

115TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. CON. RES. 40

Expressing the sense of Congress that all direct and indirect subsidies that benefit the production or export of sugar by all major sugar producing and consuming countries should be eliminated.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 23, 2017

Mr. YOHO (for himself, Mr. MOONEY of West Virginia, Mr. JONES, Mr. SCHRADER, Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana, Mr. MITCHELL, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. THOMAS J. ROONEY of Florida, Ms. FRANKEL of Florida, Mr. KILDEE, Mr. CRAMER, and Mr. GIBBS) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

---

## CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of Congress that all direct and indirect subsidies that benefit the production or export of sugar by all major sugar producing and consuming countries should be eliminated.

Whereas every major sugar-producing and sugar-consuming country in the world maintains some form of direct or indirect subsidy to support its sugar growers, processors, or consumers;

Whereas virtually all of the more than 100 countries that produce sugar maintain market distorting subsidy programs, including—

(1) the Government of Brazil which provides direct and indirect subsidies of at least \$2,500,000,000 per year for programs to promote its sugar and ethanol industry and has increased subsidies in recent years in the form of preferential loans, debt forgiveness, and increased ethanol usage mandates;

(2) the Government of India which provides at least \$1,700,000,000 in subsidy supports to prop up its inefficient sugar industry, including WTO-illegal export subsidies in 2014 and 2015;

(3) the Government of Thailand which has more than tripled its sugar exports since 2004 by providing at least \$1,300,000,000 in subsidies and government programs to its sugar industry and by maintaining domestic prices well above export prices;

(4) the Government of the European Union which will be sending \$665,000,000 in subsidy checks a year to sugar farmers by 2019; and

(5) the Government of Mexico which has used direct and indirect subsidies to keep open sugar mills owned by private industry and the government has sent direct payments to sugarcane growers, and has been found guilty of injuring United States sugar producers by dumping subsidized sugar into the United States market;

Whereas the world sugar market is the most volatile commodity market in the world;

Whereas the foregoing clauses provide ample evidence there is no undistorted, free market in sugar in the world today; and

Whereas if such a free market did exist, United States sugar farmers and processors could compete effectively in that market: Now, therefore, be it

1        *Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate*  
2 *concurring)*, That it is the sense of Congress that—

3            (1) the President should seek elimination of all  
4        direct and indirect subsidies benefiting the produc-  
5        tion or export of sugar by the government of—

6            (A) each country that exported more than  
7            200,000 metric tons of sugar in 2014, 2015, or  
8            2016; and

9            (B) by any other country with which the  
10        United States has in effect a free trade agree-  
11        ment;

12          (2) if the President determines that all such  
13        subsidies by all such countries have been eliminated,  
14        the President should submit a report to Congress  
15        providing detailed information about how each of the  
16        countries has eliminated such subsidies; and

17          (3) after submitting such a report, the Presi-  
18        dent should propose to Congress legislation to imple-  
19        ment United States sugar policy reforms.

○