

118TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# S. 3216

To amend title 49, United States Code, to include affordable housing incentives in certain capital investment grants, and for other purposes.

---

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

NOVEMBER 2, 2023

Mr. SCHATZ (for himself and Mr. BRAUN) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

---

## A BILL

To amend title 49, United States Code, to include affordable housing incentives in certain capital investment grants, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-  
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “Build More Housing  
5 Near Transit Act of 2023”.

6 **SEC. 2. AFFORDABLE HOUSING INCENTIVES IN CAPITAL IN-  
7 VESTMENT GRANTS.**

8       Section 5309 of title 49, United States Code, is  
9 amended—

1                         (1) in subsection (a)—

2                             (A) by redesignating paragraph (6) as  
3                             paragraph (7); and

4                             (B) by inserting after paragraph (5) the  
5                             following:

6                         “(6) PRO-HOUSING POLICY.—The term ‘pro-  
7                         housing policy’—

8                             “(A) means any State or local action that  
9                             will remove regulatory barriers to the construc-  
10                          tion or preservation of housing units, including  
11                          affordable housing units; and

12                         “(B) shall include any State or local action  
13                          that—

14                             “(i) reduces or eliminates parking  
15                          minimums;

16                             “(ii) establishes a by-right approval  
17                          process for multi-family housing under  
18                          which land use development approval is  
19                          limited to determining that the develop-  
20                          ment meets objective zoning and design  
21                          standards that—

22                             “(I) involve no subjective judg-  
23                          ment by a public official;

24                             “(II) are uniformly verifiable by  
25                          reference to an external and uniform

1                   benchmark or criterion available to  
2                   both the land use developer and the  
3                   public official prior to submission; and  
4                   “(III) include only such stand-  
5                   ards as are published and adopted by  
6                   ordinance or resolution by a jurisdic-  
7                   tion before submission of a develop-  
8                   ment application;

9                   “(iii) reduces or eliminates minimum  
10                  lot sizes;

11                  “(iv) commits substantial publicly-held  
12                  real property to the development or preser-  
13                  vation of housing that includes a substan-  
14                  tial number of dwelling units affordable to  
15                  low-income households; or

16                  “(v) eliminates or raises residential  
17                  property height limits or increases the  
18                  number of dwelling units permitted to be  
19                  constructed under a by-right approval  
20                  process; and

21                  “(vi) carries out other policies as de-  
22                  termined by the Secretary, in consultation  
23                  with the Secretary of Housing and Urban  
24                  Development.”;

1                             (2) in subsection (g)(2), by adding at the end  
2                             the following:

3                             “(D) ELIGIBILITY FOR ADJUSTMENT OF  
4                             RATING FOR PROJECT JUSTIFICATION CRITERIA  
5                             FOR PRO-HOUSING POLICIES.—In evaluating  
6                             and rating a project as a whole for project jus-  
7                             tification under subparagraph (A), the Sec-  
8                             retary may increase 1 point on the 5-point scale  
9                             (high, medium-high, medium, medium-low, or  
10                             low) the rating of a project if the applicant sub-  
11                             mits documented evidence of pro-housing poli-  
12                             cies for areas located within walking distance  
13                             of, and accessible to, transit facilities along the  
14                             project route.

15                             “(E) CONSULTATION.—In awarding the in-  
16                             creased rating described in subparagraph (D),  
17                             the Secretary shall consult with the Secretary  
18                             of Housing and Urban Development to develop  
19                             the methodology to evaluate, as feasible, the ex-  
20                             tent to which the pro-housing policies docu-  
21                             mented by the applicant will result, through  
22                             new production and preservation, in an amount  
23                             of housing units, including housing units af-  
24                             fordable below the area median income, that is

1           appropriate to expected housing demand in the  
2           project area over the life of the project.”; and  
3           (3) in subsection (o)—  
4               (A) in paragraph (1)—  
5                   (i) in subparagraph (B), by striking  
6                   “and” at the end;  
7                   (ii) in subparagraph (C), by striking  
8                   the period at the end and inserting “;  
9                   and”; and  
10                  (iii) by adding at the end the fol-  
11                  lowing:  
12                 “(D) information concerning projects for  
13                 which the applicant submitted pro-housing poli-  
14                 cies under subsection (g)(2)(D) and received an  
15                 adjustment of rating for project justification,  
16                 including the pro-housing policies submitted  
17                 and the amount of housing units expected  
18                 through new production and preservation, in-  
19                 cluding affordable housing, as a result of the  
20                 expected results of the policies, as measured  
21                 under subsection (g)(2)(E).”.

