## (Reprinted with amendments adopted on April 16, 2015) FIRST REPRINT A.B. 263

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 263–ASSEMBLYMEN STEWART; MUNFORD, SILBERKRAUS AND WOODBURY

MARCH 11, 2015

## Referred to Committee on Judiciary

SUMMARY—Revises provisions governing the custody and support of children. (BDR 11-199)

FISCAL NOTE: Effect on Local Government: No. Effect on the State: No.

EXPLANATION - Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets [omitted material] is material to be omitted.

AN ACT relating to domestic relations; repealing certain provisions relating to the custody of children and enacting certain similar provisions relating to the custody of children; prohibiting a parent with primary or joint physical custody of a child from relocating with the child outside this State or to certain locations within this State without the written consent of the noncustodial parent or the permission of the court as the circumstances require; authorizing a non-relocating parent to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs in certain circumstances; providing a penalty; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

#### Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law sets forth provisions concerning the custody of children as it relates to the dissolution of marriage. (NRS 125.450-125.520) Section 19 of this bill repeals almost all of these provisions. Sections 3-12 of this bill add such repealed provisions, with certain revisions, to chapter 125C of NRS, which concerns custody and visitation of children generally. The addition of such provisions to chapter 125C of NRS expands their applicability to the custody of all children regardless of whether they were born to parents who were married or unmarried. Section 4 provides that absent a determination by a court regarding the custody

9 Section 4 provides that absent a determination by a court regarding the custody 10 of a child, each parent has joint legal custody and joint physical custody of the child 11 until otherwise ordered by a court. Sections 5 and 6 provide that if a parent has 12 demonstrated, or has attempted to demonstrate but has had his or her efforts 13 frustrated by the other parent, an intent to establish a meaningful relationship with a 14 child, such a demonstration or attempted demonstration creates a presumption that





15 joint legal and physical custody, respectively, is in the best interest of the child. Section 7 authorizes a court to award primary physical custody to a parent if the court determines that joint physical custody is not in the best interest of a child, and sets forth circumstances in which an award of joint physical custody is presumed not to be in the best interest of a child. Section 7 also sets forth the circumstances in which a court may award primary physical custody to a mother or father of a child born out of wedlock.

in which a court may award primary physical custody to a mother or father of a child born out of wedlock.
Existing law requires a parent with primary physical custody of a child who intends to move outside this State with the child to: (1) obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent; or (2) if the noncustodial parent refuses to give such consent, petition the court for permission to move with the child. (NRS 125C.200)
Section 16 of this bill additionally requires a parent with primary physical custody of a child to take such actions if the parent intends to relocate to a place within this State that is more than 100 miles from the place of his or her residence at the time the existing custody arrangement was established. Section 13 of this bill requires a parent who has joint physical custody of a child and wants to relocate outside this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child to demonstrate to the court for permission to relocate with a child to demonstrate to the court certain reasons and benefits relating to the relocation. Section 14 also requires the court to consider certain factors in determining whether to allow a parent to relocate with a child. Under section 18 of this bill, a parent who relocates with a child without the written

Section 14 of this bill requires a parent who files a petition for permission to relocate with a child to demonstrate to the court certain reasons and benefits relating to the relocation. Section 14 also requires the court to consider certain factors in determining whether to allow a parent to relocate with a child. Under section 18 of this bill, a parent who relocates with a child without the written 40 consent of the noncustodial parent or the permission of the court or before the court 41 enters an order granting the parent primary physical custody of the child and 42 permission to relocate with the child, as applicable, is guilty of a category D felony 43 unless the parent: (1) demonstrates a compelling excuse for the relocation; or (2) 44 relocated to protect the child or the parent from danger. Additionally, section 15 of 45 this bill provides that if a parent relocates with a child in violation of section 18: 46 (1) the court cannot consider any post-relocation facts or circumstances regarding 47 the welfare of the child or the relocating parent in making any determination; and 48 (2) the non-relocating parent can recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs 49 incurred as a result of the relocating parent's violation.

# THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

**Section 1.** NRS 125.040 is hereby amended to read as follows: 125.040 1. In any suit for divorce the court may, in its discretion, upon application by either party and notice to the other party, require either party to pay moneys necessary to assist the other party in accomplishing one or more of the following:

- 6
- 7
- (a) To provide temporary maintenance for the other party;(b) To provide temporary support for children of the parties; or
- 8
- (c) To enable the other party to carry on or defend such suit.

9 2. The court may make any order affecting property of the 10 parties, or either of them, which it may deem necessary or desirable 11 to accomplish the purposes of this section. Such orders shall be



1 made by the court only after taking into consideration the financial2 situation of each of the parties.

3 3. The court may make orders pursuant to this section 4 concurrently with orders pursuant to [NRS 125.470.] section 12 of 5 this act.

6 Sec. 2. Chapter 125C of NRS is hereby amended by adding 7 thereto the provisions set forth as sections 3 to 15, inclusive, of this 8 act.

9 Sec. 3. The Legislature declares that it is the policy of this 10 State:

To ensure that minor children have frequent associations
 and a continuing relationship with both parents after the parents
 have ended their relationship, become separated or dissolved their
 marriage;

15 2. To encourage such parents to share the rights and 16 responsibilities of child rearing; and

**3.** To establish that such parents have an equivalent duty to provide their minor children with necessary maintenance, health care, education and financial support. As used in this subsection, "equivalent" must not be construed to mean that both parents are responsible for providing the same amount of financial support to their children.

23 Sec. 4. 1. The parent and child relationship extends equally 24 to every child and to every parent, regardless of the marital status 25 of the parents.

26 2. If a court has not made a determination regarding the 27 custody of a child, each parent has joint legal custody and joint 28 physical custody of the child until otherwise ordered by a court of 29 competent jurisdiction.

30 Sec. 5. 1. When a court is making a determination 31 regarding the legal custody of a child, there is a presumption, 32 affecting the burden of proof, that joint legal custody would be in 33 the best interest of a minor child if:

(a) The parents have agreed to an award of joint legal custody
or so agree in open court at a hearing for the purpose of
determining the legal custody of the minor child; or

37 (b) A parent has demonstrated, or has attempted to 38 demonstrate but has had his or her efforts frustrated by the other 39 parent, an intent to establish a meaningful relationship with the 40 minor child.

41 2. The court may award joint legal custody without awarding 42 joint physical custody.

43 Sec. 6. 1. When a court is making a determination 44 regarding the physical custody of a child, there is a preference that





joint physical custody would be in the best interest of a minor child
 if:

3 (a) The parents have agreed to an award of joint physical 4 custody or so agree in open court at a hearing for the purpose of 5 determining the physical custody of the minor child; or

6 (b) A parent has demonstrated, or has attempted to 7 demonstrate but has had his or her efforts frustrated by the other 8 parent, an intent to establish a meaningful relationship with the 9 minor child.

10 2. For assistance in determining whether an award of joint 11 physical custody is appropriate, the court may direct that an 12 investigation be conducted.

13 Sec. 7. 1. A court may award primary physical custody to a 14 parent if the court determines that joint physical custody is not in 15 the best interest of a child. An award of joint physical custody is 16 presumed not to be in the best interest of the child if:

(a) The court determines by substantial evidence that a parent
is unable to adequately care for a minor child for at least 146 days
of the year;

20 (b) A child is born out of wedlock and the provisions of 21 subsection 2 are applicable; or

(c) Except as otherwise provided in subsection 6 of section 8 of this act or NRS 125C.210, there has been a determination by the court after an evidentiary hearing and finding by clear and convincing evidence that a parent has engaged in one or more acts of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or any other person residing with the child. The presumption created by this paragraph is a rebuttable presumption.

29 2. A court may award primary physical custody of a child 30 born out of wedlock to:

31 32 (a) The mother of the child if:

(1) The mother has not married the father of the child;

33 (2) A judgment or order of a court, or a judgment or order 34 entered pursuant to an expedited process, determining the 35 paternity of the child has not been entered; and

36

(3) The father of the child:

(I) Is not subject to any presumption of paternity under
 NRS 126.051;

(II) Has never acknowledged paternity pursuant to NRS
 126.053; or

41 *(III) Has had actual knowledge of his paternity but has* 42 *abandoned the child.* 

43 (b) The father of the child if:

44 (1) The mother has abandoned the child; and





(2) The father has provided sole care and custody of the 1 2 child in her absence. 3

4

3. As used in this section:(a) "Abandoned" means that a mother or father has:

(1) Failed, for a continuous period of not less than 6 5 months, to provide substantial personal and economic support to 6 7 the child: or

(2) Knowingly declined, for a continuous period of not less 8 than 6 months, to have any meaningful relationship with the child. 9 (b) "Expedited process" has the meaning ascribed to it in 10 NRS 126.161. 11

12 Sec. 8. 1. In any action for determining physical custody of a minor child, the sole consideration of the court is the best 13 14 interest of the child. If it appears to the court that joint physical 15 custody would be in the best interest of the child, the court may 16 grant physical custody to the parties jointly.

2. Preference must not be given to either parent for the sole 17 reason that the parent is the mother or the father of the child. 18

The court shall award physical custody in the following 19 3. order of preference unless in a particular case the best interest of 20 21 the child requires otherwise:

22 (a) To both parents jointly pursuant to section 6 of this act or to either parent pursuant to section 7 of this act. If the court does 23 not enter an order awarding joint physical custody of a child after 24 either parent has applied for joint physical custody, the court shall 25 state in its decision the reason for its denial of the parent's 26 27 application.

28 (b) To a person or persons in whose home the child has been 29 living and where the child has had a wholesome and stable 30 environment.

31 (c) To any person related within the fifth degree of 32 consanguinity to the child whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child, regardless of 33 34 whether the relative resides within this State.

(d) To any other person or persons whom the court finds 35 suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the 36 37 child.

38 4. In determining the best interest of the child, the court shall 39 consider and set forth its specific findings concerning, among other things: 40

(a) The wishes of the child if the child is of sufficient age and 41 42 capacity to form an intelligent preference as to his or her physical 43 custody.

44 (b) Any nomination of a guardian for the child by a parent.





(c) Which parent is more likely to allow the child to have 1 frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the 2 3 noncustodial parent.

(d) The level of conflict between the parents. 4

5 (e) The ability of the parents to cooperate to meet the needs of 6 the child. 7

(f) The mental and physical health of the parents.

8 (g) The physical, developmental and emotional needs of the 9 child.

10 (h) The nature of the relationship of the child with each 11 parent.

12 (i) The ability of the child to maintain a relationship with any 13 sibling.

14 (j) Any history of parental abuse or neglect of the child or a 15 sibling of the child.

16 (k) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical 17 custody has engaged in an act of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or any other person residing with the 18 19 child.

(1) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical 20 custody has committed any act of abduction against the child or 21 22 any other child.

5. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 6 or NRS 23 125C.210, a determination by the court after an evidentiary 24 25 hearing and finding by clear and convincing evidence that either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has engaged 26 in one or more acts of domestic violence against the child, a 27 parent of the child or any other person residing with the child 28 29 creates a rebuttable presumption that sole or joint physical custody 30 of the child by the perpetrator of the domestic violence is not in the 31 best interest of the child. Upon making such a determination, the 32 court shall set forth:

33 (a) Findings of fact that support the determination that one or 34 more acts of domestic violence occurred; and

(b) Findings that the custody or visitation arrangement 35 ordered by the court adequately protects the child and the parent 36 37 or other victim of domestic violence who resided with the child.

If after an evidentiary hearing held pursuant to subsection 38 **6**. 5 the court determines that each party has engaged in acts of 39 domestic violence, it shall, if possible, then determine which 40 person was the primary physical aggressor. In determining which 41 party was the primary physical aggressor for the purposes of this 42 section, the court shall consider: 43

44 (a) All prior acts of domestic violence involving either party;





(b) The relative severity of the injuries, if any, inflicted upon 1 2 the persons involved in those prior acts of domestic violence; 3

(c) The likelihood of future injury;

(d) Whether, during the prior acts, one of the parties acted in 4 5 self-defense; and

6 (e) Any other factors which the court deems relevant to the 7 determination.

→ In such a case, if it is not possible for the court to determine 8 which party is the primary physical aggressor, the presumption 9 created pursuant to subsection 5 applies to both parties. If it is 10 possible for the court to determine which party is the primary 11 physical aggressor, the presumption created pursuant to 12 13 subsection 5 applies only to the party determined by the court to be 14 the primary physical aggressor.

15 7. A determination by the court after an evidentiary hearing 16 and finding by clear and convincing evidence that either parent or 17 any other person seeking physical custody has committed any act 18 of abduction against the child or any other child creates a 19 rebuttable presumption that sole or joint physical custody or unsupervised visitation of the child by the perpetrator of the 20 abduction is not in the best interest of the child. If the parent or 21 22 other person seeking physical custody does not rebut the presumption, the court shall not enter an order for sole or joint 23 physical custody or unsupervised visitation of the child by the 24 25 perpetrator and the court shall set forth:

(a) Findings of fact that support the determination that one or 26 27 more acts of abduction occurred; and

(b) Findings that the custody or visitation arrangement 28 29 ordered by the court adequately protects the child and the parent 30 or other person from whom the child was abducted.

31 8. For the purposes of subsection 7, any of the following acts 32 constitute conclusive evidence that an act of abduction occurred:

(a) A conviction of the defendant of any violation of NRS 33 34 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a law of any other jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar conduct; 35

(b) A plea of guilty or nolo contendere by the defendant to any 36 violation of NRS 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a 37 law of any other jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar 38 39 conduct: or

40 (c) An admission by the defendant to the court of the facts contained in the charging document alleging a violation of NRS 41 42 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a law of any other 43 jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar conduct.

44 If, after a court enters a final order concerning physical 9. 45 custody of the child, a magistrate determines there is probable





cause to believe that an act of abduction has been committed
 against the child or any other child and that a person who has
 been awarded sole or joint physical custody or unsupervised
 visitation of the child has committed the act, the court shall, upon
 a motion to modify the order concerning physical custody,
 reconsider the previous order concerning physical custody
 pursuant to subsections 7 and 8.

8

10. As used in this section:

9 (a) "Abduction" means the commission of an act described in 10 NRS 200.310 to 200.340, inclusive, or 200.359 or a law of any 11 other jurisdiction that prohibits the same or similar conduct.

12 (b) "Domestic violence" means the commission of any act 13 described in NRS 33.018.

14 Sec. 9. 1. Before the court makes an order awarding 15 custody to any person other than a parent, without the consent of 16 the parents, it shall make a finding that an award of custody to a 17 parent would be detrimental to the child and the award to a 18 nonparent is required to serve the best interest of the child.

19 2. No allegation that parental custody would be detrimental to 20 the child, other than a statement of that ultimate fact, may appear 21 in the pleadings.

22 3. The court may exclude the public from any hearing on this 23 issue.

24 Sec. 10. 1. In any action for determining the custody of a 25 minor child, the court may, except as otherwise provided in this 26 section and NRS 125C.0601 to 125C.0693, inclusive, and chapter 27 130 of NRS:

(a) During the pendency of the action, at the final hearing or
at any time thereafter during the minority of the child, make such
an order for the custody, care, education, maintenance and
support of the minor child as appears in his or her best interest;
and

(b) At any time modify or vacate its order, even if custody was
determined pursuant to an action for divorce and the divorce was
obtained by default without an appearance in the action by one of
the parties.

The party seeking such an order shall submit to the jurisdiction
of the court for the purposes of this subsection. The court may
make such an order upon the application of one of the parties or
the legal guardian of the minor.

41 2. Any order for joint custody may be modified or terminated 42 by the court upon the petition of one or both parents or on the 43 court's own motion if it is shown that the best interest of the child 44 requires the modification or termination. The court shall state in





1 *its decision the reasons for the order of modification or* 2 *termination if either parent opposes it.* 

3 3. Any order for custody of a minor child entered by a court 4 of another state may, subject to the provisions of NRS 125C.0601 5 to 125C.0693, inclusive, and to the jurisdictional requirements in 6 chapter 125A of NRS, be modified at any time to an order of joint 7 custody.

8 4. A party may proceed pursuant to this section without 9 counsel.

10 5. Any order awarding a party a limited right of custody to a child must define that right with sufficient particularity to ensure 11 that the rights of the parties can be properly enforced and that the 12 best interest of the child is achieved. The order must include all 13 14 specific times and other terms of the limited right of custody. As 15 used in this subsection, "sufficient particularity" means a 16 statement of the rights in absolute terms and not by the use of the 17 term "reasonable" or other similar term which is susceptible to 18 *different interpretations by the parties.* 

19 6. All orders authorized by this section must be made in 20 accordance with the provisions of chapter 125A of NRS and NRS 21 125C.0601 to 125C.0693, inclusive, and must contain the 22 following language:

23

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE 24 ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A 25 CHILD IN VIOLATION OF 26 THIS **ORDER** IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS 27 **PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that** 28 29 every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who 30 willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a 31 32 parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this 33 court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court 34 35 without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being 36 punished for a category D felony as provided in 37 38 NRS 193.130. 39

40 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to 41 subsection 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that 42 the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted 43 by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private 44 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains 45 a child in a foreign country.





1 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has 2 significant commitments in a foreign country:

3 (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country 4 of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the 5 terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7. 6

(b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the 7 parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses 8 an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child 9 10 outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for 11 the cost of locating the child and returning the child to his or her 12 13 habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or 14 concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does 15 16 not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child. 17

9. Except where a contract providing otherwise has been 18 executed pursuant to NRS 123.080, the obligation for care, 19 education, maintenance and support of any minor child created by 20 any order entered pursuant to this section ceases: 21

(a) Upon the death of the person to whom the order was 22 23 directed: or

24 (b) When the child reaches 18 years of age if the child is no longer enrolled in high school, otherwise, when the child reaches 25 26 19 years of age.

27 10. As used in this section, a parent has "significant commitments in a foreign country" if the parent: 28 29

(a) Is a citizen of a foreign country;

30 (b) Possesses a passport in his or her name from a foreign 31 country;

32 (c) Became a citizen of the United States after marrying the 33 other parent of the child; or

(d) Frequently travels to a foreign country.

Sec. 11. 1. The court may, when appropriate, require the 35 parents to submit to the court a plan for carrying out the court's 36 37 order concerning custody.

2. Access to records and other information pertaining to a 38 minor child, including, without limitation, medical, dental and 39 school records, must not be denied to a parent for the reason that 40 41 the parent is not the child's custodial parent.

42 Sec. 12. 1. If, during any action for determining the custody of a minor child, either before or after the entry of a final 43 44 order concerning the custody of a minor child, it appears to the court that any minor child of either party has been, or is likely to 45



34



be, taken or removed out of this State or concealed within this
 State, the court shall forthwith order such child to be produced
 before it and make such disposition of the child's custody as
 appears most advantageous to and in the best interest of the child
 and most likely to secure to him or her the benefit of the final
 order or the modification or termination of the final order to be
 made in his or her behalf.

2. If, during any action for determining the custody of a 8 minor child, either before or after the entry of a final order 9 concerning the custody of a minor child, the court finds that it 10 would be in the best interest of the minor child, the court may 11 enter an order providing that a party may, with the assistance of 12 13 the appropriate law enforcement agency, obtain physical custody of the child from the party having physical custody of the child. 14 15 The order must provide that if the party obtains physical custody of the child, the child must be produced before the court as soon 16 as practicable to allow the court to make such disposition of the 17 child's custody as appears most advantageous to and in the best 18 19 interest of the child and most likely to secure to him or her the benefit of the final order or the modification or termination of the 20 final order to be made in his or her behalf. 21

3. If the court enters an order pursuant to subsection 2 providing that a party may obtain physical custody of a child, the court shall order that party to give the party having physical custody of the child notice at least 24 hours before the time at which he or she intends to obtain physical custody of the child, unless the court deems that requiring the notice would likely defeat the purpose of the order.

4. All orders for a party to appear with a child issued pursuant to this section may be enforced by issuing a warrant of arrest against that party to secure his or her appearance with the child.

33 5. A proceeding under this section must be given priority on 34 the court calendar.

35 Sec. 13. 1. If joint physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court or section 4 of 36 this act or by operation of law and one parent intends to relocate 37 his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place 38 39 within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful 40 relationship with the child, and the relocating parent desires to 41 take the child with him or her, the relocating parent shall petition 42 the court for primary physical custody for the purpose of 43 44 relocating.





1 2. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section 2 before the court enters an order granting the parent primary 3 physical custody of the child and permission to relocate with the 4 child is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

5 Sec. 14. 1. In every instance of a petition for permission to 6 relocate with a child that is filed pursuant to NRS 125C.200 or 7 section 13 of this act, the relocating parent must demonstrate to 8 the court that:

9 (a) There exists a sensible, good-faith reason for the move, and 10 the move is not intended to deprive the non-relocating parent of 11 his or her parenting time;

12 (b) The best interests of the child are substantially better 13 served by allowing the relocating parent to relocate;

14 (c) *The child and the relocating parent will benefit from an* 15 *actual advantage that currently exists or is certain to exist before* 16 *the time of the relocation; and* 

17 (d) If the parents currently share joint custody of the child, the 18 child will do substantially better in the new location than the child 19 would if he or she remained in this State with the non-relocating 20 parent.

21 2. If a relocating parent demonstrates to the court the 22 provisions set forth in subsection 1, the court must then weigh 23 the following factors and the impact of each on the child, the 24 relocating parent and the non-relocating parent, including, 25 without limitation, the extent to which the compelling interests of 26 the child, the relocating parent and the non-relocating parent are 27 accommodated:

(a) The extent to which the relocation is likely to improve the
 quality of life for the child and the relocating parent;

30 (b) Whether the motives of the relocating parent are honorable 31 and not designed to frustrate or defeat any visitation rights 32 accorded to the non-relocating parent;

33 (c) Whether the relocating parent will comply with any 34 substitute visitation orders issued by the court if permission to 35 relocate is granted;

36 (d) Whether the motives of the non-relocating parent are 37 honorable in resisting the petition for permission to relocate or to 38 what extent any opposition to the petition for permission to 39 relocate is intended to secure a financial advantage in the form of 40 ongoing support obligations or otherwise;

41 (e) Whether there will be a realistic opportunity for the non-42 relocating parent to maintain a visitation schedule that will 43 adequately foster and preserve the parental relationship between 44 the child and the non-relocating parent if permission to relocate is 45 granted; and





1 (f) Any other factor necessary to assist the court in 2 determining whether to grant permission to relocate.

3 3. A parent who desires to relocate with a child pursuant to NRS 125C.200 or section 13 of this act has the burden of proving 4 that relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child. 5

Sec. 15. If a parent with primary physical custody or joint 6 physical custody relocates with a child in violation of 7 NRS 200.359: 8

1. The court shall not consider any post-relocation facts or 9 10 circumstances regarding the welfare of the child or the relocating 11 parent in making any determination.

12 2. If the non-relocating parent files an action in response to 13 the violation, the non-relocating parent is entitled to recover 14 reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of the 15 violation.

Sec. 16. NRS 125C.200 is hereby amended to read as follows:

17 125C.200 1. If *primary physical* custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court 18 and the custodial parent intends to **movel** relocate his or her 19 residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this 20 State that is more than 100 miles from the place of his or her 21 22 residence at the time the existing custody arrangement was established, and the custodial parent desires to take the child with 23 him or her, the custodial parent [must, as soon as possible and 24 25 before the planned move, attempt] shall:

26 (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial 27 parent to [move] relocate with the child [from this State. If]; and

28 (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, {the 29 custodial parent shall, before leaving this State with the child,] 30 petition the court for permission to **movel** relocate with the child. 31 The failure of a parent to comply with the provisions of this section 32 may be considered as a factor if a change of custody is requested by 33 the noncustodial parent.

34 2. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the noncustodial parent or the 35 36 permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359. 37 38

**Sec. 17.** NRS 146.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:

146.010 Except as otherwise provided in this chapter or in 39 [NRS 125.510,] section 10 of this act, if a person dies leaving a 40 surviving spouse or a minor child or minor children, the surviving 41 spouse, minor child or minor children are entitled to remain in 42 43 possession of the homestead and of all the wearing apparel and 44 provisions in the possession of the family, and all the household



16



furniture, and are also entitled to a reasonable provision for their
 support, to be allowed by the court.

3

**Sec. 18.** NRS 200.359 is hereby amended to read as follows:

4 200.359 1. A person having a limited right of custody to a 5 child by operation of law or pursuant to an order, judgment or 6 decree of any court, including a judgment or decree which grants 7 another person rights to custody or visitation of the child, or any 8 parent having no right of custody to the child, who:

9 (a) In violation of an order, judgment or decree of any court 10 willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, 11 guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of 12 visitation of the child; or

(b) In the case of an order, judgment or decree of any court that
does not specify when the right to physical custody or visitation is to
be exercised, removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court
without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the
right to custody or visitation,

18  $\rightarrow$  is guilty of a category D felony and shall be punished as provided 19 in NRS 193.130.

20 2. A parent who has joint legal custody of a child pursuant to 21 [NRS 125.465] *section 4 of this act* shall not willfully conceal or 22 remove the child from the custody of the other parent with the 23 specific intent to deprive the other parent of the parent and child 24 relationship. A person who violates this subsection shall be 25 punished as provided in subsection 1.

26 3. If the mother of a child has primary physical custody 27 pursuant to subsection 2 of [NRS 126.031.] section 7 of this act, the 28 father of the child shall not willfully conceal or remove the child 29 from the physical custody of the mother. If the father of a child has primary physical custody pursuant to subsection 2 of INRS 30 126.031, section 7 of this act, the mother of the child shall not 31 32 willfully conceal or remove the child from the physical custody of 33 the father. A person who violates this subsection shall be punished 34 as provided in subsection 1.

4. A parent who has joint physical custody of a child pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court or section 4 of this act shall not relocate with the child pursuant to section 13 of this act before the court enters an order granting the parent primary physical custody of the child and permission to relocate with the child. A person who violates this subsection shall be punished as provided in subsection 1.

42 5. A parent who has primary physical custody of a child 43 pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court shall not 44 relocate with the child pursuant to NRS 125C.200 without the 45 written consent of the non-relocating parent or the permission of





the court. A person who violates this subsection shall be punished
 as provided in subsection 1.

**6.** Before an arrest warrant may be issued for a violation of this section, the court must find that:

5 (a) This is the home state of the child, as defined in NRS 6 125A.085; and

7 (b) There is cause to believe that the entry of a court order in a 8 civil proceeding brought pursuant to chapter 125, 125A or 125C of 9 NRS will not be effective to enforce the rights of the parties and 10 would not be in the best interests of the child.

11 [5.] 7. Upon conviction for a violation of this section, the court 12 shall order the defendant to pay restitution for any expenses incurred 13 in locating or recovering the child.

14 [6.] 8. The prosecuting attorney may recommend to the judge 15 that the defendant be sentenced as for a misdemeanor and the judge 16 may impose such a sentence if the judge finds that:

(a) The defendant has no prior conviction for this offense and
the child has suffered no substantial harm as a result of the offense;
or

20 (b) The interests of justice require that the defendant be 21 punished as for a misdemeanor.

22 [7.] 9. A person who aids or abets any other person to violate 23 this section shall be punished as provided in subsection 1.

[8.] 10. In addition to the exemption set forth in subsection
11, subsections 4 and 5 do not apply to a person who demonstrates
a compelling excuse, to the satisfaction of the court, for relocating
with a child in violation of NRS 125C.200 or section 13 of this act.

This section does not apply to a person who detains, 28 11. 29 conceals, for removes or relocates with a child to protect the child 30 from the imminent danger of abuse or neglect or to protect himself 31 or herself from imminent physical harm, and reported the detention, 32 concealment, for removal or relocation to a law enforcement 33 agency or an agency which provides child welfare services within 24 hours after detaining, concealing, for removing or relocating 34 35 *with* the child, or as soon as the circumstances allowed. As used in 36 this subsection:

(a) "Abuse or neglect" has the meaning ascribed to it inparagraph (a) of subsection 4 of NRS 200.508.

39 (b) "Agency which provides child welfare services" has the 40 meaning ascribed to it in NRS 432B.030.

41 **Sec. 19.** NRS 125.460, 125.465, 125.470, 125.480, 125.490, 42 125.500, 125.510, 125.520 and 126.031 are hereby repealed.





### LEADLINES OF REPEALED SECTIONS

125.460 State policy.

125.465 Married parents have joint custody until otherwise ordered by court.

125.470 Order for production of child before court; determinations concerning physical custody of child.

125.480 Best interests of child; preferences; presumptions when court determines parent or person seeking custody is perpetrator of domestic violence or has committed act of abduction against child or any other child.

125.490 Joint custody.

**125.500** Award of custody to person other than parent.

125.510 Court orders; modification or termination of orders; form for orders; court may order parent to post bond if parent resides in or has significant commitments in foreign country.

125.520 Plan for carrying out court's order; access to child's records.

126.031 Relationship of parent and child not dependent on marriage; primary physical custody of child born out of wedlock.

30



