## HOUSE BILL 1123

M3

By: Delegate Mizeur

Introduced and read first time: February 10, 2012 Assigned to: Environmental Matters

## A BILL ENTITLED

## 1 AN ACT concerning

## Environment - Presumptive Impact Areas - Damage Caused by Gas Wells in Deep Shale Deposits

4 FOR the purpose of establishing for certain gas well permits a certain presumptive  $\mathbf{5}$ impact area around the gas well; establishing limits on the area and the time 6 period in which a presumptive impact area shall be in effect; requiring the 7 Department of the Environment to consider certain factors in making certain 8 determinations; requiring a permittee to replace a certain water supply and 9 repair certain damage or pay monetary compensation to a certain property owner in a presumptive impact area under certain circumstances; establishing 10 certain conditions under which a certain water supply within a presumptive 11 12impact area shall be considered to be replaced adequately by the permittee; 13 establishing a certain condition under which certain property damage within a presumptive impact area shall be considered to be repaired adequately by the 1415permittee; requiring a permittee to pay certain compensation to a certain 16 property owner under certain circumstances; prohibiting the Department from 17requiring a permittee to replace a water supply or repair or compensate an 18 owner for other damage under certain circumstances; requiring the Department 19to adopt certain regulations; establishing that a certain presumption of 20causation does not apply under certain circumstances; providing that a certain presumption of causation applies in certain civil actions; providing that the 2122presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence; stating certain 23legislative findings and intent; providing for the construction of this Act; and 24generally relating to damage caused by certain activities of gas exploration or 25production.

- 26 BY adding to
- 27 Article Environment
- 28 Section 14–110.1 and 14–110.2
- 29 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 30 (2007 Replacement Volume and 2011 Supplement)

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



HOUSE BILL 1123

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1 2MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: 3 Article – Environment 14–110.1. 4 THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS THAT: (A)  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 IN CERTAIN REGIONS OF THE STATE ACTIVITIES RELATING TO (1) 7EXPLORATION FOR OR PRODUCTION OF GAS FROM DEEP SHALE DEPOSITS HAVE 8 THE POTENTIAL TO CONTAMINATE WATER SUPPLY WELLS AND TO RESULT IN 9 OTHER DAMAGE TO LANDOWNERS IN THE VICINITY OF A GAS WELL; AND 10 (2) IT IS REASONABLE TO PRESUME THAT ACTIVITIES OF GAS EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION ARE THE CAUSE OF CONTAMINATION OF A 11 12WATER SUPPLY WELL WITH METHANE OR OTHER POLLUTANTS AND OTHER 13 **DAMAGE IF:** 14**(I)** THE WATER SUPPLY WELL IS WITHIN 2,640 FEET OF 15THE VERTICAL WELLBORE; AND 16 THE CONTAMINATION OCCURS WITHIN 365 DAYS OF (II) 17THE LAST EVENT OF WELL DRILLING, COMPLETION, OR HYDRAULIC 18 FRACTURING. IT IS THE INTENT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO PROTECT 19 **(**B**)** 20 AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS IN AREAS OF THE STATE WHERE DEEP SHALE DEPOSITS, INCLUDING THE MARCELLUS SHALE AND THE UTICA SHALE, ARE 2122FOUND BY ESTABLISHING PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREAS AROUND GAS WELLS AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT TO ADMINISTER A PROGRAM REQUIRING 23PERMITTEES TO REPAIR DAMAGE OR COMPENSATE AFFECTED PROPERTY 2425**OWNERS IN THOSE AREAS.** 2614-110.2. 27FOR EACH PERMIT THE DEPARTMENT ISSUES UNDER THIS (A) 28SUBTITLE TO DRILL A WELL FOR THE EXPLORATION OR PRODUCTION OF GAS IN 29DEEP SHALE DEPOSITS, THERE IS A PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA AROUND THE 30 GAS WELL IN WHICH IT IS PRESUMED THAT CONTAMINATION OF A WATER SUPPLY WELL AND OTHER DAMAGE TO REAL OR PERSONAL PROPERTY WERE 31

32 CAUSED BY THE ACTIVITIES OF GAS EXPLORATION OR PRODUCTION.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

(B) THE PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA SHALL BE IN EFFECT:

1

2 (1) WITHIN A RADIUS OF 2,640 FEET FROM THE VERTICAL 3 WELLBORE; AND

4 (2) FOR 365 DAYS AFTER THE LAST EVENT OF WELL DRILLING, 5 COMPLETION, OR HYDRAULIC FRACTURING.

6 (C) WITHIN A PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA ESTABLISHED UNDER 7 SUBSECTIONS (A) AND (B) OF THIS SECTION, THE PERMITTEE SHALL:

8 (1) REPLACE, AT NO EXPENSE TO AN OWNER OF REAL PROPERTY 9 IN THE PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA, A WATER SUPPLY THAT IS DAMAGED AS A 10 RESULT OF THE PERMITTEE'S DRILLING OR OPERATION OF THE GAS WELL; AND

11 (2) ON A DETERMINATION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PROXIMATE 12 CAUSE AFTER THE PERMITTEE HAS RECEIVED NOTICE AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO 13 RESPOND AND PROVIDE INFORMATION, PAY MONETARY COMPENSATION TO AN 14 AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNER, OR REPAIR ANY PROPERTY DAMAGE CAUSED AS A 15 RESULT OF THE DRILLING OR OPERATION OF THE PERMITTEE'S GAS WELL.

16 **(D)** A WATER SUPPLY WITHIN A PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA THAT NO LONGER YIELDS POTABLE WATER AS A RESULT OF THE DRILLING OR 1718 OPERATION OF A GAS WELL SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE REPLACED 19 ADEQUATELY BY A PERMITTEE IF THE PERMITTEE PROVIDES FOR THE 20AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNER A NEW OR RETROFITTED WELL OR OTHER 21ALTERNATIVE WATER SUPPLY THAT IS CAPABLE OF YIELDING POTABLE WATER EQUAL TO THE VOLUME USED OR NEEDED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER BEFORE 2223THE DAMAGE TO THE WATER SUPPLY.

(E) (1) REAL OR PERSONAL PROPERTY WITHIN A PRESUMPTIVE IMPACT AREA FOUND BY THE DEPARTMENT TO HAVE BEEN DAMAGED AS A RESULT OF THE DRILLING OR OPERATION OF A GAS WELL SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE REPAIRED ADEQUATELY BY A PERMITTEE IF THE PERMITTEE RETURNS THE DAMAGED PROPERTY TO ITS CONDITION BEFORE THE DAMAGE.

30 (2) IF THE DAMAGED REAL OR PERSONAL PROPERTY IS NOT
31 CAPABLE OF BEING RESTORED TO ITS CONDITION BEFORE THE DAMAGE, THE
32 PERMITTEE SHALL COMPENSATE THE OWNER OF THE REAL OR PERSONAL
33 PROPERTY MONETARILY BY THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE FAIR MARKET
34 VALUE OF THE PROPERTY AS THE PROPERTY WOULD EXIST BUT FOR THE

1 DAMAGE AND THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF THE PROPERTY AS A RESULT OF THE 2 DAMAGE.

3 (3) NOTWITHSTANDING THE OTHER PROVISIONS OF THIS
4 SUBSECTION, THE PERMITTEE AND THE PROPERTY OWNER MAY AGREE ON
5 MONETARY COMPENSATION OR OTHER MITIGATION INSTEAD OF RESTORATION.

6 (F) THE DEPARTMENT MAY NOT REQUIRE A PERMITTEE TO REPLACE A 7 WATER SUPPLY OR REPAIR OR COMPENSATE AN OWNER FOR OTHER DAMAGE, 8 AS PROVIDED IN THIS SECTION, IF THE PERMITTEE DEMONSTRATES TO THE 9 DEPARTMENT BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT:

10 (1) THE PROXIMATE CAUSE OF THE DAMAGE IS NOT THE RESULT 11 OF ACTIVITIES RELATING TO THE GAS WELL; OR

12 (2) THE CONTAMINATION OR DAMAGE EXISTED BEFORE THE 13 COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES ALLOWED BY THE PERMIT AND WAS NOT 14 WORSENED BY THOSE ACTIVITIES.

15 (G) THE DEPARTMENT SHALL ADOPT REGULATIONS TO IMPLEMENT 16 THIS SECTION.

17(H) THE PRESUMPTION OF CAUSATION ESTABLISHED UNDER THIS18SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO CONTAMINATION OF A WATER SUPPLY WELL IF:

19(1) THE PERMIT APPLICANT REQUESTS THE PERMISSION OF THE20LANDOWNER TO SAMPLE AND TEST THE WATER SUPPLY WELL BEFORE21COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND TO PROVIDE THE LANDOWNER WITH A22COMPLETE COPY OF THE TEST RESULTS; AND

23

(2) THE LANDOWNER REFUSES PERMISSION.

24(I) THIS SECTION MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO AFFECT ANY COMMON25LAW REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO A PROPERTY OWNER.

26 (J) (1) THE PRESUMPTION OF CAUSATION ESTABLISHED UNDER THIS 27 SECTION SHALL APPLY IN:

28 (I) A PROCEEDING FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW UNDER § 14–117 29 OF THIS SUBTITLE;

30(II)AN ACTION FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER § 14–118 OF31THIS SUBTITLE; OR

1 (III) A CIVIL ACTION FOR DAMAGES OR EQUITABLE RELIEF 2 BROUGHT BY A PROPERTY OWNER AGAINST A PERMITTEE.

3 (2) THE PRESUMPTION MAY BE REBUTTED BY CLEAR AND 4 CONVINCING EVIDENCE.

5 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 6 October 1, 2012.