# **ORIGINAL**

Regular Session, 2013

# SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 23

BY SENATOR APPEL

BESE. Provides legislative approval of the MFP formula for FY 2013-2014 as adopted by BESE on March 8, 2013.

1	A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION
2	To provide for legislative approval of the formula developed by the State Board of
3	Elementary and Secondary Education pursuant to Article VIII, Section 13(B) of the
4	Constitution of Louisiana to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program
5	of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as well as to equitably
6	allocate the funds to parish and city school systems, and adopted by the board on
7	March 8, 2013.
8	WHEREAS, the Minimum Foundation Program formula for the 2013-2014 Fiscal
9	Year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on March 8, 2013,
10	and submitted to the legislature for approval on March 15, 2013, in accordance with
11	applicable law reads as follows:
12	MINIMUM FOUNDATION PROGRAM FORMULA
13	The FY 2013-14 Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) formula was adopted
14	by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on March 8, 2013. The
15	Formula is as follows:
16	I. FUNDING FOR CITY AND PARISH SCHOOL DISTRICTS
17	The calculation to determine funding allocations for city and parish school
18	systems is divided into four categories as follows:

1	Level 1 determines the minimum cost of education in each city or parish
2	school district based on the education needs of each student. The cost is then shared
3	equitably between the State and the city and parish school districts based on the
4	ability of the districts to support education in their communities through local sales
5	and property tax revenues.
6	Level 2 provides an incentive for city and parish school systems to support
7	education in their communities above the minimum level of financial support
8	required.
9	Level 3 provides supplementary education funding to districts and schools
10	for continuing teacher and support worker pay raises, Hold Harmless provision, and
11	operating costs.
12	Level 4 provides funding for programs which allocate funding to reward or
13	meet needs at specific schools.
14	State MFP funds shall only be expended for educational purposes.
15	Expenditures for educational purposes are those expenditures related to the
16	operational and instructional activities of a district to include: instructional programs,
17	pupil support programs, instructional staff programs, school administration, general
18	administration, business services, operations and maintenance of plant services,
19	student transportation services, food services operations, enterprise operations,
20	community services operations, facility acquisition and construction services and
21	debt services as defined by Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental
22	Handbook, Bulletin 1929.
23	A. Level 1: Calculation of Total MFP Educational Costs and the Proportion of
24	the Costs Supported by the State and Local School Districts
25	1. Total MFP Educational Costs
26	STEP ONE: Determine the Total Number of Eligible Students
27	The formula first counts each of the students enrolled across the state to
28	determine the cost of regular educational services. The students eligible to be
29	counted are identified in the Student Membership Definition approved by the State
30	Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. The Student Membership includes

1	students enrolled on February 1. The formula utilizes the February 1 Student
2	Membership Count to allocate funding beginning in July. These students are
3	counted as one (1.0) in the formula. Students counted are enrolled in the following
4	school districts and schools:
5	• Parish and city school systems
6	• Recovery School District Schools, both operated and Type 5 Charter
7	schools
8	• Type 2 Charter schools
9	LSU and Southern Lab Schools
10	• Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) schools
11	• New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA)
12	• Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA)
13	Special School District (SSD)
14	• Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired (LSDVI)
15	In the FY2013-2014 MFP formula only, special assistance will be provided
16	to the St. John the Baptist Parish School Board for its recovery efforts from the
17	effects of Hurricane Isaac by maintaining the February 1 Student Membership Count
18	for the school district only at the February 1, 2012 Student Membership Count.
19	STEP TWO: Determine the Number of Students with Special Characteristics
20	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs
21	of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special
22	characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special
23	needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count
24	for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides
25	additional students that are then added to the February 1 Student Membership Count
26	to equal the Total Weighted Student Membership Count.
27	The following are the additional costs recognized by the formula:
28	Low Income and English Language Learner Weight - The formula
29	recognizes that students living in poverty or students with a native language other
30	than English are more likely to require additional educational services to be

successful. To recognize that these services require additional costs, students that
 qualify for free or reduced price meals under the United States Department of
 Agriculture (USDA) School Food Service Program guidelines, and students
 identified as an English Language Learner are provided a weight of 22%.

5 **Career and Technical Education Weight** -The formula recognizes that the 6 cost of providing materials and supplies for Career and Technical Education courses 7 is beyond the cost of traditional academic education. Students enrolled in Career and 8 Technical Education courses (or units) are provided a weight of 6% for each course 9 in which they are enrolled.

10 **Special Education Weight -** The formula addresses the extra cost associated 11 with meeting the needs of students with disabilities. There are three distinct series 12 of weights utilized to ensure the cost of providing special educational services is 13 recognized.

14**1. Needs of the Child -** The needs of the child are recognized based on the15relative cost of providing educational services for a specific disability. There are16three categories of disabilities, each with a unique weight representing low, medium,17and high costs. To determine the relative cost associated with providing services for18each student with disabilities, the eligible February 1 Special Education Student19Count (1.0) is multiplied by the assigned weight (40%, 55%, and 80% respectively).20The categories are as follows:

21

Category 1 Weight (40%)

Speech Articulation, Fluency, and Voice Impairments 22 Category 2 Weight (55%) 23 24 Speech Language Impairments Intellectual Disability (Mild) 25 Orthopedic Impairment 26 27 Other Health Impairment Specific Learning Disability 28 29 **Developmental Delay** 30 Hearing Impairment (Hard of Hearing)

1	Visual Impairment (Partially Seeing)
2	• Infants and Toddlers with Impairments
3	Category 3 Weight (80%)
4	Intellectual Disability (Moderate)
5	Intellectual Disability (Severe)
6	Hearing Impairment-Deafness
7	Visual Impairment- Blindness
8	Emotional Disturbance
9	• Deaf-Blindness
10	Multiple Disabilities
11	• Autism
12	Traumatic Brain Injury
13	2. How the Child is Educated - The individual plan for the child is
14	recognized based on the relative cost of providing for the environment or placement.
15	There are three categories of environment/placement, each with a unique weight
16	representing low, medium, and high costs. To determine the relative cost for
17	environment/placement associated with each student, the eligible February 1 Special
18	Education Student Count (1.0) is multiplied by the assigned weight (35%, 55%, and
19	70% respectively). The environment/placement categories are as follows:
20	Category 1 Weight (35%)
21	• In Regular Classroom less than 40% of day
22	• In a Regular early childhood program less than 10 hours per
23	week and majority of services received in other location
24	Category 2 Weight (55%)
25	• In Regular Classroom between 40% and 79% of day
26	• Home and receives majority of services at other location
27	• In a Regular early childhood program at least 10 hours per
28	week and majority services received in other location
29	• In a Regular early childhood program less than10 hours per
30	week and majority services received in regular class

1	Category 3 Weight (70%)
2	• In Regular Classroom 80% or greater of day
3	• Home and receives majority of services at home
4	Hospital/Homebound
5	Separate School
6	Correctional Facility
7	Separate Class
8	Residential Facility
9	• In a Regular early childhood program at least 10 hours per
10	week and majority services in regular class
11	• Ages 0-2 not yet placed
12	Note that the Environment/Placement Weight does not include Speech
13	Articulation and Language students or students parentally placed in a Private School.
14	3. How Well the Child is Educated - The third weight category recognizes
15	how well the academic interest of students with disabilities is served. There are three
16	ways in which the formula measures how well the child is educated and compensates
17	for decisions made in the best interest of the child. Each of these measures has a
18	unique weight. To determine the weighted students, the eligible February 1 Special
19	Education Student Count (1.0) is multiplied by the assigned weight for each
20	category. The categories are as follows:
21	A. Student Performance - A weight is provided for student performance
22	using the Value Added Model (VAM) and the LAA1 and LAA2 accountability data
23	from the latest available data. The weight is provided under the following
24	circumstances:
25	i. Category 1 provides a 135% weight times the number of students whose
26	score in English Language Arts (ELA) or Math "exceeded expected achievement"
27	in the Value Added Model or whose LAA1 or LAA2 test results improved one
28	achievement level or more, or
29	ii. Category 2 provides a 175% weight times the number of students whose
30	performance in English Language Arts or Math "significantly exceeded expected

2

3

4

achievement" in the Value Added Model.

**B. Graduation Rate -** A weight is provided for students with disabilities that graduate using the latest available data. The weight is provided at 150% times the number of students who graduated within four or five years of entering high school.

C. Continued Services After Declassification - The formula utilizes this 5 weight in order to recognize the cost to the district associated with students 6 declassified from special education but still requiring academic support services. 7 8 This weight sustains funding once a student is no longer identified with a disability. 9 The number of students that are no longer identified as a student with a disability 10 from the latest available data is multiplied by 150% to determine the weighted 11 student count. This weight does not include students identified as Developmentally 12 Delayed or Speech Articulation Impairment.

D. Solutions Prior to Referral - The formula utilizes this weight in order to recognize the cost to a district associated with academic interventions prior to a student being referred for special education services, a cost that generated no revenue under previous formulas if a student was never classified. Using the latest available data, this weight is provided if a city or parish school district has a change in the referral rate from one year to the next that is less than, equal to, or slightly above, the state average referral rate:

20 If the change in the referral rate is greater than or equal to -2.0 percent, the 21 weight equals 12%.

If the change in the referral rate is between -1.99 and 0 percent, the weight equals 6%.

24 If the change in the referral rate is between .01 and 1.0 percent, the weight 25 equals 3%.

26 If the change in the referral rate is above 1.0 percent, then no weight is 27 provided.

28 The eligible February 1 Special Education Student Count is multiplied by
29 each weight to generate the weighted student count.

30 <u>Gifted and Talented Weight -</u> The formula recognizes the cost of providing

educational services to Gifted and Talented students. Students in grades Preschool through 8 identified as Gifted and Talented are provided a 60% weight. Gifted and Talented students in grades 9 through 12 are provided a weight of 30%. To determine the Gifted and Talented weight, the eligible February 1 Gifted and Talented Student Count (1.0) is multiplied by 60% or 30% respectively.

6 High Standards Weight - This weight is provided to recognize the cost of 7 providing advanced course work. A 30% weight is provided for students in grades 8 8 through 11 that meet the certain criteria on exams. To determine the High 9 Standards Weight, the number of eligible students from the latest available data is 10 multiplied by 30%. Students must meet the following criteria in order to be 11 considered eligible:

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

Students who by the 8th grade score excellent on Algebra I End Of Course (EOC) tests

- Students who by the 9th grade score excellent on Geometry End Of
   Course (EOC) tests or score a 3 or higher on an Advanced Placement
   (AP) exam
- Students who by the 10th grade score 3 or higher on an Advanced
  Placement (AP) exam
- Students who by the 11th grade score a 3 or higher on an Advanced
   Placement (AP) exam or a 4 or higher on an International
   Baccalaureate (IB) Course

Economy of Scale Weight - This weight is provided for city or parish school districts to recognize a base amount of funding for fixed overhead costs that should be provided when student populations equal 7,500 students or less. The Economy of Scale Weight is calculated as a curvilinear weight of 20% at a student membership count of zero down to 0% at a student membership count equal to or greater than 7,500. To calculate this weight, the February 1 Student Membership Count for each district is subtracted from 7,500 and divided by 37,500. The result of this calculation

1	is then multiplied by each district's February 1 Student Membership Count to
2	determine the weighted students.
3	STEP THREE: Determine Total Weighted Student Membership Count
4	Formula:
5	Total Weighted Student Membership Count
6	Equals
7	February 1 Student Membership Count (1.0)
8	Plus
9	Low Income and English Language Learner Weight
10	Career and Technical Education Weight
11	Special Education Weights
12	Gifted and Talented Weights
13	High Standard Weight
14	Economy of Scale Weight
15	STEP FOUR: Determine Total MFP Educational Costs
16	Formula:
17	Total MFP Educational Costs
18	Equals
19	Total Weighted Student Membership Count
20	Multiplied by
21	State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil
22	In FY 2013-14, the State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil equals \$3,855.
23	The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education shall reconsider the
24	State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil on an annual basis.
25	2. Determine Cost Allocation
26	The Total State and Local Cost is shared between the State and the city or
27	parish school systems. The ability of school districts to support the cost of education
28	in their communities is measured by the potential to raise local revenue. This
29	potential contribution is measured by the following three factors using the latest
30	available data:

1	1. Property Tax Revenue Contribution
2	2. Sales Tax Revenue Contribution
3	3. Other Revenue Contribution
4	STEP ONE - Determine the Local Property Tax Revenue Contribution
5	Formula:
6	Local Property Tax Revenue Contribution
7	Equals
8	State Computed Property Tax Millage (debt and non-debt)
9	Times
10	Net Assessed Property Value
11	If a school district's Net Assessed Property Value has increased equal to or
12	greater than 10% over the prior year Net Assessed Property Value, then the growth
13	in the Net Assessed Property Value is capped at 10%. This cap is applied on a
14	year-to-year basis comparing the current year Net Assessed Property Value to the
15	prior year uncapped Net Assessed Property Value.
16	The Computed Property Tax Millage is calculated annually at the rate
17	necessary to maintain a state and local share of 65%/35%.
18	STEP TWO - Determine the Local Sales Tax Revenue Contribution
19	Formula:
20	Local Sales Tax Revenue Contribution
21	Equals
22	Computed Sales Tax Base (debt and non-debt) (including TIF areas)
23	Times
24	State Computed Sales Tax Rate
25	If a local school district's sales tax goes into effect during the fiscal year, the
26	tax rate is prorated to an annual rate applicable for the total revenue generated.
27	If a district's Computed Sales Tax Base increased equal to or greater than
28	15% over the Computed Sales Tax Base calculated in the prior year formula, then
29	the growth in the Computed Sales Tax Base will be capped at 15% over the amount
30	used in the prior year formula. This cap will be applied on a year-to-year basis

1	comparing the current year sales tax base to the prior year uncapped sales tax base.
2	The Computed Sales Tax Rate is calculated annually at the rate necessary to
3	maintain a state and local share at 65%/35%.
4	STEP THREE - Determine Other Revenue Contribution
5	<u>Formula:</u>
6	Other Revenue Contribution
7	Equals
8	State Revenue in lieu of taxes
9	Plus
10	Federal Revenue in lieu of taxes
11	Plus
12	50% of Earnings on Property
13	STEP FOUR - Determine Local Cost Allocation
14	<u>Formula:</u>
15	Local Cost Allocation
16	Equals
17	Property Tax Contribution
18	Plus
19	Sales Tax Contribution
20	Plus
21	Other Revenues Contribution
22	STEP FIVE - Determine State Cost Allocation
23	<u>Formula:</u>
24	State Cost Allocation
25	Equals
26	Total State and Local Cost
27	Minus
28	Local Cost Allocation
29	In no event shall the State Cost Allocation be less than 25% of Total Level
30	1 Cost for any city or parish school district.

1	<b>B. Level 2: Incentive for Local Effort</b>
2	Level 2 provides rewards for city and parish school districts that contribute
3	a greater proportion of local revenues towards the cost of education in their
4	communities by increasing local property and sales tax revenues.
5	STEP ONE - Determine Eligible Local Revenue
6	<u>Formula:</u>
7	Eligible Local Revenue
8	Equals
9	Prior year local revenues collected for educational purposes to include:
10	Total Sales Tax
11	Plus
12	Total Property Tax
13	Plus
14	State and Federal Revenue in Lieu of Taxes
15	Plus
16	50% of Earnings on Property
17	STEP TWO - Determine Local Revenue Eligible for Reward
18	<u>Formula:</u>
19	Local Revenue Eligible for Reward
20	Equals
21	Eligible Local Revenue
22	Minus
23	Local Share Cost of Allocation
24	STEP THREE - Determine the Limit on Revenue Eligible for Reward
25	Formula:
26	Limit on Revenue Eligible for Reward
27	Equals
28	Total State and Local Cost
29	Times
30	34%

1	STEP FOUR - Determine Local Support of Level 2 Reward
2	<u>Formula:</u>
3	Local Support of Level 2 Reward
4	Equals
5	Local Revenue Eligible for Reward or Local Revenue Limit (whichever is less)
6	Times
7	Local Share Percentage (Level 1)
8	Times
9	Level 2 Reward Factor
10	The Level 2 Reward Factor determines the amount of local support required
11	in Level 2. In FY 13-14, the Level 2 Reward Factor is established at 1.72%. The
12	State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education may reset the Level 2 Reward
13	Factor on an annual basis.
14	STEP FIVE - Determine State Cost of Level 2 Incentive for Local Effort
15	Formula:
16	State Support of Level 2 Reward
17	Equals
18	Local Revenue Eligible for Reward or Local Revenue Limit (whichever is less)
19	Minus
20	Local Support of Level 2 Reward
21	C. Level 3: Supplementary Funding
22	Level 3 provides funding for three programs that address funding for school
23	districts and schools regarding teacher and support worker pay raises, Hold Harmless
24	funding, and specific operating costs.
25	Formula:
26	Total Level 3 Supplementary Funding
27	Equals
28	Continuation Pay Raises
29	Plus

1	Hold Harmless Enhancement
2	Plus
3	Support for Increasing Mandated Costs in Health Insurance, Retirement, and
4	Fuel
5	STEP ONE: Calculate Continuation Funding for Pay Raises
6	1. Certificated Personnel Pay Raises were implemented in four recent years
7	to assist in increasing Teacher and Principal pay to the Southern Regional Average.
8	These funds continue to be provided directly to districts and schools to support these
9	increased salaries.
10	a. Certificated Personnel Pay Raises provided in 2001-02, 2006-07,
11	2007-08, and 2008-09 will continue for each school district and
12	school based on the calculated per pupil amount times the February
13	1 Student Membership Count.
14	2. Noncertificated Support Worker Pay Raises were implemented in three
15	recent years to assist with increasing these salaries.
16	a. Noncertificated Support Worker Pay Raises provided in 2002-03, 2006-07,
17	and 2007-08 will continue for each school district and school based on the calculated
18	per pupil amount times the February 1 Student Membership Count.
19	3. This provision applies to parish and city school systems, Recovery School
20	District, LSU and Southern Lab Schools, Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ), New
21	Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA), Louisiana School for Math, Science,
22	and the Arts (LSMSA), Type 2 Charter Schools, Special School District (SSD), and
23	Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired (LSDVI).
24	STEP TWO: Hold Harmless Enhancement
25	1. The concept for the current student-driven formula was first enacted in FY
26	1992-93. When the calculations were made to convert the previous allocations to the
27	current formula, there were a few school districts that were underfunded or
28	overfunded. In FY 1999-00, the current formula was fully implemented eliminating
29	the underfunded situation but continuing the overfunding for some districts. The
30	State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and the Legislature determined

1	these school districts should retain this funding. From FY 2000-01 through 2006-07,
2	these districts received an annual Hold Harmless allocation.
3	2. In 2006-07, the following school districts had a remaining Hold Harmless
4	or "overfunded" allocation: Concordia, East Baton Rouge, Evangeline, Iberville,
5	Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. James, and West Feliciana.
6	3. The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and the
7	Legislature first approved a plan in the 2007-08 formula to reduce the Hold Harmless
8	allocation over time. Beginning in FY 2007-08 and continuing for ten years, each
9	of the remaining Hold Harmless school districts were to receive a reduction of 10%
10	to their remaining Hold Harmless allocation.
11	4. This provision remains in effect within the formula for FY 2013-14.
12	5. On an annual basis, any Hold Harmless district may choose to reduce the
13	remaining balance by an amount greater than 10% through formal notification to the
14	department. This request must take place no later than June 30th each year.
15	STEP THREE: Support for Increasing Mandated Costs in Health Insurance,
16	Retirement, and Fuel
17	City and parish school districts shall receive a minimum of \$100.00 for each
18	student in the prior year February 1 membership to offset these increasing
19	operational costs.
20	D. Level 4: School Level Funding
21	Level 4 provides funding for two programs which allocate funding on behalf
22	of specific schools and are in addition to district level allocations from Levels 1, 2,
23	and 3. These programs are as follows:
24	<u>Formula:</u>
25	Total Level 4 School Level Funding
26	Equals
27	Foreign Language Associate Program Salary and Stipends
28	Plus
	Top Gains Rewards

### STEP ONE: Calculate Foreign Language Associate Salary and Stipends

2 1. Any city or parish school system, Recovery School District, LSU and Southern Lab Schools, Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ), New Orleans Center for 3 Creative Arts (NOCCA), Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts 4 (LSMSA), Type 2 Charter Schools, Special School District (SSD), and Louisiana 5 School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired (LSDVI) employing a Foreign Language 6 Associate or a graduate of the Escadrille Louisiane program shall receive a 7 8 supplemental allocation from BESE of \$20,000 per teacher. The state must maintain 9 support of the Foreign Language Associate program at a maximum of 300 Foreign 10 Language Associates employed in any given year.

2. These teachers shall be paid by the employing city, parish, or other local
public school system or school at least the state average classroom teacher salary
(without PIP) by years of experience and degree beginning with year three. The
\$20,000 supplemental allocation must be allocated to the school where the teacher
is employed and the funds used to support the total cost of the teacher salary.

163. First year teachers will receive an installation incentive of an additional17\$6,000; second and third year teachers will receive a retention incentive of an18additional \$4,000. These amounts must be provided to each Foreign Associate19Teacher by each school district or school in which they are employed.

20

**STEP TWO: Top Gains Schools** 

To recognize schools that make significant growth from one year to the next, Louisiana designates schools that achieve their growth target as a Top Gains school if they are not in subgroup component failure and they are not identified as failing NCLB subgroup performance for more than one year. Top Gains schools are eligible for monetary rewards that can be used for any educational purpose within the school.

The FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14 annual 10% reduction to the remaining Hold Harmless amount will be utilized to support the monetary rewards to the Top Gains schools in FY 2013-14. Top Gains schools shall include High Performing schools, and schools achieving significant student progress, as defined annually by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education.

30

1	E. Total MFP Allocation
2	<u>Formula:</u>
3	Total State Cost Allocation
4	Equals
5	Level 1 Cost Allocation
6	Plus
7	Level 2 Cost Allocation
8	Plus
9	Level 3 Cost Allocation
10	Plus
11	Level 4 Cost Allocation
12	F. Pilot of FY 13-14 Formula Changes
13	Revisions to the Special Education and Gifted and Talented Weights, and the
14	addition of the High Standards Weight will be piloted in FY 2013-14. The financial
15	impact of incorporating the revisions to the Special Education and Gifted and
16	Talented Weights, and the addition of the High Standards weight will be determined
17	by comparing the FY 2012-13 formula as originally adopted to the FY 2012-13
18	formula with the revisions and the addition. Any increases or decreases experienced
19	as a result of only these specific revisions will be implemented at a rate of 10% of
20	these revisions' true impact and shall be applied to the final FY 2013-14 Total State
21	Cost Allocation for each parish and city school system, Recovery School District,
22	LSU and Southern Lab Schools, Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ), New Orleans
23	Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA), Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the
24	Arts (LSMSA), Type 2 Charter Schools, Special School District (SSD), and
25	Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired (LSDVI).
26	II. ALLOCATION PROCEDURES
27	A. PRELIMINARY AND FINAL ALLOCATIONS
28	1. The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year
29	shall be adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, and

Page 17 of 39

submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and

1	Senate Education Committees for consideration no later than March 15.
2	2. A preliminary allocation schedule demonstrating the total cost of the
3	proposed formula shall also be submitted. This preliminary allocation utilizes
4	student and other input data available at that time.
5	3. After final adoption by the legislature of the minimum foundation
6	program formula in effect for the upcoming fiscal year, final allocations will be
7	determined no later than June 30.
8	4. The final total allocation will be converted to monthly payments in effect
9	from July through June each year.
10	5. Final data will be utilized to calculate the final allocation except that
11	student count estimates will be utilized for school districts or charter schools opening
12	for the first time in the fiscal year beginning July 1.
13	<b>B. PAYMENT ADJUSTMENTS</b>
14	1. If the city or parish school districts, Recovery School District, Type 2
15	Charter schools, Louisiana State University and Southern University Lab schools,
16	Special School District (SSD), Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired
17	(LSDVI), Louisiana School for Math, Science and the Arts (LSMSA), and New
18	Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA) have documented growth in students
19	prior to the actual Mid-Year Student Counts in October and February, a temporary
20	change to the final allocation may be requested. The State Superintendent is
21	authorized to approve or deny this revision. Sufficient documentation will be
22	requested to substantiate this requested allocation adjustment.
23	2. The adjusted allocation will remain in effect until the actual October or
24	February Mid-Year Student Count. Once the Mid-Year Student Count is final,
25	reconciliation will be completed and payments adjusted accordingly.
26	C. MID-YEAR ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS
27	1. Student counts in October and February may result in mid-year allocation
28	adjustments for the following:
29	City and parish school districts, Recovery School District, Type 2 Charter
30	schools, Louisiana State University and Southern University Lab schools,

1	Special School District (SSD), Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually
2	Impaired (LSDVI), Louisiana School for Math, Science and the Arts
3	(LSMSA), and New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA).
4	2. If the current year October 1 Mid-Year Student Count is more or less than
5	the prior year February 1 student count, an adjustment to the current year allocation
6	shall be made for each student gained or lost. The October adjustment equals the
7	number of students gained or lost times the annual State Cost per pupil allocation
8	amount for the district or school in which the change occurred. The February
9	adjustment equals the number of students gained or lost times one-half of the State
10	Cost per pupil allocation for the district or school in which the change occurred.
11	3. Individual adjustments shall be made for increases or decreases in the
12	October or February Mid-Year Student Counts for the Recovery School District, the
13	district of prior jurisdiction, and Type 5 Charter schools.
14	4. Mid-Year adjustments for the Recovery School District shall utilize the
15	final State Cost per pupil allocation for the district of prior jurisdiction.
16	5. If the Recovery School District qualifies for an October Mid-Year
17	Adjustment to the State Cost per pupil allocation, a Mid-Year adjustment shall also
18	be made to the Local Cost per pupil allocation for the district of prior jurisdiction.
19	The October Local Cost per pupil allocation shall be recalculated based on updated
20	revenue data for the district of prior jurisdiction. There shall be no recalculation of
21	the Local Cost per pupil allocation in conjunction with the February 1 student count.
22	6. School districts or charter schools in the first year of operation are not
23	eligible for an October Mid-Year Adjustment. However, their allocation will be
24	finalized using October 1 data. The newly opened school districts or charter schools
25	will qualify for the February 1 mid-year adjustment.
26	7. October and February Mid-Year Adjustments shall be combined and
27	applied in the March through June payments.
28	III. FUNDING GUIDELINES
29	A. FUNDING FOR RECOVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	1. State Cost Allocation

1	a. The student membership and weighted student counts of schools
2	transferred to the Recovery School District shall continue to be included in the
3	membership and weighted student counts of the city or parish school district from
4	which jurisdiction of the school was transferred.
5	b. Once all final calculations are made, the final State Cost Allocation Per
6	Pupil Amount for the city or parish school district which counted the Recovery
7	School District students will be multiplied by the February 1 Recovery School
8	District Student Membership Count to equal the Recovery School District State Cost
9	Allocation.
10	c. The Recovery School District State Cost Allocation is converted to a
11	monthly amount that will be reduced from the monthly allocation of the district of
12	prior jurisdiction and transferred to the Recovery School District.
13	2. MFP Local Cost Allocation
14	a. In addition to the State Cost Allocation, the Recovery School District shall
15	receive an applicable Local Cost Allocation.
16	<u>Formula:</u>
17	Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil
18	Equals
19	Projected Local Revenues from District of Prior Jurisdiction
20	Divided by
21	Total School District Membership (Recovery School District Student
22	Membership Count plus Student Membership Count for the district of prior
23	jurisdiction)
24	b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible
25	projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish
26	school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer.
27	c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue
28	is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion
29	which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to
30	capital outlay or debt service, per the definitions in the Annual Financial Report and

1	the Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental Handbook (LAUGH) as
2	reported to the Department of Education:
3	1. Sales and use taxes, less any tax collection fee paid by the school district.
4	2. Ad valorem taxes, less any tax collection fee paid by the school district.
5	3. Earnings from sixteenth section lands owned by the school district.
6	d. The exclusion of any portion of local revenues specifically dedicated by
7	the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service, shall be
8	applicable only to a charter school housed in a facility or facilities provided by the
9	district in which the charter school is located.
10	e. The projected local revenues shall be divided by the Total School District
11	Membership Count including the Recovery School District Student Membership
12	Count, both operated and charter schools, plus the Student Membership Count of the
13	district of prior jurisdiction. If any Type 2 Charter School(s) resides within the
14	physical boundaries of the district of prior jurisdiction, this school(s) shares in the
15	local revenues of the district of prior jurisdiction. As a result, the Type 2 Charter
16	School Student Membership Count will be added to the Recovery School District
17	and the district of prior jurisdiction Student Membership Count.
18	f. The Local Cost Allocation for the Recovery School District is determined
19	by multiplying the local revenue per pupil times the number of Recovery School
20	District students used in the MFP final allocation.
21	Formula:
22	Local Cost Allocation
23	Equals
24	Projected Local Revenues from District of Prior Jurisdiction Per Pupil
25	Multiplied By
26	<b>Recovery School District Student Membership Count</b>
27	g. Once the local amount is determined, it is adjusted to a monthly amount
28	that is transferred from the MFP monthly allocation of the city, parish, or other local
29	public school board of prior jurisdiction to the Recovery School District.

1	h. Based on the October 1 Student Membership Count, the local revenue
2	allocation per student will be recalculated and there will be a corresponding
3	adjustment in the local revenue allocation. No recalculation of the local revenues per
4	student will occur as a result of the February 1 Student Count adjustment.
5	i. During the third quarter of the fiscal year, the local revenue allocation per
6	student shall be adjusted to reflect actual prior year local revenue data.
7	j. A final reconciliation will occur based upon the receipt of the annual
8	audited financial statements of the district of prior jurisdiction. If an increase or
9	decrease in local revenue collection exists, the state superintendent may establish a
10	payment schedule. In the event that the fiscal status of the district of prior
11	jurisdiction or the Recovery School District changes during the fiscal year or on or
12	before the final reconciliation, the state superintendent may adjust the local revenue
13	based on the revenues identified.
14	3. Monies appropriated to the Recovery School District, except for
15	administrative costs, that are attributable to the transfer of a school from a prior
16	school system and monies allocated or transferred from the prior system to the
17	Recovery School District shall be expended solely on the operation of schools
18	transferred from the prior system to the jurisdiction of the Recovery School District.
19	<b>B. FUNDING FOR LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY AND SOUTHERN</b>
20	UNIVERSITY LABORATORY SCHOOLS
21	1. Each student in the prior year February 1 Student Membership count at
22	the Louisiana State University and Southern University Lab Schools shall be funded
23	at the Average State Cost Allocation Per Pupil.
24	2. Funds appropriated for these schools shall be allocated to the institution
25	of higher education operating such a school. Each such institution of higher
26	education shall ensure the equitable expenditure of such funds to operate such
27	schools.
28	C. FUNDING FOR TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS
29	1. Any school authorized as a Type 2 Charter School approved by the State
30	Board of Elementary and Secondary Education shall annually be appropriated funds

1 as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995, except that the 2 local share allocation will be funded with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the Local Cost Allocation from the city or parish school board in which 3 the attending students reside. The city or parish that has local taxing authority shall 4 5 provide the local support for the students. 2. Virtual Charter schools may receive, as approved by the State Board of 6 7 Elementary and Secondary Education, a lesser percentage of the state and local 8 amount calculated in R.S. 17:3995. 9 3. For any student that resides on a military base, the local share allocation 10 shall be funded by the State. 11 4. Where student attendance is from multiple school districts, the Department 12 of Education shall determine the local cost allocation based on students reported by 13 the schools. The student membership count of the Type 2 charter schools shall be included in the membership count of the city or parish school board in which the 14 student resides to determine the Local Cost Allocation. 15 16 5. The student membership and weighted student counts of any Type 2 Charter School authorized by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary 17 Education shall be included in the membership and weighted student counts of the 18 19 city or parish school board in which the student resides using the actual prior year February 1 enrollment data of the Type 2 Charter School. This shall not apply in the 20 21 first year of operation where estimated data only is available. D. FUNDING FOR THE OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE SCHOOLS AND 22 **STUDENTS** 23 24 1. Any elementary and secondary school operated by the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) in a secure care facility shall be considered a public elementary or 25 secondary school and, as such, the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) shall be annually 26 27 appropriated funds for these students as determined by applying the formula contained in Subsections B and C of this Section. 28 2. Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership, as 29 30 defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, is calculated by

7

8

9

dividing the number of days the student is under the guidance and direction of
teachers by the total instructional days during the specified school year, and shall be
funded from the minimum foundation program a State Cost Allocation Per Pupil
equal to the amount allocated per student for the State Cost Allocation of the district
where the student resided prior to adjudication.

a. The State Cost Allocation Per Pupil allocation shall be adjusted based on a factor determined by the Louisiana Department of Education to provide for the differential in the number of educational days provided to the students in the custody of the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ).

10b. Additionally, the State Cost Allocation Per Pupil shall be adjusted based11on a factor determined by the Louisiana Department of Education to recognize the12increased number of special education students in the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ)13schools relative to the state average special education student population.

143. Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership, as15defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, shall be16provided for and funded from the minimum foundation program a Local Cost17Allocation Per Pupil equal to the Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil for the district18where the student resided prior to adjudication.

19a. For the purpose of the Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil, the average daily20membership of the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) shall be included in the21membership counts of the city, parish, or other local public school board in which22the student resided prior to adjudication to the Office of Juvenile Justice.

b. For a district(s) that shares local revenue, the allocation for the Office of
Juvenile Justice will be completed before the calculation of local revenues.

c. The Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil shall be funded with a transfer of the
MFP monthly amount representing the Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil from the city,
parish, or other local public school board in which the attending students resided
prior to adjudication to the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ).

d. The average daily membership will be reconciled on an annual basis using
the latest available data.

2

3

4

E. FUNDING FOR SPECIAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (SSD), LOUISIANA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND VISUALLY IMPAIRED (LSDVI), LOUISIANA SCHOOL FOR MATH, SCIENCE AND THE ARTS (LSMSA) AND NEW ORLEANS CENTER FOR CREATIVE ARTS (NOCCA).

1. Special School District (SSD), the Louisiana School for the Deaf and 5 Visually Impaired (LSDVI), the Louisiana School for Math, Science and the Arts 6 (LSMSA) and New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA) shall be allocated 7 8 funds based on the State and Local Cost Allocation for the city or parish school 9 board where the attending students reside. The Local Cost Allocation will be funded 10 with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the Local Cost Allocation 11 Per Pupil from the city or parish school board in which the attending students reside. 12 Where student attendance is from multiple school districts, the Department of 13 Education shall determine the Local Cost Allocation based on students reported by 14 the schools.

15

16

For a district(s) that shares local revenue, the allocation for SSD, LSDVI,
 LSMSA and NOCCA will be completed before the calculation of local revenues.

The student membership and weighted student counts of SSD, LSDVI,
 LSMSA and NOCCA authorized by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary
 Education shall be included in the membership and weighted student counts of the
 city, parish, or other local public school board in which the student resides using the
 February 1 Student Membership Count of SSD, LSDVI, LSMSA and NOCCA.

22

### F. CHOICE SYSTEM

All children regardless of cultural and economic background should have the ability to choose and have access to a quality education. The ultimate goal of the Choice System is to improve student outcomes by providing opportunities for parental choice regarding the delivery of educational services to students. The following opportunities are provided for parental choice:

28

1. Course Choice Program

Authorized educational service providers are those entities approved by the State to provide approved educational courses to students statewide. This program

20

21

22

23

2 2. Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (SSEEP) Another opportunity for parents to exercise parental choice is the Student 3 Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program. This program provides parental 4 5 choice for certain public school students enrolled in low performing public schools and provides an opportunity for these students to attend eligible nonpublic and public 6 7 schools. This program shall be administered by applicable laws. 8 a. For purposes of the Scholarship program, BESE will adopt an annual 9 maximum tuition rate increase for participating nonpublic schools by establishing 10 a percentage of the MFP per pupil in the district where the nonpublic school is 11 located. Such nonpublic school may not increase its annual tuition for scholarship 12 students by an amount to exceed that percentage. 13 b. BESE shall measure the rate at which all schools serving scholarship students admit and serve students with special education needs. BESE may establish 14 a lower rate of funding for those schools not meeting a minimum threshold of special 15 education enrollment established by BESE. 16 3. In the event that the 19th Judicial District Court judgment in LFT vs. 17 State, et al (consolidated with LSBA, et al v State, et al, Docket Number 612,733, 18 19 613,142; and 613,320) is not reversed by the Louisiana Supreme Court, the funding

shall be administered by applicable laws.

Course Choice Program included the FY 2013-14 Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) formula will not be in contradiction to the District Court judgment.

for the Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (SSEEP) and

# IV. ADJUSTMENTS FOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND DATA REVISIONS

24Review and/or audit of the districts' or schools' data used in determining their25Minimum Foundation Program allocation may result in changes in final statistical26information. The Minimum Foundation Program allocation adjustments necessary27as a result of these audit findings will be made in the following school year. These28adjustments are applicable to the following: city or parish school districts, Recovery29School District, Type 2 Charter schools, Louisiana State University and Southern30University Lab schools, Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) schools, Special School

1 District (SSD), Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired (LSDVI), 2 Louisiana School for Math, Science and the Arts (LSMSA), and New Orleans Center 3 for Creative Arts (NOCCA). THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Legislature of Louisiana does hereby 4 approve the formula developed by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, 5 pursuant to Article VIII, Section 13(B) of the Constitution of Louisiana, to determine the 6 cost of a minimum foundation program of education in all public elementary and secondary 7 8 schools as well as to equitably allocate the funds to parish and city school systems, and 9 adopted by the board on March 8, 2013.

The original instrument and the following digest, which constitutes no part of the legislative instrument, were prepared by Jeanne C. Johnston.

#### DIGEST

Appel

SCR No. 23

Provides for legislative approval of the formula for FY 2013-14 to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program (MFP) of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as well as to equitably allocate the funds to local public school systems (including the Recovery School District, the LSU and SU laboratory schools, the Office of Juvenile Justice schools, the La. School for Math, Science, and the Arts, the New Orleans Center for Creative Arts, Type 2 Charter schools, the Special School District, and the La. School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired) as developed by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) and adopted by the board on March 8, 2013.

# I. FUNDING FOR CITY AND PARISH SCHOOL DISTRICTS

<u>Present formula</u> utilizes three levels to determine MFP funding allocations for city and parish school systems, as follows:

- Level 1 Cost Determination and Equitable Distribution of State and Local Funds
- Level 2 Incentive for Local Effort
- Level 3 Legislative Enhancements

Proposed formula utilizes four levels to determine MFP funding allocations, as follows:

- Level 1 Calculation of Total MFP Educational Costs and the Proportion of the Costs Supported by the State and Local School Districts.
- Level 2 Incentive for Local Effort
- Level 3 Supplemental Funding
- Level 4 School Level Funding

# A. Level 1 - Calculation of Total MFP Educational Costs and the Proportion of the Costs Supported by the State and Local School Districts.

# Compared to <u>present formula</u>, Level 1 of <u>proposed formula</u> determines total MFP educational costs, as follows:

(1) Maintains use of Feb. 1 membership as defined by BESE and provides that each student is counted as 1.0 in the formula.

- (2) Provides that students counted are enrolled in the following school districts and schools:
  - Parish and city school systems
  - Recovery School District Schools, both operated and Type 5 Charter schools
  - Type 2 Charter schools
  - LSU and Southern Lab Schools
  - Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) schools
  - New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA)
  - Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA)
  - Special School District (SSD)
    - Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired (LSDVI)
- (3) Provides, for the FY2013-2014 MFP formula only, special assistance to the St. John the Baptist Parish School Board for its recovery efforts from the effects of Hurricane Isaac by maintaining the February 1 Student Membership Count for the school district only at the February 1, 2012 Student Membership Count.
- (4) Maintains the existing weight of 22% for add-on student units for at-risk and English language learner students and re-designates this the "Low Income and English Language Learner Weight". Deletes the directive that BESE seek to increase the atrisk weight to 0.40 over a seven year period.
- (5) Maintains the existing weight of 6% for Career and Technical Education course units.
- (6) Revises the existing weight of 150% for all Special Education/Other Exceptionalities students and instead provides for differentiated special education weights utilizing three categories of disabilities, as follows:
  - (a) Needs of the Child based on relative cost of providing services to student.

Category 1 Weight - 40%

• Speech Articulation, Fluency, and Voice Impairments

Category 2 Weight - 55%

- Speech Language Impairments
- Intellectual Disability (Mild)
- Orthopedic Impairment
- Other Health Impairment
- Specific Learning Disability
- Developmental Delay
- Hearing Impairment (Hard of Hearing)
- Visual Impairment (Partially Seeing)
- Infants and Toddlers with Impairments

Category 3 Weight - 80%

- Intellectual Disability (Moderate)
- Intellectual Disability (Severe)
- Hearing Impairment-Deafness
- Visual Impairment- Blindness
- Emotional Disturbance
- Deaf-Blindness
- Multiple Disabilities
- Autism
  - Traumatic Brain Injury
- (b) How the Child is Educated based on relative cost of environment or placement. (Does not include speech articulation and language students or students parentally placed in a private school.)

# Category 1 Weight - 35%

- In Regular Classroom less than 40% of day
- In a Regular early childhood program less than 10 hours per week and majority of services received in other location

#### Category 2 Weight - 55%

- In Regular Classroom between 40% and 79% of day
- Home and receives majority of services at other location
- In a Regular early childhood program at least 10 hours per week and majority services received in other location
- In a Regular early childhood program less than 10 hours per week and majority services received in regular class

#### Category 3 Weight - 70%

- In Regular Classroom 80% or greater of day
- Home and receives majority of services at home
- Hospital/Homebound
- Separate School
- Correctional Facility
- Separate Class
- Residential Facility
- In a Regular early childhood program at least 10 hours per week and majority services in regular class
- Ages 0-2 not yet placed
- (c) How Well the Child is Educated recognizes how well the academic interest of students with disabilities is served.

#### Student Performance

- <u>Category 1 Weight</u> 135% for students with disabilities whose score in English/Language Arts or math in the Value Added Model "exceeded expected achievement" or whose Louisiana Alternative Assessment 1 (LAA1) or Louisiana Alternative Assessment 2 (LAA2) test results improved one or more achievement levels.
- <u>Category 2 Weight</u> 175% for students with disabilities whose score in English/Language Arts or math in the Value Added Model "significantly exceeded expected achievement".

#### Graduation Rate

• Weight of 150% for students with disabilities who graduate within four or five years of entering high school.

Continued Services After Declassification

• Weight of 150% to recognize the costs to school districts associated with students declassified from special education but still requiring special education services. (Does not include students identified as developmentally delayed or speech articulation impairment.)

<u>Solutions Prior to Referral</u> - recognizes district academic interventions prior to student referral for special education services.

- Weight of 12% if the change in the special education referral rate is greater than or equal to -2.0 percent.
- Weight of 6% if the change in the special education referral rate is between -1.99 and 0 percent.
- Weight of 3% if the change in the special education referral rate is between .01 and 1.0 percent.
- No weight is provided if the change in the special education referral rate is above 1.0 percent.

- (7) Revises the existing weight of 60% for Special Education/Gifted and Talented students and provides instead for two levels of gifted and talented weights, as follows:
  - Weight of 60% for students in grades Preschool through eight identified as Gifted and Talented.
  - Weight of 30% for students in grades 9 12 identified as Gifted and Talented.
- (8) Establishes a new "High Standards Weight" of 30%, based upon student performance, to recognize the cost of providing advanced course work, as follows:
  - Students who by the 8th grade score excellent on Algebra I End Of Course (EOC) tests.
  - Students who by the 9th grade score excellent on Geometry End Of Course (EOC) tests or score a 3 or higher on an Advanced Placement (AP) exam.
  - Students who by the 10th grade score 3 or higher on an Advanced Placement (AP) exam.
  - Students who by the 11th grade score a 3 or higher on an Advanced Placement (AP) exam or a 4 or higher on an International Baccalaureate (IB) Course.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that the revisions to the Special Education and Gifted and Talented weights and the addition of the High Standards Weight will be piloted in FY 2013-14, as follows:

- The financial impact of incorporating these weights will be determined by comparing the FY 2012-13 formula as originally adopted to the same formula utilizing the revised weights.
- Increases or decreases experienced as a result of these weights will be implemented at a rate of 10% of the true impact and will be applied to the final FY 2013-14 total state cost allocation for each school or school system included in the MFP formula.
- (9) Maintains the Economy of Scale curvilinear weight of 20% for school systems with a student membership of less than 7,500.
- (10) Retains base per pupil funding amount of \$3,855.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that Total MFP Educational Cost is equal to the Total Weighted Membership Count multiplied by the State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil.

<u>Present formula</u> provides that if no annual increase is provided in the formula and the formula previously adopted remains in effect, BESE must annually adjust the state and local per pupil amount, with approval from the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget (JLCB). Further provides that if the JLCB does not approve the rate established by BESE, then an annual growth adjustment of 2.75% shall be automatically applied to the state and local base per pupil amount.

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes these provisions and instead provides that BESE shall reconsider the state and local base cost per pupil on an annual basis.

# Compared to <u>present formula</u>, Level 1 of <u>proposed formula</u> determines the proportion of the costs supported by the state and local school districts, as follows:

- (1) Retains provisions that the calculation of the local school system share of the total MFP cost includes a property tax revenue contribution, a sales tax revenue contribution, and an other revenue contribution, as follows:
  - (a) Local Property Revenue Contribution is calculated by multiplying the State Computed Property Tax Millage (debt and non-debt) by each school system's Net Assessed Property Value for the latest available fiscal year including Tax

Increment Financing (TIF) areas. If a district's net assessed property value has increased equal to or greater than 10% over the prior year net assessed property value, then the growth in the net assessed property value will be capped at 10%. This cap will be applied on a year-to-year basis comparing the current year net assessed property value to the prior year uncapped net assessed property value. The computed property tax millage is calculated annually at the rate necessary to maintain the 65% to 35% state to local share.

- (b) Local Sales Tax Revenue Contribution is calculated by dividing the district's actual sales tax revenue collected (including debt service) by the district's sales tax rate that was applicable to create a sales tax base. If a local school system's sales tax goes into effect during the fiscal year, the tax rate is prorated to an annual rate applicable for the total revenue generated. If a district's computed sales tax base increased equal to or greater than 15% over the computed sales tax base calculated in the prior year formula, then the growth in the computed sales tax base will be capped at 15% over the amount used in the prior year formula. This cap will be applied on a year-to-year basis comparing the current year sales tax base is then multiplied by the state's projected yield of the sales tax rate. The computed sales tax rate is calculated annually at the rate necessary to maintain the 65% to 35% state to local share.
- (c) Other Revenue Contribution is calculated by combining state revenue in lieu of taxes, federal revenue in lieu of taxes, and 50% of earnings on property.
- (d) Retains provisions specifying that the Local Cost Allocation equals the sum of adding the property tax contribution, the sales tax contribution, and the other revenue contribution.
- (2) Retains provisions specifying that the State Cost Allocation is calculated by subtracting the Local Cost Allocation from the total state and local cost as determined in Level 1.
- (3) Retains provisions specifying that in no event shall the state share of the total Level 1 costs be less than 25% for any district.

#### **B.** Level 2 - Incentive for Local Effort

- (1) Retains <u>present formula</u> provisions to provide rewards to school districts that contribute a greater proportion of local revenue towards the cost of education by increasing local property and sales tax revenues; as follows:
- (2) Eligible Local Revenue is equal to prior year local revenues collected for educational purposes total sales tax, plus total property tax, plus state and federal revenue in lieu of taxes, plus 50% of earnings on property.
- (3) Local Revenue Eligible for Reward is equal to eligible local revenue minus local share cost of allocation.
- (4) Limit on Revenue Eligible for Reward is equal to the total state and local cost multiplied by 34%.
- (5) Continues provision that the Level 2 Reward Factor determines the amount of local support required in Level 2. Establishes the Level 1 Reward Factor at 1.72% and provides that BESE may reset this factor on an annual basis.

# C. Level 3 - Supplementary Funding

<u>Present formula</u> designates Level 3 as Legislative Enhancements and included continuing pay raises for certificated and non-certificated support workers, funding for foreign language associate teachers, hold harmless funding for certain school districts, and funding for increasing mandated costs in health insurance, retirement, and fuel. <u>Proposed formula</u> moves funding for foreign language associate teachers to the new Level 4 created in the formula, but retains all other Level 3 components included in <u>present formula</u>.

#### **Continuation of Funding for Pay Raises:**

- (1) Continues supplemental pay raises for certificated personnel initiated in 2001-02, 2006-07, 2007-08, and 2008-09 as a per pupil amount.
- (2) Continues supplemental pay raises for non-certificated support workers initiated in 2002-03, 2006-07, and 2007-08 as a per pupil amount.

#### Hold Harmless Enhancement

- (1) Continues the 10-year phase-out of hold harmless funding for Concordia, East Baton Rouge, Evangeline, Iberville, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. James, and West Feliciana parishes, beginning with a 10% reduction of the revised "over funded" amount in FY 07-08. Additionally provides that this provision remains in effect within the formula for FY 2013-14.
- (2) Retains provision that a Hold Harmless district may choose to reduce the remaining balance by an amount greater than 10%, provided such request is made by June 30<sup>th</sup> each year.
- (3) <u>Present formula provides that the annual 10% reduction amount will be repurposed</u> and utilized as rewards for student progress. Further provides that reward schools shall include high performing schools and schools achieving significant student progress, as defined by BESE.

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes these provisions and instead provides for these funds to be used for monetary rewards to Top Gains schools in Level 4 of <u>proposed formula</u>.

#### Support for Increasing Mandated Costs in Health Insurance, Retirement, and Fuel

<u>Proposed formula</u> continues <u>present formula</u> provision that local school systems shall receive a per pupil funding amount of \$100 to support increasing mandated costs involving health insurance, retirement, and fuel.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that Total Level 3 Supplementary Funding is equal to continuation pay raises, plus hold harmless enhancement, plus support for increasing mandated costs in health insurance, retirement, and fuel.

#### D. Level 4 - School Level Funding

Proposed formula creates a new Level 4, as follows:

#### Foreign Language Associate Enhancement

(<u>Present formula</u> includes funding for foreign language associate teachers in Level 3.)

Compared to <u>present formula</u>, <u>proposed formula</u> provides as follows with regard to foreign language associate teachers:

(1) Continues the supplemental allocation of \$20,000 per teacher from BESE to local school systems that employ a Foreign Language Associate or a graduate of the

Escadrille Louisiane program, not to exceed a total of 300 teachers.

- (2) Retains requirement that such teachers be paid by the employing school board at least the state average classroom teacher salary (without PIP) by years of experience and degree beginning with year three. <u>Proposed formula</u> additionally provides that the \$20,000 supplemental allocation must be allocated to the school where the teacher is employed and the funds used to support the total cost of the teacher's salary.
- (3) Retains requirement that first year teachers be paid an installment incentive of an additional \$6,000 and that second and third year teachers shall receive a retention incentive of an additional \$4,000. Specifies that these amounts will be provided by the employing school district.

#### **Top Gains Schools**

<u>Present formula</u> provides for rewards for student progress. <u>Proposed formula</u> places this program in Level 4 to provide monetary rewards to Top Gains schools, as follows:

- (1) Provides that the state designates schools that achieve their growth target as a Top Gains school if they are not in subgroup component failure and are not identified as failing No Child Left Behind (NCLB) subgroup performance for more than one year.
- (2) Provides that Tops Gains schools are eligible for monetary rewards that can be used for any educational purpose within the school.
- (3) Provides that the annual 10% reduction to the remaining Hold Harmless amount for FY 2012-13 and FY 2013-14 will be utilized to support monetary rewards to Top Gains schools in FY 2013-14.
- (4) Provides that Top Gains schools shall include high performing schools and schools achieving significant progress as defined annually by BESE.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that Total Level 4 School Level Funding is equal to the Foreign Language Associate Program salary and stipends plus Tops Gains school rewards.

# TOTAL MFP ALLOCATION

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that Total MFP Allocation is equal to the combined cost allocations in Levels 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the <u>proposed formula</u>.

# II. ALLOCATION PROCEDURES

#### A. Preliminary and Final Allocations

<u>Proposed formula</u> retains provisions of <u>present formula</u> relative to the computation of preliminary and final MFP allocations, as follows:

- (1) BESE determines no later than March 15 each year for the upcoming fiscal year preliminary allocations of the MFP formula for city, parish, and other local public school systems, the RSD, LSU and SU laboratory schools, OJJ, LSMSA, NOCCA, Type 2 charter schools, SSD, and LSDVI using the latest available data. Upon adoption by BESE of such preliminary allocations for the ensuing fiscal year, the superintendent submits the MFP funding requirements to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate committees on education.
- (2) Upon legislative approval of the MFP formula resolution for the upcoming fiscal year, BESE determines final allocations for local school systems (including the RSD, LSU and SU laboratory schools, OJJ schools, LSMSA, NOCCA, Type 2 charter schools, SSD, and LSDVI), using latest available data, no later than June 30.

# **B.** Payment Adjustments

<u>Proposed formula</u> retains <u>present formula</u> provisions that allow city, parish, and other local public school systems, the RSD, LSU and SU laboratory schools, OJJ, LSMSA, NOCCA, Type 2 charter schools, SSD, and LSDVI with documented student growth prior to actual mid-year student counts in October and February to request a temporary change to the final MFP allocation. If granted by the state superintendent of education, the adjusted allocation will remain in effect until the actual October or February mid-year student count. After the actual counts, the amounts will be reconciled and payments adjusted accordingly.

#### C. Mid-Year Allocation Adjustments

Proposed formula retains present formula provisions, as follows:

- (1) If a school/school district's current year Oct. 1 student count is more or less than the previous year's Feb. 1 membership, a mid-year adjustment to per pupil funding shall be made for each student gained or lost based on the final MFP allocation.
- (2) If a school/school district's current Feb. 1 student count is more or less than the current year's Oct. 1 membership, a second mid-year adjustment to per pupil funding shall be made for each student gained or lost based on one-half the final MFP allocation.
- (3) Districts and schools may request the state superintendent to make estimated monthly payments based on documented mid-year growth prior to the Oct. 1 count and prior to the Feb 1 count.
- (4) If the RSD, the district of prior jurisdiction, and local education agencies have an increase or decrease in current year Oct. 1 membership above the prior year Feb. 1 number included in the final MFP allocation individually, such entities shall receive a mid-year adjustment of MFP funding based upon the number of students identified above or below the membership number used in the final MFP allocation.
- (5) If the RSD Oct. 1 membership count qualifies for a mid-year adjustment to state funds, a mid-year adjustment to local per pupil funding also shall be made for each additional student gained or lost based on the local per pupil amount of the district of prior jurisdiction times the increased number of students. For Feb. 1 increases, one-half of the local per pupil amount will be transferred.
- (6) For newly opened school districts or local education agencies, in the first year of operation, a special mid-year adjustment will be made to finalize their MFP formula allocations using Oct. 1 data. This special mid-year adjustment will replace the Oct. mid-year adjustment. The newly opened school districts or local education agencies will qualify for the Feb. 1 mid-year adjustment.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that October and February mid-year adjustments will be combined and applied in the March through June payments.

#### III. FUNDING GUIDELINES

#### A. FUNDING FOR THE RECOVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Continues RSD local revenue calculations contained in present formula as follows:

#### State Cost Allocation:

(1) The student membership and weighted student counts of schools transferred to the RSD shall be included in the membership and weighted counts of the jurisdiction from which the school was transferred.

- (2) Once all final calculations have been made, the final state cost allocation per pupil amount for the city or parish school district which counted the RSD students will be multiplied by the February 1, RSD student membership count to equal the RSD state cost allocation.
- (3) The RSD state cost allocation is converted to a monthly amount that will be reduced from the monthly MFP allocation of the district of prior jurisdiction and transferred to the RSD.

### Local Cost Allocation:

- (1) The RSD also receives an applicable local revenue per student allocation determined by dividing the projected local revenues from the district of prior jurisdiction by total RSD student membership count for the district of prior jurisdiction.
- (2) Local revenue is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion specifically dedicated by the legislature or voter approval to capital outlay or debt service:
  - a. Sales and use taxes, less collection fees.
  - b. Ad valorem taxes, less collection fees.
  - c. Earnings from 16<sup>th</sup> Section lands.
- (3) Provides that exclusion of any portion of local revenues specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service, are applicable only to a charter school housed in a facility or facilities owned by the district in which the charter school is located.
- (4) Projected local revenue is divided by the total school district membership count, including RSD membership count plus the student membership count of the district of prior jurisdiction. Type 2 charters within the district of prior jurisdiction share in the local revenues. Type 2 charter school student membership counts will be added to the RSD and the district of prior jurisdiction student membership counts.
- (5) Once the local amount is determined it is adjusted to a monthly amount that is transferred from the MFP monthly allocation of the local school board of prior jurisdiction to the RSD.
- (6) A final reconciliation will occur based upon the receipt of the annual audited financial statements of the district of prior jurisdiction. If an increase or decrease in local revenue collections exists, the state superintendent may establish a payment schedule.
- (7) Except for administrative costs, monies appropriated to the RSD attributable to transfer of a school from a local school board shall be expended solely on the operation of the transferred schools.

# **B. FUNDING FOR LSU AND SU LAB SCHOOLS**

<u>Present formula</u> provides that each student enrolled at LSU or SU lab schools shall be provided for and funded from the MFP a per pupil amount equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share of the MFP.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that each student in the prior year February 1 student membership count at the LSU and SU Lab Schools shall be funded at the average state cost allocation per pupil.

<u>Proposed formula</u> retains <u>present formula</u> requirement that MFP funds appropriated to LSU

and SU lab schools shall be allocated to the institution of higher education operating such schools which shall ensure the equitable expenditure of such funds to operate the schools.

#### C. FUNDING FOR TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS

Retains <u>present formula</u> provisions regarding the funding for Type 2 charter schools, as follows:

- (1) Type 2 charter schools shall annually be appropriated funds as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995, except that the local share allocation will be funded with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the local share allocation from the city, parish, or local public school board in which the attending students reside. Specifies that the local school board that has local taxing authority shall provide the local support for the students.
- (2) Virtual charter schools may receive a lesser percentage of the state and local amount calculated pursuant to R.S. 17:3995, as approved by BESE.
- (3) The local share allocation for any student that resides on a military base shall be funded by the state.
- (4) Where student attendance is from multiple school districts, the Dept. of Education shall determine the local share based on students reported by the schools.
- (5) Student membership count of Type 2 charter schools shall be included in the membership count of the local school board in which the school is located to determine the local share.

#### D. FUNDING FOR THE OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE (OJJ) SCHOOLS AND STUDENTS

Continues present formula provisions relative to funding for OJJ schools as follows:

- (1) Any elementary or secondary school operated by OJJ shall be considered a public school and annually appropriated funds as determined in the MFP formula.
- (2) Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership shall be provided for and funded from the MFP a state cost allocation per pupil amount equal to the amount allocated per student for the state cost allocation of the district where the student resided prior to adjudication.
  - a. The state cost allocation per pupil shall be adjusted based on a factor determined by DOE to provide for the differential in the number of educational days provided to students in OJJ custody.
  - b. The state cost allocation per pupil shall also be adjusted to recognize the increased number of special education students in OJJ schools relative to the state average special education student population.
- (3) Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership shall be provided for and funded from the MFP a local cost allocation per pupil amount equal to the amount allocated per student for the district where the student resided prior to adjudication.
  - a. For purposes of the local cost allocation per pupil amount, the average daily membership of the OJJ shall be included in the membership counts of the local school board in which the student resided prior to adjudication to OJJ.
  - b. The local cost allocation per pupil shall be funded with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the local cost allocation per pupil from the

local school board in which the attending students resided prior to adjudication to OJJ.

#### E. FUNDING FOR SPECIAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (SSD), LOUISIANA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND VISUALLY IMPAIRED (LSDVI), LOUISIANA SCHOOL FOR MATH, SCIENCE, AND THE ARTS (LSMSA), AND NEW ORLEANS CENTER FOR CREATIVE ARTS (NOCCA)

Continues <u>present formula</u> provisions relative to funding for SSD, LSDVI, LSMSA AND NOCCA as follows:

- (1) Funds shall be allocated based on the state and local cost allocation for the city or parish school board where the attending students reside.
- (2) The local cost allocation will be funded with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the local cost allocation for the school district in which the student resides. Further provides that where student attendance is from multiple school districts, the Dept. of Education shall determine the local share based on students reported by the schools.
- (3) Specifies that requirements in the formula relative to using 50% of increased funds for pay raises for certificated personnel also apply to these schools.
- (4) For districts that share local revenue, allocations will be completed before the calculation of local revenues.
- (5) Student membership and weighted student counts shall be included in the membership and weighted counts of the local school board where the student resides using actual prior year Feb. 1 enrollment data.

#### CHOICE SYSTEM

#### Course Choice Program

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes all <u>present formula</u> provisions relative to eligible educational service providers and the course choice program, including student eligibility, the cost of courses, and allocation of MFP funding.

<u>Proposed formula</u> instead provides that authorized educational service providers are those entities approved by the state to provide educational courses to students statewide. Specifies that this program shall be administered by applicable laws.

#### **Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (SSEEP)**

<u>Proposed law</u> retains <u>present formula</u> provisions as follows:

- (1) BESE will adopt an annual maximum tuition rate increase for participating nonpublic schools by establishing a percentage of the MFP per pupil in the school district where the nonpublic school is located and such school may not increase its annual tuition for scholarship students by an amount that exceeds that percentage.
- (2) Requires BESE to measure the rate at which all schools serving scholarship students admit and serve students with special education needs.
- (3) Allows BESE to establish a lower rate of funding for schools not meeting a minimum threshold of special education enrollment as established by BESE.

<u>Proposed formula</u> specifies that this program shall be administered by applicable laws.

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes all other <u>present formula</u> provisions relative to SSEEP, including student eligibility, scholarship amounts, criteria for participating public schools, and MFP allocations.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides that in the event that the 19th Judicial District Court judgment in LFT vs. State, et al (consolidated with LSBA, et al v State, et al, Docket Number 612,733, 613,142; and 613,320) is not reversed by the Louisiana Supreme Court, the funding for the Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (SSEEP) and Course Choice Program included the FY 2013-14 Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) formula will not be in contradiction to the District Court judgment.

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes <u>present formula</u> provisions relative to the Early High School Graduation Program.

# IV. ADJUSTMENTS FOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND DATA REVISIONS

Continues present formula provisions as follows:

Provides that review and/or audit of a district's data may cause changes in final statistical information and that any necessary adjustments in a district's MFP allocation resulting from such audit findings will be made in the following school year.

#### REQUIRED EXPENDITURE AMOUNTS

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes <u>present formula</u> provisions relative to required expenditures, as follows:

#### (1) Required Pay Raise for Certificated Personnel

Deletes requirement that 50% of increased funds over the prior year shall only be used to supplement full-time certificated salaries and retirement benefits for school systems, the RSD, LSU/SU lab schools, OJJ, SSD, LSMSA, NOCCA, and Type 2 charter schools with an average teacher salary below the SREB average teacher salary.

#### (2) 70% Local General Fund Required Instructional Expenditure at the School Building Level

Deletes requirement that 70% of local school system general fund expenditures must be in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level and additionally provides as follows for a local school district that fails to meet the 70% instructional expenditure requirement and that specifies consequences for districts that fail to meet this requirement.

# ACCOUNTABILITY PROVISIONS

Deletes the following present formula provisions relative to accountability as follows:

- (1) Each school recognized by DOE will be included in a MFP Accountability Report containing specific data and submitted to the House and Senate education committees by June 30 of each year.
- (2) Accountability for all weight factors: at-risk, career and technical education, special education other exceptionalities, and special education gifted and talented.
- (3) Annual report to DOE detailing the types of activities for which these funds were expended to serve the needs of the weighted students.

#### **STUDY OF FUNDING FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION**

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes <u>present formula</u> provisions directing BESE to encourage school districts to explore utilizing student-based budgeting as a tool to improve student

achievement.

ORIGINAL SCR NO. 23