J1 0lr0982 (PRE–FILED) CF 0lr0983

By: Senators Eckardt and Klausmeier

Requested: October 21, 2019

Introduced and read first time: January 8, 2020

Assigned to: Education, Health, and Environmental Affairs

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Health Occupations – Diagnostic Evaluation and Treatment of Patients –
Disciplinary Actions
(The Patient's Access to Integrative Healthcare Act of 2020)

FOR the purpose of prohibiting a health occupations board from disciplining a certain health care practitioner under certain circumstances because of the health care practitioner's use of a certain diagnostic evaluation or treatment of a patient; authorizing a health occupations board to discipline a certain health care practitioner if the board makes a certain determination; prohibiting a health occupations board from using the use of a certain drug, device, biological product, or method as the basis for disciplining a certain health care practitioner; prohibiting a health occupations board, under certain circumstances, from finding that a certain health care practitioner violated any record-keeping, billing, or other regulatory requirements for acts or omissions that arise from professional differences of opinion; prohibiting certain standards for coordination of care or referral to a medical specialist, or other standards of managing patient care, from being higher for a certain health care practitioner than for any other health care practitioner; prohibiting an official, employee, or agent of the State from blocking or attempting to block a patient's access to certain diagnostic or treatment methods under certain circumstances; requiring, except under certain circumstances, that a certain panel of peer reviewers include at least one reviewer with certain training, competence, and experience in certain methods; requiring that a certain panel of peer reviewers in certain cases include, under certain circumstances, at least one reviewer with certain training, competence, and experience in integrative medicine; prohibiting a certain board from disciplining a licensee or certificate holder in a certain standard of care case except under certain circumstances; defining a certain term; and generally relating to disciplinary actions for diagnostic evaluation and treatment of patients.

BY adding to

1	Article – Health Occupations
$\frac{2}{3}$	Section 1–225
3 4	Annotated Code of Maryland (2014 Replacement Volume and 2019 Supplement)
1	(2011 Replacement Volume and 2010 Supplement)
5	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
6	Article – Health Occupations
7	Section 1–604
8	Annotated Code of Maryland
9	(2014 Replacement Volume and 2019 Supplement)
10	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
11	That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
12	Article - Health Occupations
13	1-225.
14	(A) IN THIS SECTION, "DISCIPLINE" INCLUDES:
15	(1) REPRIMANDING A HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER;
10	
16	(2) REVOKING, FAILING TO RENEW, OR SUSPENDING A HEALTH CARE
17	PRACTITIONER'S LICENSE; AND
18	(3) TAKING ACTION AGAINST A HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER'S
19	MEDICARE OR MEDICAID CERTIFICATION.
20	(B) (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, A
21	HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD UNDER THIS ARTICLE MAY NOT DISCIPLINE A
22	HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER LICENSED UNDER THIS ARTICLE BECAUSE OF THE
23	HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER'S USE OF A DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION OR TREATMENT
$\frac{24}{24}$	OF A PATIENT THAT IS INTEGRATIVE, COMPLEMENTARY, ALTERNATIVE, OR
25	NONCONVENTIONAL IF:
26	(I) THE HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER DISCLOSES TO THE
27	PATIENT THE NATURE OF THE DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION OR TREATMENT,
28	INCLUDING THAT THE DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION OR TREATMENT IS:
29	1. INTEGRATIVE;
30	2. Complementary;
31	3. ALTERNATIVE; OR
o_{T}	o. militarity on

4. Nonconventional; and

- 2 (II) THE HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER OBTAINS WRITTEN
- 3 CONSENT FROM THE PATIENT OR, IF THE PATIENT IS UNABLE TO CONSENT BECAUSE
- 4 THE PATIENT IS A MINOR OR OTHERWISE UNABLE TO CONSENT, THE PATIENT'S
- 5 PARENT, GUARDIAN, OR LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE, BEFORE PERFORMING THE
- 6 DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION OR TREATMENT.
- 7 (2) A HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD UNDER THIS ARTICLE MAY
- 8 DISCIPLINE A HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER WHO WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM
- 9 DISCIPLINE UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION IF THE HEALTH
- 10 OCCUPATIONS BOARD DETERMINES:
- 11 (I) 1. THAT THE DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR
- 12 TREATMENT HAS A SIGNIFICANT SAFETY RISK GREATER THAN THE CONVENTIONAL
- 13 METHODS; AND

1

- 2. That the risk is not outweighed by the
- 15 POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF THE EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT; OR
- 16 (II) BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE, THAT THE HEALTH
- 17 CARE PRACTITIONER KNEW THAT THE DIAGNOSTIC OR TREATMENT METHOD DID
- 18 NOT HAVE A REASONABLE BASIS AND WAS INTENDED TO DEFRAUD THE PATIENT.
- 19 (C) A HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD UNDER THIS ARTICLE MAY NOT USE
- 20 THE USE OF A DRUG, DEVICE, BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT, OR METHOD THAT HAS NOT
- 21 BEEN APPROVED BY THE FEDERAL FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION AS A BASIS
- 22 FOR DISCIPLINING A HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER WHO IS EXEMPT FROM
- 23 DISCIPLINE UNDER SUBSECTION (B)(1) OF THIS SECTION.
- 24 (D) A HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD UNDER THIS ARTICLE MAY NOT FIND
- 25 That a health care practitioner who is exempt from discipline under
- 26 SUBSECTION (B)(1) OF THIS SECTION VIOLATED ANY RECORD-KEEPING, BILLING,
- 27 OR OTHER REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR ACTS OR OMISSIONS THAT ARISE
- 28 FROM PROFESSIONAL DIFFERENCES OF OPINION IF THE HEALTH CARE
- 29 **PRACTITIONER:**

33

- 30 (1) HAS ACTED IN GOOD FAITH TO COMPLY WITH THE INTENT OF THE
- 31 REQUIREMENTS; AND
- 32 (2) HAS NOT ACTED IN A WAY THAT IS FALSE OR MISLEADING.
 - (E) ANY STANDARDS FOR COORDINATION OF CARE OR REFERRAL TO A

- 1 MEDICAL SPECIALIST, OR OTHER STANDARDS OF MANAGING PATIENT CARE, MAY
- 2 NOT BE HIGHER FOR A HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER DELIVERING A DIAGNOSTIC
- 3 EVALUATION OR TREATMENT DESCRIBED UNDER SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION
- 4 THAN FOR ANY OTHER HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER.
- 5 (F) AN OFFICIAL, EMPLOYEE, OR AGENT OF THE STATE MAY NOT BLOCK OR 6 ATTEMPT TO BLOCK A PATIENT'S ACCESS TO A DIAGNOSTIC OR TREATMENT METHOD
- 7 DESCRIBED UNDER SUBSECTION (B)(1)(I) OF THIS SECTION IF THE HEALTH CARE
 - PRACTITIONER WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM DISCIPLINE UNDER THIS SECTION.
- 9 1–604.

8

14

- 10 (a) If a statute authorizes a health occupations board to use a system of peer 11 review in standard of care cases and the peer reviewer or peer reviewers determine that 12 there has been a violation of a standard of care, the board shall provide the licensee or 13 certificate holder under investigation with an opportunity to review the final peer review
 - report and provide the board with a written response within 10 business days after the
- 15 report was sent to the licensee or certificate holder.
- 16 (b) If a health occupations board receives a written response to a final peer review report, the board shall consider both the report and response before taking any action.
- 18 (C) (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED UNDER PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS
- 19 SUBSECTION, IF A STATUTE AUTHORIZES A HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD TO USE A
- 20 SYSTEM OF PEER REVIEW IN STANDARD OF CARE CASES AND THE BOARD USES A
- $21 \quad \text{PANEL OF PEER REVIEWERS TO DETERMINE WHETHER A LICENSEE OR CERTIFICATE}$
- 22 HOLDER HAS VIOLATED THE STANDARD OF CARE IN THE TREATMENT OF A PATIENT,
- 23 THE PANEL SHALL INCLUDE:
- 24 (I) AT LEAST ONE REVIEWER WITH DEMONSTRATED TRAINING,
- 25 COMPETENCE, AND EXPERIENCE IN THE SAME METHODS USED BY THE LICENSEE OR
- 26 CERTIFICATE HOLDER UNDER REVIEW; OR
- 27 (II) IN A STANDARD OF CARE CASE INVOLVING THE USE OF A
- 28 DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION OR TREATMENT THAT IS INTEGRATIVE,
- 29 COMPLEMENTARY, ALTERNATIVE, OR NONCONVENTIONAL, IF THE REQUIREMENT
- 30 OF ITEM (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH DOES NOT APPLY OR THERE IS NO PEER REVIEWER
- 31 AVAILABLE WHO SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS OF ITEM (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH,
- 32 AT LEAST ONE REVIEWER WITH DEMONSTRATED TRAINING, COMPETENCE, AND
- 33 EXPERIENCE IN INTEGRATIVE MEDICINE.
- 34 (2) THE REQUIREMENT UNDER PARAGRAPH (1)(I) OF THIS
- 35 SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY IF, AFTER A GOOD FAITH INQUIRY, THE HEALTH
- 36 OCCUPATIONS BOARD FINDS THAT THE METHODS OF THE LICENSEE OR

- 1 CERTIFICATE HOLDER UNDER REVIEW HAVE NOT BEEN:
- 2 (I) ADOPTED BY ANY PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION;
- 3 (II) TAUGHT IN A CATEGORY 1 CONTINUING MEDICAL 4 EDUCATION PROGRAM;
- 5 (III) THE SUBJECT OF A FAVORABLE PEER-REVIEWED
- 6 PUBLICATION; OR
- 7 (IV) ADOPTED BY ANY MINORITY COMMUNITY OF PHYSICIANS.
- 8 (3) A HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD MAY NOT DISCIPLINE A
- 9 LICENSEE OR CERTIFICATE HOLDER IN A STANDARD OF CARE CASE INVOLVING THE
- 10 USE OF A DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION OR TREATMENT THAT IS INTEGRATIVE,
- 11 COMPLEMENTARY, ALTERNATIVE, OR NONCONVENTIONAL IN WHICH THE BOARD
- 12 CONVENED A PANEL OF PEER REVIEWERS UNDER THIS SUBSECTION UNLESS THE
- 13 PEER REVIEWERS UNANIMOUSLY AGREE THAT THE LICENSEE OR CERTIFICATE
- 14 HOLDER VIOLATED THE STANDARD OF CARE.
- 15 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July
- 16 1, 2020.