^{115TH CONGRESS} 1ST SESSION **S. 1824**

To reform the Appalachian Regional Commission, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

SEPTEMBER 18, 2017

Mr. MCCONNELL introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Environment and Public Works

A BILL

To reform the Appalachian Regional Commission, and for other purposes.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-

2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Appalachian Regional5 Commission Reform Act".

6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

7 (a) HEADQUARTERS.—Congress finds that—

8 (1) regional commissions, such as the Delta Re9 gional Authority, the Denali Commission, and the
10 Northern Border Regional Commission, are each
11 headquartered in their respective region;

1	(2) headquartering regional commissions within
2	the region affected is a sensible approach to ensure
3	that the commissions are housed in more affordable
4	locations than the District of Columbia, thereby re-
5	ducing administrative overhead and making the com-
6	missions closer and more accountable to the people
7	the commissions were designed to serve;
8	(3) the Appalachian Regional Commission (re-
9	ferred to in this Act as the "Commission") is not
10	headquartered in Appalachia but in Washington,
11	DC; and
12	(4) the headquarters of the Commission should
13	be relocated from the District of Columbia to a more
14	affordable location in the Appalachian region so that
15	it is closer and more accountable to the people the
16	Commission was designed to serve.
17	(b) PERFORMANCE.—Congress finds that—
18	(1) the Commission was created to help foster
19	economic opportunity and close health and edu-
20	cational disparities in a geographic region of the
21	United States beleaguered by persistent poverty and
22	high unemployment;
23	(2) the Commission remains the sole Federal
24	agency focused singularly on economic revitalization
25	in the Appalachian region;

1	(3) in 1998, Congress charged the Commission
2	with "address[ing] the needs of severely and persist-
3	ently distressed areas of the Appalachian region and
4	focus[ing] special attention on the areas of greatest
5	need";
6	(4) the Commission has long been criticized for
7	its shortcomings in fulfilling this mission, including
8	in—
9	(A) a 1999 study titled "Mountain Money:
10	Federal Tax Dollars Miss the Mark in Core Ap-
11	palachia'' by Mark Ferenchik and Jill
12	Ripenhoff for the Columbus Dispatch; and
13	(B) a 2008 book titled "Uneven Ground:
14	Appalachia Since 1945" by Ronald D. Eller;
15	(5) in 2004, the Office of Management and
16	Budget noted the importance of the Commission
17	"[f]ocusing efforts on targeting assistance to
18	areas of distress'';
19	(6) in 2017, Citizens Against Government
20	Waste characterized the programming of the Com-
21	mission as duplicative and called for drastic reduc-
22	tions in the budget of the Commission;
23	(7) in 2017, the Office of Management and
24	Budget, citing a Government Accountability Office
25	study, concluded that the Commission should be

abolished, and that conclusion was reflected in the

1

	,
2	fiscal year 2018 budget request submitted by the
3	President;
4	(8) these recent actions reflect a growing cho-
5	rus that the Commission should be reformed; and
6	(9) therefore, given the long-recognized short-
7	comings of the Commission, the long-standing criti-
8	cism of the Commission, and the need to ensure its
9	optimal performance, the time has arrived for the
10	Commission to be reformed.
11	(c) PERSISTENT POVERTY.—Congress finds that—
12	(1) using 1960 data, the Commission (which
13	was created in 1965) concluded that there were 214

14 distressed counties in the Appalachian region;

(2) in 2017, according to the Commission, there
are 84 distressed counties in the Appalachian region,
reflecting the areas of most persistent poverty in the
region; and

19 (3) therefore, the Commission should be re20 formed to focus its attention on the areas of most
21 persistent poverty in the region.

22 (d) AREA DEVELOPMENT FUNDING FOR DIS23 TRESSED COUNTIES.—Congress finds that—

(1) according to the study by the ColumbusDispatch referred to in subsection (b)(4)(A), of the

1	22,169 grants issued by the Commission from fiscal
2	year 1966 through fiscal year 1998, none of the 5
3	counties that received the most Commission funding
4	was considered distressed, and more than $^{1\!/_{\!$
5	Commission spending during that period went to
6	States with few, if any, distressed counties;
7	(2) according to author Ronald D. Eller in
8	2014, "[the Commission] policies have concentrated
9	resources in a select few 'growth centers' in the [Ap-
10	palachian] region, expanding services to the poor
11	and growing the mountain middle class, but doing
12	little to alter conditions in the most rural distressed
13	counties or to address systemic political or economic
14	inequalities throughout Appalachia'';
15	(3) until 1995, the Commission allocated up to
16	20 percent of its area development grants for use in
17	distressed counties;
18	(4) following instructions given to the Commis-
19	sion by the Committees on Appropriations of the
20	Senate and the House of Representatives in 1995,
21	this allocation was increased by the Commission to
22	30 percent;
23	(5) section $7.5(c)$ of the Code of the Commis-
24	sion (as in effect on the date of enactment of this
25	Act) reflects this 1995 policy change and states that

1	the Commission "will allocate up to 30 percent of
2	Commission area development funds for use in dis-
3	tressed counties", even though, according to the
4	Commission's public representations, economic con-
5	ditions in distressed areas of the Appalachian region
6	have not greatly improved since the 1960s;
7	(6) given the persistent levels of poverty in the
8	distressed counties in the Appalachian region, more
9	area development funding and emphasis should be
10	devoted to those counties; and
11	(7) therefore, the allocation described in para-
12	graph (3) should be increased to 60 percent.
13	(e) GRANT EXPENDITURES.—Congress finds that—
14	(1) section 14524(d) of title 40, United States
15	Code, provides that "not less than 50 percent of the
16	amount of grant expenditures the Commission ap-
17	proves shall support activities or projects that ben-
18	efit severely and persistently distressed counties and
19	areas'';
20	(2) given the persistent levels of poverty in the
21	distressed counties in the Appalachian region, more
22	grant expenditures and emphasis should be devoted
23	to those counties; and

	· ·
1	(3) therefore, the 50 percent threshold in sec-
2	tion 14524(d) of title 40, United States Code,
3	should be increased to 60 percent.
4	SEC. 3. MISSION OF THE APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COM-
5	MISSION.
6	Section 14301 of title 40, United States Code, is
7	amended by striking subsection (a) and inserting the fol-
8	lowing:
9	"(a) Establishment and Mission.—
10	"(1) Establishment.—There is an Appa-
11	lachian Regional Commission (referred to in this
12	chapter as the 'Commission').
13	"(2) MISSION.—The mission of the Commission
14	shall be to focus primarily on poverty reduction and
15	economic development in areas in the Appalachian
16	region with the most persistent poverty.".
17	SEC. 4. HEADQUARTERS OF THE APPALACHIAN REGIONAL
18	COMMISSION.
19	(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 14301 of title 40, United
20	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
21	lowing:
22	"(g) HEADQUARTERS.—The headquarters of the
23	Commission shall be located in the Appalachian region.".
24	(b) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Federal Cochairman of
25	the Commission shall take such actions as may be nec-

essary to carry out the amendment made by subsection
 (a).

3 SEC. 5. GRANT EXPENDITURES.

4 Section 14524(d) of title 40, United States Code, is
5 amended by striking "50 percent" and inserting "60 per6 cent".

7 SEC. 6. AREA DEVELOPMENT FUNDS FOR DISTRESSED 8 COUNTIES.

9 Section 14526(b) of title 40, United States Code, is
10 amended—

(1) by striking "In program and" and insertingthe following:

13 "(1) IN GENERAL.—In program and"; and

14 (2) by adding at the end the following:

15 "(2) Area development funds.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds made
available for each fiscal year for the Area Development Program of the Commission, the
Commission shall allocate not less than 60 percent for projects in counties for which a distressed county designation is in effect under
this section.

23 "(B) METHODOLOGY.—The methodology
24 for determining whether a county is designated
25 as a distressed county under subsection

1	(a)(1)(A) shall be the methodology in effect on
2	the day before the date of enactment of the Ap-
3	palachian Regional Commission Reform Act.
4	"(3) REPORT.—The Commission shall submit
5	an annual report that describes the allocation of
6	funds, in dollar amounts and percentage of total ap-
7	propriations, for the Area Development Program to
8	counties described in paragraph (2) to—
9	"(A) the Speaker of the House of Rep-
10	resentatives;
11	"(B) the minority leader of the House of
12	Representatives;
13	"(C) the majority leader of the Senate;
14	"(D) the minority leader of the Senate;
15	"(E) the Committee on Appropriations of
16	the House of Representatives;
17	"(F) the Committee on Appropriations of
18	the Senate;
19	"(G) the Committee on Transportation
20	and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
21	tives; and
22	"(H) the Committee on Environment and
23	Public Works of the Senate.".

 \bigcirc