

1.1 A bill for an act

1.2 relating to waters; modifying watershed plan provisions; amending Minnesota
1.3 Statutes 2008, section 103B.231, subdivisions 7, 9, 11; repealing Minnesota
1.4 Statutes 2008, section 103B.231, subdivision 8.

1.5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.6 Section 1. Minnesota Statutes 2008, section 103B.231, subdivision 7, is amended to
1.7 read:

1.8 Subd. 7. **Review of the draft plan.** (a) Upon completion of the plan but before
1.9 final adoption by the organization, the organization must submit the draft plan for a
1.10 60-day review and comment period to all counties, the Metropolitan Council, the state
1.11 review agencies, the Board of Water and Soil Resources, soil and water conservation
1.12 districts, towns, and statutory and home rule charter cities having territory within the
1.13 watershed. A local government unit that expects that substantial amendment of its local
1.14 comprehensive plan will be necessary to bring local water management into conformance
1.15 with the watershed plan must describe as specifically as possible, within its comments,
1.16 the amendments to the local plan that it expects will be necessary. If the county has
1.17 a groundwater plan, the county must review and comment on the consistency of the
1.18 watershed plan with the county groundwater plan. Differences among local governmental
1.19 agencies regarding the plan must be mediated. Notwithstanding sections 103D.401,
1.20 103D.405, and 473.165, the council shall review the plan in the same manner and with
1.21 the same authority and effect as provided for the council's review of the comprehensive
1.22 plans of local government units under section 473.175. The council shall comment on
1.23 the apparent conformity with metropolitan system plans of any anticipated amendments
1.24 to local comprehensive plans. The council shall advise the Board of Water and Soil

S.F. No. 2843, as introduced - 86th Legislative Session (2009-2010) [10-4289]

2.1 Resources on whether the plan conforms with the management objectives and target
2.2 pollution loads stated in the council's water resources plan and shall recommend changes
2.3 in the plan that would satisfy the council's plan.

2.4 (b) The watershed management organization must respond in writing to any
2.5 concerns expressed by the review agencies ~~within 30 days of receipt thereof~~ at least ten
2.6 days before the public hearing.

2.7 (c) The watershed management organization must hold a public hearing on the
2.8 draft plan no sooner than ~~30 days and no later than 45~~ 14 days after the 60-day review
2.9 period of the draft plan. The board or boards of the affected counties shall approve or
2.10 disapprove projects in the capital improvement program which may require the provision
2.11 of county funds pursuant to section 103B.251 or 103D.901, subdivision 2. Each county
2.12 has up until the date of the public hearing on the draft plan to complete its review of
2.13 the capital improvement program. If the county fails to complete its review within the
2.14 prescribed period, unless an extension is agreed to by the organization the program shall
2.15 be deemed approved. If the watershed extends into more than one county and one or
2.16 more counties disapprove of all or part of a capital improvement program while the other
2.17 county or counties approve, the program shall be submitted to the Board of Water and Soil
2.18 Resources for review pursuant to subdivision 9.

2.19 Sec. 2. Minnesota Statutes 2008, section 103B.231, subdivision 9, is amended to read:

2.20 Subd. 9. **Approval by board.** After completion of the review under subdivision
2.21 ~~8, 7, the draft plan, any amendments thereto, all written comments received on the draft~~
2.22 plan, a record of the public hearing, and a summary of changes incorporated as a result
2.23 of the review process shall be submitted to the Metropolitan Council, the state review
2.24 agencies, and the Board of Water and Soil Resources for final review. The board shall
2.25 review the plan for conformance with the requirements of sections 103B.205 to 103B.255,
2.26 and chapter 103D. The board shall not prescribe a plan, but may disapprove all or parts
2.27 of a plan which it determines is not in conformance with the requirements of sections
2.28 103B.205 to 103B.255, and chapter 103D. If the capital improvement program is the
2.29 subject of a dispute between counties, the Board of Water and Soil Resources shall make
2.30 a final decision on the issue. The decision shall be binding on the organization and the
2.31 counties involved. The board shall complete its review under this section within 90 days.

2.32 Sec. 3. Minnesota Statutes 2008, section 103B.231, subdivision 11, is amended to read:

2.33 Subd. 11. **Amendments.** To the extent and in the manner required by the adopted
2.34 plan, all amendments to the adopted plan shall be submitted to the towns, cities, county,

S.F. No. 2843, as introduced - 86th Legislative Session (2009-2010) [10-4289]

3.1 the Metropolitan Council, the state review agencies, and the Board of Water and Soil
3.2 Resources for review in accordance with the provisions of subdivisions 7,~~8~~, and 9.
3.3 Amendments necessary to revise the plan to be consistent with the county groundwater
3.4 plan, as required by subdivision 4, must be submitted for review in accordance with
3.5 subdivisions 7,~~8~~, and 9. Minor amendments to a plan shall be reviewed in accordance
3.6 with standards prescribed in the watershed management plan.

3.7 Sec. 4. **REPEALER.**

3.8 Minnesota Statutes 2008, section 103B.231, subdivision 8, is repealed.