## FIRST ENGROSSMENT

Sixty-third Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

## **ENGROSSED SENATE BILL NO. 2227**

Introduced by

Senators Carlisle, Flakoll, Warner

Representatives Grande, Klemin, Amerman

- 1 A BILL for an Act to amend and reenact sections 29-32.1-01 and 29-32.1-09 of the North
- 2 Dakota Century Code, relating to limitations and summary disposition for postconviction relief
- 3 proceedings.

## 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

5 SECTION 1. AMENDMENT. Section 29-32.1-01 of the North Dakota Century Code is

6 amended and reenacted as follows:

## 7 29-32.1-01. Remedy - To whom available - Conditions.

- A person who has been convicted of and sentenced for a crime may institute a
   proceeding applying for relief under this chapter upon the ground that:
- a. The conviction was obtained or the sentence was imposed in violation of the laws
  or the Constitution of the United States or of the laws or Constitution of North
  Dakota;
- b. The conviction was obtained under a statute that is in violation of the Constitution
  of the United States or the Constitution of North Dakota, or that the conduct for
  which the applicant was prosecuted is constitutionally protected;
- 16 c. The court that rendered the judgment of conviction and sentence was without
  17 jurisdiction over the person of the applicant or the subject matter;
- 18 d. The sentence is not authorized by law;
- e. Evidence, not previously presented and heard, exists requiring vacation of the
  conviction or sentence in the interest of justice;
- 21f.A significant change in substantive or procedural law has occurred which, in the22interest of justice, should be applied retrospectively;

Sixty-third Legislative Assembly

1		g.	The se	entence has expired, probation or parole or conditional release was	
2			unlawf	fully revoked, or the applicant is otherwise unlawfully in custody or	
3			restrai	ined; or	
4		h.	The co	onviction or sentence is otherwise subject to collateral attack upon any	
5			ground	d of alleged error available before July 1, 1985, under any common law,	
6			statuto	ory or other writ, motion, proceeding, or remedy.	
7	2.	Exce	ept as p	provided in subsection 3, an application for relief under this chapter must be	
8		<u>filed</u>	within	one year of the date the conviction becomes final. A conviction becomes	
9		<u>final</u>	al for purposes of this chapter when:		
10		<u>a.</u>	<u>The tir</u>	me for appeal of the conviction to the North Dakota supreme court expires;	
11		<u>b.</u>	<u>lf an a</u>	ppeal was taken to the North Dakota supreme court, the time for petitioning	
12			<u>the Un</u>	nited States supreme court for review expires; or	
13		<u>C.</u>	<u>If revie</u>	ew was sought in the United States supreme court, the date the supreme	
14			<u>court i</u>	ssues a final order in the case.	
15	<u>3.</u>	<u>a.</u>	<u>Notwit</u>	hstanding subsection 2, a court may consider an application for relief under	
16			<u>this ch</u>	hapter if:	
17			<u>(1)</u> <u>T</u>	The petition alleges the existence of newly discovered evidence, including	
18			<u></u>	DNA evidence, which if proved and reviewed in light of the evidence as a	
19			M	vhole, would establish that the petitioner did not engage in the criminal	
20			<u>C</u>	conduct for which the petitioner was convicted;	
21			<u>(2)</u> <u>T</u>	he petitioner establishes that the petitioner suffered from a physical	
22			<u>d</u>	lisability or mental disease that precluded timely assertion of the application	
23			<u>f</u> c	or relief; or	
24			<u>(3)</u> <u>T</u>	he petitioner asserts a new interpretation of federal or state constitutional	
25			<u>0</u>	or statutory law by either the United States supreme court or a North Dakota	
26			<u>a</u>	appellate court and the petitioner establishes that the interpretation is	
27			<u>re</u>	etroactively applicable to the petitioner's case.	
28		<u>b.</u>	<u>An app</u>	plication under this subsection must be filed within one year of the date the	
29			petitio	ner discovers or reasonably should have discovered the existence of the	
30			<u>new ev</u>	vidence, the disability or disease ceases, or the effective date of the	
31			<u>retroac</u>	ctive application of law.	

Sixty-third Legislative Assembly

1	<u>4.</u>	A proceeding under this chapter is not a substitute for and does not affect any remedy				
2		incident to the prosecution in the trial court or direct review of the judgment of				
3		conviction or sentence in an appellate court. Except as otherwise provided in this				
4		chapter, a proceeding under this chapter replaces all other common law, statutory, or				
5		other remedies available before July 1, 1985, for collaterally challenging the validity of				
6		the judgment of conviction or sentence. It is to be used exclusively in place of them. A				
7		proceeding under this chapter is not available to provide relief for disciplinary				
8		measures, custodial treatment, or other violations of civil rights of a convicted person				
9		occurring after the imposition of sentence.				
10	SEC	TION 2. AMENDMENT. Section 29-32.1-09 of the North Dakota Century Code is				
11	amended and reenacted as follows:					
12	29-32.1-09. Summary disposition.					
13	1.	The court, on its own motion, may enter a judgment denying a meritless application on				
14		any and all issues raised in the application before any response by the state. The				
15		court also may summarily deny a second or successive application for similar relief on				
16		behalf of the same applicant and may summarily deny any application when the issues				
17		raised in the application have previously been decided by the appellate court in the				
18		same case.				
19	<u>2.</u>	The court may grant a motion by either party for summary disposition if the application,				
20		pleadings, any previous proceeding, discovery, or other matters of record show that				
21		there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to a				
22		judgment as a matter of law.				
23	<del>2.</del> <u>3.</u>	If an evidentiary hearing is necessary, the court may determine which issues of				
24		material fact are in controversy and appropriately restrict the hearing.				