FIRST REGULAR SESSION

HOUSE BILL NO. 846

102ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

INTRODUCED BY REPRESENTATIVE ANDERSON.

1444H.01I

6

13

DANA RADEMAN MILLER, Chief Clerk

AN ACT

To repeal sections 211.059 and 590.502, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof three new sections relating to law enforcement custodial interviews.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the state of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Sections 211.059 and 590.502, RSMo, are repealed and three new sections 2 enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as sections 211.059, 590.502, and 590.702, to read as 3 follows:

- 211.059. 1. When a child is taken into custody by a juvenile officer or law enforcement official, with or without a warrant for an offense in violation of the juvenile code or the general law which would place the child under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court pursuant to subdivision (2) or (3) of subsection 1 of section 211.031, the child shall be advised, orally and in writing, prior to questioning:
 - (1) That the child has the right to remain silent;
- 7 (2) That any statement the child does make to anyone can be and may be used against 8 the child in subsequent juvenile court proceedings;
- 9 (3) That the child has a right to have a parent, guardian or custodian present during 10 questioning;
- 11 (4) That the child has a right to consult with an attorney and that one will be 12 appointed and paid for him if he cannot afford one;
 - (5) That the child has the right to stop talking at any time; and
- 14 (6) That any statement the child does make to law enforcement can be and may be 15 used against the child if the child is transferred to a court of general jurisdiction to be
- 16 prosecuted under the general law.

EXPLANATION — Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the law. Matter in **bold-face** type in the above bill is proposed language.

2. The juvenile officer shall halt or discontinue any questioning by law enforcement upon notice from the child that the child wishes to stop being questioned.

- 3. The juvenile officer shall ensure a child is advised of the limited role of the juvenile officer during questioning by law enforcement and specifically advise the child that the juvenile officer is not legal counsel for the child or an advocate for the child during questioning by law enforcement.
- 4. The juvenile officer shall not participate in the questioning by law enforcement by asking any questions or soliciting any information from the child regarding the alleged offense or offenses.
- 5. When a child is taken into custody by a juvenile officer or law enforcement official which places the child under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court under subdivision (1) of subsection 1 of section 211.031, including any interactions with the child by the children's division, the following shall apply:
- (1) If the child indicates in any manner at any stage during questioning involving the alleged abuse and neglect that the child does not wish to be questioned any further on the allegations, or that the child wishes to have his or her parent, legal guardian, or custodian if such parent, guardian, or custodian is not the alleged perpetrator, or his or her attorney present during questioning as to the alleged abuse, the questioning of the child shall cease on the alleged abuse and neglect until such a time that the child does not object to talking about the alleged abuse and neglect unless the interviewer has reason to believe that the parent, legal guardian, or custodian is acting to protect the alleged perpetrator. Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to prevent the asking of any questions necessary for the care, treatment, or placement of a child; and
- (2) Notwithstanding any prohibition of hearsay evidence, all video or audio recordings of any meetings, interviews, or interrogations of a child shall be presumed admissible as evidence in any court or administrative proceeding involving the child if the following conditions are met:
- (a) Such meetings, interviews, or interrogations of the child are conducted by the state prior to or after the child is taken into the custody of the state; and
- (b) Such video or audio recordings were made prior to the adjudication hearing in the case. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to prohibit the videotaping or audiotaping of any such meetings, interviews, or interrogations of a child after the adjudication hearing; and
- (3) Only upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence that such a video or audio recording lacks sufficient indicia of reliability shall such recording be inadmissible.

HB 846 3

55

57

58 59

60

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to statements admissible under section 53 491.075 or 492.304 in criminal proceedings. 54

- 6. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a statement made by a child 56 during questioning is presumed to be involuntary if the court determines that the law enforcement officer intentionally used information known by the officer to be false to elicit the statement. This presumption may be overcome if the state proves by clear and convincing evidence that the statement was voluntary and not made in response to the false information used by the law enforcement officer to elicit the statement.
 - 590.502. 1. For purposes of this section, the following shall mean:
- 2 "Administering authority", any individual or body authorized by a law 3 enforcement agency to hear and make final decisions regarding appeals of disciplinary actions issued by such agency;
- (2) "Color of law", any act by a law enforcement officer, whether on duty or off duty, that is performed in furtherance of his or her sworn duty to enforce laws and to protect and 7 serve the public;
 - (3) "Economic loss", any economic loss including, but not limited to, loss of overtime accrual, overtime income, sick time accrual, sick time, secondary employment income, holiday pay, and vacation pay;
 - (4) "Good cause", sufficient evidence or facts that would support a party's request for extensions of time or any other requests seeking accommodations outside the scope of the rules set out herein;
 - (5) "Law enforcement officer", any commissioned peace officer with the power to arrest for a violation of the criminal code who is employed by any unit of the state or any county, charter county, city, charter city, municipality, district, college, university, or any other political subdivision or is employed by the board of police commissioners as defined in chapter 84. Law enforcement officer shall not include any officer who is the highest ranking officer in the law enforcement agency.
 - 2. Whenever a law enforcement officer is under administrative investigation or is subjected to administrative questioning that the officer reasonably believes could lead to disciplinary action, demotion, dismissal, transfer, or placement on a status that could lead to economic loss, the investigation or questioning shall be conducted under the following conditions:
 - (1) The law enforcement officer who is the subject of the investigation shall be informed, in writing, of the existence and nature of the alleged violation and the individuals who will be conducting the investigation. Notice shall be provided to the officer along with a copy of the complaint at least twenty-four hours prior to any interrogation or interview of the officer:

- (2) Any person, including members of the same agency or department as the officer under investigation, filing a complaint against a law enforcement officer shall have the complaint supported by a written statement outlining the complaint that includes the personal identifying information of the person filing the complaint. All personal identifying information shall be held confidential by the investigating agency;
- (3) When a law enforcement officer is questioned or interviewed regarding matters pertaining to his or her law enforcement duties or actions taken within the scope of his or her employment, such questioning shall be conducted for a reasonable length of time and only while the officer is on duty unless reasonable circumstances exist that necessitate questioning the officer while he or she is off duty;
- (4) Any interviews or questioning shall be conducted at a secure location at the agency that is conducting the investigation or at the place where the officer reports to work, unless the officer consents to another location;
- (5) Law enforcement officers shall be questioned by up to two investigators and shall be informed of the name, rank, and command of the investigator or investigators conducting the investigation; except that, separate investigators shall be assigned to investigate alleged department policy violations and alleged criminal violations;
- (6) Interview sessions shall be for a reasonable period of time. There shall be times provided for the officer to allow for such personal necessities and rest periods as are reasonably necessary;
- (7) Prior to an interview session, the investigator or investigators conducting the investigation shall advise the law enforcement officer of the rule set out in Garrity v. New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493 (1967), specifically that the law enforcement officer is being ordered to answer questions under threat of disciplinary action and that the officer's answers to the questions will not be used against the officer in criminal proceedings;
- (8) Law enforcement officers shall not be threatened, harassed, or promised rewards to induce them into answering any question; except that, law enforcement officers may be compelled by their employer to give protected Garrity statements to an investigator under the direct control of the employer, but such compelled statements shall not be used or derivatively used against the officer in any aspect of a criminal case brought against the officer;
- (9) Law enforcement officers under investigation are entitled to have an attorney or any duly authorized representative present during any questioning that the law enforcement officer reasonably believes may result in disciplinary action. The attorney or representative shall be permitted to confer with the officer but shall not unduly disrupt or interfere with the interview. The questioning shall be suspended for a period of up to twenty-four hours if the officer requests representation;

68

69

70

71 72

73

74

75

76

77

78

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

66 (10) Prior to the law enforcement officer being interviewed, the officer and his or her 67 attorney or representative shall have the opportunity to review the complaint;

- (11) The law enforcement agency conducting the investigation shall have ninety days from receipt of a citizen complaint to complete such investigation. The agency shall determine the disposition of the complaint and render a disciplinary decision, if any, within ninety days. The agency may, for good cause, petition the administering authority overseeing the administration of discipline for an extension of time to complete the investigation. If the administering authority finds the agency has shown good cause for the granting of an extension of time to complete the investigation, the administering authority shall grant an extension of up to sixty days. The agency is limited to two extensions per investigation; except that, if there is an ongoing criminal investigation there shall be no limitation on the amount of sixty-day extensions. For good cause shown, the internal investigation may be tolled until the conclusion of a concurrent criminal investigation arising out of the same alleged conduct. Absent consent from the officer being investigated, the administering authority overseeing the administration of discipline shall set the matter for hearing and shall provide notice of the hearing to the law enforcement officer under investigation. The officer shall have the right to attend the hearing and to present evidence and arguments against extension;
- (12) Within five days of the conclusion of the administrative investigation, the investigator shall inform the officer, in writing, of the investigative findings and any recommendation for further action, including discipline;
- (13) A complete record of the administrative investigation shall be kept by the law enforcement agency conducting such investigation. Upon completion of the investigation, a copy of the entire record, including, but not limited to, audio, video, and transcribed statements, shall be provided to the officer or the officer's representative within five business days of the officer's written request. The agency may request a protective order to redact all personal identifying witness information; and
- (14) All records compiled as a result of any investigation subject to the provisions of this section shall be held confidential and shall not be subject to disclosure under chapter 610, except by lawful subpoena or court order, by release approved by the officer, or as provided in section 590.070.
- 3. Law enforcement officers who are suspended without pay, demoted, terminated, transferred, or placed on a status resulting in economic loss shall be entitled to a full due process hearing. However, nothing in this section shall prohibit a law enforcement agency and the authorized bargaining representative for a law enforcement officer employed by that agency from reaching written agreements providing disciplinary procedures more favorable

than those provided for this section. The components of the hearing shall include, at a minimum:

- 104 (1) The right to be represented by an attorney or other individual of their choice 105 during the hearing;
 - (2) Seven days' notice of the hearing date and time;
- 107 (3) An opportunity to access and review documents, at least seven days in advance of 108 the hearing, that are in the employer's possession and that were used as a basis for the 109 disciplinary action;
 - (4) The right to refuse to testify at the hearing if the officer is concurrently facing criminal charges in connection with the same incident. A law enforcement officer's decision not to testify shall not result in additional internal charges or discipline;
 - (5) A complete record of the hearing shall be kept by the agency for purposes of appeal. The record shall be provided to the officer or his or her attorney upon written request;
 - (6) The entire record of the hearing shall remain confidential and shall not be subject to disclosure under chapter 610, except by lawful subpoena or court order.
 - 4. Any decision, order, or action taken following the hearing shall be in writing and shall be accompanied by findings of fact. The findings shall consist of a concise statement upon each issue in the case. A copy of the decision or order accompanying findings and conclusions along with the written action and right of appeal, if any, shall be delivered or mailed promptly to the law enforcement officer or to the officer's attorney or representative of record.
 - 5. Law enforcement officers shall have the opportunity to provide a written response to any adverse materials placed in their personnel file, and such written response shall be permanently attached to the adverse material.
 - 6. Law enforcement officers shall have the right to compensation for any economic loss incurred during an investigation if the officer is found to have committed no misconduct.
 - 7. Employers shall defend and indemnify law enforcement officers from and against civil claims made against them in their official and individual capacities if the alleged conduct arose in the course and scope of their obligations and duties as law enforcement officers. This includes any actions taken off duty if such actions were taken under color of law. In the event the law enforcement officer is convicted of, or pleads guilty to, criminal charges arising out of the same conduct, the employer shall no longer be obligated to defend and indemnify the officer in connection with related civil claims.
 - 8. Law enforcement officers shall not be disciplined, demoted, dismissed, transferred, or placed on a status resulting in economic loss as a result of the assertion of their constitutional rights in any judicial proceeding, unless the officer admits to wrongdoing, in which case the provisions of this section shall not apply.

- 9. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a statement made by a law enforcement officer who is the subject of an investigation during questioning is presumed to be involuntary if the court determines that the investigating agency intentionally used information known by the investigating agency to be false to elicit the statement. This presumption may be overcome if the state proves by clear and convincing evidence that the statement was voluntary and not made in response to the false information used by the investigating agency to elicit the statement.
- 10. Any aggrieved law enforcement officer or authorized representative may seek judicial enforcement of the requirements of this section. Suits to enforce this section shall be brought in the circuit court for the county in which the law enforcement agency or governmental body has its principal place of business.
- [10.] 11. Upon a finding by a preponderance of the evidence that a law enforcement agency, governmental body, or member of same has violated any provision of this section, a court shall void any action taken in violation of this section. The court may also award the law enforcement officer the costs of bringing the suit including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. A lawsuit for enforcement shall be brought within one year from which the violation is ascertainable.
- 156 [11.] 12. Nothing in this section shall apply to any investigation or other action by the director regarding a license issued by the director under this chapter.
- 158 [12.] 13. A law enforcement agency that has substantially similar or greater 159 procedures shall be deemed in compliance with this section.
 - 590.702. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a statement made by a person under arrest during a custodial interrogation, as defined in section 590.700, is presumed to be involuntary if the court determines that the law enforcement agency conducting the interview intentionally used information known by the agency to be false to elicit the statement. This presumption may be overcome if the state proves by clear and convincing evidence that the statement was voluntary and not made in response to the false information used by the law enforcement agency to elicit the statement.

√