112TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 3750

To amend the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to authorize the Attorney General to provide grants to States and units of local government for the video recording of custodial interrogations.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

December 20, 2011

Ms. Richardson (for herself and Ms. Jackson Lee of Texas) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

- To amend the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to authorize the Attorney General to provide grants to States and units of local government for the video recording of custodial interrogations.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Custodial Interroga-
 - 5 tion Recording Act".
 - 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
 - 7 Congress finds the following:

- (1) According to the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, research has demonstrated that video recording of custodial interrogations furthers three important civic values: truth-finding, efficient and fair administration of justice, and protection of constitutional guarantees. See Richard A. Leo, Police Interrogation and Amer-ican Justice 296–305 (2008); Thomas P. Sullivan, Recording Federal Custodial Interviews, 45 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 1297 (2008).
 - (2) Video recording of the entire process of custodial interrogation has proven to be a major advance in law enforcement, improving the ability to solve crimes and prove cases while lowering the overall costs of investigation and litigation.
 - (3) Video recording of custodial interrogations promotes truth-finding in several ways, including by reducing the incentive to fabricate, compensating for faulty or unreliable recollections of witnesses, deterring problematic interrogation methods, filtering out weak cases, and enhancing the ability of finders of fact to assess witness credibility and veracity.
 - (4) Video recording of custodial interrogations promotes efficiency in the administration of the criminal justice system by reducing the number of

- frivolous suppression motions, improving the quality of police investigations, improving the quality of review and case screening by prosecutors, and reducing the likelihood of hung juries.
 - (5) Video recording of custodial interrogations safeguards constitutional rights and values by making it easier for courts to adjudicate motions to suppress, by making it easier for prosecutors to preserve and disclose material exculpatory evidence required under the Supreme Court decision in Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), by making it easier for superiors to train police officers in how to comply with constitutional mandates and for the press, and by making it easier for the press, the judiciary, prosecutors, independent watchdog groups, and police administrators to identify and correct misuses of power by law enforcement.
 - (6) Video recordings of custodial interrogations make it easier to identify and avoid biases, which would otherwise be difficult to detect and correct because such biases are often unconscious, thus operating outside police awareness.
 - (7) Video recordings of custodial interrogations help to improve public confidence in the fairness and professionalism of policing, which in a democracy

- not only is a good in itself but also a proven means of reducing crime and enhancing citizen cooperation in solving crimes.
 - (8) Video recording of the entire process of custodial interrogation is likely to be a major boon to law enforcement, improving its ability to prove its cases while lowering overall costs of investigation and litigation. Such recording will also, however, improve systemic accuracy, fairness to the accused and the state alike, protection of constitutional rights, and public confidence in the justice system.

12 SEC. 3. AMENDMENT.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe
- 14 Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3711) is amended—
- 15 (1) in section 1001(a), by adding at the end the following:
- 17 "(27) There are authorized to be appropriated 18 to carry out part LL such sums as may be necessary 19 for each of the first 5 fiscal years beginning after 20 the date of the enactment of such part."; and
- 21 (2) by adding at the end the following:

1 "PART LL—CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION VIDEO

2	RECORDING GRANTS
3	"SEC. 3021. CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION VIDEO RECORD-
4	ING GRANTS.
5	"(a) Grant Program.—The Attorney General shall
6	make grants to States and units of local government to
7	take whatever steps the Attorney General determines to
8	be necessary to achieve the complete and accurate record-
9	ing, by both audio and video means, of every custodial in-
10	terrogation occurring within the State or unit of local gov-
11	ernment.
12	"(b) MATCHING REQUIREMENT.—The portion of the
13	costs of a program funded by a grant under this section
14	may not exceed 75 percent.
15	"(c) Definition of Custodial Interrogation.—
16	In this section, the term 'custodial interrogation' means
17	questioning or other conduct by a law enforcement officer
18	which is reasonably likely to elicit an incriminating re-
19	sponse from an individual and occurs when reasonable in-
20	dividuals in the same circumstances would consider them-

 \bigcirc

21 selves in custody.".