DTT/JO

SENATE STATE OF MINNESOTA NINETY-THIRD SESSION

S.F. No. 4877

(SENATE AU	THORS: MITC	HELL, Boldon, Morrison and Abeler)
DATE	D-PG	OFFICIAL STATUS
03/13/2024		Introduction and first reading Referred to Health and Human Services

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9	relating to child protection; modifying membership and requirements for the child mortality review panel; modifying the review process for child fatalities and near fatalities related to maltreatment; modifying the Department of Human Services child systemic critical incident review team requirements; establishing the critical incident public information portal; amending Minnesota Statutes 2023 Supplement, section 256.01, subdivision 12b; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 260E; repealing Minnesota Statutes 2022, section 256.01, subdivisions 12, 12a; Minnesota Rules, part 9560.0232, subpart 5.
1.10	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.11 1.12	Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2023 Supplement, section 256.01, subdivision 12b, is amended to read:
1.13	Subd. 12b. Department of Human Services systemic critical incident review team. (a)
1.14	The commissioner may establish a Department of Human Services systemic critical incident
1.15	review team to review critical incidents reported as required under section 626.557 for
1.16	which the Department of Human Services is responsible under section 626.5572, subdivision
1.17	13; chapter 245D; or Minnesota Rules, chapter 9544; or child fatalities and near fatalities
1.18	that occur in licensed facilities and are not due to natural causes. When reviewing a critical
1.19	incident, the systemic critical incident review team shall identify systemic influences to the
1.20	incident rather than determine the culpability of any actors involved in the incident. The
1.21	systemic critical incident review may assess the entire critical incident process from the
1.22	point of an entity reporting the critical incident through the ongoing case management
1.23	process. Department staff shall lead and conduct the reviews and may utilize county staff
1.24	as reviewers. The systemic critical incident review process may include but is not limited
1.25	to:

Section 1.

22 critical services; the service provider's policies and procedures applicable 23 the community support plan as defined in section 245D.02, subdivision 44 24 receiving services; or an interview of an actor involved in the critical incide 25 of the critical incident. Actors may include: 26 (i) staff of the provider agency; 27 (ii) lead agency staff administering home and community-based service 28 the provider; 29 (iii) Department of Human Services staff with oversight of home and comservices; 211 (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community 212 (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representation 213 providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the 214 incident; and 215 (vi) staff from the Office of Ombudsman for Mental Health and De 216 Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; 217 (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy 218 of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated 220 council identified in section 256B.097; and 221 (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences.	clude the relevant
2.4 receiving services; or an interview of an actor involved in the critical incided 2.5 of the critical incident. Actors may include: 2.6 (i) staff of the provider agency; 2.7 (ii) lead agency staff administering home and community-based service 2.8 the provider; 2.9 (iii) Department of Human Services staff with oversight of home and community 2.10 services; 2.11 (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community 2.12 (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representative 2.13 providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the 2.14 incident; and 2.15 (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De 2.16 Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; 2.17 (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy 2.18 of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated 2.19 of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local 2.20 council identified in section 256B.097; and 2.21 (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. 222 Data collected by	e to the incident;
2.5 of the critical incident. Actors may include: 2.6 (i) staff of the provider agency; 2.7 (ii) lead agency staff administering home and community-based service 2.8 the provider; 2.9 (iii) Department of Human Services staff with oversight of home and community 2.10 services; 2.11 (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community 2.12 (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representation 2.13 providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the 2.14 incident; and 2.15 (vi) staff from the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; 2.16 Q) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy 2.18 of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated 2.19 of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local 2.20 council identified in section 256B.097; and 2.21 (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. 2.22 Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and 2.21 regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission 2.22 teams and quali	4b, for the person
 (i) staff of the provider agency; (ii) lead agency staff administering home and community-based service the provider; (iii) Department of Human Services staff with oversight of home and conservices; (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representative providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	dent or the review
 (ii) lead agency staff administering home and community-based service the provider; (iii) Department of Human Services staff with oversight of home and conservices; (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representative providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisid (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	
 the provider; (iii) Department of Human Services staff with oversight of home and conservices; (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representative providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision 	
 (iii) Department of Human Services staff with oversight of home and conservices; (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representative providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisie (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	ices delivered by
 services; (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representation providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisie (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	
 (iv) Department of Health staff with oversight of home and community (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representation providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisie (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	community-based
 (v) members of the community including advocates, legal representation providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisie (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	
 providers, pharmacy staff, or others with knowledge of the incident or the incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisional cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	ty-based services;
 incident; and (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and De Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5577. 	tives, health care
 (vi) staff from the Office of the Ombudsman for Mental Health and Dependential Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the number critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisional commission identified in section 626.5572. 	e actors in the
 Disabilities and the Office of Ombudsman for Long-Term Care; (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisio (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	
 (2) systemic mapping of the critical incident. The team conducting the sy of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisio (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	Developmental
 of the incident may include any actors identified in clause (1), designated of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	
 of other provider agencies, regional teams, and representatives of the local council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisional cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	systemic mapping
 council identified in section 256B.097; and (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	d representatives
 (3) analysis of the case for systemic influences. Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisional context of the systemic identified in section 626.5572. 	al regional quality
 Data collected by the critical incident review team shall be aggregated and regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivisional context (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	
 regional teams, participating regional quality councils, and the commission teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	
 teams and quality councils shall analyze the data and make recommendati commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	nd provided to
 commissioner regarding systemic changes that would decrease the numbe critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	oner. The regional
 critical incidents in the future or improve the quality of the home and com service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572. 	tions to the
 service system. (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	er and severity of
 (b) Cases selected for the systemic critical incident review process sha a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	mmunity-based
 a selection committee among the following critical incident categories: (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	
 (1) cases of caregiver neglect identified in section 626.5572, subdivision (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572 	all be selected by
2.31 (2) cases involving financial exploitation identified in section 626.5572	
	sion 17;
2.32 (3) incidents identified in section 245D.02, subdivision 11:	72, subdivision 9;

Section 1.

3.1

(4) behavior interventions identified in Minnesota Rules, part 9544.0110;

3.2 (5) service terminations reported to the department in accordance with section 245D.10,
3.3 subdivision 3a; and

3.4 (6) other incidents determined by the commissioner.

3.5 (c) The systemic critical incident review under this section shall not replace the process
3.6 for screening or investigating cases of alleged maltreatment of an adult under section 626.557.
3.7 The department may select cases for systemic critical incident review, under the jurisdiction
3.8 of the commissioner, reported for suspected maltreatment and closed following initial or
3.9 final disposition.

(d) The proceedings and records of the review team are confidential data on individuals 3.10 or protected nonpublic data as defined in section 13.02, subdivisions 3 and 13. Data that 3.11 document a person's opinions formed as a result of the review are not subject to discovery 3.12 or introduction into evidence in a civil or criminal action against a professional, the state, 3.13 or a county agency arising out of the matters that the team is reviewing. Information, 3.14 documents, and records otherwise available from other sources are not immune from 3.15 discovery or use in a civil or criminal action solely because the information, documents, 3.16 and records were assessed or presented during proceedings of the review team. A person 3.17 who presented information before the systemic critical incident review team or who is a 3.18 member of the team shall not be prevented from testifying about matters within the person's 3.19 knowledge. In a civil or criminal proceeding, a person shall not be questioned about opinions 3.20 formed by the person as a result of the review. 3.21

3.22 (e) By October 1 of each year, the commissioner shall prepare an annual public report3.23 containing the following information:

3.24 (1) the number of cases reviewed under each critical incident category identified in
3.25 paragraph (b) and a geographical description of where cases under each category originated;

3.26 (2) an aggregate summary of the systemic themes from the critical incidents examined
3.27 by the critical incident review team during the previous year;

3.28 (3) a synopsis of the conclusions, incident analyses, or exploratory activities taken in
3.29 regard to the critical incidents examined by the critical incident review team; and

3.30 (4) recommendations made to the commissioner regarding systemic changes that could
3.31 decrease the number and severity of critical incidents in the future or improve the quality
3.32 of the home and community-based service system.

3.33 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective July 1, 2025.

Section 1.

 4.1 Sec. 2. [260E.39] CHILD FATALITY AND NEAR FATALITY REVIEW. 4.2 Subdivision 1. Definitions. For purposes of this section, the following terms have the 4.3 meanings given: 4.4 (1) "critical incident" means a child fatality or near fatality that is attributed to 4.5 maltreatment or in which maltreatment is a suspected contributing cause; 4.6 (2) "joint review" means the critical incident review conducted by the child mortality 		03/01/24	REVISOR	DTT/JO	24-07422	as introduced
 4.3 <u>meanings given:</u> 4.4 (1) "critical incident" means a child fatality or near fatality that is attributed to 4.5 <u>maltreatment or in which maltreatment is a suspected contributing cause;</u> 	4.1	Sec. 2. [26	OE.39] CHILD FA	ATALITY AND N	NEAR FATALITY REV	IEW.
 4.4 (1) "critical incident" means a child fatality or near fatality that is attributed to 4.5 maltreatment or in which maltreatment is a suspected contributing cause; 	4.2	Subdivis	ion 1. Definitions.	For purposes of t	his section, the following	terms have the
4.5 <u>maltreatment or in which maltreatment is a suspected contributing cause;</u>	4.3	meanings gi	ven:			
	4.4	<u>(1) "criti</u>	cal incident" mean	s a child fatality o	r near fatality that is attril	buted to
4.6 (2) "joint review" means the critical incident review conducted by the child mortality	4.5	maltreatmen	t or in which malt	reatment is a suspe	ected contributing cause;	
$\frac{(2)}{2} \int \frac{1}{2} \int $	4.6	(2) "join"	t review" means th	e critical incident	review conducted by the	child mortality
4.7 review panel jointly with the local review team under subdivision 4, paragraph (b);	4.7	review pane	l jointly with the lo	ocal review team u	nder subdivision 4, parag	graph (b);
4.8 (3) "local review" means the local critical incident review conducted by the local review	4.8	<u>(3) "loca</u>	l review" means the	e local critical inci	dent review conducted by	the local review
4.9 <u>team under subdivision 4, paragraph (d);</u>	4.9	team under	subdivision 4, para	graph (d);		
4.10 (4) "local review team" means a local child mortality review team established under	4.10	<u>(4) "loca</u>	l review team" mea	ans a local child m	nortality review team esta	blished under
4.11 subdivision 2; and	4.11	subdivision	2; and			
4.12 (5) "panel" means the child mortality review panel established under subdivision 3.	4.12	<u>(5) "pane</u>	el" means the child	mortality review	panel established under s	ubdivision 3.
4.13 Subd. 2. Local child mortality review teams. (a) Each county shall establish a	4.13	Subd. 2.	Local child morta	lity review team	s. (a) Each county shall es	stablish a
4.14 multidisciplinary local child mortality review team and shall participate in local critical	4.14	multidiscipl	inary local child m	ortality review tea	m and shall participate in	local critical
4.15 incident reviews. The local welfare agency's child protection team may serve as the local	4.15	incident revi	iews. The local we	lfare agency's chil	d protection team may se	rve as the local
4.16 review team. The local review team shall include but not be limited to professionals with	4.16	review team	. The local review	team shall include	but not be limited to pro	fessionals with
4.17 knowledge of the critical incident being reviewed.	4.17	knowledge o	of the critical incide	ent being reviewed	<u>1.</u>	
4.18 (b) The local review team shall conduct reviews of critical incidents jointly with the	4.18	<u>(b)</u> The l	ocal review team s	hall conduct revie	ws of critical incidents jo	intly with the
4.19 <u>child mortality review panel or as otherwise required under subdivision 4, paragraph (d).</u>	4.19	child mortal	ity review panel or	as otherwise requ	ired under subdivision 4,	, paragraph (d).
4.20 Subd. 3. Child mortality review panel; establishment and membership. (a) The	4.20	Subd. 3.	Child mortality r	eview panel; esta	blishment and members	ship. (a) The
4.21 commissioner shall establish a child mortality review panel to review critical incidents	4.21	commission	er shall establish a	child mortality re	view panel to review criti	ical incidents
4.22 related to child maltreatment. The purpose of the panel is to identify systemic changes to	4.22	related to ch	ild maltreatment.	The purpose of the	panel is to identify syste	mic changes to
4.23 improve child safety and well-being and recommend modifications in statute, rule, policy	4.23	improve chi	ld safety and well-	being and recomm	end modifications in stat	ute, rule, policy,
4.24 and procedure.	4.24	and procedu	re.			
4.25 (b) The panel shall consist of:	4.25	<u>(b) The p</u>	oanel shall consist	of:		
4.26 (1) the commissioner of children, youth, and families, or a designee;	4.26	<u>(1) the co</u>	ommissioner of chi	ldren, youth, and	families, or a designee;	
4.27 (2) the commissioner of human services, or a designee;	4.27	(2) the co	ommissioner of hu	man services, or a	designee;	
4.28 (3) a judge, appointed by the Minnesota judicial branch; and	4.28	<u>(3) a jud</u>	ge, appointed by th	e Minnesota judic	ial branch; and	
4.29 (4) other members appointed by the governor, including but not limited to:	4.29	(4) other	members appointe	ed by the governor	, including but not limited	d to:
4.30 (i) a physician who is a medical examiner;	4.30	(i) a phy	sician who is a mee	lical examiner;		
4.31 (ii) a physician who is a child abuse specialist pediatrician;	4.31	<u>(ii) a phy</u>	vsician who is a chi	ld abuse specialis	t pediatrician;	

	03/01/24	REVISOR	DTT/JO	24-07422	as introduced
5.1	<u>(iii) a co</u>	unty attorney who	works on child pro	tection cases;	
5.2	<u>(iv)</u> a cu	rrent frontline child	d protection worker	r for a local welfare ager	ncy;
5.3	<u>(v)</u> a cur	rent child protectio	on supervisor for a	local welfare agency, wh	10 has previous
5.4	experience a	as a frontline child	protection worker;		
5.5	<u>(vi) a co</u>	unty public health	worker; and		
5.6	<u>(vii) a m</u>	ember representing	g law enforcement.		
5.7	<u>(c)</u> The g	governor shall desi	gnate one member	as chair of the panel fro	m the members
5.8	listed in par	agraph (b), clauses	(3) and (4) .		
5.9	<u>(d)</u> Mem	bers of the panel s	hall serve terms of	four years for an unlimi	ted number of
5.10	terms. A me	mber of the panel 1	nay be removed by	the appointing authority	for the member.
5.11	<u>(e)</u> The c	commissioner shall	employ an execut	ive director for the panel	l to provide
5.12	<u>administrati</u>	ve support to the p	anel and the chair,	compile and synthesize	information for
5.13	the panel, di	aft recommendation	ons and reports for	the panel's final approva	l, and conduct or
5.14	otherwise di	rect training and c	onsultation under s	ubdivision 7.	
5.15	<u>Subd. 4.</u>	Critical incident	review process. (a)	When a critical incident	t occurs, the local
5.16	welfare ager	ncy must report the	critical incident to	the executive director of	f the panel within
5.17	24 hours of	when the local wel	fare agency receive	es notification of the criti	cal incident. The
5.18	local welfar	e agency must sub	mit information and	d documentation related	to the reported
5.19	critical incid	lent to the panel pu	rsuant to guidance	from the panel and cont	tinue to promptly
5.20	provide such	n information and d	ocumentation to the	panel as the critical incid	lent investigation
5.21	proceeds.				
5.22	(b) The p	oanel shall screen ea	ach critical incident	t reported by a local welf	are agency under
5.23	paragraph (a	a). The panel shall	conduct a joint rev	iew with the local review	w team for:
5.24	<u>(1)</u> any c	ritical incident rela	ting to a family, chi	ld, or caregiver involved	in a local welfare
5.25	agency fami	ly assessment or in	nvestigation within	the 12 months precedin	g the critical
5.26	incident;				
5.27	<u>(2) a crit</u>	ical incident the go	overnor directs the	panel to review; and	
5.28	<u>(3)</u> any c	other critical incide	ent the panel choose	es for review.	
5.29	(c) Withi	n 120 days of initia	ating the joint review	w of a critical incident, ex	xcept as provided
5.30				joint review and compil	
5.31	report must:				

5/01/24	KL VIGOR	DTIJO		27-07722	as introduced
<u>(1) ide</u>	ntify factors that led	to the critical in	ncident; and		
(2) ide	ntify changes in pol	licy or practice the	nat would ha	ave reduced t	he likelihood of the
<u> </u>	ident occurring.				
					inder paragraph (b)
					w. The local review
	complete its local r	•			
		rom the notice o	f the critical	incident. The	e local review team
eport mus	<u>t:</u>				
<u>(1) ide</u>	ntify factors that led	to the critical in	ncident; and		
<u>(2)</u> ide	ntify changes in pol	icy or practice the	nat would ha	ave reduced t	he likelihood of the
critical inc	ident occurring.				
<u>(e)</u> Aft	er receiving the loca	al review team re	port, the par	nel may condu	act a further review.
(f) Foll	owing the panel's jo	oint review or re	ceiving a loo	cal review tea	m report, the panel
nay make	recommendations t	to any state or lo	cal agency, ł	oranch of gov	ernment, or system
partner to	improve child safet	y and well-being	<u>5.</u>		
<u>(g)</u> The	commissioner shal	ll establish a chil	d systemic o	critical incide	nt review team that
shall cond	uct additional fact g	gathering as requ	ested by the	panel. The c	ommissioner must
ensure that	the child systemic	critical incident	review tean	n conducts fa	ct gathering for all
ases for v	which the panel requ	uests assistance.	The child sy	stemic critic	al incident review
eam shall	compile a summary	y fact-finding rej	port for each	n critical incid	lent for which fact
gathering	s conducted and pro	ovide the report t	to the panel	and the local	welfare agency that
reported th	e critical incident.				
<u>(h)</u> If tl	ne panel requests fa	ct gathering by t	he child sys	temic critical	incident review
eam, the p	anel may conduct t	the joint review a	and compile	its report un	der paragraph (c)
after receiv	ving the child system	mic critical incid	lent review t	team's summa	ary report.
<u>(i)</u> The	review of any critica	al incident shall p	proceed as sp	pecified in this	s section, regardless
of the state	is of any pending lit	tigation or other	active inves	stigation.	
Subd. :	5. Critical incident	: reviews; data p	oractices an	d immunity.	(a) In conducting
eviews, tł	e panel, the local re	eview team, the	child system	nic critical inc	vident review team,
and the co	mmissioner shall ha	ave access to not	public data	under chapte	er 13 maintained by
state ageno	cies, statewide syste	ems, or political	subdivisions	s that are rela	ted to the child's
critical inc	ident or circumstan	ices surrounding	the care of	the child. The	e panel, the local

03/01/24

REVISOR

DTT/JO

24-07422

as introduced

03/01/24	REVISOR	DTT/JO	24-07422	as introduced
----------	---------	--------	----------	---------------

7.1	also have access to records of private hospitals as necessary to carry out the duties prescribed
7.2	by this section. A state agency, statewide system, or political subdivision shall provide the
7.3	data upon request from the commissioner. Not public data may be shared with members of
7.4	the panel, a local review team, or the child systemic critical incident review team in
7.5	connection with an individual case.
7.6	(b) Notwithstanding the data's classification in the possession of any other agency, data
7.7	acquired by a local review team, the panel, or the child systemic critical incident review
7.8	team in the exercise of its duties is protected nonpublic or confidential data as defined in
7.9	section 13.02 but may be disclosed as necessary to carry out the purposes of the review
7.10	team or panel. The data is not subject to subpoena or discovery.
7.11	(c) The commissioner shall disclose the information listed under subdivision 8 regarding
7.12	a critical incident upon request but shall not disclose data that was classified as confidential
7.13	or private data on decedents under section 13.10 or private, confidential, or protected
7.14	nonpublic data in the disseminating agency, except that the commissioner may disclose
7.15	local social service agency data as provided in section 260E.35 on individual cases involving
7.16	a critical incident with a person served by the local social service agency prior to the date
7.17	of the critical incident.
7.18	(d) A person attending a local review team or child mortality review panel meeting shall
7.19	not disclose what transpired at the meeting except to carry out the purposes of the local
7.20	review team or child mortality review panel. A member of the child systemic critical incident
7.21	review team shall not disclose what transpired during its fact gathering process except to
7.22	carry out the duties of the child systemic critical incident review team. The proceedings and
7.23	records of the local review team, the panel, and the child systemic critical incident review
7.24	team are protected nonpublic data as defined in section 13.02, subdivision 13, and are not
7.25	subject to discovery or introduction into evidence in a civil or criminal action. Information,
7.26	documents, and records otherwise available from other sources are not immune from
7.27	discovery or use in a civil or criminal action solely because they were presented during
7.28	proceedings of the local review team, the panel, or the child systemic critical incident review
7.29	team.
7.30	(e) A person who presented information before the local review team, the panel, or the
7.31	child systemic critical incident review team or who is a member of the local review team,
7.32	the panel, or the child systemic critical incident review team shall not be prevented from
7.33	testifying about matters within the person's knowledge. However, in a civil or criminal
7.34	proceeding, a person may not be questioned about the person's presentation of information
7.35	to the local review team, the panel, or the child systemic critical incident review team, or

03/01/24	REVISOR	DTT/JO	24-07422	as introduced
----------	---------	--------	----------	---------------

8.1	about the information reviewed or discussed during a critical incident review or fact gathering
8.2	process, any conclusions drawn or recommendations made related to a critical incident
8.3	review or fact gathering, or opinions formed by the person as a result of the panel or review
8.4	team meetings.
8.5	(f) A person who presented information before the local review team, the panel, or the
8.6	child systemic critical incident review team or who is a member of the local review team,
8.7	the panel, or the child systemic critical incident review team is immune from any civil or
8.8	criminal liability that might otherwise result from the person's presentation or statements if
8.9	the person was acting in good faith and assisting in a critical incident review or fact gathering
8.10	under this section.
8.11	Subd. 6. Child mortality review panel; annual report. Beginning December 15, 2026,
8.12	and on or before December 15 annually thereafter, the commissioner shall publish a report
8.13	of the child mortality review panel. The report shall include de-identified summary data on
8.14	cases reviewed and conclusions made by the panel in the preceding year, and
8.15	recommendations on improving the child protection system, including modifications in
8.16	statute, rule, policy, and procedure. The panel may make recommendations to the legislature
8.17	or any state or local agency at any time, outside of its annual report.
8.18	Subd. 7. Local welfare agency critical incident review training. The commissioner
8.19	shall provide training and support to local review teams to assist with local review processes
8.20	and procedures. The commissioner shall also provide consultation to local review teams
8.21	conducting local reviews pursuant to this section.
8.22	Subd. 8. Critical incident public information portal. The commissioner shall develop
8.23	and maintain a critical incident public information portal to be publicly available on the
8.24	commissioner's website. The portal shall provide real-time information and periodic updates
8.25	on the status of the review of the critical incident. Publicly available information on the
8.26	portal must include only:
8.27	(1) the county where the critical incident occurred, and the county of the child's residence,
8.28	if different;
8.29	(2) the date of the critical incident;
8.30	(3) the date on which the county received notification of the critical incident;
8.31	(4) the child's age;
8.32	(5) the child's sex;
8.33	(6) whether the critical incident was a fatality or a near fatality;

	03/01/24	REVISOR	DTT/JO	24-07422	as introduced
9.1	<u>(7) the ty</u>	pe of review initia	ited in response to t	the critical incident and	the status of the
9.2	review;				
9.3 9.4	(8) whet completed; a		stigation related to	the critical incident is p	pending or
9.5	<u>(9) whet</u>	ner criminal charge	es have been filed r	elated to the critical inc	eident.
9.6	EFFEC	FIVE DATE. This	s section is effective	e July 1, 2025.	
9.7	Sec. 3. <u>RF</u>	PEALER.			
9.8	(a) Minn	esota Statutes 2022	2, section 256.01, s	ubdivisions 12 and 12a	, are repealed.
9.9	<u>(b) Minn</u>	esota Rules, part 9	9560.0232, subpart	5, is repealed.	
9.10	EFFEC	FIVE DATE. This	s section is effective	e July 1, 2025.	

APPENDIX Repealed Minnesota Statutes: 24-07422

256.01 COMMISSIONER OF HUMAN SERVICES; POWERS, DUTIES.

Subd. 12. **Child mortality review panel.** (a) The commissioner shall establish a child mortality review panel to review deaths of children in Minnesota, including deaths attributed to maltreatment or in which maltreatment may be a contributing cause and to review near fatalities as defined in section 260E.35. The commissioners of health, education, and public safety and the attorney general shall each designate a representative to the child mortality review panel. Other panel members shall be appointed by the commissioner, including a board-certified pathologist and a physician who is a coroner or a medical examiner. The purpose of the panel shall be to make recommendations to the state and to county agencies for improving the child protection system, including modifications in statute, rule, policy, and procedure.

(b) The commissioner may require a county agency to establish a local child mortality review panel. The commissioner may establish procedures for conducting local reviews and may require that all professionals with knowledge of a child mortality case participate in the local review. In this section, "professional" means a person licensed to perform or a person performing a specific service in the child protective service system. "Professional" includes law enforcement personnel, social service agency attorneys, educators, and social service, health care, and mental health care providers.

(c) If the commissioner of human services has reason to believe that a child's death was caused by maltreatment or that maltreatment was a contributing cause, the commissioner has access to not public data under chapter 13 maintained by state agencies, statewide systems, or political subdivisions that are related to the child's death or circumstances surrounding the care of the child. The commissioner shall also have access to records of private hospitals as necessary to carry out the duties prescribed by this section. Access to data under this paragraph is limited to police investigative data; autopsy records and coroner or medical examiner investigative data; hospital, public health, or other medical records of the child; hospital and other medical records of the child's parent that relate to prenatal care; and records created by social service agencies that provided services to the child or family within three years preceding the child's death. A state agency, statewide system, or political subdivision shall provide the data upon request of the commissioner. Not public data may be shared with members of the state or local child mortality review panel in connection with an individual case.

(d) Notwithstanding the data's classification in the possession of any other agency, data acquired by a local or state child mortality review panel in the exercise of its duties is protected nonpublic or confidential data as defined in section 13.02, but may be disclosed as necessary to carry out the purposes of the review panel. The data is not subject to subpoena or discovery. The commissioner may disclose conclusions of the review panel, but shall not disclose data that was classified as confidential or private data on decedents, under section 13.10, or private, confidential, or protected nonpublic data in the disseminating agency, except that the commissioner may disclose local social service agency data as provided in section 260E.35, on individual cases involving a fatality or near fatality of a person served by the local social service agency prior to the date of death.

(e) A person attending a child mortality review panel meeting shall not disclose what transpired at the meeting, except to carry out the purposes of the mortality review panel. The proceedings and records of the mortality review panel are protected nonpublic data as defined in section 13.02, subdivision 13, and are not subject to discovery or introduction into evidence in a civil or criminal action against a professional, the state or a county agency, arising out of the matters the panel is reviewing. Information, documents, and records otherwise available from other sources are not immune from discovery or use in a civil or criminal action solely because they were presented during proceedings of the review panel. A person who presented information before the review panel or who is a member of the panel shall not be prevented from testifying about matters within the person's knowledge. However, in a civil or criminal proceeding a person shall not be questioned about the person's presentation of information to the review panel or opinions formed by the person as a result of the review meetings.

Subd. 12a. **Department of Human Services child fatality and near fatality review team.** (a) The commissioner shall establish a Department of Human Services child fatality and near fatality review team to review child fatalities and near fatalities due to child maltreatment and child fatalities and near fatalities that occur in licensed facilities and are not due to natural causes. The review team shall assess the entire child protection services process from the point of a mandated reporter reporting the alleged maltreatment through the ongoing case management process. Department staff shall lead and conduct on-site local reviews and utilize supervisors from local county and tribal child welfare agencies as peer reviewers. The review process must focus on critical elements of the case and on the involvement of the child and family with the county or tribal child welfare agency.

APPENDIX Repealed Minnesota Statutes: 24-07422

The review team shall identify necessary program improvement planning to address any practice issues identified and training and technical assistance needs of the local agency. Summary reports of each review shall be provided to the state child mortality review panel when completed.

(b) A member of the child fatality and near fatality review team shall not disclose what transpired during the review, except to carry out the duties of the child fatality and near fatality review team. The proceedings and records of the child fatality and near fatality review team are protected nonpublic data as defined in section 13.02, subdivision 13, and are not subject to discovery or introduction into evidence in a civil or criminal action against a professional, the state, or a county agency arising out of the matters the team is reviewing. Information, documents, and records otherwise available from other sources are not immune from discovery or use in a civil or criminal action solely because they were assessed or presented during proceedings of the review team. A person who presented information before the review team or who is a member of the team shall not be prevented from testifying about matters within the person's knowledge. In a civil or criminal proceeding a person shall not be questioned about the person's presentation of information to the review team or opinions formed by the person as a result of the review.

APPENDIX Repealed Minnesota Rules: 24-07422

9560.0232 ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS.

Subp. 5. Child mortality review panel.

A. For purposes of this subpart, "local review panel" means a local multidisciplinary child mortality review panel.

B. Under the commissioner's authority in Minnesota Statutes, section 256.01, subdivision 12, paragraph (b), each county shall establish a local review panel and shall participate on the local review panel. The local agency's child protection team may serve as the local review panel. The local review panel shall require participation by professional representatives, including professionals with knowledge of the child mortality case being reviewed.

C. The local review panel shall:

(1) have access to not public data under Minnesota Statutes, section 256.01, subdivision 12, paragraph (c), maintained by state agencies, statewide systems, or political subdivisions that are related to a child's death or circumstances surrounding the care of the child;

•

(2) conduct a local review of the case within 60 days of the death of a child

if:

(a) the death was caused by maltreatment;

(b) the manner of death was due to sudden infant death syndrome or was other than by natural causes, and the child was a member of a family receiving social services from a local agency, a member of a family that received social services during the year before the child's death, or a member of a family that was the subject of a child protection assessment; or

(c) the death occurred in a facility licensed by the department if the manner of death was by other than natural causes; and

(3) submit a report of the review to the department within 30 days of completing subitem (2).

A review may be delayed if there is pending litigation or an active assessment or investigation.

D. Under Minnesota Statutes, section 256.01, subdivision 12, paragraph (d):

(1) data acquired by the local review panel in the exercise of its duty is protected nonpublic or confidential data as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 13.02, but may be disclosed as necessary to carry out the purposes of the local review panel. The data is not subject to subpoena or discovery; and

(2) the commissioner may disclose conclusions of the local review panel, but shall not disclose data classified as confidential or private on decedents under Minnesota Statutes, section 13.10, or data classified as private, confidential, or protected nonpublic in the disseminating agency.

E. Persons attending the local review panel meeting, members of the local review panel, persons who presented information to the local review panel, and all data, information, documents, and records pertaining to the local review panel must comply with the requirements under Minnesota Statutes, section 256.01, subdivision 12, paragraph (e).

F. When the department notifies the local agency that a state review will be conducted under Minnesota Statutes, section 256.01, subdivision 12, paragraph (a), the local agency shall submit a copy of the social services file within five working days.