HOUSE BILL NO. 691

BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND KLECKLEY AND SENATORS ALARIO AND DONAHUE

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary for Fiscal Year 2013-2014

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Sixty-Nine Million Two Hundred Forty-Two
7	Thousand Five Hundred Forty-Nine and No/100 (\$169,242,549.00) Dollars, or so much
8	thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
25 26 27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

30 Goal: To protect the rule of law.

1 2

ENROLLED

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tri	bunals.		
$\frac{3}{4}$	General Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
5	Total Filings	2,875	2,852	2,769
6	Total Appeals Filed	2,079	2,032	2,709
7	Total Writs Filed	2,674	2,613	2,639
8	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,736	2,916	3,181
9	Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
10 11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann <i>General Performance Information:</i>	er.		
12	General I erjormance Injormation.	2010	2011	2012
13	Percentage of noncriminal case	-010		_01_
14	applications acted on within Supreme			
15	Court standard of 120 days of filing	90.9%	96.6%	93.3%
16 17	Percentage of criminal case applications			
18	acted on within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing	39.9%	40.4%	45.9%
19	Percentage of pro se post conviction	57.770	10.170	13.970
20	applications acted on within Supreme			
21	Court standard of 120 days of filing	7.7%	5.2%	8.5%
22 23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings			
23 24	acted upon within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing	76.5%	98.7%	88.9%
25	Percentage of opinions rendered within	/0.5/0	90.770	00.970
26	Supreme Court standard of 84 days			
27	from argument	83.7%	64.4%	80.6%
20				
28	Goal: To ensure the public trust.			
29	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions.	
30	General Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
31 32	Percentage of written opinions available	2010	2011	2012
33	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%
34	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
25				
35 36	Objective: To inform the public of operations	s and activity	ties.	
30	General Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
38	Number of outreach programs	51	63	58
39	Number of media releases on court decisions	87	83	77
40	Number of media releases on other matters	22	21	15
41	Number of recipients of releases on	1.010	1 (00	1.662
42 43	court decisions	1,219	1,498	1,663
43	Number of recipients of releases on other matters	5,806	2,876	3,672
		2,000	_ ,070	0,072
45	Objective: To ensure the highest professional	conduct, in	tegrity, and co	ompetence
46 47	of the bench.			
48	General Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
49	Average number of hours acquired	2010		_01_
50	through continuing legal education			
51	per judge	27.19	30.20	29.35
52 53	Number of complaints filed against	586	561	537
55 54	judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges	580	501	557
55	and justices of the peace resolved or			
56	disposed of in the calendar year	526	562	619
57	Objective: To ensure the highest professional	conduct in	tearity and a	mnetenco
58	of the bar.	conduct, m	icgrity, and co	mpetence
59	General Performance Information:			
60		2010	2011	2012
61	Average number of hours acquired through	15 0 1	15.25	15.0 1
62 63	continuing legal education per lawyer Number of complaints filed against lawyers	15.04 3.240	15.36 3,000	15.24 3,042
63 64	wander of complaints filed against lawyers	3,240	5,000	J.04Z
	Number of complaints filed against lawyers		,	
65	Number of complaints filed against lawyers resolved or disposed of in calendar year	3,565	2,997	2,966

1	Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct):		
2 3 4	01 Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	\$	970,480
5 6	02 Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	9,432,817
7 8 9 10	03 Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
11	A. Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	4,777,022
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Sup Court in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Coun and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Coun performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeship for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's of provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Count Appellate Court human resource system; technological services to courts; manages the Trial Court Case Management Information System. The Jud Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors under advisement, provides outreach services to state and local courts, staff Committee on Judicial Ethics, and performs numerous legal services for Supreme Court and the Judiciary.	ouncil cil, it os and Office g the t and and, dicial cases fs the	
25	B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	1,926,519
26 27 28 29 30	Program Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitu- body established under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to an screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure, suspension, ref from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.	ccept, of its	
31	C. Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	359,357
32	D. Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	148,690
33 34 35	04 Expenses of Committee on Professional Ethics and Grievances including disbarment proceedings, R.S. 37:211 et seq.	\$	3,000
36 37 38	05 Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,464,995
39 40 41	06 Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	1,913,188

1 2 3	Program Description: The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an of the Legislature in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public state judiciary, and the bar.		
4 5 6 7	07 Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	182,012
8 9 10 11	08 Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,398,390
12 13 14 15	09 Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,609,481
16	10 Judicial College	\$	234,310
17 18	Program Description: The Judicial College was established by order of Supreme Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana jud		
19 20 21 22	11 State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or	¢	14.5 (1.070)
23	less estimated at	\$	14,561,372
24 25	12 Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424
26 27	13 Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
28 29 30 31	14 Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,318,704
32	15 Information Technology	\$	1,037,647
33 34 35	16 Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,037,299
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Program Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to a local FINS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocal formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data sy for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, reporting performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring performance indicators is processes in other ways.	ation stem and and iodic	
44	17 Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	11,137,372
45 46 47 48 49 50	Program Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13: through R.S. 13:5304, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions incentives with case processing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-invo defendants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation progr Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with education employment, and treatment requirements set by the court.	, and olved ams.	
51	18 Court Appointed Special Advocates	<u>\$</u>	2,519,048

Program Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote 123456789 timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. 10 **TOTAL - GENERAL FUND** 57,235,127 11 19 Payable out of the State General Fund 12 from Statutory Dedications, Judges' 13 Supplemental Compensation Fund, 14 R. S. 13:10.3. be it more or less 15 estimated at \$ 6,000,000 16 17 Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was 18 established by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related 19 expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable 20filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3. 21 20 Payable out of the State General Fund 22 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court 23 Case Management Information Fund, for 24 the Case Management Information System, 25 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal 26 Procedure, be it more or less estimated at 3,797,469 \$ 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and 34 traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F). 35 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 36 BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS 9,797,469 \$ 37 21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable 38 out of the State General Fund through Interagency 39 Transfers from the Department of Children and 40 **Family Services** 6,000,000 \$ 41 22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of 42 the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers 43 from the Department of Children and Family 44 Services 4,436,500 \$ 45 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 46 THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS 10,436,500 47 TOTAL SUPREME COURT 77,469,096 48 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL 49

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit,

$1 \\ 2$	except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.					
3 4 5 6 7	meaning supervis	Statement: The mission of the append ful access to all who seek review cory jurisdiction granted by the Louisian ng the rule of law, preserving the pul- ly.	v under the ana Constitut	Courts' app ion while prot	ellate and tecting and	
8	Goal: 7	To protect the rule of law.				
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	decision General Total ap Total wi	ve: To provide a reasonable opposise made by lower tribunals. Performance Information: Popeals filed rits filed spositions rendered	2010 2,587 5,102 6,530	multi-judge 1 2,838 4,987 5,277	2012 2,689 4,499 6,240	
16	Goal: 7	To promote the rule of law.				
17 18	Objecti	ve: To resolve cases expeditiously.				
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Average appeal t Time Sta Crimina Civil Ca	e number of days from lodging of the to argument: andard = no more than 175 days. Il cases	2010 145 159 152	2011 145 175 155	2012 189 161 172	
26 27 28 29 30 31	renderin Time Sta Crimina Civil ca		39 37 38	41 37 38	37 41 38	
32	Goal: 7	Γο preserve public trust.				
33 34 35 36	General	ve: To facilitate public access to the <i>Performance Information:</i> age of written opinions available	decisions of 1 2010	the courts of a 2011	appeal. 2012	
37		he public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
38	Payable or	it of the State General Fund:				
39 40 41	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:			\$	6,949,328
42 43 44	02	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit			\$	9,681,993
45 46 47	03	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit			\$	5,561,156

1 2 3

4 5 6

7 8 9

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

34

35

36

ENROLLED

- - 	04	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Third Circuit	\$	8,457,126
5	05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$	7,701,037
7 })	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit	<u>\$</u>	5,719,937
)	TOTAL	COURTS OF APPEAL	<u>\$</u>	44,070,577

11 **03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS**

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

37 Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, 38 39 accessible, and convenient. **General Performance Information:** 40 2010 2011 2012 41 Percentage of surveyed district court chief 42 judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 43 to improve compliance with the Americans with 44 Disabilities Act (ADA) 89.6% 91.7% 89.6% 45 Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make 46 the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured 47 in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, 48 and affordable. 49 50 51 52 53 General Performance Information: 2010 2011 2012 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 100% 93.8% 95.8% to assist self-represented litigants 54 55 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. General Performance Information: 2010 2011 2012 59 Number of parishes reporting criminal 60 disposition data to CMIS 62 62 63

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	dis Percen jua tai de	tage of parishes reporting criminal sposition data to CMIS tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts had ken steps within FY 2011-2012 to redu lays and improve the timeliness of cas ocessing		97.0% 91.7%		.4%
8 9 10 11 12	Genera Percen	tive: To enhance jury service. al Performance Information:	2010	2011	20	12
13 14	tal	dges indicating that their court had ken steps within FY 2011-2012 to mak ry service more convenient or effective		95.3%	93	.0%
15 16		To provide due process and equal process before the court; and to demonstrate i				
17 18	operati	tive: To recognize new conditions of ons as necessary.	r emerging eve	nts and to adjus	st court	
19 20	Genera	al Performance Information:	2010	2011	20	12
20 21 22 22	juo	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating actions taken in	2010	2011	20	12
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	trc Percen juo	2 2011-2012 to improve employee uning and development stage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating actions taken in	87.5%	93.8%	89	.6%
27 28		? 2011-2012 to install or implement chnologies	95.8%	93.8%	91	.7%
29 30		To maintain judicial independence, wh overnmental relations and accountabil			nity	
31		tive: To inform the community of the	court's structur	e and function.		
32 33	Genera	al Performance Information:	2010	2011	20	12
33 34 35 36 37	Percen jud rez an	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in				
33 34	Percen jua re an FY	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education	2010 97.9%	2011 91.7%		12 .6%
33 34 35 36 37 38	Percen jua re an FY	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education of public outreach services in 2011-2012	97.9% y-one			
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Percen jud rej an FY Payable o	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in 2011-2012 out of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred ninety (191) District Judges as provid	97.9% y-one ded District		89	.6%
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 	Percen jud re, an FY Payable o 01	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in 2 2011-2012 out of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred ninety (191) District Judges as provid by R.S. 13:691 Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13	97.9% y-one ded District 3:698 and es of		<i>89</i> \$.6% 23,787,827
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 	Percen jud rej am FY Payable o 01 02	 tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in 2011-2012 but of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred ninety (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively Salaries of fourteen (14) Judg Civil District Court, Orleans H 	97.9% y-one ded District 3:698 and es of Parish, District salaries pooks,		89 \$ \$.6% 23,787,827 1,285,850
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	Percen jud re, an FY Payable o 01 02 02 03	 tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in 2011-2012 but of the State General Fund: Salaries of one hundred ninety (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively Salaries of fourteen (14) Judg Civil District Court, Orleans I as provided by R.S. 13:691 Expenses of Judges of Civil E Court, Parish of Orleans, for so of stenographers, clerks, law I stationery, telephone, and like 	97.9% y-one ded District 3:698 and es of Parish, District salaries pooks, e expenses orters rict Court,		89 \$ \$.6% 23,787,827 1,285,850 1,743,611

1 2	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000
3 4 5 6	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851	\$	5,652,873
7 8 9 10 11 12	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	474,997
13 14 15 16	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	452,388
17 18 19 20	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	282,306
21 22	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	47,968
23 24 25	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000
26	SUBT	OTAL	\$	33,962,684
27	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans		
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	exclusiv offense law in s its com the pow to hold of all co	m Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orlea we jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanor s committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not ves come other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance missioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charg ever to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discha for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisd ases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.	rs, and sted by se from ses and rge, or diction	
37 38 39	А.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,619,067
40 41	B.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750
42 43	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	604,800
44 45	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	272,611
46				

1 2 3	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	422,706
4 5	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,000
6 7	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	69,921
8 9	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	52,710
10 11	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	901,284
12	К.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	699,327
13	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	202,659
14	М.	Sanity Commissions	\$	165,221
15	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$</u>	406,841
16	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	5,934,276
17	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges		
18 19	А.	Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,743,611
20 21	В.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	498,175
22 23 24	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	<u>\$</u>	103,500
25	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	2,345,286
26	ΤΟΤΑ	L DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	42,242,246
27 28		OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE JIRED BY STATUTE	E EXPE	INSES AS
29 30 31	municip	m Description: The category includes forty-seven city court, bal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one Ascension Parish).		
~ ~				

Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

38 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the cos	ive: To encourage all responsible public botts of access to the court's proceedings and f money, time, or the procedures that must be	d records - whethe	er measured in	
5		al Performance Information:			
67	Danaan	tage of surround situ/namigh court shief	2010	2011	2012
8		tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating actions taken in			
9		2011-2012 to assist pro se litigants	100%	98.1%	96.2%
10 11		To meet all responsibilities to everyone aff vities in a timely and expeditious manner.	fected by the court	and	
12 13		tive: To encourage timely case management and Performance Information:	nt and processing.		
14			2010	2011	2012
15 16		tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating that their courts had			
17		ken steps within FY 2011-2012 to reduce			
18		lays and improve the timeliness of case	00.50/		06.504
19	pro	ocessing	88.5%	90.4%	86.5%
20 21		To maintain judicial independence, while of overnmental relations and accountability to		ple of comity	
22		ive: To inform the community of the cour	t's structure and fu	nction.	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Genera	al Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
25	Percen	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief	2010	2011	2012
26	juc	lges indicating that their courts regularly			
27		ovided public education and public	04 20/	00 40/	00 50/
20	ou	treach services in FY 2011-2012	94.2%	90.4%	88.5%
29 30 31 32 33 34	operati	ive: To recognize new conditions or eme ons as necessary.	erging events and t	to adjust court	
31	Genera	al Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
$3\frac{32}{33}$	Percen	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief	2010	2011	2012
34	juc	lges indicating actions taken in			
35		2011-2012 to improve employee	94.2%	90.4%	92.3%
35 36 37 38		iining and development tage of surveyed city/parish court chief	94.270	90.4%	92.370
38	juc	lges indicating actions taken in			
39 40		2011-2012 to install or implement	00.50/	00.40/	06 504
40	tec	chnologies	88.5%	90.4%	86.5%
41	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:			
42	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court			
43		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:187	75	\$	2,412,714
44	02	Solarias of four (4) Municipal fou	(1)		
44 45	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, fou Traffic and one (1) Parish Court	lf (4)		
46		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:249	22		
40		13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respect		\$	389,156
48	TOTAL	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY	•	<u>\$</u>	2,801,870
10				<u>\\</u>	<u></u>
49 50	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARI REQUIRED BY STATUTE	ES AND OF	FICE EXPI	ENSES NOT
51	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:			
52	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective	e	*	
53		Care Monitoring Program		\$	563,937
54 55 56	cases in	m Description : The program tracks and m n the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It als Families in Need of Services Program.			
57	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Rep	porters	\$	87,785

1 2	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	<u>\$</u>	30,564
3 4		m Description : <i>The Judges' Assistance Program provides counselin</i> ssistance to judges with substance abuse problems.	ng and	
5	TOTAL O	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	682,286
6	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES		
7	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
8 9	01	Legal representation of children in child protection cases	\$	1,976,474
10 11 12 13 14	in Child order t funding	m Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal Represend d Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administrat to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court admin g to provide qualified legal representation for children in child pro- tes required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.	tion, in nisters	
15	TOTAL N	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES	<u>\$</u>	1,976,474
16	Sectio	on 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations	opriatio	ns, from the
17	State Gen	eral Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall b	be reduc	ed by a total
18	amount of	f One Million Six Hundred Sixty-Nine Thousand Six Hundred	ndred S	eventy-Two
19	and No/1	00 (\$1,669,672.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopt	ed by	the Judicial
20	Budgetary	y Control Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme	e Court.	
21	Sectio	on 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her of	duly aut	horized and
22	appointed	agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations	herein	provided, or
23	for so mu	ch thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant sha	all be pa	id out of the
24	state gene	eral fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by	prefere	nce over all
25	other war	rants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional offi	cers of	the state and
26	warrants t	for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent	nt with	the warrant
27	provided	by this Act.		
28	B. T	he funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited	in the r	name of the
29	judiciary i	in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme	Court ar	nd is located
30	in the stat	e.		
31	C. Ar	ny funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of t	he fund	s previously
32	appropria	ted to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its ag	gencies,	and interest
33	earnings	are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray th	ne expe	nses of the
34	judiciary;	however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbere	d shall b	e returnable
35	to the stat	e general fund on or before September 1, 2014.		

HB NO. 691

ENROLLED

D. For Fiscal Year 2013-2014, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

9 F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, 10 objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and 11 are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and 12 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by 13 Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana. 14 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other 15 judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation 16 upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the 17 Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office 18 relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. 19 Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, 20 the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's 21 Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or 22 other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in 23 this Act.

Section 4. Salary increases provided by Section 1 of the Act that was introduced as
Senate Bill No. 188 of the 2013 Regular Session of the Legislature shall only be effected
to the extent that funding is made available in this Act.

Section 5. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2013; if vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2013, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2012-2013 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2013-2014 as contained in the original bill.

	Operating Budge	et Appropriation
		Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2012-2013	FY 2013-2014
Supreme Court		
Total Supreme Court	\$65,463,068	\$ 67,671,627
Courts of Appeal		
Total Courts of Appeal	\$42,428,117	\$ 44,070,577
District Courts		
Total District Courts	\$41,953,795	\$ 42,242,246
Other Courts		
Total Other Courts	\$2,801,870	\$ 2,801,870
Other Programs		
Total Other Programs	<u>\$652,084</u>	<u>\$ 682,286</u>
Total State General Fund		
and Interagency Transfer		
All Line Items	<u>\$153,298,934</u>	<u>\$ 157,468,606</u>
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$9,650,831</u>	<u>\$ 9,797,469</u>
Total Funding	<u>\$162,949,765</u>	<u>\$ 167,266,075</u>

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED:_____