## **HOUSE BILL 1123**

M3 2lr3206 CF SB 636

By: Delegate Mizeur

Introduced and read first time: February 10, 2012

Assigned to: Environmental Matters

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments House action: Adopted with floor amendments

Read second time: March 16, 2012

CHAPTER
---------

1 AN ACT concerning

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Environment - Presumptive Impact Areas - Damage Contamination Caused by Gas Wells in Deep Shale Deposits

FOR the purpose of establishing for certain gas well permits a certain presumptive impact area around the gas well; establishing limits on the area and the time period in which a presumptive impact area shall be in effect; requiring the Department of the Environment to consider certain factors in making certain determinations; requiring a permittee to replace a certain water supply and repair certain damage or pay monetary compensation to a certain property owner in a presumptive impact area under certain circumstances; establishing certain conditions under which a certain water supply within a presumptive impact area shall be considered to be replaced adequately by the permittee; establishing a certain condition under which certain property damage within a presumptive impact area shall be considered to be repaired adequately by the permittee; requiring a permittee to pay certain compensation to a certain property owner under certain circumstances; authorizing the permittee to avoid restoration under certain circumstances; prohibiting the Department from requiring a permittee to replace a water supply or repair or compensate an owner for other damage under certain circumstances; requiring authorizing the Department to adopt certain regulations; establishing that a certain presumption of causation does not apply under certain circumstances; providing that a certain presumption of causation applies in certain civil actions; providing that the presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence; stating certain legislative findings and intent; defining a certain term;

## EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.



33 34

AREAS.

$\frac{1}{2}$	providing for the construction of this Act; and generally relating to damage contamination caused by certain activities of gas exploration or production.								
3 4 5 6 7	BY adding to Article – Environment Section 14–110.1 and 14–110.2 Annotated Code of Maryland (2007 Replacement Volume and 2011 Supplement)								
8 9	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:								
10	Article – Environment								
11	14–110.1.								
12	(A) THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS THAT:								
13 14 15 16 17	(1) IN CERTAIN REGIONS OF THE STATE ACTIVITIES RELATING TO EXPLORATION FOR OR PRODUCTION OF GAS FROM DEEP SHALE DEPOSITS HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO CONTAMINATE WATER SUPPLY WELLS SOURCES AND TO RESULT IN OTHER DAMAGE TO LANDOWNERS IN THE VICINITY OF A GAS WELL; AND								
18 19 20 21	(2) It is reasonable to presume that activities of GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ARE THE CAUSE OF CONTAMINATION OF A WATER SUPPLY WELL SOURCE WITH METHANE OR OTHER POLLUTANTS AND OTHER DAMAGE IF:								
22 23	(i) The water supply $\frac{\text{Source}}{\text{Source}}$ is within $\frac{2,640}{2,500}$ feet of the vertical wellbore; and								
24 25 26	(II) THE CONTAMINATION OCCURS WITHIN 365 DAYS OF THE LAST EVENT OF WELL DRILLING, COMPLETION, OR HYDRAULIC FRACTURING.								
27 28 29 30 31 32	(B) IT IS THE INTENT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO PROTECT AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS IN AREAS OF THE STATE WHERE DEEP SHALE DEPOSITS, INCLUDING THE MARCELLUS SHALE AND THE UTICA SHALE, ARE FOUND BY ESTABLISHING PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREAS AROUND GAS WELLS AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT TO ADMINISTER A PROGRAM REQUIRING PERMITTEES TO REPAIR DAMAGE REPLACE CONTAMINATED WATER SUPPLIES								

 $\underline{\textbf{SUPPLY SOURCES}} \textbf{OR COMPENSATE AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS IN THOSE}$ 

1 **14–110.2.** 

22

23

24

25

26

- 2 (A) IN THIS SECTION, "WATER SUPPLY SOURCE" MEANS A WELL,
  3 SPRING, SPRING-FED POND, RESERVOIR, STREAM, OR ANY OTHER SOURCE OF
  4 WATER USED FOR DRINKING OR FOR LIVESTOCK.
- 5 (B) FOR EACH PERMIT THE DEPARTMENT ISSUES UNDER THIS
  6 SUBTITLE TO DRILL A WELL FOR THE EXPLORATION OR PRODUCTION OF GAS IN
  7 DEEP SHALE DEPOSITS, THERE IS A PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA AROUND THE
  8 GAS WELL IN WHICH IT IS PRESUMED THAT CONTAMINATION OF A WATER
  9 SUPPLY WELL SOURCE AND OTHER DAMAGE TO REAL OR PERSONAL PROPERTY
  10 WERE WAS CAUSED BY THE ACTIVITIES OF GAS EXPLORATION OR PRODUCTION.
- 11 (B) (C) THE PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA SHALL BE IN EFFECT:
- 12 **(1)** WITHIN A RADIUS OF 2,640 2,500 FEET FROM THE VERTICAL WELLBORE; AND
- 14 (2) FOR 365 DAYS AFTER THE LAST EVENT OF WELL DRILLING, 15 COMPLETION, OR HYDRAULIC FRACTURING.
- 16 (C) (D) WITHIN A PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA ESTABLISHED UNDER
  17 SUBSECTIONS (A) (B) AND (B) (C) OF THIS SECTION, THE PERMITTEE SHALL:
- 18 (1) REPLACE REPLACE, AT NO EXPENSE TO AN OWNER OF REAL
  19 PROPERTY IN THE PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA, A WATER SUPPLY THAT IS
  20 DAMAGED CONTAMINATED AS A RESULT OF THE PERMITTEE'S DRILLING OR
  21 OPERATION OF THE GAS WELL; AND
  - (2) ON A DETERMINATION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PROXIMATE CAUSE AFTER THE PERMITTEE HAS RECEIVED NOTICE AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND AND PROVIDE INFORMATION, PAY MONETARY COMPENSATION TO AN AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNER, OR REPAIR ANY PROPERTY DAMAGE CAUSED AS A RESULT OF THE DRILLING OR OPERATION OF THE PERMITTEE'S GAS WELL.
- 27<del>(D)</del> (E) A WATER SUPPLY WITHIN A PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA THAT NO LONGER YIELDS POTABLE WATER AS A RESULT OF THE DRILLING OR 28 29 OPERATION OF A GAS WELL SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE REPLACED ADEQUATELY BY A PERMITTEE IF THE PERMITTEE PROVIDES FOR THE 30 31 AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNER A NEW OR RETROFITTED WELL OR OTHER 32ALTERNATIVE WATER SUPPLY THAT IS CAPABLE OF YIELDING POTABLE WATER 33 EQUAL TO THE VOLUME USED OR NEEDED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER BEFORE 34 THE DAMAGE TO CONTAMINATION OF THE WATER SUPPLY.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

35

1	<del>(E)</del> <u>(F)</u>	<del>(1)</del>	REAL	<del>OR</del>	PERSON	<del>IAL P</del>	ROPERT	<del>Y WII</del>	HIN	A
2	<b>PRESUMPTIVE</b>	-IMPAC	<del>- AREA</del>	<b>FOUND</b>			RTMENT			EEN
3	DAMAGED AS A									ALL
4	BE CONSIDERI	ED TO	BE REP	AIRED	ADEQUAT	FELY B	<del>Y A PEI</del>	MITTEE	IF '	THE
5		TURNS		<del>MAGED</del>	-PROPEI			<del>VDITION</del>	BEF(	
6	THE DAMAGE									

- (2) IF THE DAMAGED REAL OR PERSONAL PROPERTY IS NOT CAPABLE OF BEING RESTORED TO ITS CONDITION BEFORE THE DAMAGE, THE PERMITTEE SHALL COMPENSATE THE OWNER OF THE REAL OR PERSONAL PROPERTY MONETARILY BY THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF THE PROPERTY AS A RESULT OF THE DAMAGE AND THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF THE PROPERTY AS A RESULT OF THE DAMAGE.
- 14 (3) NOTWITHSTANDING THE OTHER PROVISIONS OF THIS
  15 SUBSECTION, THE THE PERMITTEE AND THE PROPERTY OWNER MAY AGREE ON
  16 MONETARY COMPENSATION OR OTHER MITIGATION INSTEAD OF RESTORATION.
- 17 (F) (G) THE DEPARTMENT MAY NOT REQUIRE A PERMITTEE TO
  18 REPLACE A WATER SUPPLY OR REPAIR OR COMPENSATE AN OWNER FOR OTHER
  19 DAMAGE, AS PROVIDED IN THIS SECTION, IF THE PERMITTEE DEMONSTRATES
  20 TO THE DEPARTMENT BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT:
- 21 (1) THE PROXIMATE CAUSE OF THE DAMAGE CONTAMINATION IS 22 NOT THE RESULT OF ACTIVITIES RELATING TO THE GAS WELL; OR
- 23 (2) THE CONTAMINATION OR DAMAGE EXISTED BEFORE THE 24 COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES ALLOWED BY THE PERMIT AND WAS NOT 25 WORSENED BY THOSE ACTIVITIES.
- 26 (G) (H) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL MAY ADOPT REGULATIONS TO 27 IMPLEMENT THIS SECTION.
- 28 (H) (I) THE PRESUMPTION OF CAUSATION ESTABLISHED UNDER THIS
  29 SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO CONTAMINATION OF A WATER SUPPLY WELL
  30 SOURCE IF:
- 31 (1) THE PERMIT APPLICANT REQUESTS THE PERMISSION OF THE
  32 LANDOWNER TO SAMPLE AND TEST THE WATER SUPPLY WELL SOURCE BEFORE
  33 COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND TO PROVIDE THE LANDOWNER WITH A
  34 COMPLETE COPY OF THE TEST RESULTS; AND
  - (2) THE LANDOWNER REFUSES PERMISSION.

1	(H) (J) THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO AFFECT ANY
2	COMMON LAW REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO A PROPERTY OWNER.
3	(J) $(K)$ (1) The presumption of causation established
4	UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL APPLY IN:
5	(I) A PROCEEDING FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW UNDER § 14–117
6	OF THIS SUBTITLE;
7	(II) AN ACTION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER § 14–118 OF
8	THIS SUBTITLE; OR
9	(III) A CIVIL ACTION FOR DAMAGES OR EQUITABLE RELIEF
10	BROUGHT BY A PROPERTY OWNER AGAINST A PERMITTEE.
11	(2) THE PRESUMPTION MAY BE REBUTTED BY CLEAR AND
12	CONVINCING EVIDENCE.
13 14	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2012.
14	October 1, 2012.
	Approved:
	Governor.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.
	President of the Senate.