ACT No. 66

HOUSE BILL NO. 801

1

BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN, ARNOLD, EDWARDS, ROBERT JOHNSON, KLECKLEY, AND LEGER AND SENATORS ALARIO, DONAHUE, GALLOT, LAFLEUR, MURRAY, AND PETERSON

AN ACT

| 2 | To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the |
|--|---|
| 3 | Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans |
| 4 | Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters. |
| 5 | Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana: |
| 6 | Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Two Million Six Hundred Sixty-Four |
| 7 | Thousand Six and No/100 (\$182,664,006.00) Dollars, or so much thereof as may be |
| 8 | necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including the |
| 9 | Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of Orleans |
| 10 | Parish, and of the other courts. |
| 1 | B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows: |
| 12 | 03-8170 SUPREME COURT |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice. |
| 23 24 25 26 | Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority. |
| 27 | Goal: To protect the rule of law. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Objective: To provide a reasonable opportudecisions made by lower tribunals. General Performance Information: | nity for liti | gants to seek | review in the S | Supreme Court of |
|----------------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 4 | General 1 erjormance injormation. | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| 5 | Total Filings | 2,769 | 3,017 | 2,716 | |
| 6 | Total Appeals Filed | 10 | 18 | 12 | |
| 7 | Total Writs Filed | 2,639 | 2,790 | 2,496 | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| o | Total Dispositions Rendered | 3,181 | 2,500 | 2,592 | |
| 9 | Goal: To promote the rule of law. | | | | |
| 10 | Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann | er. | | | |
| 11 | General Performance Information: | | | | |
| 12 | · | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| 13 | Percentage of noncriminal case | | | | |
| 14 | applications acted on within Supreme | | | | |
| 15 | Court standard of 120 days of filing | 93.3% | 94.3% | 91.8% | |
| 16 | Percentage of criminal case applications | | | | |
| 17 | acted on within Supreme Court | | | | |
| 18 | standard of 120 days of filing | 45.9% | 37% | 30.7% | |
| 19 | Percentage of pro se post conviction | 15.570 | 3770 | 20.770 | |
| 20 | applications acted on within Supreme | | | | |
| 21 | Court standard of 120 days of filing | 8.5% | 4.8% | 2.1% | |
| 21 | Percentage of bar disciplinary filings | 0.570 | 4.070 | 2.1/0 | |
| 22 23 | | | | | |
| 23 | acted upon within Supreme Court | 0.0.00/ | 05.20/ | 05.20/ | |
| 24 25 | standard of 120 days of filing | 88.9% | 95.2% | 95.2% | |
| 25 | Percentage of opinions rendered within | | | | |
| 26 | Supreme Court standard of 84 days | | | | |
| 27 | from argument | 80.6% | 83.2% | 81.5% | |
| 28 | Goal: To ensure the public trust. | | | | |
| 29 30 | Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr General Performance Information: | eme Court | decisions. | | |
| 31 | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| 32 | Percentage of written opinions available | | | | |
| 33 | to the public within 5 days of decision | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| 34 35 | Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information: | s and activi | ties. | | |
| 36 | General Leijormanee Injormanom | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| 37 | Number of outreach programs | 58 | 78 | 58 | |
| 38 | Number of media releases on court decisions | <i>77</i> | 73 | 64 | |
| 39 | Number of media releases on other matters | 15 | 24 | 25 | |
| 40 | Number of recipients of releases on | 13 | 24 | 23 | |
| 41 | court decisions | 1,663 | 1,760 | 1,485 | |
| 42 | | 1,003 | 1,700 | 1,403 | |
| 43 | Number of recipients of releases on other | 3,672 | 4,694 | 3,633 | |
| 73 | matters | 3,072 | 4,094 | 3,033 | |
| 44 45 | Objective: To ensure the highest professional General Performance Information: | l conduct, i | ntegrity, and c | competence of t | he bench. |
| 46 | y | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| 47 | Average number of hours acquired | | | | |
| 48 | through continuing legal education | | | | |
| 49 | per judge | 29.35 | 36.36 | 33.59 | |
| 50 | Number of complaints filed against | 27.55 | 30.30 | 33.57 | |
| 51 | judges and justices of the peace | 537 | 496 | 495 | |
| 52 | Number of complaints against judges | 557 | 720 | ,,,, | |
| 53 | and justices of the peace resolved or | | | | |
| 54 | disposed of in the calendar year | 619 | 526 | 477 | |
| <i>J</i> 1 | aisposea of in the catenaar year | 019 | 320 | 7// | |
| 55 | Objective. To ensure the highest and facilities | Loondwat : | ntagrity and - | omnetones of | ha har |
| 56 | Objective: To ensure the highest professional | conduct, 1 | megniy, and c | ompetence of t | ne vai. |
| 57 | General Performance Information: | 2012 | 2012 | 2014 | |
| | 1 01 1.1 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| 58 | Average number of hours acquired through | 15.24 | 15.46 | 1402 | |
| 59 | continuing legal education per lawyer | 15.24 | 15.46 | 14.92 | |
| 60 | Number of complaints filed against lawyers | 3,042 | 3,038 | 3,040 | |
| 61 | Number of complaints filed against lawyers | • • • • | | 0.4 | |
| 62 | resolved or disposed of in calendar year | 2,966 | 3,319 | 3,140 | |

HB NO. 801 ENROLLED

Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct):

| 2 3 4 | 01 | Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102 | \$ 1,076,359 |
|--------------------------|----|---|-----------------|
| 5 6 7 | 02 | Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court | \$ 9,831,884 |
| 8 9 10 11 12 | 03 | Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq. | |

A. Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office \$ 5,005,973

Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme Court in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; technological services to courts; and, manages the Trial Court Case Management Information System. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.

B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission

C. Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems

 \$ \$2,043,285

408,761

Program Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional body established under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure, suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.

| 30 | Γ | D. Dues to National Center for State Courts | \$ | 153,691 |
|----------------------|-------|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| 31 32 | 04 | Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX | \$ | 3,000 |
| 33 34 35 36 | 05 | Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at | \$ | 1,563,079 |
| 37 38 39 | 06 | Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment | \$ | 2,014,594 |
| 40 | Progi | ram Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the le | egal information n | eeds of the state |

Program Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal information needs of the state judiciary, and is open to members of the bar and public.

| 0′ | Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, | |
|----|---|---------------|
| | Section 5(A) of the Constitution, | |
| | be it more or less estimated as | \$ 180,323 |
| 08 | Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as | |

48 provided by R.S. 11:1358 and 49 R.S. 13:103 \$ 1,365,669

| | 110 110. | 501 | <u>151</u> | MOLLED |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 2 3 4 | 09 | Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at | \$ | 1,469,984 |
| 5 | 10 | Judicial College | \$ | 276,606 |
| 6 | | am Description: The Judicial College was established by order of | | , |
| 7 | | e continuing legal education to Louisiana judges. | 1 | |
| 8 9 10 11 12 | 11 | State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at | \$ | 18,155,129 |
| 13 | 12 | Civil commitment matters as | | |
| 14 | 12 | required by R.S. 28:54 | \$ | 143,424 |
| 15 | 13 | Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses | | |
| 16 | | of storage of appellate court records | \$ | 60,000 |
| 17 18 19 20 | 14 | Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System | \$ | 1,411,326 |
| 21 | 15 | Information Technology | \$ | 1,109,897 |
| | | | Ψ | 1,100,007 |
| 22 23 24 | 16 | Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS) | \$ | 2,748,287 |
| 25 26 27 28 29 30 | develoj the use and ma indicat | am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to ping and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FL andating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementitors and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports enerally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other we | , implementin NS informal c ing, and repor s and financio | g, and mandating cases; developing ting performance |
| 31 | 17 | Drug court maintenance and enhancement | \$ | 12,050,465 |
| 32 33 34 35 36 | provida low-lev progra | am Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. and integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives wel, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicans. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with ent requirements set by the court. | with case pr | ocessing to place sed rehabilitation |
| 37 | 18 | Court Appointed Special Advocates | \$ | 3,035,816 |
| 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 | childre Childre screen, advoca interes | am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is the in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in account of the CASA assistance Program is the inneed of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in account of the children states are provided through local Case and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment at the serve children by providing independent factual information to the stable of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. | rdance with CASA program by the trial ejudge, advo | the provisions of ms which recruit, judge, qualified cating for the best |
| 45 | TOTAL - | GENERAL FUND | <u>\$</u> | 64,107,552 |
| 46 47 48 49 50 | 19 | Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at | \$ | 6,223,724 |
| | | | | |

ENROLLED

HB NO. 801

1 2 3 **Program Description:** The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3. 4 20 Payable out of the State General Fund 5 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court 6 Case Management Information Fund, for 7 the Case Management Information System, 8 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal 9 Procedure, be it more or less estimated at 4,147,710 \$ 10 **Program Description:** The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme 11 Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, 12 juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, 13 transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. 14 Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS 15 is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under 16 C.Cr.P.887(F). 17 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 18 BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS 10,371,434 19 21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable 20 out of the State General Fund through Interagency 21 Transfers from the Department of Children and 22 **Family Services** \$ 5,400,000 23 22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of 24 the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers 25 from the Department of Children and Family 3,992,850 26 Services 27 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 9,392,850 28 THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS 29 TOTAL SUPREME COURT 83,871,836 30 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL 31 32 33 34 **Program Description:** The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and 35 36 all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts. Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public 40 resources efficiently. 41 Goal: To protect the rule of law. 42 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower 43 tribunals. 2012 General Performance Information: 2013 2014 2,689 2,382 2,050 Total appeals filed 46 Total writs filed 4,499 4,973 4,325 Total dispositions rendered 6,240 6,432 5,741 48 Goal: To promote the rule of law. 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 **Objective:** To resolve cases expeditiously. General Performance Information: 2012 2013 2014 Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument: Time Standard = no more than 175 days. 189 179 151 Criminal cases

| | HB NO. 8 | 301 | | | | ENROLLED |
|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 2 | Civil Co Median | ases number of days for all cases | 161 172 | 160 165 | 149 149 | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | renderi Time St Crimino Civil ca | e number of days from argument to ng of the opinion: tandard = no more than 70 days. al cases uses tanumber of days for all cases | 37 41 38 | 38 44 42 | 38 44 43 | |
| 9 | Goal: | To preserve public trust. | | | | |
| 10 11 12 13 | Genera | ive: To facilitate public access to the defermence Information: tage of written opinions available | decisions of 2012 | the courts of <i>a</i> | appeal. 2014 | |
| 14 | | the public within 5 days of decision | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| 15 | Payable o | ut of the State General Fund: | | | | |
| 16 17 18 | 01 | Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13: | | | \$ | 7,567,661 |
| 19 20 21 | 02 | Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit | | | \$ | 10,255,060 |
| 22 23 24 | 03 | Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit | | | \$ | 5,761,986 |
| 25 26 27 | 04 | Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit | | | \$ | 8,796,311 |
| 28 29 30 | 05 | Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fourth Circuit | | | \$ | 8,153,650 |
| 31 32 33 | 06 | Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fifth Circuit | | | <u>\$</u> | 6,042,968 |
| 34 | TOTAL C | COURTS OF APPEAL | | | <u>\$</u> | 46,577,636 |
| 2.5 | 0.0.1. | | | | | |

03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

1 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice. 234567 **Objective:** To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. General Performance Information: 2012 2013 2014 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2013-2014 to improve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) 89.6% 89.6% 97.9% 8 9 10 **Objective:** To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. 11 12 13 General Performance Information: 2012 2013 2014 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating 14 15 actions taken in FY 2013-2014 to assist self-represented litigants 95.8% 95.8% 97.9% Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 General Performance Information: 2012 2013 2014 Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS 64 63 63 Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS 98% 99% 100% Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2013-2014 to reduce delays and improve the 91.7% 91.7% 91.7% timeliness of case processing 29 30 31 32 33 Objective: To enhance jury service. General Performance Information: 2012 2013 2014 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2013-2014 to make jury service more convenient or effective 93% 97.7% 93% Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions. Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as 38 39 necessary. General Performance Information: 40 2012 2013 2014 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2013-2014 to improve employee 43 training and development 89.6% 89.6% 95.8% Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating 45 actions taken in FY 2013-2014 to install or implement 46 91.7% 91.7% 95.8% technologies 47 Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental 48 relations and accountability to the public. 49 **Objective:** To inform the community of the court's structure and function. 50 General Performance Information: 2012 2013 2014 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating 53 54 that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2013-2014 89.6% 93.8% 89.6% 55 Payable out of the State General Fund: 56 01 Salaries of one hundred ninety-one 57 (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 58 \$ 25,996,619 59 02 Office and travel expenses of District 60 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively 61 \$ 1,285,250

| | HB NO. 8 | 801 | | ENROLLED |
|----------------------------------|----------|---|-----------|------------|
| 1 2 3 | 03 | Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:691 | \$ | 1,905,512 |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | 04 | Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698 | \$ | 80,500 |
| 9 10 11 12 | 05 | Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1 | \$ | 114,514 |
| 13 14 | 06 | Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A) | \$ | 10,000 |
| 15 16 17 18 | 07 | State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851 | \$ | 6,662,537 |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 08 | Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively | \$ | 538,826 |
| 25 26 27 28 | 09 | Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713 | \$ | 476,445 |
| 29 30 31 32 | 10 | Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716 | \$ | 296,626 |
| 33 34 | 11 | Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977 | \$ | 49,311 |
| 35 36 37 | 12 | For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006 | <u>\$</u> | 35,000 |
| 38 | SUBT | TOTAL | \$ | 37,451,140 |

13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

1

23456789

Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

| 10 11 12 | A. Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691 | \$ 1,769,404 |
|----------------|---|-----------------|
| 13 14 | B. Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698 | \$ 74,750 |
| 15 16 | C. State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851 | \$ 710,698 |
| 17 18 | D. Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1 | \$ 284,627 |
| 19 20 | E. Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1 | \$ 449,821 |
| 21 22 23 | F. Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347 | \$ 480,382 |
| 24 25 | G. Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347 | \$ 10,600 |
| 26 27 | H. Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347 | \$ 73,003 |
| 28 29 | I. Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347 | \$ 55,034 |
| 30 31 | J. Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits | \$ 995,519 |
| 32 | K. Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks | \$ 730,138 |
| 33 | L. Salaries of four (4) secretaries | \$ 211,593 |
| 34 | M. Sanity Commissions | \$ 102,700 |
| 35 | N. Board of Jury Commissioners | \$ 426,303 |
| 36 | SUBTOTAL | \$ 6,374,572 |
| 37 | 14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges | |
| 38 39 | A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 | \$ 1,905,512 |
| 40 41 | B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 | \$ 544,432 |

| | HB NO. 801 | ENROLLED |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| 1 2 3 | C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively | \$ 103,500 |
| 4 | SUBTOTAL | \$ 2,553,444 |
| 5 | TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS | 46,379,156 |
| 6 7 | 03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE E REQUIRED BY STATUTE | XPENSES AS |
| 8 | Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one mu Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish) | |
| 10 11 12 13 14 | Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide eintegrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby confidence in the public. | quality, fairness and y, and to reach a fair |
| 15 | Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice. | |
| 16 17 18 19 | Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to mak to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, tim that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. General Performance Information: | |
| 20 21 | 2012 201. | 3 2014 |
| 22 23 | Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2013-2014 to assist pro se litigants 96.2% 100% | % 100% |
| 24 25 | Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its active expeditious manner. | vities in a timely and |
| 26 | Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. | |
| 27 28 | General Performance Information: 2012 201. | 3 2014 |
| 29 30 | Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within | |
| 31 32 | FY 2013-2014 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing 86.5% 84.3% | % 84.6% |
| 33 34 | Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity relations and accountability to the public. | in its governmental |
| 35 36 37 | Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information: | 2011 |
| 38 | 2012 201. Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating | 3 2014 |
| 39 40 | that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2013-2014 88.5% 92.2% | 6 92.3% |
| 41 42 | Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust c necessary. | ourt operations as |
| 43 44 | General Performance Information: 2012 201. | 3 2014 |
| 45 46 47 48 | Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2013-2014 to improve employee training and development 92.3% 96.1% Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating | 6 94.2% |
| 49 50 | actions taken in FY 2013-2014 to install or implement technologies 86.5% 86.3% | 6 92.3% |

| | HB NO. 8 | 01 | <u>F</u> | ENROLLED |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Payable or | ut of the State General Fund: | | |
| 2 3 | 01 | Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875 | \$ | 2,636,759 |
| 4 5 6 7 | 02 | Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively | \$ | 425,293 |
| 8 | TOTAL C | OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE | <u>\$</u> | 3,062,052 |
| 9 10 | 03-8174 | OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE IS REQUIRED BY STATUTE | EXPE | NSES NOT |
| 11 | Payable of | ut of the State General Fund: | | |
| 12 13 | 01 | Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program | \$ | 591,627 |
| 14 15 16 | Orlean | m Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and s Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the s Program. | | |
| 17 | 02 | Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters | \$ | 88,312 |
| 18 19 | 03 | For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program | \$ | 63,548 |
| 20 21 | | m Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling with substance abuse problems. | and oth | ner assistance to |
| 22 | TOTAL C | OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE | <u>\$</u> | 743,487 |
| 23 | 03-8175 | NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES | | |
| 24 | Payable of | ut of the State General Fund: | | |
| 25 | 01 | Legal representation of children in child protection cases | <u>\$</u> | 2,029,839 |
| 26 27 28 29 30 | Protect adminis | m Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal resion Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in a stration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to protection for children in child protection cases as required to fulficibility. | order i rovide | to advance the qualified legal |
| 31 | TOTAL N | ION-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES | <u>\$</u> | 2,029,839 |
| 32 | Sectio | n 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appro | priati | ons, from the |
| 33 | State Gen | eral Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be | e redu | ced by a total |
| 34 | amount o | of Three Million Sixty Thousand Eight Hundred Fou | rteen | and No/100 |
| 35 | (\$3,060,8 | 14.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial | Budg | etary Control |
| 36 | Board or a | as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court. | | |
| 37 | Sectio | n 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her d | uly at | ithorized and |
| 38 | appointed | agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations | herein | provided, or |
| 39 | for so muc | ch thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shal | l be p | aid out of the |
| 40 | state gene | ral fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by | prefei | ence over all |

other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

- B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
- C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state general fund on or before September 1, 2016.
- D. For Fiscal Year 2015-2016, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.
- E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.
- F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.
- G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office

1

2

3

4

5

6

later.

are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2015; if vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2015, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2014-2015 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2015-2016 as contained in the original bill.

| (| Operating Budget | Appropriation Request |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Courts and Programs | FY 2014-2015 | FY 2015-2016 |
| Supreme Court | | |
| Total Supreme Court | \$ 70,916,964 | \$ 73,500,402 |
| Courts of Appeal | | |
| Total Courts of Appeal | \$ 44,238,406 | \$ 46,577,636 |
| District Courts | | |
| Total District Courts | \$ 44,936,501 | \$ 46,379,156 |
| Other Courts | | |
| Total Other Courts | \$ 2,993,515 | \$ 3,062,052 |
| Other Programs | | |
| Total Other Programs | \$ 713,548 | \$ 743,487 |
| Non-Judicial State Expenses | 3 | |
| Total State Expenses | \$ 1,976,474 | \$ 2,029,839 |

| HB NO. 801 | | ENROLLED |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Total State General Fund | | |
| and Interagency Transfer | | |
| All Line Items | <u>\$ 165,775,408</u> | <u>\$172,292,572</u> |
| Total Statutory Dedications | <u>\$ 10,222,822</u> | \$ 10,371,434 |
| Total Funding | <u>\$ 175,998,230</u> | <u>\$182,664,006</u> |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| SPEAKE | ER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESE | NTATIVES |
| | | |
| | | |
| PRESIDI | ENT OF THE SENATE | |
| | | |
| | | |
| GOVER | NOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIA | ANA |
| | | |
| APPROVED: | | |