI**
4 **RIVER PRIORITIES.**

5 *It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should*
6 *make publicly available, where appropriate, any data used*
7 *and any decisions made by the Corps of Engineers relating*
8 *to the operations of civil works projects within the Missouri*
9 *River Basin in order to ensure transparency for the com-*
10 *munities in that Basin.*

11 **SEC. 339. SOIL MOISTURE AND SNOWPACK MONITORING.**

12 *Section 511(a)(3) of the Water Resources Development*
13 *Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2753) is amended by striking “2025”*
14 *and inserting “2029”.*

15 **SEC. 340. CONTRACTS FOR WATER SUPPLY.**

16 *(a) COPAN LAKE, OKLAHOMA.—Section 8358(b)(2) of*
17 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
18 *3802) is amended by striking “more than 110 percent of*
19 *the initial project investment cost per acre-foot of storage*
20 *for the acre-feet of storage space sought under an agreement*
21 *under paragraph (1)” and inserting “, for the acre-feet of*
22 *storage space being sought under an agreement under para-*
23 *graph (1), more than 110 percent of the contractual rate*
24 *per acre-foot of storage in the most recent agreement of the*
25 *City for water supply storage space at the project”.*

1 **(b) STATE OF KANSAS.**—

2 **(1) IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary shall amend*
3 *the contracts described in paragraph (2) between the*
4 *United States and the State of Kansas, relating to*
5 *storage space for water supply, to change the method*
6 *of calculation of the interest charges that began accru-*
7 *ing on February 1, 1977, on the investment costs for*
8 *the 198,350 acre-feet of future use storage space and*
9 *on April 1, 1979, on 125,000 acre-feet of future use*
10 *storage from compounding interest annually to charg-*
11 *ing simple interest annually on the principal*
12 *amount, until—*

13 **(A)** *the State of Kansas informs the Sec-*
14 *retary of the desire to convert the future use stor-*
15 *age space to present use; and*

16 **(B)** *the principal amount plus the accumu-*
17 *lated interest becomes payable pursuant to the*
18 *terms of the contracts.*

19 **(2) CONTRACTS DESCRIBED.**—*The contracts re-*
20 *ferred to in paragraph (1) are the following contracts*
21 *between the United States and the State of Kansas:*

22 **(A)** *Contract DACW41-74-C-0081, entered*
23 *into on March 8, 1974, for the use by the State*
24 *of Kansas of storage space for water supply in*
25 *Milford Lake, Kansas.*

1 (B) *Contract DACW41-77-C-0003, entered*
2 *into on December 10, 1976, for the use by the*
3 *State of Kansas for water supply in Perry Lake,*
4 *Kansas.*

5 **SEC. 341. REND LAKE, CARLYLE LAKE, AND LAKE SHELBY-**
6 **VILLE, ILLINOIS.**

7 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 90 days after the*
8 *date on which the Secretary receives a request from the Gov-*
9 *ernor of Illinois to terminate a contract described in sub-*
10 *section (c), the Secretary shall amend the contract to release*
11 *to the United States all rights of the State of Illinois to*
12 *utilize water storage space in the reservoir project to which*
13 *the contract applies.*

14 (b) *RELIEF OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.*—*On execution*
15 *of an amendment described in subsection (a), the State of*
16 *Illinois shall be relieved of the obligation to pay the percent-*
17 *age of the annual operation and maintenance expense, the*
18 *percentage of major replacement cost, and the percentage*
19 *of major rehabilitation cost allocated to the water supply*
20 *storage specified in the contract for the reservoir project to*
21 *which the contract applies.*

22 (c) *CONTRACTS.*—*Subsection (a) applies to the fol-*
23 *lowing contracts between the United States and the State*
24 *of Illinois:*

1 (1) *Contract DACW43-88-C-0088, entered into*
2 *on September 23, 1988, for utilization of storage*
3 *space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illinois.*

4 (2) *Contract DA-23-065-CIVENG-65-493, en-*
5 *tered into on April 28, 1965, for utilization of storage*
6 *space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illinois.*

7 (3) *Contract DACW43-83-C-0008, entered into*
8 *on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space in*
9 *Carlyle Lake, Illinois.*

10 (4) *Contract DACW43-83-C-0009, entered into*
11 *on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space in*
12 *Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.*

13 **SEC. 342. DELAWARE COASTAL SYSTEM PROGRAM.**

14 (a) *PURPOSE.*—*The purpose of this section is to pro-*
15 *vide for the collective planning and implementation of*
16 *coastal storm risk management and hurricane and storm*
17 *risk reduction projects in Delaware to provide greater effi-*
18 *ciency and a more comprehensive approach to life safety*
19 *and economic growth.*

20 (b) *DESIGNATION.*—*The following projects for coastal*
21 *storm risk management and hurricane and storm risk re-*
22 *duction shall be known and designated as the “Delaware*
23 *Coastal System Program” (referred to in this section as the*
24 *“Program”):*

1 (1) *Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet and*
2 *Lewes Beach, Delaware, authorized by section*
3 *101(a)(13) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
4 *1999 (113 Stat. 276).*

5 (2) *Delaware Coast, Bethany Beach and South*
6 *Bethany, Delaware, authorized by section 101(a)(15)*
7 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113*
8 *Stat. 276).*

9 (3) *Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to*
10 *Fenwick Island, Delaware, authorized by section*
11 *101(b)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
12 *2000 (114 Stat. 2577).*

13 (4) *Rehoboth Beach and Dewey Beach, Delaware,*
14 *authorized by section 101(b)(6) of the Water Re-*
15 *sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3667).*

16 (5) *Indian River Inlet, Delaware.*

17 (6) *The project for hurricane and storm damage*
18 *risk reduction, Delaware Beneficial Use of Dredged*
19 *Material for the Delaware River, Delaware, author-*
20 *ized by section 401(3) of the Water Resources Devel-*
21 *opment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2736) and modified by*
22 *section 8327(a) of the Water Resources Development*
23 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788) and subsection (e).*

24 (c) *MANAGEMENT.*—*The Secretary shall manage the*
25 *projects described in subsection (b) as components of a sin-*

1 *gle, comprehensive system, recognizing the interdependence*
 2 *of the projects.*

3 (d) *COST-SHARE.*—*Notwithstanding any other provi-*
 4 *sion of law, the Federal share of the cost of each of the*
 5 *projects described in paragraphs (1) through (4) of sub-*
 6 *section (b) shall be 80 percent.*

7 (e) *BROADKILL BEACH, DELAWARE.*—*The project for*
 8 *hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Delaware Ben-*
 9 *eficial Use of Dredged Material for the Delaware River,*
 10 *Delaware, authorized by section 401(3) of the Water Re-*
 11 *sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2736) and*
 12 *modified by section 8327(a) of the Water Resources Develop-*
 13 *ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788), is modified to include*
 14 *the project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,*
 15 *Delaware Bay coastline, Delaware and New Jersey—*
 16 *Broadkill Beach, Delaware, authorized by section*
 17 *101(a)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999*
 18 *(113 Stat. 275).*

19 **SEC. 343. MAINTENANCE OF PILE DIKE SYSTEM.**

20 *The Secretary shall continue to maintain the pile dike*
 21 *system constructed by the Corps of Engineers for the pur-*
 22 *pose of navigation along the Lower Columbia River and*
 23 *Willamette River, Washington, at Federal expense.*

24 **SEC. 344. CONVEYANCES.**

25 (a) *GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.*—

1 (1) *SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—
2 *The exact acreage and the legal description of any*
3 *real property to be conveyed under this section shall*
4 *be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the*
5 *Secretary.*

6 (2) *APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING*
7 *PROVISIONS.*—*Section 2696 of title 10, United States*
8 *Code, shall not apply to any conveyance under this*
9 *section.*

10 (3) *COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—*An entity to which*
11 *a conveyance is made under this section shall be re-*
12 *sponsible for all reasonable and necessary costs, in-*
13 *cluding real estate transaction and environmental*
14 *documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.*

15 (4) *LIABILITY.*—

16 (A) *HOLD HARMLESS.*—*An entity to which*
17 *a conveyance is made under this section shall*
18 *hold the United States harmless from any liabil-*
19 *ity with respect to activities carried out, on or*
20 *after the date of the conveyance, on the real*
21 *property conveyed.*

22 (B) *FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY.*—*The*
23 *United States shall remain responsible for any*
24 *liability with respect to activities carried out be-*

1 *fore the date of conveyance on the real property*
2 *conveyed.*

3 (5) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The*
4 *Secretary may require that any conveyance under*
5 *this section be subject to such additional terms and*
6 *conditions as the Secretary considers necessary and*
7 *appropriate to protect the interests of the United*
8 *States.*

9 (b) *DILLARD ROAD, INDIANA.—*

10 (1) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary*
11 *shall convey to the State of Indiana all right, title,*
12 *and interest of the United States, together with any*
13 *improvements on the land, in and to the property de-*
14 *scribed in paragraph (2).*

15 (2) *PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed*
16 *under this subsection is the approximately 11.85 acres*
17 *of land and road easements associated with Dillard*
18 *Road, including improvements on that land, located*
19 *in Patoka Township, Crawford County, Indiana.*

20 (3) *DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the prop-*
21 *erty under this subsection by quitclaim deed under*
22 *such terms and conditions as the Secretary deter-*
23 *mines appropriate to protect the interests of the*
24 *United States.*

1 (4) *REVERSION.*—*If the Secretary determines*
2 *that the property conveyed under this subsection is*
3 *not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-*
4 *terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-*
5 *cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.*

6 (c) *PORT OF SKAMANIA, WASHINGTON.*—

7 (1) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—*The Secretary*
8 *shall convey to the Port of Skamania, Washington, all*
9 *right, title, and interest of the United States, together*
10 *with any improvements on the land, in and to the*
11 *property described in paragraph (2).*

12 (2) *PROPERTY.*—*The property to be conveyed*
13 *under this subsection is the approximately 1.6 acres*
14 *of land designated as “Lot I-2”, including any im-*
15 *provements on the land, located in North Bonneville,*
16 *Washington, T. 2 N., R. 7 E., sec. 19, Willamette Me-*
17 *ridian.*

18 (3) *CONSIDERATION.*—*The Port of Skamania,*
19 *Washington, shall pay to the Secretary an amount*
20 *that is not less than the fair market value of the prop-*
21 *erty conveyed under this subsection, as determined by*
22 *the Secretary.*

1 **SEC. 345. EMERGENCY DROUGHT OPERATIONS PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) *DEFINITION OF COVERED PROJECT.*—*In this sec-*
4 *tion, the term “covered project” means a project—*

5 (1) *that is located in the State of California or*
6 *the State of Arizona; and*

7 (2)(A) *of the Corps of Engineers for which water*
8 *supply is an authorized purpose; or*

9 (B) *for which the Secretary develops a water*
10 *control manual under section 7 of the Act of Decem-*
11 *ber 22, 1944 (commonly known as the “Flood Control*
12 *Act of 1944”)* (58 Stat. 890, chapter 665; 33 U.S.C.
13 709).

14 (b) *EMERGENCY OPERATION DURING DROUGHT.*—
15 *Consistent with other authorized project purposes and in*
16 *coordination with the non-Federal interest, in operating a*
17 *covered project during a drought emergency in the project*
18 *area, the Secretary may carry out a pilot program to oper-*
19 *ate the covered project with water supply as the primary*
20 *project purpose.*

21 (c) *UPDATES.*—*In carrying out this section, the Sec-*
22 *retary may update the water control manual for a covered*
23 *project to include drought operations and contingency*
24 *plans.*

25 (d) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*In carrying out subsection (b),*
26 *the Secretary shall ensure that—*

1 (1) *operations described in that subsection—*

2 (A) *are consistent with water management*
3 *deviations and drought contingency plans in the*
4 *water control manual for the covered project;*

5 (B) *impact only the flood pool managed by*
6 *the Secretary; and*

7 (C) *shall not be carried out in the event of*
8 *a forecast or anticipated flood or weather event*
9 *that would require flood risk management to take*
10 *precedence;*

11 (2) *to the maximum extent practicable, the Sec-*
12 *retary uses forecast-informed reservoir operations;*
13 *and*

14 (3) *the covered project returns to the operations*
15 *that were in place prior to the use of the authority*
16 *provided under that subsection at a time determined*
17 *by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-Fed-*
18 *eral interest.*

19 (e) *CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary may receive*
20 *and expend funds contributed by a non-Federal interest to*
21 *carry out activities under this section.*

22 (f) *REPORT.—*

23 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after*
24 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
25 *submit to the Committee on Environment and Public*

1 *Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-*
2 *tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-*
3 *tives a report on the pilot program carried out under*
4 *this section.*

5 (2) *INCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall include in*
6 *the report under paragraph (1) a description of the*
7 *activities of the Secretary that were carried out for*
8 *each covered project and any lessons learned from*
9 *carrying out those activities.*

10 (g) *LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section—*

11 (1) *affects, modifies, or changes the authorized*
12 *purposes of a covered project;*

13 (2) *affects existing Corps of Engineers authori-*
14 *ties, including authorities with respect to navigation,*
15 *flood damage reduction, and environmental protection*
16 *and restoration;*

17 (3) *affects the ability of the Corps of Engineers*
18 *to provide for temporary deviations;*

19 (4) *affects the application of a cost-share require-*
20 *ment under section 101, 102, or 103 of the Water Re-*
21 *sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211,*
22 *2212, 2213);*

23 (5) *supersedes or modifies any written agreement*
24 *between the Federal Government and a non-Federal*

1 *interest that is in effect on the date of enactment of*
2 *this Act;*

3 (6) *supersedes or modifies any amendment to an*
4 *existing multistate water control plan for the Colo-*
5 *rado River Basin, if applicable;*

6 (7) *affects any water right in existence on the*
7 *date of enactment of this Act;*

8 (8) *preempts or affects any State water law or*
9 *interstate compact governing water;*

10 (9) *affects existing water supply agreements be-*
11 *tween the Secretary and the non-Federal interest; or*

12 (10) *affects any obligation to comply with the*
13 *provisions of any Federal or State environmental*
14 *law, including—*

15 (A) *the National Environmental Policy Act*
16 *of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.);*

17 (B) *the Federal Water Pollution Control Act*
18 *(33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.); and*

19 (C) *the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16*
20 *U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).*

21 **SEC. 346. REHABILITATION OF EXISTING LEVEES.**

22 *Section 3017(e) of the Water Resources Reform and*
23 *Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3303a note; Public*
24 *Law 113–121) is amended by striking “2028” and inserting*
25 *“2029”.*

1 **SEC. 347. NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 1043(b) of the Water Re-
4 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
5 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (3)(A)(i)—

7 (A) in the matter preceding subclause (I),
8 by striking “20” and inserting “30”; and

9 (B) in subclause (III), by striking “5” and
10 inserting “15”; and

11 (2) in paragraph (8), by striking “each of fiscal
12 years 2019 through 2026” and inserting “each of fis-
13 cal years 2025 through 2029”.

14 (b) *LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA RESTORATION*
15 *PROJECTS.*—

16 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—In carrying out the pilot pro-
17 gram under section 1043(b) of the Water Resources
18 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
19 2201 note; Public Law 113–121), the Secretary may
20 include in the pilot program a project authorized to
21 be implemented under, or in accordance with, title
22 VII of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007
23 (121 Stat. 1270).

24 (2) *ELIGIBILITY.*—In the case of a project de-
25 scribed in paragraph (1) for which the non-Federal
26 interest has initiated construction in accordance with

1 *authorities governing the provision of in-kind con-*
 2 *tributions for the project, the Secretary shall take into*
 3 *account the value of any in-kind contributions pro-*
 4 *vided by the non-Federal interest for the project prior*
 5 *to the date of execution of the project partnership*
 6 *agreement under section 1043(b) of the Water Re-*
 7 *sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33*
 8 *U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) for purposes*
 9 *of determining the non-Federal share of the costs to*
 10 *complete construction of the project.*

11 **SEC. 348. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
 12 **GRAM.**

13 *Section 128(c) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 14 *of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law 116–260) is*
 15 *amended—*

16 *(1) in paragraph (13), by striking “and” at the*
 17 *end;*

18 *(2) in paragraph (14), by striking the period at*
 19 *the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

20 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

21 *“(15) Lake Elsinore, California; and*

22 *“(16) Willamette River, Oregon.”.*

1 **SEC. 349. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MOBILE HAR-**
2 **BOR, ALABAMA.**

3 *It is sense of Congress that the Secretary should, con-*
4 *sistent with applicable statutory authorities, coordinate*
5 *with relevant stakeholders in the State of Alabama to ad-*
6 *dress the dredging and dredging material placement needs*
7 *associated with the project for navigation, Mobile Harbor,*
8 *Alabama, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Control*
9 *Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5) and modified by section*
10 *309 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134*
11 *Stat. 2704).*

12 **SEC. 350. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO PORT OF**
13 **PORTLAND, OREGON.**

14 *It is sense of Congress that—*

15 *(1) the Port of Portland, Oregon, is the sole*
16 *dredging operator of the federally authorized naviga-*
17 *tion channel in the Columbia River, which was au-*
18 *thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbors Act*
19 *of 1962 (76 Stat. 1177);*

20 *(2) the Corps of Engineers should continue to*
21 *provide operation and maintenance support for the*
22 *Port of Portland, Oregon, including for dredging*
23 *equipment;*

24 *(3) the pipeline dredge of the Port of Portland,*
25 *known as the “Dredge Oregon”, was built in 1965, 58*

1 “(L) *Winooski River tributary watershed,*
2 *Vermont.*”.

3 **SEC. 354. WACO LAKE, TEXAS.**

4 *The Secretary shall, to the maximum extent prac-*
5 *ticable, expedite the review of, and give due consideration*
6 *to, the request from the City of Waco, Texas, that the Sec-*
7 *retary apply section 147 of the Water Resources Develop-*
8 *ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 701q–1) to the embankment*
9 *adjacent to Waco Lake in Waco, Texas.*

10 **SEC. 355. SEMINOLE TRIBAL CLAIM EXTENSION.**

11 *Section 349 of the Water Resources Development Act*
12 *of 2020 (134 Stat. 2716) is amended in the matter pre-*
13 *ceding paragraph (1) by striking “2022” and inserting*
14 *“2027”.*

15 **SEC. 356. COASTAL EROSION PROJECT, BARROW, ALASKA.**

16 *For purposes of implementing the coastal erosion*
17 *project, Barrow, Alaska, the Secretary may consider the*
18 *North Slope Borough to be in compliance with section*
19 *402(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
20 *U.S.C. 701b–12(a)) on adoption by the North Slope Bor-*
21 *ough Assembly of a floodplain management plan to reduce*
22 *the impacts of future flood events in the immediate flood-*
23 *plain area of the project if that plan—*

24 (1) *is approved by the relevant Federal agency;*

25 *and*

1 (2) *was developed in consultation with the rel-*
2 *evant Federal agency and the Secretary.*

3 **SEC. 357. COLEBROOK RIVER RESERVOIR, CONNECTICUT.**

4 (a) *CONTRACT TERMINATION REQUEST.—*

5 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after*
6 *the date on which the Secretary receives a request*
7 *from the Metropolitan District of Hartford County,*
8 *Connecticut, to terminate the contract described in*
9 *paragraph (2), the Secretary shall offer to amend the*
10 *contract to release to the United States all rights of*
11 *the Metropolitan District of Hartford, Connecticut, to*
12 *utilize water storage space in the reservoir project to*
13 *which the contract applies.*

14 (2) *CONTRACT DESCRIBED.—The contract re-*
15 *ferred to in paragraph (1) and subsection (b) is the*
16 *contract between the United States and the Metropli-*
17 *tan District of Hartford County, Connecticut, num-*
18 *bered DA-19-016-CIVENG-65-203, with respect to the*
19 *Colebrook River Reservoir in Connecticut.*

20 (b) *RELIEF OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.—On execution*
21 *of the amendment described in subsection (a)(1), the Metro-*
22 *politan District of Hartford County, Connecticut, shall be*
23 *relieved of the obligation to pay the percentage of the annual*
24 *operation and maintenance expense, the percentage of*
25 *major replacement cost, and the percentage of major reha-*

1 *bilitation cost allocated to the water supply storage speci-*
 2 *fied in the contract described in subsection (a)(2) for the*
 3 *reservoir project to which the contract applies.*

4 **SEC. 358. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO SHALLOW**
 5 **DRAFT DREDGING IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY.**

6 *It is the sense of Congress that—*

- 7 *(1) shallow draft dredging in the Chesapeake*
 8 *Bay is critical for tourism, recreation, and the fishing*
 9 *industry and that additional dredging is needed; and*
 10 *(2) the Secretary should, to the maximum extent*
 11 *practicable, use existing statutory authorities to ad-*
 12 *dress the dredging needs at small harbors and chan-*
 13 *nels in the Chesapeake Bay.*

14 **TITLE IV—PROJECT**
 15 **AUTHORIZATIONS**

16 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

17 *The following projects for water resources development*
 18 *and conservation and other purposes, as identified in the*
 19 *reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Re-*
 20 *sources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant to*
 21 *section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-*
 22 *ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed*
 23 *by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by the Sec-*
 24 *retary substantially in accordance with the plans, and sub-*

1 ject to the conditions, described in the respective reports or
 2 decision documents designated in this section:

3 (1) NAVIGATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. MD	Baltimore Harbor Anchorages and Channels, Sea Girt Loop	June 22, 2023	Federal: \$47,956,500 Non-Federal: \$15,985,500 Total: \$63,942,000

4 (2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. KS	Manhattan Levees	May 6, 2024	Federal: \$29,455,000 Non-Federal: \$15,860,000 Total: \$45,315,000

5 (3) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-
 6 DUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. RI	Rhode Island Coastline Storm Risk Management	September 28, 2023	Federal: \$188,353,750 Non-Federal: \$101,421,250 Total: \$289,775,000
2. FL	St. Johns County, Ponte Vedra Beach, Coastal Storm Risk Management	April 18, 2024	Federal: \$49,223,000 Non-Federal: \$89,097,000 Total: \$138,320,000

1 (4) NAVIGATION AND HURRICANE AND STORM
 2 DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazoria and Matagorda Counties	June 2, 2023	Federal: \$204,244,000 Inland Waterways Trust Fund: \$109,977,000 Total: \$314,221,000

3 (5) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND AQUATIC
 4 ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. MS	Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater—North DeSoto County	December 18, 2023	Federal: \$44,295,000 Non-Federal: \$23,851,000 Total: \$68,146,000

5 (6) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report or Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. NY	South Shore Staten Island, Fort Wadsworth to Oakwood Beach Coastal Storm Risk Management	February 6, 2024	Federal: \$1,730,973,900 Non-Federal: \$363,228,100 Total: \$2,094,202,000
2. MO	University City Branch, River Des Peres	February 9, 2024	Federal: \$9,094,000 Non-Federal: \$4,897,000 Total: \$13,990,000

Calendar No. 401

118TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

S. 4367

A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

MAY 22, 2024

Reported with an amendment