02/10/09 REVISOR SS/AC 09-2081

This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A bill for an act

assurance system; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota Statutes, chapter

relating to human services; requiring a comprehensive child welfare quality

EIGHTY-SIXTH SESSION

HOUSE FILE NO. 790

February 16, 2009

1.1

1.2

1.3

Authored by Slawik

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Health Care and Human Services Policy and Oversight

1.4	257.
1.5	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.6	Section 1. [257.0735] CHILD WELFARE; CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICE
1.7	REVIEW.
1.8	Subdivision 1. Program requirements. The commissioner of human services shall
1.9	develop and implement a quality assurance review process for the child welfare system,
1.10	based on the federally mandated Child and Family Service Reviews.
1.11	Subd. 2. Process. The Minnesota Child and Family Service Review process must
1.12	be a comprehensive and qualitative review process that consists of three phases:
1.13	(1) the prereview phase, in which each county completes a guided assessment of their
1.14	child welfare infrastructure based on systemic factors and federal performance indicators;
1.15	(2) the on-site phase, in which county child welfare cases are randomly selected for
1.16	on-site case review by state quality assurance staff; and
1.17	(3) the program improvement plan phase, in which the county agency, with the
1.18	assistance of state quality assurance staff, prepares a program improvement plan for all
1.19	performance items that are rated below 85 percent, with quarterly progress reports to the
1.20	commissioner until the performance items are rectified.
1.21	Subd. 3. Review and report schedule and requirements. (a) The commissioner
1.22	shall develop a tiered schedule for child and family service reviews and reports, with two
1.23	large urban counties being reviewed on an annual basis, and other counties reviewed

Section 1.

02/10/09	REVISOR	SS/AC	09-208
1177 I 1170 U	REVISOR	CC/AI	110_7/118
$0 \angle t + 0 / 0 \neq$	1812 8 183 (218	00/70	(77-400

every two to four years, depending on each county's child population; the larger the child population, the more frequent the reviews.

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

(b) Each county shall post program improvement plans to the county's Web site. The county shall also submit quarterly program improvement plans' progress reports to the commissioner, and post those quarterly reports to the county's Web site. The plans and reports must be posted to the county's Web site in a timely manner.

Section 1. 2