# HOUSE BILL 1142

D3,	, E4					CF	8lr3193 SB 720
By:	Delegates	Malone,	Atterbeary,	Aumann,	Carozza,	Ciliberti,	Cluster,

Corderman, Kipke, Kittleman, McComas, Metzgar, and Shoemaker Introduced and read first time: February 8, 2018 Assigned to: Judiciary

### A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Interception of Oral Communication – Law Enforcement Officer

- 3 FOR the purpose of repealing a requirement that a law enforcement officer provide a 4 certain notice to an individual who is being recorded in a certain manner in order to lawfully intercept a certain oral communication; and generally relating to the  $\mathbf{5}$
- 6 interception of oral communication by a law enforcement officer.
- 7 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
- 8 Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 9 Section 10-402(a)
- 10 Annotated Code of Maryland
- (2013 Replacement Volume and 2017 Supplement) 11
- 12BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 13Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings
- 14 Section 10-402(c)(11)
- Annotated Code of Maryland 15
- (2013 Replacement Volume and 2017 Supplement) 16

#### SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, 1718 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

19

## **Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings**

2010 - 402.

21Except as otherwise specifically provided in this subtitle it is unlawful for any (a) 22person to:

23

(1)Willfully intercept, endeavor to intercept, or procure any other person

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



### HOUSE BILL 1142

1 to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;  $\mathbf{2}$ Willfully disclose, or endeavor to disclose, to any other person the (2)3 contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know 4 that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subtitle; or  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 (3)Willfully use, or endeavor to use, the contents of any wire, oral, or 7electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was 8 obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subtitle. 9 10 (c) (11)In this paragraph the following words have the meanings (i) 1. 11 indicated. 2.12"Body-worn digital recording device" means a device worn 13on the person of a law enforcement officer that is capable of recording video and intercepting 14 oral communications. "Electronic control device" has the meaning stated in § 153. 4–109 of the Criminal Law Article. 1617(ii) It is lawful under this subtitle for a law enforcement officer in 18 the course of the officer's regular duty to intercept an oral communication with a 19body-worn digital recording device or an electronic control device capable of recording video 20and oral communications if: 211. The law enforcement officer is in uniform or prominently 22displaying the officer's badge or other insignia; 232.The law enforcement officer is making reasonable efforts 24to conform to standards in accordance with § 3–511 of the Public Safety Article for the use 25of body-worn digital recording devices or electronic control devices capable of recording video and oral communications; 2627The law enforcement officer is a party to the oral 3. 28communication; AND 29Law enforcement notifies, as soon as is practicable, the 4. 30 individual that the individual is being recorded, unless it is unsafe, impractical, or 31impossible to do so; and 32 5. The oral interception is being made as part of a videotape 33 or digital recording. SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 3435 October 1. 2018.

 $\mathbf{2}$