HOUSE No. 668

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

PRESENTED BY:

John V. Fernandes

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in General Court assembled:

The undersigned legislators and/or citizens respectfully petition for the adoption of the accompanying bill:

An Act to assist municipal and district ratepayers.

PETITION OF:

NAME:	DISTRICT/ADDRESS:
John V. Fernandes	10th Worcester
Stephen L. DiNatale	3rd Worcester
Elizabeth A. Poirier	14th Bristol
Peter J. Durant	6th Worcester
Keiko M. Orrall	12th Bristol
David F. DeCoste	5th Plymouth
F. Jay Barrows	1st Bristol
David M. Nangle	17th Middlesex
Thomas A. Golden, Jr.	16th Middlesex
James Arciero	2nd Middlesex
Kevin J. Kuros	8th Worcester
Patricia A. Haddad	5th Bristol
Kate D. Campanale	17th Worcester

HOUSE DOCKET, NO. 1438 FILED ON: 1/15/2015

By Mr. Fernandes of Milford, a petition (accompanied by bill, House, No. 668) of John V. Fernandes and others relative to the costs imposed upon the municipal and district ratepayers due to public drinking water, wastewater and stormwater systems capital upgrades. Environment, Natural Resources and Agriculture.

[SIMILAR MATTER FILED IN PREVIOUS SESSION SEE HOUSE, NO. 716 OF 2013-2014.]

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the One Hundred and Eighty-Ninth General Court (2015-2016)

An Act to assist municipal and district ratepayers.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1 Whereas, public drinking water, wastewater and stormwater systems are in need of major

2 capital upgrades in order to continue to protect public health, safety and the environment; and

3 Whereas, the costs for these infrastructure upgrades are significant and increasing each

4 year; and

5 Whereas, local ratepayers must pay for most of these upgrades as the Federal and State

6 governments have reduced their level of funding assistance to cities, towns and districts; and

- 7 Whereas, many of the costs for water infrastructure improvements are due to regulatory
- 8 demands by Federal and State environmental agencies; and

2 of 5

Whereas, Federal and State regulatory demands on cities, towns and districts do not
consider compliance costs or assess the value of the benefits derived; and
Whereas, it is in the public interest to spend local funds wisely and to insure the
maximum benefits are derived for each dollar expended.
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled,
and by the authority of the same, as follows:
Notwithstanding the provisions of section 27C of chapter 29 of the General Laws as most
recently amended by section 24 of chapter 71 of the Acts of 1993, or any other general or special
law to the contrary, any proposal initiated by the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental
Affairs and its agencies (collectively EOEEA) in the form of a rule, regulation or so-called
guidance document or policy resulting in the imposition of additional cost to a city or town shall
be termed a "local mandate". Local mandates shall include but not be limited to any EOEEA
initiated rule, regulation or so-called guidance document or policy that: (1.) requires any city or
town to undertake any service or direct or indirect cost obligation, or to establish, expand or
modify any existing activity in such a way that results in the expenditure of funds or resources,
or results in the diversion of funds or resources from any existing activity. For the purposes of
this section, the term "existing activity" shall include any program or service lawfully undertaken

or city or town charter, or; (2.) relieves the state or a county from providing a service or programso that any city or town instead incurs the direct or indirect cost of such service or program.

26

by any city or town under the authority of any law, special law, administrative rule or regulation

Section 2. No proposal initiated by the EOEEA in the form of a rule or regulation, or any
so-called guidance document or policy, shall become effective until a regulatory impact

3 of 5

statement has been completed, made public during the hearing process described in chapter 30A 31 of the General Laws and filed with the secretary of state. The regulatory impact statement shall: 32 (a) identify the problem, issue or deficiency addressed by the proposal; (b) identify the 33 methodology or approach, including identification of expert information and analysis used to 34 35 address the problem, issue or deficiency; (c) identify stakeholders who will be affected and to 36 what extent by the proposal; (d) identify when such proposal will become effective, when such proposal will be changed, if known, and how and when the proposal will be reviewed in the 37 future, if at all; (e) identify and describe the immediate and long term financial impacts of the 38 39 proposal on all stakeholders, including the agency or entity issuing the proposal, any affected private party or entity, the state, the cities and towns, and the general public. Such financial 40 impact statement shall consider administrative costs, permitting costs, enforcement costs, capital 41 42 costs, internal compliance costs, and indirect costs, if any; (f) identify the fiscal effect on the public and private sectors for the first and second year of the proposal's existence, and provide a 43 projection of fiscal impact over the first five years of the proposal's existence or, in the case of 44 proposals affecting permits issued by EOEEA, the term of the permit; and (g) identify and 45 describe, specifically, the benefits of the proposal including, where possible, the financial value 46 47 of these benefits. The secretary of administration and finance shall adopt regulations to further define and implement the use of regulatory impact statements in said executive offices' and 48 agency's rulemaking. 49

50 Section 3. The EOEEA shall maintain a notification list of stakeholders in their proposals 51 and who may request preliminary notification of such proposals, such request renewed annually 52 by persons or groups in December. No later than thirty days prior to the notice of hearing 53 described above the agency shall send a preliminary notification of the proposal to each

4 of 5

stakeholder who has requested preliminary notification of the proposal and to the Joint
Legislative Committee on Natural Resources, the Joint Legislative Committee on Local Affairs,
the House and Senate Committees on Ways & Means, the Office of the State Auditor and the
Massachusetts Municipal Association.

The preliminary notification of the proposal shall (a) identify the proposal to be noticed for hearing and the scope of the proposal, (b) provide the statutory authority for such proposal, and (c) identify the person within said executive office or agency responsible for the proposal and who can be contacted for more information.

Section 4. No proposal initiated by the EOEEA in the form of a rule, regulation, so-called guidance document or policy shall become effective until said executive office and agency have complied with the provisions of Massachusetts Administrative Procedures Act established under the provisions of Chapter 30A of the General Laws. Any entity claiming to be aggrieved by lack of compliance with said chapter by said executive office or agency shall be permitted to file a petition for relief with the superior court.