J2, J1 8lr3149 CF SB 950

By: Delegates Carey, Beidle, Frush, Krimm, Moon, Rose, and Stein

Introduced and read first time: February 9, 2018 Assigned to: Health and Government Operations

## A BILL ENTITLED

	A TAT		•
L	AN	ACT	concerning

2 3

## Health Occupations – Treatment of Lyme Disease and Other Tick–Borne Diseases – Disciplinary Actions

FOR the purpose of prohibiting a certain health practitioner from being disciplined under 4 certain circumstances solely because of the health practitioner's diagnostic 5 6 evaluation, testing, or treatment of Lyme disease or another tick-borne disease in a 7 patient; authorizing a certain disciplinary body to discipline a certain health 8 practitioner if the body makes a certain determination; prohibiting the use of a 9 certain drug, device, biological product, or method from being the basis for a disciplinary action against a certain health practitioner; prohibiting a certain health 10 11 practitioner from being found to have violated any record-keeping, billing, or other 12 regulatory requirements for acts or omissions that arise under certain 13 circumstances; requiring, except under certain circumstances, that a certain panel of peer reviewers include at least one reviewer with certain training, competence, 14 and experience; and generally relating to disciplinary actions for treatment of Lyme 15 16 disease and other tick-borne diseases.

17 BY adding to

23

18 Article – Health Occupations

19 Section 1–224

20 Annotated Code of Maryland

21 (2014 Replacement Volume and 2017 Supplement)

22 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

Article – Health Occupations

24 Section 1–604

25 Annotated Code of Maryland

26 (2014 Replacement Volume and 2017 Supplement)

27 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,

28 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:



25

26

## **Article - Health Occupations** 1 2 1-224.3 (A) **(1)** EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, A HEALTH PRACTITIONER LICENSED UNDER THIS ARTICLE MAY NOT BE DISCIPLINED 4 UNDER THIS ARTICLE SOLELY BECAUSE OF THE HEALTH PRACTITIONER'S 5 6 DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT OF LYME DISEASE OR ANOTHER TICK-BORNE DISEASE IN A PATIENT IF: 7 8 **(I)** THE DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT 9 IS: 10 1. INTEGRATIVE; 2. 11 COMPLEMENTARY; 12 3. ALTERNATIVE; 13 4. NONTRADITIONAL; OR 14 **5.** NONCONVENTIONAL; 15 (II)THE HEALTH PRACTITIONER DISCLOSES TO THE PATIENT: 16 1. THAT THE DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR 17 TREATMENT IS: 18 Α. INTEGRATIVE; 19 В. **COMPLEMENTARY**; C. 20 **ALTERNATIVE**; 21D. NONTRADITIONAL; OR 22Ε. NONCONVENTIONAL; 2. 23 THE KNOWN RISKS AND BENEFITS OF THE PROPOSED 24 DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT;

STATUS OF THE PROPOSED DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT IF

3.

THE FEDERAL FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

- 1 THE EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT USES A DRUG, DEVICE, OR BIOLOGICAL
- 2 PRODUCT;
- 3 4. THAT THE PROPOSED DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION,
- 4 TESTING, OR TREATMENT:
- 5 A. MAY NOT BE WIDELY RECOGNIZED WITHIN THE
- 6 MEDICAL PROFESSION; OR
- B. MAY BE SUBJECT TO DISAGREEMENT AMONG
- 8 QUALIFIED MEDICAL EXPERTS;
- 9 5. THE HEALTH PRACTITIONER'S EDUCATION.
- 10 EXPERIENCE, AND CREDENTIALS IN PERFORMING THE PROPOSED DIAGNOSTIC
- 11 EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT; AND
- 12 6. A. THE MEDICAL SPECIALTIES THAT MAY
- 13 PROVIDE THE PATIENT WITH OTHER OPTIONS IF THE PATIENT HAS A POTENTIALLY
- 14 SIGNIFICANT CONDITION AND THE PATIENT HAS NOT YET BEEN PROVIDED WITH A
- 15 CONVENTIONAL DIAGNOSIS OR TREATMENT BY THE PHYSICIAN OR A SPECIALIST
- 16 FOR THE PATIENT'S CONDITION; AND
- 17 B. The significant known risks of deferring
- 18 WIDELY ACCEPTED TREATMENTS, IF ANY, FOR THE PATIENT'S CONDITION; AND
- 19 (III) THE HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONER INCLUDES IN THE
- 20 PATIENT'S MEDICAL RECORD DOCUMENTATION OF THE DISCLOSURE MADE UNDER
- 21 ITEM (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH AND ANY WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE PATIENT
- 22 REGARDING THE DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT.
- 23 (2) A HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD UNDER THIS ARTICLE MAY
- 24 DISCIPLINE A HEALTH PRACTITIONER WHO OTHERWISE WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM
- 25 DISCIPLINE UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION IF THE HEALTH
- 26 OCCUPATIONS BOARD DETERMINES:
- 27 (I) THAT THE DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, TESTING, OR
- 28 TREATMENT HAS A SIGNIFICANT SAFETY RISK GREATER THAN THE CONVENTIONAL
- 29 METHODS; AND

32

- 30 (II) THAT THE RISK IS NOT OUTWEIGHED BY THE POTENTIAL
- 31 BENEFITS OF THE EVALUATION, TESTING, OR TREATMENT.
  - (B) THE USE OF A DRUG, DEVICE, BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT, OR METHOD THAT

- HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED BY THE FEDERAL FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION 1
- 2 MAY NOT BE A BASIS FOR A DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST A HEALTH
- 3 PRACTITIONER WHO IS EXEMPT FROM DISCIPLINE UNDER SUBSECTION (A)(1) OF
- 4 THIS SECTION.

OF THE REQUIREMENTS.

- 5 A HEALTH PRACTITIONER WHO IS EXEMPT FROM DISCIPLINE UNDER 6 SUBSECTION (A)(1) OF THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE FOUND TO HAVE VIOLATED ANY 7 RECORD-KEEPING, BILLING, OR OTHER REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR ACTS OR 8 OMISSIONS THAT ARISE FROM PROFESSIONAL DIFFERENCES OF OPINION IF THE 9 HEALTH PRACTITIONER HAS ACTED IN GOOD FAITH TO COMPLY WITH THE INTENT
- 11 1-604.

10

- 12 If a statute authorizes a health occupations board to use a system of peer review in standard of care cases and the peer reviewer or peer reviewers determine that 13 there has been a violation of a standard of care, the board shall provide the licensee or 14 certificate holder under investigation with an opportunity to review the final peer review 15 report and provide the board with a written response within 10 business days after the 16 17 report was sent to the licensee or certificate holder.
- 18 If a health occupations board receives a written response to a final peer review 19 report, the board shall consider both the report and response before taking any action.
- 20 EXCEPT AS PROVIDED UNDER PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS 21SUBSECTION, IF A STATUTE AUTHORIZES A HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARD TO USE A 22SYSTEM OF PEER REVIEW IN STANDARD OF CARE CASES AND THE BOARD USES A 23PANEL OF PEER REVIEWERS TO DETERMINE WHETHER A LICENSEE OR CERTIFICATE 24HOLDER HAS VIOLATED THE STANDARD OF CARE IN THE TREATMENT OF A PATIENT 25 WITH LYME DISEASE OR ANOTHER TICK-BORNE DISEASE, THE PANEL SHALL 26 INCLUDE AT LEAST ONE REVIEWER WITH DEMONSTRATED TRAINING, COMPETENCE, 27 AND EXPERIENCE IN THE SAME METHODS USED BY THE LICENSEE OR CERTIFICATE
- 28 HOLDER UNDER REVIEW.
- 29 THE REQUIREMENT UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY IF, AFTER A GOOD FAITH INQUIRY, THE HEALTH OCCUPATIONS 30 BOARD FINDS THAT THE METHODS OF THE LICENSEE OR CERTIFICATE HOLDER 31
- 32 UNDER REVIEW HAVE NOT BEEN ADOPTED BY:
- 33 **(I)** ANY PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION;
- 34 (II) $\mathbf{A}$ CATEGORY 1 CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION
- 35 PROGRAM; OR

## 1 (III) ANY OTHER MINORITY COMMUNITY OF PHYSICIANS.

2 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 3 October 1, 2018.