118TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

H.R. 7528

AN ACT

- To amend section 206 of the E-Government Act of 2002 to improve the integrity and management of mass comments and computer-generated comments in the regulatory review process, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 2 This Act may be cited as the "Comment Integrity
- 3 and Management Act of 2024".
- 4 SEC. 2. PURPOSE.
- 5 The purpose of this Act is to help Federal agencies
- 6 manage mass and computer-generated comments in the
- 7 Federal regulatory process. This should in no way be un-
- 8 derstood to discourage mass comments, which are a vital
- 9 part of the regulatory process.
- 10 SEC. 3. IMPROVING INTEGRITY AND MANAGEMENT OF
- 11 MASS COMMENTS AND COMPUTER-GEN-
- 12 ERATED COMMENTS IN THE REGULATORY
- 13 REVIEW PROCESS.
- 14 (a) IN GENERAL.— Section 206 of the E-Govern-
- 15 ment Act of 2002 (Public Law 107–347; 44 U.S.C. 3501
- 16 note) is amended by—
- 17 (1) redesignating subsection (e) as subsection
- 18 (f); and
- 19 (2) inserting after subsection (d) the following:
- 20 "(e) Information Integrity.—
- 21 "(1) Verification of electronic submis-
- 22 SIONS.—With respect to each comment accepted by
- electronic means under subsection (c), in accordance
- 24 with the guidance established by Director in para-
- 25 graph (3), the head of an agency shall verify, to the
- 26 greatest extent possible, at the time the comment is

1	submitted, whether the comment has been submitted
2	by a human being.
3	"(2) Identification and management of
4	MASS COMMENTS.—
5	"(A) Identification of mass com-
6	MENTS.—With respect to each comment accept-
7	ed by electronic means under subsection (c) by
8	the head of an agency, the head of the agency
9	shall—
10	"(i) to the extent practicable, reason-
11	ably determine whether such comment is a
12	mass comment; and
13	"(ii) in the case that the agency has
14	made a reasonable determination that the
15	comment is a mass comment, indicate on
16	any publicly available copy of the comment,
17	or comment variations, (through a label or
18	indicator, and in a machine and human
19	readable format) that the comment is part
20	of a mass comment submission; and
21	"(B) Handling of mass comments.—
22	Notwithstanding subsection (d)(2)(A), instead
23	of making available through the electronic dock-
24	et of the agency each comment identified as a

1	mass comment under paragraph (2), the head
2	of an agency may—
3	"(i) make available through such
4	docket only a single representative sample
5	of each such mass comment; or
6	"(ii) in the case where mass com-
7	ments take the form of variations on cer-
8	tain standardized but not identical lan-
9	guage the agency make available through
10	such docket a single copy of one of the
11	variations of the mass comment.
12	"(C) Number of submissions.—In case
13	in which the head of an agency makes available
14	through such docket a single representative
15	sample or a single copy of one of the variations
16	of a mass comment under subparagraph (B),
17	the head of the agency shall indicate (through
18	a label or indicator, and in a machine and
19	human readable format), on the sample or copy
20	made available, the number of submissions that
21	were determined to be identical, or sub-
22	stantively identical to the sample or copy made
23	available on such docket.
24	"(3) OMB GUIDANCE.—

1	"(A) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 240 days
2	after the date of the enactment of this sub-
3	section, the Director, in consultation with the
4	Administrator of General Services, shall issue
5	guidance to the heads of each agency on the im-
6	plementation of the requirements of this sub-
7	section.
8	"(B) CONTENTS OF GUIDANCE.—The
9	guidance issued pursuant to subparagraph (A)
10	shall include recommendations for agencies on
11	how to best manage comments accepted by elec-
12	tronic means, including recommendations on
13	how to do the following:
14	"(i) Use technology tools and proce-
15	dures that verify, to the greatest extent
16	possible, whether a comment is being sub-
17	mitted by a human being.
18	"(ii) Identify mass comments, includ-
19	ing how to leverage software tools to iden-
20	tify whether a comment is a mass com-
21	ment.
22	"(iii) Indicate (through a label or in-
23	dicator, and in a machine- and human-
24	readable format), that a comment is a
25	mass comment.

1	"(iv) Use new technology to offer new
2	opportunities for public participation in the
3	rulemaking process.
4	"(C) UPDATES.—The Director, in con-
5	sultation with the Administrator of General
6	Services, may update the guidance issued pur-
7	suant to subparagraph (A), as determined nec-
8	essary by the Director.
9	"(4) Policies concerning posting and con-
10	SIDERATION OF COMPUTER-GENERATED COMMENTS
11	AND MASS COMMENTS.—
12	"(A) In general.—Not later than 1 year
13	after the date of the enactment of this sub-
14	section, the head of each agency shall establish
15	a policy with respect to the posting and consid-
16	eration of computer-generated comments and
17	mass-comments during the rulemaking process
18	of the agency that is consistent with—
19	"(i) the requirements of paragraph
20	(2); and
21	"(ii) the guidance issued under para-
22	graph (3).
23	"(B) AVAILABILITY OF POLICY.—Not later
24	than 60 days after the date on which the head
25	of an agency establishes a policy pursuant to

1	subparagraph (A), the head of the agency shall,
2	to the extent practicable, post the policy on the
3	website through which an agency makes com-
4	ments available pursuant to subsection
5	(d)(2)(A).
6	"(C) UPDATE TO POLICY.—The policy es-
7	tablished pursuant to subparagraph (A)—
8	"(i) shall be updated as necessary to
9	make such guidance consistent with any
10	updates to the guidance issued under para-
11	graph (3); and
12	"(ii) may be updated by the head of
13	the agency, in consultation with the Direc-
14	tor, as the head of the agency determines
15	appropriate.
16	"(5) Exception to time limitation for im-
17	PLEMENTATION.—The requirement described under
18	subsection (f) shall not apply to this subsection.
19	"(6) Definitions.—In this subsection:
20	"(A) COMMENT.—The term 'comment'
21	means a submission under section 553(c) of
22	title 5, United States Code.
23	"(B) Computer-generated comment.—
24	The term 'computer-generated comment' means
25	a comment the substance of which is primarily

- generated by computer software, including through the use of artificial intelligence, rather than by a human being.
- "(C) Mass comment.—The term 'mass comment' means a comment submitted as part of an organized submission of a large volume of identical, or substantively identical, comments submitted by different signatories or entities."
- 9 (b) Update to Websites, Information Systems 10 of Agencies.—
 - (1) In General.—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the head of each agency subject to the requirements of section 206(e) of the E-Government Act, as added by subsection (a), shall update any website of the agency, and any information system of the agency, as necessary to ensure compliance with the requirements of such section.
 - (2) Regulations.gov.—The Administrator of General Services, acting through the eRulemaking Program Management Office, shall update Regulations.gov as necessary to ensure compliance with the requirements of section 206(e) of the E–Government Act, as added by subsection (a).

1	(3) Erulemaking system.—The Adminis-
2	trator of General Services shall update the shared
3	eRulemaking system on behalf of participating agen-
4	cies.
5	(c) GAO REPORT ON COMPUTER-GENERATED COM-
6	MENTS.—
7	(1) Report.—Not later than 2 years after the
8	date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
9	General of the United States shall submit to the
10	Committee on Oversight and Accountability of the
11	House of Representatives and the Committee on
12	Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the
13	Senate a report on the identification of computer-
14	generated comments under section 206(e) of the E-
15	Government Act of 2002 (Public Law 107–347; 44
16	U.S.C. 3501 note), that includes the following:
17	(A) Recommendations on how to identify if
18	a submission under that section is a computer-
19	generated comment.
20	(B) Any effect that computer-generated
21	comments have on the rulemaking process.
22	(C) The extent to which the public uses
23	computer-generated comments to participate in
24	the rulemaking process at the time the report
25	is submitted.

1	(D) How prevalent computer-generated
2	comments are at the time the report is sub-
3	mitted.
4	(E) How prevalent the Comptroller Gen-
5	eral anticipates computer-generated comments
6	will be 5 years after the date on which the re-
7	port is submitted.
8	(d) Rule of Construction.—Nothing in this Act,
9	or the amendments made by this Act, may be construed
10	as affecting the consideration of a mass comment by the
11	head of an agency during the rulemaking process.
12	(e) Definitions.—In this section:
13	(1) Comment.—The term "comment" means a
14	submission under section 553(c) of title 5, United
15	States Code.
16	(2) Computer-generated comments.—The
17	term "computer-generated comment" means a com-
18	ment the substance of which is primarily generated
19	by computer software, including through the use of
20	artificial intelligence, rather than by a human being.
21	(3) Director.—The term "Director" means
22	the Director of the Office of Management and Budg-
23	et.
24	(4) Mass comment.—The term "mass com-
25	ment" means a comment submitted as part of an or-

- 1 ganized submission of a large volume of identical, or
- 2 substantively identical, comments submitted by dif-
- 3 ferent signatories or entities.

Passed the House of Representatives May 6, 2024. Attest:

Clerk.

118TH CONGRESS H. R. 7528

AN ACT

To amend section 206 of the E-Government Act of 2002 to improve the integrity and management of mass comments and computer-generated comments in the regulatory review process, and for other purposes.