

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

Edward R. Philips

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act to improve the review and regulation of emerging contaminants on public water systems.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:	DATE ADDED:
Edward R. Philips	8th Norfolk	1/11/2023
Vanna Howard	17th Middlesex	2/1/2023
Angelo J. Puppolo, Jr.	12th Hampden	2/6/2023
Simon Cataldo	14th Middlesex	2/24/2023
Jacob R. Oliveira	Hampden, Hampshire and Worcester	3/14/2023

HOUSE No. 880

By Representative Philips of Sharon, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 880) of Edward R. Philips and others relative to emerging contaminants on public water systems. Environment and Natural Resources.

[SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE HOUSE, NO. 934 OF 2021-2022.]

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Ninety-Third General Court (2023-2024)

An Act to improve the review and regulation of emerging contaminants on public water systems.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1 Section 160 of Chapter 111 of the Massachusetts General Laws shall be amended to

2 include the following:

3	SECTION 1. For purposes of this act, Maximum Contaminant Level or MCL means the
4	maximum permissible level of a contaminant in water which is delivered to any user of a public
5	water system. Maximum Contaminant Level Goal or MCLG means the level of a contaminant in
6	drinking water at or below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for
7	a margin of safety.
8	SECTION 2. To address the potential for adverse human health impacts from the

9 presence of potentially toxic substances in the public water supplies of the Commonwealth, and

10 to establish science-based processes and procedures for assessing the risks presented by such 11 substances and a cost-benefit analysis to determine the practicality and efficacy of operational 12 and remedial actions with respect to the substances, there shall be a Science Advisory Board to 13 facilitate timely and accurate toxicological assessments of contaminants of concern, receipt of 14 comments from PWS, public health, municipal, and industry interests regarding the burdens of 15 implementing any new Health Advisory Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG), or MCL, 16 and reporting formal findings to the MassDEP in connection with any regulatory effort to 17 identify and evaluate whether certain contaminants of concern have such an adverse impact on 18 human health that they should be regulated as contaminants.

19 SECTION 3. Members of the Science Advisory Board will be appointed by the 20 Governor for a term of 5 years. The Science Advisory Board shall consist of 13 members; 6 21 positions will be permanent organization members and 7 positions will be at-large members. 22 Each of the following 6 permanent organization members shall name a representative to serve a 23 5-year term: 1 of whom shall be a representative of the MassDEP Office of Research and 24 Standards (who shall co-chair the Board); 1 of whom shall be a representative of the MA 25 Department of Public Health (who shall co-chair the Board); 1 of whom shall be a representative 26 of the MassDEP Drinking Water Program; 1 of whom shall be a representative of the Mass 27 Water Works Association; 1 of whom shall be a representative of the Massachusetts Municipal 28 Association; and 1 of whom shall be a representative of the Massachusetts Association of Health 29 Boards. The Governor shall appoint representatives for the 7 at-large seats on the Science 30 Advisory Board after receiving nominations from interested individuals or groups representing 31 the following: 1 of whom shall be an epidemiologist affiliated with an accredited academic 32 institutions or local hospital; 1 of whom shall be a a toxicologist credentialed by the American

2 of 7

Board of Toxicology; 1 of whom shall be a representative of a community health organization; 1
of whom shall be a representative of a national environmental health organization or its local
affiliate; 1 of whom shall be a representative from Massachusetts Chemistry & Technology
Alliance, Inc.; 1 of whom shall be a representative from a consumer advocate group; and 1 of
whom shall be a representative of an environmental advocacy group.

38 SECTION 4. The meetings of the Science Advisory Board shall be convened in
 39 accordance with 940 CMR 29.00.

40 SECTION 5. To regulate a substance as a contaminant under 310 CMR 22.00, 41 MassDEP and the Science Advisory Board must both make a proposed finding that the 42 substance: (a) may have an adverse human health effect; (b) that the contaminant is known to 43 occur, or there is substantial likelihood it will occur, in PWSs with a frequency and at levels of 44 public health concern; (c) and that there is an opportunity to reduce significant health risks to 45 people served by a PWS. The Science Advisory Board's findings must be "based on the best 46 available public health information."

SECTION 6. As part of its formal proposed finding, the Science Advisory Board shall
only consider scientific studies that have been peer-reviewed and published. The Science
Advisory Board's finding must include a detailed analysis of the studies that were reviewed
during the Science Advisory Board's deliberation. This summary must articulate the reasons the
Science Advisory Board included or excluded any study presented to them.

52 SECTION 7. The Science Advisory Board's proposed finding shall include: (i) a 53 recommendation for a MCLG, to establish the level of a contaminant in drinking water below 54 which there is no known or expected risk to health; (ii) recommendation for a MCL for the

3 of 7

contaminant; and (iii) a determination whether the proposed finding will achieve the objectives
of protecting human health, including sensitive sub-populations, in a more cost-effective manner,
or with greater net benefits, than other regulatory alternatives.

58 SECTION 8. The Science Advisory Board's proposed finding shall be published on its
59 website and in the Environmental Monitor. The public shall have at least 45 days after
60 publication to review and comment on the proposed finding.

SECTION 9. Within 60 days of the close of the comment period, the Science Advisory
Board shall: (a) publish a withdrawal of its proposed finding; (b) publish a response to comments
and a revised proposed finding; or (c) publish a response to comments and a final determination
in accordance with M.G.L. c. 30A.

SECTION 10. After publishing a final determination with any proceedings under M.G.L.
 c. 30A having been resolved to finality, the Science Advisory Board shall submit its final
 determination to MassDEP with its formal recommendation regarding the identification of a
 proposed contaminant of concern.

SECTION 11. MassDEP shall conduct its own independent assessment of the proposed
 contaminant and shall (a) present its preliminary determination to the Safe Drinking Water Act
 Advisory Committee, and (b) present its preliminary determination to a Small Business
 Advocacy Review Panel.

SECTION 12. A Small Business Advocacy Review (SBAR) panel shall be appointed by
the Governor for review of any proposed Drinking Water Standard. The Small Business
Advocacy Review Panel shall be made up of 11 members: 2 of whom shall be representatives of
the Associated Industries of Massachusetts, 2 of whom shall be economists affiliated with

77 accredited academic institutions, 3 of whom shall be representatives from the Massachusetts 78 Municipal Association (1 of whom shall represent a small community, 1 of whom shall represent 79 a medium sized community, and 1 of whom shall represent a large city), 1 of whom shall be a 80 representative of the Massachusetts Office of Business Development, 1 of whom shall be a 81 representative of the Executive Office of Administration and Finance; 1 of whom shall be a 82 representative of the Massachusetts Treasurers Office, and 1 of whom shall be a representative of 83 the Massachusetts Water Works Association. 84 SECTION 13. The SBAR shall make an independent determination whether the 85 proposed Drinking Water Standard will achieve the objectives of protecting human health, 86 including sensitive sub-populations, in a more cost-effective manner, or with greater net benefits, 87 than other regulatory alternatives. 88 SECTION 14. Following any review and comment by the Safe Drinking Water Act 89 Advisory Committee and the SBAR, MassDEP shall publish the preliminary Determination on 90 its website for review and comment by the public for a minimum of 60 days. 91 SECTION 15. Within 60 days of the close of the comment period, the MassDEP shall: 92 (a) publish a withdrawal of its preliminary Determination; (b) publish a response to comments 93 and a revised preliminary Determination; or (c) publish a response to comments and a final 94 Determination in accordance with M.G.L. c. 30A. 95 SECTION 16. If MassDEP makes a formal Determination to regulate a contaminant, 96 MassDEP must (a) publish a MCLG, to establish the level of a contaminant in drinking water 97 below which there is no known or expected risk to health; and (b) establish a primary drinking 98 water regulation (usually a MCL) within 2 years of deciding to regulate.

5 of 7

99	SECTION 17. The MCLG shall be determined by the Science Advisory Board and
100	MassDEP at the level at which no known or anticipated adverse effects on the health of persons
101	occur and which allows an "adequate margin of safety." When determining an MCLG, the
102	Science Advisory Board and MassDEP will consider the adverse health risk to sensitive
103	subpopulations, including, but not limited to: (i) infants; (ii) children; (iii) the elderly; and (iv)
104	those with compromised immune systems and chronic diseases.
105	SECTION 18. If it is determined that there is a sensitive subpopulation that is adversely
106	impacted by the contaminant in a particular manner that is distinct from impacts to the general
107	population, that subpopulation shall be identified specifically and a separate MCLG shall be set
108	for this population in addition to a MCLG for the general population.
109	SECTION 19. The MCL(s) shall be set "as close as to the maximum contaminant level
110	goal(s) as feasible" or a Treatment Technique could be established if MCL criteria is not met.
111	SECTION 20. In establishing a MCLG or a MCL, MassDEP must seek public comment
112	and an analysis of the following: (i) Quantifiable and nonquantifiable health risk reduction
113	benefits for which there is a factual basis in the rulemaking record to conclude that such benefits
114	are likely to occur as the result of treatment to comply with each MCLG or MCL; (ii)
115	Quantifiable and nonquantifiable health risk reduction benefits for which there is a factual basis
116	in the rulemaking record to conclude that such benefits are likely to occur from reductions in co-
117	occurring contaminants that may be attributed solely to compliance with the maximum
118	contaminant level, excluding benefits resulting from compliance with other proposed or
119	promulgated regulations; (iii) Quantifiable and nonquantifiable costs for which there is a factual
120	basis in the rulemaking record to conclude that such costs are likely to occur solely as a result of

121 compliance with the MCL, including monitoring, treatment, and other costs and excluding costs 122 resulting from compliance with other proposed or promulgated regulations; (iv) The incremental 123 costs and benefits associated with each alternative MCL considered; (v) The effects of the 124 contaminant on the general population and on specifically identified groups within the general 125 population such as infants, children, pregnant women, the elderly, individuals with a history of 126 serious illness, or other subpopulations that are identified as likely to be at greater risk of adverse 127 health effects due to exposure to contaminants in drinking water than the general population; (vi) 128 Any increased health risk that may occur as the result of compliance, including risks associated 129 with co-occurring contaminants; (vii) Other relevant factors, including the quality and extent of 130 the information, the uncertainties in the analysis supporting subclauses (i) through (vi), and 131 factors with respect to the degree and nature of the risk. 132 SECTION 21. If MassDEP establishes a MCLG or MCL level that is different from the 133 recommendation in the Science Advisory Board's final determination, MassDEP shall provide

134 written documentation for public comment as to their reasoning.

135 SECTION 22. MassDEP shall prepare a health risk reduction and cost analysis
136 (HRRCA) in support of any Massachusetts Drinking Water Standard.

137 SECTION 23. MassDEP must review its Drinking Water Standards every six years and
138 revise if appropriate.