

117TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 7449

To establish prohibitions on the use of automated systems in a discriminatory manner, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APRIL 7, 2022

Mr. BROWN of Maryland (for himself and Ms. SCHAKOWSKY) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Oversight and Reform, and in addition to the Committees on Education and Labor, Armed Services, Science, Space, and Technology, Intelligence (Permanent Select), and the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To establish prohibitions on the use of automated systems in a discriminatory manner, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “Digital Civil and
5 Human Rights Act of 2022”.

1 **SEC. 2. PROHIBITION OF DISCRIMINATORY USE OF AUTO-**
2 **MATED SYSTEMS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—No Federal agency, court of the
4 United States, State government, local government, or
5 tribal government may use any automated decision system
6 that has a disparate impact on the basis of race, national
7 origin, color, religion, disability, or sex.

8 (b) PUBLICLY AVAILABLE REPOSITORY.—The head
9 of each Federal agency shall maintain a site on the public
10 website of the Federal agency that contains a bias
11 datasheet for each automated decision system used by
12 such Federal agency with the potential for a disparate im-
13 pact on the bases established under subsection (a).

14 (c) DEFINITION.—In this section the term “bias
15 datasheet” means a datasheet conforming to the standard
16 published pursuant to section 22B of the National Insti-
17 tute of Standards and Technology Act.

18 (d) PROHIBITION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION OR SEG-
19 REGATION IN PLACES OF PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION.—
20 Section 201 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.
21 2000a) is amended by adding at the end the following:

22 “(f) It shall be an unlawful practice for a place of
23 public accommodation to use in its operations affecting
24 commerce any automated decision system (as such term
25 is defined in section 701) that has a disparate impact on
26 the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.”.

1 (e) UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES.—Section
2 703 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000e–
3 2) is amended by adding at the end the following:

4 “(o) It shall be an unlawful employment practice for
5 a respondent, in connection with the selection or referral
6 of applicants or candidates for employment or promotion,
7 to use any automated decision system that has a disparate
8 impact on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national
9 origin.”.

10 (f) DEFINITIONS IN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.—
11 Section 701 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.
12 2000e–2) is amended by adding at the end the following:

13 “(o) The term ‘automated decision system’ means
14 any system, software, or process (including one derived
15 from machine learning, statistics, or other data processing
16 or artificial intelligence techniques and excluding passive
17 computing infrastructure) that uses computation, the re-
18 sult of which serves as a basis for a decision or judgment.

19 “(p) The term ‘court of the United States’ has the
20 meaning given such term in section 451 of title 28, United
21 States Code.”.

22 (g) RULEMAKING.—Not later than 1 year after the
23 date of enactment of this Act, the head of each Federal
24 agency, in coordination with the Director of the Office of

1 Management and Budget, shall make rules to carry out
2 this section and the amendments made by this section.

3 **SEC. 3. PROHIBITION OF BIAS IN USE OF AUTOMATED SYS-**
4 **TEMS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 10, United
6 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
7 lowing new section:

8 **“§ 1301. Prohibition of use of automated systems with**
9 **bias**

10 “(a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds authorized to
11 be appropriated or otherwise made available for any fiscal
12 year for the Department of Defense may be used for the
13 use of any automated decision system with any adverse
14 distinction based on race, religion, sex, health, age, or any
15 other similar criteria.

16 “(b) PUBLICLY AVAILABLE REPOSITORY.—Not later
17 than one year after the effective date of this section, the
18 Secretary of Defense shall maintain a site on the public
19 website of the Department of Defense that contains a bias
20 datasheet for each automated decision system used by the
21 Department of Defense with the potential for an adverse
22 distinction on the bases established under subsection (a).

23 “(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 “(1) The term ‘automated decision system’ has
2 the meaning given such term in section 701 of the
3 Civil Rights Act of 1964.

4 “(2) The term ‘adverse distinction’ has the
5 meaning given such term through the application of
6 the Geneva Conventions and customary international
7 law.

8 “(3) The term ‘bias datasheet’ means a
9 datasheet conforming to the standard published pur-
10 suant to section 22B of the National Institute of
11 Standards and Technology Act and includes an ad-
12 dendum related to international law.”.

13 (b) REPORT REQUIRED.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
15 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
16 of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of
17 State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional
18 committees a report on the implementation of the
19 amendments made by this section.

20 (2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by sub-
21 section (b) shall include the following:

22 (A) An accounting of regulations pre-
23 scribed, revised, or withdrawn in the implemen-
24 tation of the prohibition created under sub-
25 section (a).

1 (B) An identification and assessment of
2 any automated decision systems used by the
3 Department of Defense prior to the enactment
4 of this Act which had an adverse distinction
5 based on race, religion, sex, health, age, or any
6 other similar criteria.

7 (C) Recommendations for actions to affirm
8 the same prohibition for all parties to the Gene-
9 va Conventions.

10 (3) FORM.—The report under paragraph (1)
11 shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
12 contain a classified annex. The unclassified portion
13 of the report shall be posted on a publicly available
14 website of the Department of Defense.

15 (c) DEFINITION.—In this section the term “appro-
16 priate congressional committees” means—

17 (1) the congressional defense committees;

18 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations and
19 the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate;
20 and

21 (3) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the
22 Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the
23 House of Representatives.

24 (d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections
25 at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting

1 after the item relating to section 130k the following new
2 item:

“130l. Prohibition of use of automated systems with bias.”.

3 (e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by
4 this section shall take effect on the date that is one year
5 after the date of the enactment of this Act.

6 **SEC. 4. REPORT ON INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY USE OF**
7 **AUTOMATED DECISION SYSTEMS WITH BIAS.**

8 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the
9 date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National
10 Intelligence shall submit to the appropriate congressional
11 committees a report on the use within the intelligence
12 community of automated decision systems with an adverse
13 distinction based on race, religion, sex, health, age, or any
14 other similar criteria.

15 (b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection
16 (a) shall include the following:

17 (1) An accounting of the automated decision
18 systems used by the intelligence community, exclud-
19 ing cyberspace operations, with the potential for an
20 adverse distinction based on race, religion, sex,
21 health, age, or any other similar criteria.

22 (2) An assessment of any adverse distinctions
23 based on race, religion, sex, health, age, or any other
24 similar criteria.

1 (3) An assessment of the impact of a prohibi-
2 tion within the intelligence community on automated
3 decision systems with an adverse distinction based
4 on race, religion, sex, health, age, or any other simi-
5 lar criteria.

6 (4) An assessment of the programs and funding
7 required to replace within the intelligence commu-
8 nity any automated decision systems with an adverse
9 distinction based on race, religion, sex, health, age,
10 or any other similar criteria.

11 (c) FORM.—The report under subsection (a) may be
12 submitted in classified form, but if so submitted shall in-
13 clude an unclassified executive summary. The unclassified
14 portion of the report shall be posted on a publicly available
15 website of the Office of the Director of National Intel-
16 ligence.

17 (d) DEFINITION.—In this section:

18 (1) The term “appropriate congressional com-
19 mittees” means—

20 (A) the congressional defense committees;

21 (B) the Committee on Foreign Relations
22 and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the
23 Senate; and

1 (C) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and
2 the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence
3 of the House of Representatives.

4 (2) The term “intelligence community” has the
5 meaning given such term in section 3003(4) of title
6 50, United States Code.

7 (3) The term “automated decision system” has
8 the meaning given such term in section 701 of the
9 Civil Rights Act of 1964.

10 (4) The term “adverse distinction” has the
11 meaning given such term through the application of
12 the Geneva Conventions and customary international
13 law.

14 **SEC. 5. ESTABLISHMENT OF DATASHEET STANDARDS ON**
15 **AUTOMATED DECISION SYSTEM BIAS.**

16 (a) IN GENERAL.—The National Institute of Stand-
17 ards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 271 et seq.) is
18 amended by inserting after section 22A the following new
19 section:

20 **“SEC. 22B. AUTOMATED DECISION SYSTEM BIAS**
21 **DATASHEETS.**

22 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Institute, in
23 consultation with such researchers, private sector industry
24 experts, legal experts, and civil rights experts as the Direc-
25 tor considers appropriate, shall develop, publish, and

1 maintain standards for reporting bias in an automated de-
2 cision system.

3 “(b) ELEMENTS.—The standards described in sub-
4 section (a) shall require the following:

5 “(1) An assessment of bias on the basis of race,
6 national origin, color, religion, disability, sex, sexual
7 orientation, or gender identity.

8 “(2) The source, size, and characteristics of any
9 dataset used as the basis for the automated decision
10 system.

11 “(3) The content is accessible and machine
12 readable in accordance with the 21st Century Inte-
13 grated Digital Experience Act (44 U.S.C. 3501
14 note).

15 “(4) Any other requirements as determined by
16 the Director.

17 “(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section the term ‘auto-
18 mated decision system’ has the meaning given such term
19 in section 701 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.”.

20 (b) DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later
21 than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this
22 Act, the Director shall initially publish the standards re-
23 quired under subsection (a).

○