

Phone: (517) 373-8080

Analysis available at

http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

http://www.legislature.mi.gov

INCREASE CHILD CARE FUND REIMBURSEMENT AND REQUIRE JUVENILE ASSESSMENTS OR SCREENINGS

Senate Bill 418 as enacted **Public Act 297 of 2023** Sponsor: Sen. Sylvia A. Santana

Senate Bill 421 as enacted Public Act 298 of 2023 Sponsor: Sen. Veronica Klinefelt

House Bill 4628 as enacted **Public Act 289 of 2023 Sponsor: Rep. Felicia Brabec**

House Bill 4629 as enacted Public Act 290 of 2023 Sponsor: Rep. Amos O'Neal

House Committee: Criminal Justice Senate Committee: Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety Complete to 1-23-24

SUMMARY:

Senate Bill 418 increases the reimbursement formula for the Child Care Fund administered by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Counties receiving reimbursement must adopt validated assessment or screening tools to guide diversion, disposition, and detention decisions. The other three bills require specified assessment or screening tools to be conducted on a juvenile, and the results to be considered by the court, before disposition, consent calendar placement, or pretrial detention. The bills take effect October 1, 2024.

Senate Bill 418 amends the Social Welfare Act to increase the reimbursement formula for the Child Care Fund, require and allow certain uses of money from the fund, and require certain performance measures.

Generally speaking, under the Social Welfare Act, the state and counties share the cost of juvenile justice services in a 50/50 state-local cost-sharing model. For the cases in which the county is the first payer, the state is required to reimburse counties for 50% of eligible expenses from county child care funds for the costs of juvenile justice services.¹ The state makes these reimbursements from the state Child Care Fund, which is a fund appropriated in the DHHS budget from which the state reimburses counties for 50% of eligible expenditures concerning the care and treatment for children who are court wards.²

The fund reimburses counties for programs that serve neglected, abused, and delinquent youth, and funding may be expended for out-of-home placements such as foster homes or county-

¹ In addition, since October 1, 2021, the state has reimbursed 100% of the cost of juvenile justice services for juveniles under the jurisdiction of the court who were 17 years old at the time of the offense. This was enacted in 2019 as part of a group of bills (known as the "Raise the Age" legislation) that amended several state laws to treat individuals who are 17 years of age as juveniles in criminal proceedings rather than automatically treating them as adults. See https://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2019-2020/billanalysis/House/pdf/2019-HLA-4133-67514053.pdf

² https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/doing-business/child-care-fund

operated facilities. Expenditures may also be made for in-home services which allow children to remain in their own homes, and may include job training skills, intensive probation, community wraparound services, mentoring, family counseling, electronic tethers, alternatives to detention, and other community-based services.

State share

The bill increases the general state reimbursement share from 50% to 75% for in-home expenses including community-based supervision, services, and relate practices, and per diem rates for the use of respite care and shelter for less than 30 days. The state share remains at 50% for residential services of detention and long-term residential placements. From funds received under these provisions, counties may use juvenile client management software to allow for statewide juvenile justice data aggregation, analysis, and reporting.

In addition, beginning October 1, 2024, the state will no longer pay 100% of the cost of juvenile justice services for juveniles under the jurisdiction of the court who were 17 years old at the time of the offense. The bill also eliminates a funding formula, based on actual expenditures for 17-year-old juveniles, that was set to take effect October 1, 2025.

County requirements

The bill requires counties to do all of the following from funds received from the Child Care Fund as state reimbursement for juvenile justice services:

- Adopt a validated risk assessment tool to use before disposition.
- Adopt a validated risk screening tool to guide diversion and consent calendar decisions.
- Adopt a detention screening tool to inform the use of secure detention.
- Utilize research-based juvenile-specific probation standards as developed and approved by the State Court Administrative Office (SCAO).
- Employ a local quality assurance specialist to support the county with implementing research-based practices, excluding counties or tribes receiving the basic grant as described in section 117e of the act.

DHHS must develop and issue rules, policies, and practices to implement the above requirements and to oversee compliance with these requirements by counties and tribes.

DHHS, in consultation with SCAO, also must establish performance measures for evaluating county adherence to the above requirements and for evaluating the goals of the Child Care Fund more generally. Beginning October 1, 2025, DHHS must prepare and submit an annual report to the legislature on yearly Child Care Fund juvenile justice expenditures and related performance measures.

Additional use of funds

Finally, the bill provides that the Child Care Fund may be used for programs and practices starting when a complaint, referral, or petition is generated by the local prosecutor, law enforcement, or authorized school personnel for a youth at risk of juvenile court involvement through residential placement and reentry excluding general prevention services for all youth at risk of juvenile justice system involvement. DHHS must align Child Care Fund policies, budget requirements, and oversight practices to support those goals and to ensure the appropriate use of funding.

Other amendments

The act amends the definition of in-home care to specify that it includes services and items *provided in the home or in the community* to be an alternative to out-of-home care or to provide an early return home for a child placed out of their home.

MCL 400.117a

<u>Senate Bill 421</u> amends the juvenile code (Chapter XIIA of the Probate Code) to require a designated individual or agency to conduct a risk and needs assessment for each juvenile before disposition. The assessment must be research-based and nationally validated for use with juveniles and must comply with guidelines developed by SCAO under the bill. The individual or agency designated to conduct assessments must be trained on the applicable assessment's appropriate use.

The results of the assessment, together with a dispositional recommendation made by the individual or agency that performed it, must be shared with the court and each party to the proceeding, including the juvenile, their counsel, and the prosecuting attorney. The results of the assessment must be used to inform a dispositional recommendation and to determine the most appropriate disposition for the juvenile considering all of the following factors:

- The least restrictive setting possible.
- Public safety.
- Victim interests.
- Rehabilitation of the juvenile.
- Improved juvenile outcomes, including educational advancement.

The court must consider the results of the assessment when making a dispositional decision regarding a juvenile under the juvenile code, including whether to place a juvenile under supervision (including the supervision length, level, and conditions), whether to place a juvenile on probation, and whether to place a juvenile in out-of-home care.

For the duration of each order of disposition for a juvenile, the court must require a new risk and needs assessment for the juvenile if any of the following apply:

- Six months have passed since the juvenile's last risk and needs assessment.
- The juvenile experiences a major life event.
- There is a major change in the juvenile's proceedings.

A risk and needs assessment conducted as described above, and any information obtained from a minor in the course of the assessment (including any admission, confession, or incriminating evidence), is not admissible in evidence in any adjudicatory hearing in which the minor is accused and is not subject to subpoena or any other court process for use in any other proceeding or for any other purpose.

SCAO, under the supervision and direction of the supreme court, must create guidelines on the use of risk and needs assessments under the bill.

MCL 712A.18

House Bill 4628 amends the juvenile code to provide that a juvenile case cannot be placed on the consent calendar³ unless the court considers the results of a risk screening tool and mental health screening tool conducted on the juvenile by a designated individual or agency trained in those screening tools. The screening tools must be research-based and nationally validated for use with juveniles and would have to comply with guidelines developed by SCAO. Results of a risk screening tool and mental health screening tool are part of the juvenile's consent calendar case records and subject to provisions in the juvenile code regarding access to those records.

A risk screening tool and mental health screening tool conducted as described above, and any information obtained from a minor in the court of those screenings or provided by the juvenile in order to participate in a consent calendar case plan (including any admission, confession, or incriminating evidence), is not admissible in evidence in any adjudicatory hearing in which the minor is accused and is not subject to subpoena or any other court process for use in any other proceeding or for any other purpose.

SCAO, under the supervision and direction of the supreme court, must create guidelines on the use of risk screening tools and mental health screening tools under the bill.

The bill also prohibits the court from considering restitution when determining whether a case should be placed on the consent calendar.

MCL 712A.2f

House Bill 4629 amends the juvenile code to provide that an individual or agency designated by the court must use a detention screening tool on a juvenile before the juvenile may be detained in a secure facility pending hearing. Before detaining a juvenile, the court must consult the results of the detention screening tool and follow any supreme court rules regarding its use. The court must share the results of the detention screening tool with all parties before a juvenile's detention hearing. Any statement, admission, confession, or incriminating evidence obtained from a juvenile in the court of a screening under these provisions is not admissible as evidence in an adjudicatory hearing in which the juvenile is accused, is not subject to subpoena, and cannot be used in any other court proceeding or for any other purpose.

SCAO, under the supervision and direction of the supreme court and in collaboration with local courts, must determine the appropriate detention screening tool.

MCL 712A.15 and 712A.16

³ The consent calendar is an informal docket of cases the court has determined should not proceed on the formal calendar but that the protective and supportive action by the court will serve the best interests of the juvenile and the public. Under both current law and the bill, a case cannot be placed on the consent calendar unless the prosecutor, the juvenile, and the parent, guardian, or legal custodian must agree to have the case placed on the consent calendar.

BACKGROUND:

The Michigan Task Force on Juvenile Justice Reform was created by Executive Order 2021-6 as a bipartisan advisory body in the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).⁴ It issued its final report on July 18, 2022.⁵ The task force was asked to "lead a datadriven analysis of [Michigan's] juvenile justice system and recommend proven practices and strategies for reform grounded in data, research, and fundamental constitutional principles." In particular, in the words of its final report,⁶ the task force was "charged with developing recommendations to improve state law, policy, and appropriations guided by the following objectives:

- Safely reduce placement in detention and residential placement and associated costs.
- Increase the safety and well-being of youth impacted by the juvenile justice system.
- Reduce racial and ethnic disparities among youth impacted by the juvenile justice system.
- Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the state's and counties' juvenile justice systems.
- Increase accountability and transparency within the juvenile justice system.
- Better align practices with research and constitutional mandates."

The bills would implement, in part, the following unanimous task force recommendation, as quoted from its final report:

Enhance the Child Care Fund (CCF) to focus on establishing a minimum framework of juvenile justice best practices statewide. These best practices will be supported by an increase in the community-based services/supervision reimbursement rate for counties and tribes in order to incentivize and support the development, expansion, and strengthening of community-based services and formal alternatives to detention and incarceration. [...]

a. Increase the state reimbursement rate from 50 percent to 75 percent for community-based supervision and services (including respite/shelter). Maintain the 50 percent state reimbursement rate for residential services (detention and post-disposition longer term residential placements).

b. This increased rate would also incorporate costs related to Raise the Age (transitioning in the final year of the current Raise the Age funding model) such that the CCF becomes an integrated source of funding for Raise the Age and CCF funding.

c. As part of the increased reimbursement rate for community-based services, require local courts to 1) adopt a validated risk screening too to guide diversion decisions 2) adopt a validated risk assessment tool for use prior to disposition 3) adopt a detention screening tool 4) adhere to best practice probation standards, including officers being certified in these standards every two years 5) employ a local quality assurance specialist to support the above practices (excluding counties/tribes that receive the basic grant) and 6) form cross-

⁴ <u>https://www.legislature.mi.gov/documents/2021-2022/executiveorder/pdf/2021-EO-06.pdf</u>

⁵ https://www.michigan.gov/whitmer/news/press-releases/2022/07/18/task-force-on-juvenile-justice-reform-approves-blueprint-for-transforming-juvenile-justice

⁶ https://micounties.org/wp-content/uploads/Michigan-Taskforce-on-Juvenile-Justice-Reform-Final-Report.pdf

systems youth service committees at the local/regional level to promote collaboration and resource efficiencies.

d. Expand use of the CCF so that local courts and tribes can use funding as they see fit for prearrest diversion through reentry, eliminate "intensive" requirements so counties can match supervision/services to youth's risk level, and streamline administrative requirements.

e. Create a statewide CCF advisory committee composed of juvenile justice association members, local court/county representatives, prosecutor and defense attorney representatives, tribal representatives, MDHHS, advocates, and impacted populations, to support evidence-based practice implementation and statewide capacity building.

FISCAL IMPACT:

<u>Senate Bill 418</u> would increase state costs for the Department of Health and Human Services by a net of approximately \$16.0 million once the bill is fully implemented and reduce county costs by a net of approximately \$13.0 to \$10.0 million once the bill is fully implemented. The table below itemizes the three primary changes with fiscal impacts:

	State Cost	County Cost
In-home enhanced state reimbursement at 75%	\$31.0 million	(\$31.0 million)
Removing enhanced state reimbursement for 17-year-olds, starting in FY 2024-25	(\$15.0 million)	\$15.0 million
County Quality Assurance Specialist and Screening tools and state oversight	Minimal, up to \$300,000	\$3.0 million to \$6.0 million, for counties or tribes that do not receive a basis grant
Total Cost/(Savings)	\$16.0 million	(\$13.0 million) to (\$10.0 million)

<u>Senate Bill 421</u> would increase costs for the Department of Health and Human Services and local units of government by an indeterminant amount. The fiscal impact of the bill would be dependent on the cost of placement for juvenile delinquents and children affected by abuse and neglect. The department is responsible for 50% of the cost of out-of-home placement of juvenile delinquents. Placements may be affected as a result of the use of a new risk and needs assessment implementation.

Senate Bill 421 and House Bills 4628 and 4629 would have no additional fiscal impact on the state or on local units of government. The FY 2022-23 supplemental budget for Judiciary, Article 16 of 2023 PA 119, included an appropriation of \$500,000 and authorization for 6.5 FTE positions. The FY 2023-24 budget for Judiciary, Article 8 of 2023 PA 119, included an appropriation of \$2.0 million and authorization for 13.0 FTE positions. The funding and FTE positions will be used to establish the Juvenile Justice Division within SCAO. The division will coordinate implementation of risk/needs and detention screening and assessment tools. Several tools exist currently, but not all courts use the same tools. Under the bills, courts would have flexibility in the tools they select to use, but tools would have to meet minimum standards

and courts would have to follow guidelines for how to use the tools. Guidelines will be established through the Juvenile Justice Division within SCAO.

Legislative Analyst: Rick Yuille Fiscal Analysts: Sydney Brown Robin Risko

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.