This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

1.1

12

1 22

1.23

State of Minnesota

REVISOR

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A bill for an act

H. F. No. 983

02/11/2019 Authored by Elkins; Freiberg; Xiong, J.; Hassan; Moran and others The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Government Operations 03/04/2020 Adoption of Report: Amended and re-referred to the State Government Finance Division

relating to elections; authorizing jurisdictions to adopt ranked-choice voting; establishing procedures for adoption, implementation, and use of ranked-choice 1.3 voting; allowing municipalities to use electronic voting systems with a reallocation 1.4 feature; authorizing rulemaking; amending Minnesota Statutes 2018, sections 1.5 205.13, subdivision 2; 206.58, subdivision 1; 206.83; 206.89, subdivisions 2, 3; 1.6 proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 206; proposing coding 1.7 for new law as Minnesota Statutes, chapter 204E. 1.8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA: 1.9 1.10 Section 1. [204E.01] APPLICABILITY. This chapter applies to all elections expressly authorized by law to use ranked-choice 1.11 voting. All other provisions of the Minnesota Election Law also apply, to the extent they 1.12 are not inconsistent with this chapter. 1.13 Sec. 2. [204E.02] DEFINITIONS. 1.14 Subdivision 1. **Scope.** The definitions in this section apply to this chapter. 1.15 Subd. 2. Batch elimination. "Batch elimination" means a simultaneous defeat of multiple 1.16 continuing candidates that have no mathematical chance of being elected. 1.17 Subd. 3. Cast vote record. "Cast vote record" means the tabulatable record of all votes 1.18 produced by a single voter in one voting session. 1.19 Subd. 4. **Duplicate ranking.** "Duplicate ranking" means a voter has ranked the same 1.20 candidate at multiple rankings for the office being counted. 1.21

Subd. 5. Exhausted ballot. "Exhausted ballot" means a ballot that can no longer be

1 Sec. 2

advanced under the procedures in section 204E.06.

HF983 FIRST ENGROSSMENT	REVISOR	JRM	H0983-1
Subd. 6. Highest continuing rai	nking. "Highest conti	nuing ranking" me	ans the ranking
on a voter's ballot with the lowest n	umerical value for a c	continuing candida	te.
Subd. 7. Local election official.	"Local election offic	ial" means the prin	cipal officer in
the jurisdiction charged with duties		•	
Subd. 8. Mathematically impos	ssible to be elected. '	Mathematically in	apossible to be
elected" means either:			
(1) the candidate cannot be elect	ed because the candi	date's current vote	total plus all
votes that could possibly be transfer	red to the candidate i	n future rounds fro	om candidates
with fewer votes or an equal number	r of votes and surplus	s votes would not b	be enough to
surpass the candidate with the next	higher current vote to	otal; or	
(2) the candidate has a lower cur	rent vote total than a	candidate who is o	described by
clause (1).			
Subd. 9. Overvote. "Overvote" 1	means a voter has ran	ked more than one	e candidate at
the same ranking.	means a voter has ran	iked more than one	· candidate at
Subd. 10. Partially defective ba	llot. "Partially defect	tive ballot" means	a ballot that is
defective to the extent that the election	on judges are unable t	o determine the vot	ter's intent with
respect to the office being counted.			
Subd. 11. Ranked-choice voting	g. "Ranked-choice vo	ting" means an ele	ection method
in which voters rank candidates for	an office in order of	cheir preference, w	ith each vote
counting for the highest-ranked cont	inuing candidate on e	each ballot until tha	t candidate has
been elected or defeated by the meth	nod established in thi	s chapter.	
Subd. 12. Ranked-choice voting	g tabulation center.	"Ranked-choice vo	oting tabulation
center" means the place selected for	the automatic or man	nual processing and	d tabulation of
ballots.			
Subd. 13. Ranking. "Ranking" r	neans the number ass	igned by a voter to	a candidate to
express the voter's preference for tha			
A ranking of lower numerical value			
ranking of higher numerical value.			
Subd. 14. Round. "Round" mean	ns an instance of the s	equence of voting t	abulation steps

and ranks a candidate at a subsequent ranking. 2.32

Subd. 15. Skipped ranking. "Skipped ranking" means a voter has left a ranking blank

Sec. 2. 2

established in section 204E.06.

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

2.7

2.8

2.9

2.10

2.11

2.12

2.13

2.14

2.15

2.16

2.17

2.18

2.19

2.20

2.21

2.22

2.23

2.24

2.25

2.26

2.27

2.28

2.29

2.30

2.31

	HF983 FIRST ENGROSSMENT	REVISOR	JRM	H0983-1
3.1	Subd. 16. Surplus. "Surplus"	means the total number	r of votes cast for an	elected
3.2	candidate in excess of the thresho	ld.		
3.3	Subd. 17. Surplus fraction of	a vote. "Surplus fraction	on of a vote" means t	the proportion
3.4	of each vote to be transferred when	a surplus is transferred	. The surplus fraction	n is calculated
3.5	by dividing the surplus by the total	al votes cast for the elec	cted candidate, calcu	lated to four
3.6	decimal places, ignoring any rema	ninder.		
3.7	Subd. 18. Threshold. "Thresh	old" means the number	of votes sufficient for	or a candidate
3.8	to be elected. In any given election	n, the threshold equals	the total votes count	ted in the first
3.9	round after removing defective bal	lots, divided by the sun	n of one plus the num	nber of offices
3.10	to be filled and adding one to the	quotient, disregarding	any fractions.	
3.11	Subd. 19. Transfer value. "Tra	ansfer value" means the	fraction of a vote tha	t a transferred
3.12	ballot will contribute to the next r	anked continuing cand	idate on that ballot.	The transfer
3.13	value of a vote cast for an elected c	candidate is calculated l	by multiplying the su	rplus fraction
3.14	of each vote by its current value, c	alculated to four decim	al places, ignoring a	ny remainder.
3.15	The transfer value of a vote cast for	or a defeated candidate	is the same as its cu	ırrent value.
3.16	Subd. 20. Transferable vote.	"Transferable vote" me	eans a vote or a fract	ion of a vote
3.17	for a candidate who has been either	er elected or defeated.		
3.18	Subd. 21. Totally defective ba	allot. "Totally defective	ballot" means a bal	lot that is
3.19	defective to the extent that election	n judges are unable to d	letermine the voter's	intent for any
3.20	office on the ballot.			
3.21	Subd. 22. Undervote. "Underv	vote" means a voter die	l not rank any candi	dates for an
3.22	office.			
2 22	Sec. 3. [204E.03] AUTHORIZ	ATION TO ADOPT I	DANKED CHOICI	F VOTING.
3.23 3.24	IMPLEMENTATION.	ATION TO ADOLL I	KANKED-CHOICI	<u> </u>
3.24	IVII LEWIENTATION.			
3.25	(a) The following political subd			
3.26	ranked-choice voting as a method	of voting for local offic	es within the politica	l subdivision:
3.27	(1) home rule charter or statute	ory cities;		
3.28	(2) counties;			

Sec. 3. 3

(3) townships; and

(4) school districts.

3.29

3.30

HF983 FIRST ENGROSSMENT	REVISOR	JRM	Н0983-1
(b) A jurisdiction that adopts rar	nked-choice voting ma	ay do so by adopting	g an ordinance
or resolution or by a ballot question	presented to the vote	ers. The ranked-cho	ice voting
method may be repealed by one of	the same methods pro	ovided for adoption.	<u>'</u>
(c) A home rule charter jurisdic	tion that adopts a ranl	xed-choice voting sy	ystem in its
charter may adopt this chapter by re	eference in an ordinar	nce, but is not requir	red to do so.
Nothing in this chapter prevents a h	nome rule charter juris	sdiction from adopt	ing another
voting method in its charter.			
(d) Ranked-choice voting shall of	only be used to elect l	ocal offices at a gen	eral or special
election, or at a primary election wh	nich serves as a party-	nominating election	for a partisan
office. A primary election must not	be held for any nonpar	rtisan offices that are	e elected using
ranked-choice voting.			
(e) A jurisdiction that adopts the	e use of ranked-choice	e voting in local elec	ctions must do
so no later than 30 days before the	first day for filing aff	idavits of candidacy	for the office
for which ranked-choice voting is to	o be used as the meth	od of election.	
(f) Repeal of ranked-choice voti	ng must be no later th	an 30 days before tl	ne first day for
filing affidavits of candidacy for of	fices for which ranke	d-choice voting is u	sed as the
method of election.			
(g) The local election official sh	all notify the secretar	y of state and, if ap	plicable, the
county auditor within 30 days follo	wing adoption or repo	eal of ranked-choice	e voting.
Sec. 4. [204E.04] BALLOTS.			
Subdivision 1. Ballot format. (a	a) If there are three or	more qualified cand	idates, a ballot
must allow a voter to rank at least t	hree candidates for ea	ach office in order o	f preference
and must also allow the voter to add	d write-in candidates.		
(b) A ballot must:			
(1) include instructions to voters	s that clearly indicate	how to mark the ba	ıllot;
(2) include instructions to voters	s that clearly indicate	how to rank candid	ates in order
of the voter's preference; and			·
(3) indicate the number of seats	to be elected for each	n office.	

(c) A jurisdiction may use ballots compatible with alphanumeric character recognition

Sec. 4. 4

4.1

4.2

4.3

4.4

4.5

4.6

4.7

4.8

4.9

4.10

4.11

4.12

4.13

4.14

4.15

4.16

4.17

4.18

4.19

4.20

4.21

4.22

4.23

4.24

4.25

4.26

4.27

4.28

4.29

4.30

voting equipment.

JRM

5.1

5.2

5.3

5.4

5.5

5.6

5.7

5.8

5.9

5.10

5.11

5.12

5.13

5.14

5.15

5.16

5.17

5.18

5.19

5.20

5.21

5.22

5.23

5.24

5.25

5.26

5.27

5.28

5.29

5.30

5.31

5.32

5.33

Subd. 2. Mixed-election method ballots. If elections are held in which ranked-choice
voting is used in addition to other methods of voting, the ranked-choice voting and
non-ranked-choice voting elections must be on the same ballot card if possible, with
ranked-choice voting and non-ranked-choice voting portions clearly separated on the ballot
card. A separate ballot card may be used if necessary. A jurisdiction may deviate from the
standard ballot order of offices to allow separation of ranked-choice voting and
non-ranked-choice voting elections.
Subd. 3. Ballot format rules. The local election official shall establish administrative

Subd. 3. Ballot format rules. The local election official shall establish administrative rules for ballot format after a voting mechanism has been selected, consistent with this section.

Sec. 5. [204E.05] RANKED-CHOICE VOTING TABULATION CENTER.

Subdivision 1. Tabulation of votes; generally. The local election official shall designate one location to serve as the ranked-choice voting tabulation center. If the tabulation includes a manual count of physical ballots, the center must be accessible to the public for the purpose of observing the vote tabulation. Tabulation of votes must be conducted as described in section 204E.06.

Subd. 2. Precinct tabulation. When the hours for voting have ended and all voting has concluded, the election judges in each precinct shall record and publicly declare the number of first choices cast for each candidate in that precinct. The election judges must then securely transfer all electronic voting data and ballots from the precinct to the ranked-choice voting tabulation center designated under this section. Upon receipt at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center, all electronic voting data and ballots shall be secured.

Subd. 3. Notice of recess in count. At any time following receipt of materials under subdivision 1, the local election official may declare a recess. Notice of the recess must include the date, time, and location at which the process of recording and tabulating votes will resume and the reason for the recess. Notice must be posted on the city's official bulletin board and on the door of the ranked-choice voting tabulation center.

Subd. 4. Recording write-in votes. At a time set by the local election official, the election judges shall convene at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center to examine ballots on which voters have indicated a write-in choice, and record the names and number of votes received by each write-in candidate. In the event that votes cast for the write-in category are not eliminated as provided in section 204E.06, the results must be entered into the ranked-choice voting tabulation software.

Sec. 5. 5

6.2

6.3

6.4

6.5

6.6

6.7

6.8

6.9

6.10

6.11

6.12

6.13

6.14

6.15

6.16

6.17

6.18

6.19

6.20

6.21

6.22

6.23

6.24

6.25

6.26

6.27

6.28

6.29

6.30

6.31

6.32

6.33

Subd. 5. Ranked-choice vote tabulation. After all votes have been recorded, and at a time set by the local election official, the process of tabulating votes cast for offices to be elected using the ranked-choice method must begin. The counting must continue until preliminary results for all races are determined, subject to subdivision 3.

Sec. 6. [204E.06] TABULATION OF VOTES.

- (a) Tabulation of votes at the ranked-choice voting tabulation center must proceed in rounds for each office to be counted. The threshold must be calculated and publicly declared. Each round must proceed sequentially as follows:
- (1) the number of votes cast for each candidate for the current round must be counted. If the number of candidates whose vote totals equal or exceed the threshold are equal to the number of seats to be filled, those candidates who are continuing candidates are elected and the tabulation is complete. If the number of candidates whose vote totals are equal to or greater than the threshold is not equal to the number of seats to be filled, a new round begins and the tabulation must continue as provided in the remainder of this paragraph;
- (2) surplus votes for any candidates whose vote totals are equal to or greater than the threshold must be calculated;
- (3) after any surplus votes are calculated but not yet transferred, all candidates for whom it is mathematically impossible to be elected must be defeated by batch elimination. Votes for the defeated candidates must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate, and the tabulation process reiterates beginning with clause (2). If no candidate can be defeated mathematically, the tabulation must continue as described in clause (4);
- (4) the transfer value of each vote cast for an elected candidate must be transferred to the next continuing candidate on that ballot. Of the candidates whose vote totals reach or exceed the threshold, the candidate with the largest surplus is declared elected and that candidate's surplus is transferred. A tie between two or more candidates must immediately and publicly be resolved by lot by the local election official at the tabulation center. The surplus of the candidate chosen by lot must be transferred before other transfers are made. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount. If no candidate has a surplus, the tabulation must continue as described in clause (5); otherwise, the tabulation process must reiterate beginning with clause (2);
- (5) if there are no transferable surplus votes, the candidate with the fewest votes is defeated. Votes for the defeated candidate must be transferred to each ballot's next-ranked continuing candidate. Ties between candidates with the fewest votes must be decided by

Sec. 6. 6

7.2

7.3

7.4

7.5

7.6

7.7

7.8

7.9

7.10

7.11

7.12

7.13

7.14

7.15

7.16

7.17

7.18

7.19

7.20

7.21

7.22

7.23

7.24

7.25

7.26

7.27

7.28

7.29

7.30

7.31

, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution mu		
be recorded and reused in the event of a recount. The tabulation process must reiterate		
beginning with clause (2); and		

- (6) the procedures in clauses (2) to (5) must be repeated until the number of candidates whose vote totals are equal to or exceed the threshold is equal to the number of seats to be filled, or until the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of offices yet to be elected. If the number of continuing candidates is equal to the number of offices yet to be elected, the remaining continuing candidates must be declared elected. In the case of a tie between two continuing candidates, the tie must be decided by lot as provided in section 204C.34, and the candidate chosen by lot must be defeated. The result of the tie resolution must be recorded and reused in the event of a recount.
- (b) When a single skipped ranking is encountered on a ballot, that ballot must count toward the next nonskipped ranking. If any ballot cannot be advanced because no further candidates are ranked on that ballot, because a voter has skipped more than one ranking, or because an undervote, overvote, or duplicate ranking is encountered, the ballot must not count toward any candidate in that round or in subsequent rounds for the office being counted.

Sec. 7. [204E.07] REPORTING RESULTS.

- (a) Each precinct must print a precinct summary statement, which must include the number of first choices cast for each candidate in that precinct.
- (b) The ranked-choice voting tabulation center must print a summary statement with the following information: total votes cast; number of undervotes; number of totally defective and spoiled ballots; threshold calculation; total first choice rankings for all candidates; round-by-round tabulation results, including simultaneous batch eliminations, surplus transfers, and defeated candidate transfers; and exhausted ballots at each round.
- (c) In jurisdictions where ballots are scanned and recorded electronically, the local election official must provide an electronically available spreadsheet of the cast vote record.
- (d) The election abstract must include the information required in the ranked-choice voting tabulation center summary statement, with the addition of the number of registered voters by precinct, the number of same-day voter registrations, and the number of absentee voters.

Sec. 7. 7

JRM

8.1

8.2

8.3

8.4

8.5

8.6

8.7

8.8

8.9

8.10

8.11

8.12

8.13

8.14

8.18

8.19

8.20

8.21

8.22

8.23

8.24

8.25

8.26

8.27

8.28

8.29

8.30

8.31

8.32

Sec. 8.	[204E.08]	RECOUNTS
---------	-----------	----------

- (a) A candidate defeated in the final round of tabulation may request a recount as provided in section 204C.36.
- (b) A candidate defeated in the final round of tabulation when the vote difference is greater than that provided in section 204C.36 may request a recount at the candidate's own expense. A candidate defeated in an earlier round of tabulation may request a recount at the candidate's own expense. The candidate is responsible for all expenses associated with the recount, regardless of the vote difference between the candidates in the round in which the requesting candidate was defeated. The requesting candidate shall file with the filing officer a bond, cash, or surety in an amount set by the filing officer for the payment of the recount expenses. Expenses must be determined as provided in section 204C.36, subdivision 4.
- (c) Rules adopted by the secretary of state under section 204C.36 for recounts apply to recounts conducted under this section.

Sec. 9. [204E.09] RULES.

- 8.15 The secretary of state may adopt rules necessary to implement the requirements and procedures established by this chapter.
- 8.17 Sec. 10. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 205.13, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 2. **Notice of filing dates.** At least two weeks before the first day to file affidavits of candidacy, the municipal clerk shall publish a notice stating the first and last dates on which affidavits of candidacy may be filed in the clerk's office and the closing time for filing on the last day for filing. The clerk shall post a similar notice at least ten days before the first day to file affidavits of candidacy. The notice must indicate the method of election to be used for the offices on the ballot. The notice must separately list any office for which affidavits of candidacy may be filed to fill the unexpired portion of a term when a special election is being held to fill a vacancy as provided in section 412.02, subdivision 2a.
 - Sec. 11. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.58, subdivision 1, is amended to read:
 - Subdivision 1. **Municipalities.** (a) The governing body of a municipality, at a regular meeting or at a special meeting called for the purpose, may provide for the use of an electronic voting system in one or more precincts and at all elections in the precincts, subject to approval by the county auditor. The governing body shall disseminate information to the public about the use of a new voting system at least 60 days prior to the election and shall provide for instruction of voters with a demonstration voting system in a public place for

Sec. 11. 8

HF983 FIRST ENGROSSMENT	REVISOR	JRM	Н0983-1
the six weeks immediately prior to	the first election at wh	ich the new voting	system will be
used.			
(b) No system may be adopted of	or used unless it has be	een approved by th	e secretary of
state pursuant to section 206.57.			
(c) The governing body of a mur	nicipality may provide	for the use of an ele	ectronic voting
system that has been approved by t	he secretary of state un	nder section 206.57	7 but includes
an automatic tabulating equipment	reallocation feature th	at has not been app	proved by the
secretary of state if the municipal c	lerk certifies to the sec	cretary of state, wit	thin 30 days
from the date of adoption under par	ragraph (a), that the re	allocation feature:	
(1) has been certified as require	d under section 206.57	7, subdivision 6; an	<u>ıd</u>
(2) meets the municipality's ord	inance requirements for	or electronic voting	g systems.
Any new voting equipment purca voting system must have the ability		esecution and purpo.	<u>se or repraemig</u>
a voting system must have the abili	ty to:		
(1) capture, store, and publicly	report ballot data;		
(2) to the extent practicable, pro	oduce a single human-	readable file for ea	ch contest on
the ballot containing all cast vote re	ecords captured for that	nt contest;	
(3) keep data anonymous;			
(4) accept ranked or cumulative	voting data under a v	ariety of tabulation	rules;
(5) be programmable to follow a	ll other specifications o	of the ranked-choice	voting system
as provided in chapter 204E;			
(6) provide a minimum of three	rankings for ranked-c	hoice voting election	ons;
(7) to the extent practicable, not	tify voters of the follow	wing errors: overvo	otes, skipped
rankings, and duplicate rankings in	a ranked-choice votin	g election; and	
(8) be programmable to print a	zero tape indicating al	l rankings for all ca	andidates in a
ranked-choice voting election.			

EFFECTIVE DATE. This section is effective upon certification by the secretary of

state that equipment meeting the standards required by this section is available for purchase

9.1

9.2

9.3

9.4

9.5

9.6

9.7

9.8

9.9

9.10

9.11

9.12

9.13

9.14

9.15

9.16

9.17

9.18

9.19

9.20

9.21

9.22

9.23

9.24

9.25

9.26

9.27

9.28

9.29

Sec. 12. 9

and implementation.

10.2

10.3

10.4

10.5

10.6

10.7

10.8

10.9

10.10

10.11

10.12

10.13

10.14

10.15

10.16

10.17

10.18

10.19

10.25

10.26

10.27

10.28

10.29

10.30

10.31

10.32

10.33

JRM

Sec. 13. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.83, is amended to read:

206.83 TESTING OF VOTING SYSTEMS.

- (a) Within 14 days before election day, the official in charge of elections shall have the voting system tested to ascertain that the system will correctly mark ballots using all methods supported by the system, including ranked-choice voting if applicable, and through assistive technology, and count the votes cast for all candidates and on all questions. Public notice of the time and place of the test must be given at least two days in advance by publication once in official newspapers. The test must be observed by at least two election judges, who are not of the same major political party, and must be open to representatives of the political parties, candidates, the press, and the public. The test must be conducted by (1) processing a preaudited group of ballots punched or marked to record a predetermined number of valid votes for each candidate and on each question, and must include for each office one or more ballot cards which have votes in excess of the number allowed by law in order to test the ability of the voting system tabulator and electronic ballot marker to reject those votes; and (2) processing an additional test deck of ballots marked using the electronic ballot marker for the precinct, including ballots marked using the electronic ballot display, audio ballot reader, and any assistive voting technology used with the electronic ballot marker. If an election is to be conducted using ranked-choice voting, the equipment must also be tested to ensure that each ranking for each candidate is recorded properly.
- 10.20 (b) If any error is detected, the cause must be ascertained and corrected and an errorless count must be made before the voting system may be used in the election.
- 10.22 (c) After the completion of the test, the programs used and ballot cards must be sealed, 10.23 retained, and disposed of as provided for paper ballots.
- Sec. 14. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.89, subdivision 2, is amended to read:
 - Subd. 2. **Selection for review; notice.** At the canvass of the state primary, the county canvassing board in each county must set the date, time, and place for the postelection review of the state general election to be held under this section. In jurisdictions where ranked-choice voting is used, the date, time, and place for postelection review must be set by the local election official at least 30 days before the election. The postelection review must not begin before the 11th day after the state general election and must be complete no later than the 18th day after the state general election.
 - At the canvass of the state general election, the county canvassing boards must select the precincts to be reviewed by lot. The ballots to be reviewed for a precinct include both

Sec. 14. 10

11.2

11.3

11.4

11.5

11.6

11.7

11.8

11.9

11.10

11.11

11.12

11.13

11.14

11.15

11.16

11.17

11.18

11.19

11.20

11.21

11.22

11.23

11.24

11.25

11.26

11.27

11.28

11.29

11.30

11.31

11.32

11.33

11.34

the ballots counted at the polling place for that precinct and the absentee ballots counted centrally by a ballot board for that precinct. The county canvassing board of a county with fewer than 50,000 registered voters must conduct a postelection review of a total of at least two precincts. The county canvassing board of a county with between 50,000 and 100,000 registered voters must conduct a review of a total of at least three precincts. The county canvassing board of a county with over 100,000 registered voters must conduct a review of a total of at least four precincts, or three percent of the total number of precincts in the county, whichever is greater. At least one precinct selected in each county must have had more than 150 votes cast at the general election.

The county auditor must notify the secretary of state of the precincts that have been chosen for review and the time and place the postelection review for that county will be conducted, as soon as the decisions are made. If the selection of precincts has not resulted in the selection of at least four precincts in each congressional district, the secretary of state may require counties to select by lot additional precincts to meet the congressional district requirement. The secretary of state must post this information on the office website.

Sec. 15. Minnesota Statutes 2018, section 206.89, subdivision 3, is amended to read:

Subd. 3. **Scope and conduct of review.** The county canvassing board shall appoint the postelection review official as defined in subdivision 1. The postelection review must be conducted of the votes cast for president or governor; United States senator; and United States representative. In jurisdictions where ranked-choice voting is used, the review must also include at least one single-seat ranked-choice voting election and at least one multiple-seat ranked-choice voting election, if such an election occurred. A postelection review of a ranked-choice voting election must be conducted for elections decided most closely in the final round, by percentage. The same requirement applies in jurisdictions where ranked-choice voting is used in odd-year elections. The postelection review official may conduct postelection review of the votes cast for additional offices.

The postelection review must be conducted in public at the location where the voted ballots have been securely stored after the state general election or at another location chosen by the county canvassing board. The postelection review official for each precinct selected must conduct the postelection review and may be assisted by election judges designated by the postelection review official for this purpose. The party balance requirement of section 204B.19 applies to election judges designated for the review. The postelection review must consist of a manual count of the ballots used in the precincts selected and must be performed in the manner provided by section 204C.21. The postelection review must be conducted in

Sec. 15.

12.2

12.3

12.4

12.5

JRM

Sec. 15. 12