HOUSE BILL 138

 $\mathbf{2}$

By: **Delegate Conaway** Introduced and read first time: January 27, 2015 Assigned to: Judiciary and Appropriations

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

Higher Education – Sexual Assault Policy – "Yes Means Yes"

3 FOR the purpose of requiring, by a certain date, that a policy on sexual assault adopted by 4 the governing board of each institution of higher education include a certain $\mathbf{5}$ affirmative consent standard; requiring a certain written policy on sexual assault to 6 include a certain standard and certain statements; requiring a certain sexual assault 7 policy to provide that certain circumstances negate a valid excuse to an alleged lack 8 of affirmative consent; providing that the standard used in a certain determination is the preponderance of evidence; defining a certain term; and generally relating to 9 the sexual assault policy at institutions of higher education. 10

- 11 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 12 Article Education
- 13 Section 11–601(a)
- 14 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 15 (2014 Replacement Volume and 2014 Supplement)
- 16 BY adding to
- 17 Article Education
- 18 Section 11–602
- 19 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 20 (2014 Replacement Volume and 2014 Supplement)
- 21 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,
- 22 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
- 23

Article – Education

24 11-601.

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



HOUSE BILL 138

1 (a) (1) By August 1, 1993, the governing board of each institution of higher 2 education shall adopt and submit to the Commission a written policy on sexual assault.

3 (2) The policy adopted under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall apply 4 to each student, faculty member, and employee of the institution and inform the students, 5 faculty members, and employees of their rights and duties under the policy.

6 (3) BY AUGUST 1, 2016, A POLICY ADOPTED UNDER THIS SECTION 7 SHALL INCLUDE THE AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT STANDARD DESCRIBED IN § 11–602 OF 8 THIS SUBTITLE.

9 **11–602.**

10 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT" MEANS AFFIRMATIVE, 11 CONSCIOUS, AND VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL ACTIVITY.

12 (B) ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 1, 2016, THE GOVERNING BOARD OF EACH 13 INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION, IN ITS WRITTEN POLICY CONCERNING SEXUAL 14 ASSAULT, SHALL INCLUDE:

15(1) AN AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT STANDARD IN THE DETERMINATION16OF WHETHER CONSENT WAS GIVEN BY BOTH PARTIES TO SEXUAL ACTIVITY; AND

17 (2) A STATEMENT THAT:

18(I)IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF EACH INDIVIDUAL INVOLVED19IN THE SEXUAL ACTIVITY TO ENSURE THAT THE INDIVIDUAL HAS THE AFFIRMATIVE20CONSENT OF THE OTHER OR OTHERS TO ENGAGE IN THE SEXUAL ACTIVITY;

21 (II) LACK OF PROTEST, RESISTANCE, OR SILENCE DOES NOT 22 MEAN CONSENT;

(III) AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT MUST BE ONGOING THROUGHOUT A
 SEXUAL ACTIVITY AND CAN BE REVOKED AT ANY TIME; AND

(IV) THE EXISTENCE OF A DATING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE
INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED, OR THE FACT OF PAST SEXUAL ACTIVITY BETWEEN THE
INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED, SHOULD NEVER BY ITSELF BE ASSUMED TO BE AN
INDICATOR OF CONSENT.

29 (C) THE POLICY DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (B) OF THIS SECTION SHALL 30 PROVIDE THAT:

 $\mathbf{2}$

1(1)IT IS NOT A VALID EXCUSE TO AN ALLEGED LACK OF AFFIRMATIVE2CONSENT THAT THE ACCUSED BELIEVED THAT THE COMPLAINANT CONSENTED TO3THE SEXUAL ACTIVITY IF:

4 (I) THE ACCUSED'S BELIEF AROSE FROM THE INTOXICATION 5 OR RECKLESSNESS OF THE ACCUSED;

6 (II) THE ACCUSED'S BELIEF AROSE FROM A FAILURE TO TAKE
7 REASONABLE STEPS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER THE COMPLAINANT AFFIRMATIVELY
8 CONSENTED; OR

9 (III) THE ACCUSED KNEW OR REASONABLY SHOULD HAVE 10 KNOWN THAT THE COMPLAINANT WAS UNABLE TO CONSENT TO THE SEXUAL 11 ACTIVITY BECAUSE THE COMPLAINANT WAS:

12 **1.** ASLEEP OR UNCONSCIOUS;

132.INCAPACITATED DUE TO THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS,14ALCOHOL, OR MEDICATION, SO THAT THE COMPLAINANT COULD NOT UNDERSTAND15THE FACT, NATURE, OR EXTENT OF THE SEXUAL ACTIVITY; OR

163.UNABLE TO COMMUNICATE DUE TO A MENTAL OR17PHYSICAL CONDITION; AND

18 (2) THE STANDARD USED TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE ELEMENTS 19 OF THE COMPLAINT AGAINST THE ACCUSED HAVE BEEN DEMONSTRATED IS THE 20 PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE.

21 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 22 October 1, 2015.