## FIRST REGULAR SESSION

## SENATE BILL NO. 200

## 99TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY SENATOR LIBLA.

Pre-filed December 13, 2016, and ordered printed.

0885S.01I

ADRIANE D. CROUSE, Secretary.

## AN ACT

To repeal section 490.065, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to expert witnesses.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Section 490.065, RSMo, is repealed and one new section 2 enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as section 490.065, to read as follows:

490.065. 1. In [any civil action,] actions brought under chapter 451,

- 2 452, 453, 454, or 455 or in actions adjudicated in juvenile courts under
- 3 chapter 211 or in family courts under chapter 487 or in all proceedings
- before the probate division of the circuit court:
- 5 (1) If scientific, technical or other specialized knowledge will assist the
- 6 trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness
- 7 qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may
- 8 testify thereto in the form of an opinion or otherwise[.];
- 9 [2.] (2) Testimony by such an expert witness in the form of an opinion
- 10 or inference otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces an
- 11 ultimate issue to be decided by the trier of fact[.];
- 12 [3.] (3) The facts or data in a particular case upon which an expert bases
- 13 an opinion or inference may be those perceived by or made known to him at or
- 14 before the hearing and must be of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in the
- 15 field in forming opinions or inferences upon the subject and must be otherwise
- 16 reasonably reliable[.];
- 17 [4.] (4) If a reasonable foundation is laid, an expert may testify in terms
- 18 of opinion or inference and give the reasons therefor without the use of
- 19 hypothetical questions, unless the court believes the use of a hypothetical

EXPLANATION—Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in this bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

SB 200 2

25

26

30

35

39

42

43

51 52

- 20 question will make the expert's opinion more understandable or of greater 21 assistance to the jury due to the particular facts of the case.
- 22 2. In all actions except those to which subsection 1 of this section 23 applies:
- 24 (1) A witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise if:
- 27 (a) The expert's scientific, technical, or other specialized 28 knowledge will help the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to 29 determine a fact in issue;
  - (b) The testimony is based on sufficient facts or data;
- 31 (c) The testimony is the product of reliable principles and 32methods; and
- 33 (d) The expert has reliably applied the principles and methods to the facts of the case; 34
- (2) An expert may base an opinion on facts or data in the case that the expert has been made aware of or personally observed. If 36 experts in the particular field would reasonably rely on those kinds of 37facts or data in forming an opinion on the subject, they need not be 38admissible for the opinion to be admitted. But if the facts or data would otherwise be inadmissible, the proponent of the opinion may disclose them to the jury only if their probative value in helping the 41 jury evaluate the opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial effect;
- 44 (3) (a) An opinion is not objectionable just because it embraces an ultimate issue; 45
- 46 (b) In a criminal case, an expert witness shall not state an opinion about whether the defendant did or did not have a mental state 47 48 or condition that constitutes an element of the crime charged or of a defense. Those matters are for the trier of fact alone; 49
- 50 (4) Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may state an opinion and give the reasons for it without first testifying to the underlying facts or data. But the expert may be required to disclose 53 those facts or data on cross-examination.
- 54 3. In applying the provisions of this chapter, it is the intent of the legislature to uphold the rules of law expressed in Shelby County 55 R-IV School District et al. v. Herman, 392 S.W.2d 609 (Mo. 1965), Casada

SB 200 3

v. Hamby Excavating Co., Inc., 575 S.W.2d 851 (Mo. App. 1978), Wood

River Pipeline Co. v. Sommer, 757 S.W.2d 265 (Mo. App. E.D. 2007), and

59 all cases citing, interpreting, applying, or following these cases

60 regarding how an owner of real property, while not an expert, is

competent to testify as to the reasonable market value of the owner's

62 land.

✓

Unofficial

Bill

Copy