116TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

## S. 151

### AN ACT

- To deter criminal robocall violations and improve enforcement of section 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, and for other purposes.
  - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
  - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

#### 1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- This Act may be cited as the "Telephone Robocall
- 3 Abuse Criminal Enforcement and Deterrence Act" or the
- 4 "TRACED Act".

#### 5 SEC. 2. FORFEITURE.

- 6 (a) In General.—Section 227 of the Communica-
- 7 tions Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 227) is amended—
- 8 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the
- 9 following:
- 10 "(4) CIVIL FORFEITURE.—
- 11 "(A) IN GENERAL.—Any person that is de-12 termined by the Commission, in accordance 13 with paragraph (3) or (4) of section 503(b), to 14 have violated any provision of this subsection
- shall be liable to the United States for a for-
- feiture penalty pursuant to section 503(b)(1).
- The amount of the forfeiture penalty deter-
- mined under this subparagraph shall be deter-
- mined in accordance with subparagraphs (A)
- through (F) of section 503(b)(2).
- 21 "(B) VIOLATION WITH INTENT.—Any per-
- son that is determined by the Commission, in
- accordance with paragraph (3) or (4) of section
- 503(b), to have violated this subsection with the
- intent to cause such violation shall be liable to
- the United States for a forfeiture penalty. The

| 1  | amount of the forfeiture penalty determined    |
|----|--|
| 2  | under this subparagraph shall be equal to an   |
| 3  | amount determined in accordance with subpara-  |
| 4  | graphs (A) through (F) of section 503(b)(2)    |
| 5  | plus an additional penalty not to exceed       |
| 6  | \$10,000.                                      |
| 7  | "(C) Recovery.—Any forfeiture penalty          |
| 8  | determined under subparagraph (A) or (B)       |
| 9  | shall be recoverable under section 504(a).     |
| 10 | "(D) Procedure.—No forfeiture liability        |
| 11 | shall be determined under subparagraph (A) or  |
| 12 | (B) against any person unless such person re-  |
| 13 | ceives the notice required by paragraph (3) or |
| 14 | (4) of section 503(b).                         |
| 15 | "(E) STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.—No for-           |
| 16 | feiture penalty shall be determined or imposed |
| 17 | against any person—                            |
| 18 | "(i) under subparagraph (A) if the             |
| 19 | violation charged occurred more than 1         |
| 20 | year prior to the date of issuance of the re-  |
| 21 | quired notice or notice of apparent liabil-    |
| 22 | ity; and                                       |
| 23 | "(ii) under subparagraph (B) if the            |
| 24 | violation charged occurred more than 3         |
| 25 | years prior to the date of issuance of the     |

| 1  | required notice or notice of apparent liabil-                |
|----|--|
| 2  | ity.   |
| 3  | "(F) Rule of Construction.—Notwith-                          |
| 4  | standing any law to the contrary, the Commis-                |
| 5  | sion may not determine or impose a forfeiture                |
| 6  | penalty on a person under both subparagraphs                 |
| 7  | (A) and (B) based on the same conduct."; and                 |
| 8  | (2) by striking subsection (h) and inserting the             |
| 9  | following:   |
| 10 | "(h) TCPA ENFORCEMENT REPORT.—The Commis-                    |
| 11 | sion shall submit an annual report to Congress regarding     |
| 12 | the enforcement during the preceding year of laws, regula-   |
| 13 | tions, and policies relating to robocalls and spoofed calls, |
| 14 | which report shall include—                                  |
| 15 | "(1) the number of complaints received by the                |
| 16 | Commission during the year alleging that a con-              |
| 17 | sumer received a robocall or spoofed call;                   |
| 18 | "(2) the number of citations issued by the Com-              |
| 19 | mission pursuant to section 503 during the year to           |
| 20 | enforce any law, regulation, or policy relating to a         |
| 21 | robocall or spoofed call;                                    |
| 22 | "(3) the number of notices of apparent liability             |
| 23 | issued by the Commission pursuant to section 503             |
| 24 | during the year to enforce any law, regulation, or           |
| 25 | policy relating to a robocall or spoofed call; and           |

| 1  | "(4) for each notice referred to in paragraph           |
|----|---|
| 2  | (3)—  |
| 3  | "(A) the amount of the proposed forfeiture              |
| 4  | penalty involved;                                       |
| 5  | "(B) the person to whom the notice was                  |
| 6  | issued; and   |
| 7  | "(C) the status of the proceeding.".                    |
| 8  | (b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this          |
| 9  | section shall not affect any action or proceeding com-  |
| 10 | menced before and pending on the date of enactment of   |
| 11 | this Act.   |
| 12 | (c) Deadline for Regulations.—The Federal               |
| 13 | Communications Commission shall prescribe regulations   |
| 14 | to implement the amendments made by this section not    |
| 15 | later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this |
| 16 | Act.  |
| 17 | SEC. 3. CALL AUTHENTICATION.                            |
| 18 | (a) Definitions.—In this section:                       |
| 19 | (1) STIR/SHAKEN AUTHENTICATION FRAME-                   |
| 20 | WORK.—The term "STIR/SHAKEN authentication              |
| 21 | framework" means the secure telephone identity re-      |
| 22 | visited and signature-based handling of asserted in-    |
| 23 | formation using tokens standards proposed by the        |
| 24 | information and communications technology indus-        |
| 25 | try.  |

| 1  | (2) Voice service.—The term "voice serv-      |
|----|---|
| 2  | ice''—  |
| 3  | (A) means any service that is inter-          |
| 4  | connected with the public switched telephone  |
| 5  | network and that furnishes voice communica-   |
| 6  | tions to an end user using resources from the |
| 7  | North American Numbering Plan or any suc-     |
| 8  | cessor to the North American Numbering Plan   |
| 9  | adopted by the Commission under section       |
| 10 | 251(e)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934   |
| 11 | (47  U.S.C.  251(e)(1));  and                 |
| 12 | (B) includes—                                 |
| 13 | (i) transmissions from a telephone            |
| 14 | facsimile machine, computer, or other de-     |
| 15 | vice to a telephone facsimile machine; and    |
| 16 | (ii) without limitation, any service          |
| 17 | that enables real-time, two-way voice com-    |
| 18 | munications, including any service that re-   |
| 19 | quires internet protocol-compatible cus-      |
| 20 | tomer premises equipment (commonly            |
| 21 | known as "CPE") and permits out-bound         |
| 22 | calling, whether or not the service is one-   |
| 23 | way or two-way voice over internet pro-       |
| 24 | tocol.  |
| 25 | (b) Authentication Framework —                |

| 1  | (1) In general.—Subject to paragraphs (2)              |
|----|--|
| 2  | and (3), not later than 18 months after the date of    |
| 3  | enactment of this Act, the Federal Communications      |
| 4  | Commission shall require a provider of voice service   |
| 5  | to implement the STIR/SHAKEN authentication            |
| 6  | framework in the internet protocol networks of the     |
| 7  | voice service provider.                                |
| 8  | (2) Implementation.—The Federal Commu-                 |
| 9  | nications Commission shall not take the action de-     |
| 10 | scribed in paragraph (1) if the Commission deter-      |
| 11 | mines that a provider of voice service, not later than |
| 12 | 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act—     |
| 13 | (A) has adopted the STIR/SHAKEN au-                    |
| 14 | thentication framework for calls on the internet       |
| 15 | protocol networks of the voice service provider        |
| 16 | (B) has agreed voluntarily to participate              |
| 17 | with other providers of voice service in the           |
| 18 | STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework;                  |
| 19 | (C) has begun to implement the STIR                    |
| 20 | SHAKEN authentication framework; and                   |
| 21 | (D) will be capable of fully implementing              |
| 22 | the STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework               |
| 23 | not later than 18 months after the date of en-         |
|    |  |

actment of this Act.

24

- 1 (3) Implementation report.—Not later than 2 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, 3 the Federal Communications Commission shall sub-4 mit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and 5 Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on 6 Energy and Commerce of the House of Representa-7 tives a report on the determination required under 8 paragraph (2), which shall include—
  - (A) an analysis of the extent to which providers of a voice service have implemented the STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework, including whether the availability of necessary equipment and equipment upgrades has impacted such implementation; and
  - (B) an assessment of the efficacy of the STIR/SHAKEN authentication framework, as being implemented under this section, in addressing all aspects of call authentication.
  - (4) REVIEW AND REVISION OR REPLACE-MENT.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 3 years thereafter, the Federal Communications Commission, after public notice and an opportunity for comment, shall—

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 (A) assess the efficacy of the call authen-2 tication framework implemented under this sec-3 tion;
  - (B) based on the assessment under subparagraph (A), revise or replace the call authentication framework under this section if the Commission determines it is in the public interest to do so; and
  - (C) submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives a report on the findings of the assessment under subparagraph (A) and on any actions to revise or replace the call authentication framework under subparagraph (B).
  - (5) Extension of implementation dead-Line.—The Federal Communications Commission may extend any deadline for the implementation of a call authentication framework required under this section by 12 months or such further amount of time as the Commission determines necessary if the Commission determines that purchasing or upgrading equipment to support call authentication, or lack of availability of such equipment, would constitute a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 1  | substantial hardship in meeting such deadline for a  |
|----|--|
| 2  | provider or category of providers of voice service.  |
| 3  | (c) Safe Harbor and Other Regulations.—              |
| 4  | (1) In General.—The Federal Communica-               |
| 5  | tions Commission shall promulgate rules—             |
| 6  | (A) establishing when a provider of voice            |
| 7  | service may block a voice call based, in whole or    |
| 8  | in part, on information provided by the call au-     |
| 9  | thentication framework under subsection (b);         |
| 10 | (B) establishing a safe harbor for a pro-            |
| 11 | vider of voice service from liability for unin-      |
| 12 | tended or inadvertent blocking of calls or for       |
| 13 | the unintended or inadvertent misidentification      |
| 14 | of the level of trust for individual calls based,    |
| 15 | in whole or in part, on information provided by      |
| 16 | the call authentication framework under sub-         |
| 17 | section (b); and                                     |
| 18 | (C) establishing a process to permit a call-         |
| 19 | ing party adversely affected by the information      |
| 20 | provided by the call authentication framework        |
| 21 | under subsection (b) to verify the authenticity      |
| 22 | of the calling party's calls.                        |
| 23 | (2) Considerations.—In establishing the safe         |
| 24 | harbor under paragraph (1), the Federal Commu-       |
| 25 | nications Commission shall consider limiting the li- |

| 1 | ability of a provider of voice service based on the ex- |
|---|---|
| 2 | tent to which the provider of voice service—            |

- (A) blocks or identifies calls based, in whole or in part, on the information provided by the call authentication framework under subsection (b);
- 7 (B) implemented procedures based, in 8 whole or in part, on the information provided 9 by the call authentication framework under sub-10 section (b); and
- 11 (C) used reasonable care.

3

4

5

6

12 (d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-13 tion shall preclude the Federal Communications Commis-14 sion from initiating a rulemaking pursuant to its existing 15 statutory authority.

#### 16 SEC. 4. PROTECTIONS FROM SPOOFED CALLS.

17 (a) In General.—Not later than 1 year after the
18 date of enactment of this Act, and consistent with the call
19 authentication framework under section 3, the Federal
20 Communications Commission shall initiate a rulemaking
21 to help protect a subscriber from receiving unwanted calls
22 or text messages from a caller using an unauthenticated
23 number.

| 1  | (b) Considerations.—In promulgating rules under         |
|----|---|
| 2  | subsection (a), the Federal Communications Commission   |
| 3  | shall consider—   |
| 4  | (1) the Government Accountability Office report         |
| 5  | on combating the fraudulent provision of misleading     |
| 6  | or inaccurate caller identification required by section |
| 7  | 503(c) of division P of the Consolidated Appropria-     |
| 8  | tions Act 2018 (Public Law 115–141);                    |
| 9  | (2) the best means of ensuring that a sub-              |
| 10 | scriber or provider has the ability to block calls from |
| 11 | a caller using an unauthenticated North American        |
| 12 | Numbering Plan number;                                  |
| 13 | (3) the impact on the privacy of a subscriber           |
| 14 | from unauthenticated calls;                             |
| 15 | (4) the effectiveness in verifying the accuracy of      |
| 16 | caller identification information; and                  |
| 17 | (5) the availability and cost of providing protec-      |
| 18 | tion from the unwanted calls or text messages de-       |
| 19 | scribed in subsection (a).                              |
| 20 | SEC. 5. INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP.                      |
| 21 | (a) In General.—The Attorney General, in con-           |
| 22 | sultation with the Chairman of the Federal Communica-   |
| 23 | tions Commission, shall convene an interagency working  |
| 24 | group to study Government prosecution of violations of  |

| 1  | section 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47) |
|----|---|
| 2  | U.S.C. 227(b)).                                       |
| 3  | (b) Duties.—In carrying out the study under sub       |
| 4  | section (a), the interagency working group shall—     |
| 5  | (1) determine whether, and if so how, any Fed         |
| 6  | eral laws, including regulations, policies, and prac  |
| 7  | tices, or budgetary or jurisdictional constraints in  |
| 8  | hibit the prosecution of such violations;             |
| 9  | (2) identify existing and potential Federal poli      |
| 0  | cies and programs that encourage and improve co       |
| 11 | ordination among Federal departments and agencies     |
| 12 | and States, and between States, in the prevention     |
| 13 | and prosecution of such violations;                   |
| 14 | (3) identify existing and potential international     |
| 15 | policies and programs that encourage and improve      |
| 16 | coordination between countries in the prevention and  |
| 17 | prosecution of such violations; and                   |
| 18 | (4) consider—   |
| 19 | (A) the benefit and potential sources of ad           |
| 20 | ditional resources for the Federal prevention         |
| 21 | and prosecution of criminal violations of that        |
| 22 | section;  |
| 23 | (B) whether to establish memoranda of un              |
| 24 | derstanding regarding the prevention and pros         |
| 25 | ecution of such violations between—                   |

| 1  | (i) the States;                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | (ii) the States and the Federal Gov-              |
| 3  | ernment; and                                      |
| 4  | (iii) the Federal Government and a                |
| 5  | foreign government;                               |
| 6  | (C) whether to establish a process to allow       |
| 7  | States to request Federal subpoenas from the      |
| 8  | Federal Communications Commission;                |
| 9  | (D) whether extending civil enforcement           |
| 10 | authority to the States would assist in the suc-  |
| 11 | cessful prevention and prosecution of such vio-   |
| 12 | lations;  |
| 13 | (E) whether increased forfeiture and im-          |
| 14 | prisonment penalties are appropriate, such as     |
| 15 | extending imprisonment for such a violation to    |
| 16 | a term longer than 2 years;                       |
| 17 | (F) whether regulation of any entity that         |
| 18 | enters into a business arrangement with a com-    |
| 19 | mon carrier regulated under title II of the Com-  |
| 20 | munications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et         |
| 21 | seq.) for the specific purpose of carrying, rout- |
| 22 | ing, or transmitting a call that constitutes such |
| 23 | a violation would assist in the successful pre-   |
| 24 | vention and prosecution of such violations: and   |

| 1  | (G) the extent to which, if any, Depart-                   |
|----|--|
| 2  | ment of Justice policies to pursue the prosecu-            |
| 3  | tion of violations causing economic harm, phys-            |
| 4  | ical danger, or erosion of an inhabitant's peace           |
| 5  | of mind and sense of security inhibits the pre-            |
| 6  | vention or prosecution of such violations.                 |
| 7  | (c) Members.—The interagency working group shall           |
| 8  | be composed of such representatives of Federal depart-     |
| 9  | ments and agencies as the Attorney General considers ap-   |
| 10 | propriate, such as—  |
| 11 | (1) the Department of Commerce;                            |
| 12 | (2) the Department of State;                               |
| 13 | (3) the Department of Homeland Security;                   |
| 14 | (4) the Federal Communications Commission;                 |
| 15 | (5) the Federal Trade Commission; and                      |
| 16 | (6) the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protec-               |
| 17 | tion.  |
| 18 | (d) Non-Federal Stakeholders.—In carrying                  |
| 19 | out the study under subsection (a), the interagency work-  |
| 20 | ing group shall consult with such non-Federal stake-       |
| 21 | holders as the Attorney General determines have the rel-   |
| 22 | evant expertise, including the National Association of At- |
| 23 | torneys General.   |
| 24 | (e) Report to Congress.—Not later than 270 days            |
| 25 | after the date of enactment of this Act, the interagency   |

- 1 working group shall submit to the Committee on Com-
- 2 merce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the
- 3 Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of
- 4 Representatives a report on the findings of the study
- 5 under subsection (a), including—
- 6 (1) any recommendations regarding the preven-
- 7 tion and prosecution of such violations; and
- 8 (2) a description of what progress, if any, rel-
- 9 evant Federal departments and agencies have made
- in implementing the recommendations under para-
- 11 graph (1).

#### 12 SEC. 6. ACCESS TO NUMBER RESOURCES.

- 13 (a) IN GENERAL.—
- 14 (1) Examination of fcc policies.—Not later
- than 180 days after the date of enactment of this
- Act, the Federal Communications Commission shall
- 17 commence a proceeding to determine whether Fed-
- eral Communications Commission policies regarding
- access to number resources, including number re-
- sources for toll free and non-toll free telephone num-
- 21 bers, could be modified, including by establishing
- registration and compliance obligations, to help re-
- 23 duce access to numbers by potential perpetrators of
- violations of section 227(b) of the Communications
- 25 Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 227(b)).

- 1 (2) REGULATIONS.—If the Federal Commu-2 nications Commission determines under paragraph 3 (1) that modifying the policies described in that 4 paragraph could help achieve the goal described in 5 that paragraph, the Commission shall prescribe regulations to implement those policy modifications. 6 7 AUTHORITY.—Any person who knowingly, through an employee, agent, officer, or otherwise, directly
- through an employee, agent, officer, or otherwise, directly or indirectly, by or through any means or device whatsoever, is a party to obtaining number resources, including number resources for toll free and non-toll free telephone numbers, from a common carrier regulated under title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), in violation of a regulation prescribed under subsection (a) of this section, shall, notwithstanding section 503(b)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 503(b)(5)), be subject to a forfeiture penalty under section shall be in addition to any other penalty provided for by law.

Passed the Senate May 23 (legislative day, May 22), 2019.

Attest:

# 116TH CONGRESS S. 151

# AN ACT

To deter criminal robocall violations and improve enforcement of section 227(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, and for other purposes.