SF2676

KRB

## **SENATE** STATE OF MINNESOTA NINETY-SECOND SESSION

S.F. No. 2676

DATE	D-PG	OFFICIAL STATUS
01/31/2022	4836	Introduction and first reading
		Referred to Transportation Finance and Policy
02/03/2022	4899	Author added Newman
02/10/2022	4974	Author added Rest
02/14/2022	4982	Comm report: To pass as amended and re-refer to State Government Finance and Policy and Elections
02/17/2022		Comm report: To pass as amended and re-refer to Finance Authors added Pratt; Osmek
03/07/2022		Comm report: To pass as amended Second reading

1.1	A bill for an act
1.2 1.3	relating to transit; requiring the legislative auditor to conduct a special review or program evaluation of the Southwest light rail transit project; appropriating money.
1.4	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.5	Section 1. SOUTHWEST LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT PROJECT REVIEW OR
1.6	EVALUATION.
1.7	(a) The legislative auditor must conduct a special review, program evaluation, or a
1.8	combination of the two, of the Southwest light rail transit project.
1.9	(b) If the Legislative Audit Commission selects the Southwest light rail transit project
1.10	for a program evaluation, the legislative auditor is encouraged to include examination of:
1.11	the primary reasons for delays and cost increases in the project; whether the Metropolitan
1.12	Council properly managed the project's schedule and costs; and whether there was sufficient
1.13	Metropolitan Council scrutiny of the route decisions and design choices that have
1.14	subsequently required substantive changes to project costs or plans.
1.15	(c) As part of a special review or program evaluation of the Southwest light rail transit
1.16	project, the legislative auditor is encouraged to:
1.17	(1) evaluate whether current practices on project cost estimating, contracting, negotiations,
1.18	management processes, and hiring result in cost overruns or cause schedule delays;
1.19	(2) evaluate whether the Metropolitan Council's posting, interviewing, and hiring process
1.20	for internal staff resulted in qualified and competent project management personnel;
1.21	(3) evaluate whether the current practices on reviewing change orders, determining fair
1.22	pricing, and establishing credits for lump-sum bid prices are reasonable and appropriate;

Section 1.

1

	SF2676	REVISOR	KRB	S2676-3	3rd Engrossment	
2.1	(4) iden	tify all changes to the	project schedul	e and evaluate whethe	er the changes were	
2.2	reasonable and appropriate and impacted the project completion date;					
2.3	(5) eval	(5) evaluate whether current practices on requiring, monitoring, and assuring quality of				
2.4		construction and materials is sufficient;				
2.5	(6) eval	uate whether the meth	odology used by	the Metropolitan Cou	incil to calculate the	
2.6		(6) evaluate whether the methodology used by the Metropolitan Council to calculate the requests for additional public funding for the project is reasonable, appropriate, and aligned				
2.7	with standard engineering practice;					
2.8	<u>(7) eval</u>	(7) evaluate whether the use of contingency funds is reasonable and appropriate;				
2.9	(8) determine the balance in the contingency fund;					
2.10	(9) determine the balance of available funding currently committed by Hennepin County					
2.11	and other regional partners;					
2.12		luate potential financia	al impacts on He	nnenin County if the M	letropolitan Council	
2.12	<u> </u>	ditional funding from	•	• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
2.14 2.15	<u> </u>	ermine the revised tota changes to the project		t and analyze the leve	i of financial fisk of	
			<u></u>	1. 1	1 11'	
2.16		ermine the current ov				
2.17 2.18		dates, identify any cur nges are on a critical p	• • •	schedule changes, and	i determine whether	
				• • • • • • •	1 1	
2.19	(13) evaluate whether current penalties for missed deadlines or benchmarks are					
2.20 2.21	appropriate and, where applicable, if they have been imposed for previously missed deadlines or benchmarks;					
			1 (1 ) (1 )		и с	
2.22	<u> </u>	ke recommendations of additional cost over		•		
2.23 2.24		n quality, effectively a				
2.25		urrent cost and schedu				
2.26		view the January 2022			Council and the	
2.20		ime construction contr		•		
2.28	and approp					
2 20		aluate whether the Me	tropolitan Coun	cil vendors and cont	ractors are adhering	
2.29 2.30		ed safety standards, pra	1		<u> </u>	
2.30		and maintenance; and				
2.32		form a cost-benefit ar	-	viect		
2.32	<u>(17)</u> per		arysis of the pro	<u> </u>		

Section 1.

2

	SF2676	REVISOR	KRB	S2676-3	3rd Engrossment
3.1	(d) The M	etropolitan Council	must not requi	re any vendor or contra	ctor to notify the
3.2	<u></u>		-	ne vendor or contractor f	
3.3				n Council must not req	
3.4	contractor to p	provide to the counc	cil information	the vendor or contracto	r provided to the
3.5	legislative auc	litor pursuant to this	s section.		
3.6	<u>(e)</u> The Me	etropolitan Council	must not disch	arge, discipline, threate	n, otherwise
3.7	discriminate a	gainst, or penalize	an employee of	f the council regarding t	he employee's
3.8	compensation,	, terms, conditions, lo	ocation, or privi	leges of employment be	cause the employee
3.9	participated in	a special review or	r program evalu	uation described by this	section. The
3.10	protections pr	ovided under this pa	aragraph are in	addition to any remedie	es or employee
3.11	protections of	herwise provided by	y law.		
3.12	<u>(f)</u> The Me	etropolitan Council	must not reque	st or require the reassig	nment or removal
3.13	of an employe	e of a contractor or	vendor solely	based on that employee	's participation in
3.14	the review des	scribed by this secti	on. The Metroj	politan Council must no	t retaliate or take
3.15	adverse action	<u>ı against a vendor o</u>	r contractor ba	sed solely on the fact th	at an employee of
3.16	the vendor or	contractor participa	ted in a special	l review or program eva	luation described
3.17	by this sectior	<u>ı.</u>			
3.18	EFFECT	<b>VE DATE.</b> This se	ection is effective	ve the day following fin	al enactment.
3.19	Sec 2 SOL	THWEST LIGHT	TRAIL TRAN	ISIT; EXPENDITURE	ES AND
3.20	SCHEDULE				
5.20		_			
3.21				olitan Council must pro	
3.22	on the Southw	est light rail transit	project to the c	hairs and ranking minor	ity members of the
3.23	legislative cor	nmittees with jurisd	liction over tran	nsportation policy and fi	nance. Each status
3.24	update must in	nclude:			
3.25	<u>(1) total ex</u>	penditures on the p	project during th	he previous six months	as compared to
3.26	projections;				
3.27	<u>(2) total ex</u>	penditures on the p	project anticipat	ted over the next six mo	onths; and
3.28	(3) any cha	ange in the date of a	anticipated proj	ect completion.	
3.29	Sec. 3. <u>APP</u>	ROPRIATION.			
3.30	\$200,000 i	n fiscal year 2022 i	s appropriated	from the general fund to	o the legislative
3.31	auditor for the	purposes of any sp	ecial review or	r program evaluation rel	ated to the

3.32 Metropolitan Council or to light rail construction, including hiring additional staff or

Sec. 3.

3

SF2676	REVISOR	KRB	S2676-3	3rd Engrossment

- 4.1 contracting with any necessary third parties. This is a onetime appropriation and is available
- 4.2 <u>until June 30, 2025.</u>
- 4.3 **EFFECTIVE DATE.** This section is effective the day following final enactment.