#### Regular Session, 2013

#### HOUSE BILL NO. 691

## BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND KLECKLEY AND SENATORS ALARIO AND DONAHUE

#### APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary for Fiscal Year 2013-2014

| 1  | AN ACT   |
|--|--|
| 2  | To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the  |
| 3  | Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans   |
| 4  | Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.  |
| 5  | Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:   |
| 6  | Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Sixty-Seven Million Two Hundred Sixty-Six  |
| 7  | Thousand Seventy-Five and No/100 (\$167,266,075.00) Dollars, or so much thereof as may   |
| 8  | be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including the  |
| 9  | Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of Orleans   |
| 10   | Parish, and of the other courts.   |
| 11   | B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:   |
| 12   | 03-8170 SUPREME COURT  |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | <b>Program Description:</b> The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over<br>all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict<br>with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has<br>sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc<br>judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal<br>and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction<br>of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary<br>Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its<br>appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to<br>promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial<br>training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of<br>justice. |

25 Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and 26 promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to 27 ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench 28 and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts 29 under its authority.

**Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

**Objective:** To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. *General Performance Information:* 

| 4  | General Performance Information:   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   |  | 2010   | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| 6  | Total Filings  | 2,875  | 2,852  | 2,769  |  |
| 7  | Total Appeals Filed  | 9  | 10   | 10   |  |
| 8  | Total Writs Filed  | 2,674  | 2,613  | 2,639  |  |
| 9  | Total Dispositions Rendered  | 2,736  | 2,916  | 3,181  |  |
| 10   | Goal: To promote the rule of law.  |  |  |  |  |
| 11<br>12   | <b>Objective:</b> To resolve cases in a timely mann <i>General Performance Information:</i>  | ier.   |  |  |  |
| 13   | Scherul I erjörmance Injörmanön.   | 2010   | 2011   | 2012   |  |
| 14   | Percentage of noncriminal case   | 2010   | -011   | 2012   |  |
| 15   | applications acted on within Supreme   |  |  |  |  |
| 16   | Court standard of 120 days of filing   | 90.9%  | 96.6%  | 93.3%  |  |
| 17   | Percentage of criminal case applications   | 20.270   | 20.070   | 20.070   |  |
| 18   | acted on within Supreme Court  |  |  |  |  |
| 19   | standard of 120 days of filing   | 39.9%  | 40.4%  | 45.9%  |  |
| 20   | Percentage of pro se post conviction   |  |  | 101770   |  |
| $\overline{21}$  | applications acted on within Supreme   |  |  |  |  |
| 22   | Court standard of 120 days of filing   | 7.7%   | 5.2%   | 8.5%   |  |
| $\bar{2}\bar{3}$   | Percentage of bar disciplinary filings   | ,,,,,,   | 012/0  | 010/0  |  |
| $\overline{24}$  | acted upon within Supreme Court  |  |  |  |  |
| 25   | standard of 120 days of filing   | 76.5%  | 98.7%  | 88.9%  |  |
| $\overline{26}$  | Percentage of opinions rendered within   | , 0.0, , 0   | , , .  | 00.,,0   |  |
| $\overline{27}$  | Supreme Court standard of 84 days  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{28}$  | from argument  | 83.7%  | 64.4%  | 80.6%  |  |
| 29   | Goal: To ensure the public trust.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30<br>31   | <b>Objective:</b> To facilitate public access to Super<br>General Performance Information:   | reme Court   | decisions.   |  |  |
| 31   | <b>Objective:</b> To facilitate public access to Support <i>General Performance Information:</i>   |  |  | 2012   |  |
| 31   | General Performance Information:   | reme Court (<br>2010   | decisions.<br>2011   | 2012   |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33   | General Performance Information:<br>Percentage of written opinions available   | 2010   | 2011   |  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34   | General Performance Information:   |  |  | <b>2012</b><br>100%  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation</li> </ul>   | <b>2010</b><br>100%  | <b>2011</b><br>100%  |  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36   | General Performance Information:<br>Percentage of written opinions available<br>to the public within 5 days of decision  | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit   | <b>2011</b><br>100%<br>ties.   | 100%   |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> </ul>  | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010  | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011  | 100%<br><b>2012</b>  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs</li> </ul>   | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51  | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions</li> </ul>   | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87  | <b>2011</b><br>100%<br>ties.<br><b>2011</b><br>63<br>83  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters</li> </ul>   | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51  | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on</li> </ul>   | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87<br>22  | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> </ul>   | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87  | <b>2011</b><br>100%<br>ties.<br><b>2011</b><br>63<br>83  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other</li> </ul>  | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219                                     | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498   | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663   |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> </ul>   | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87<br>22  | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other</li> </ul>  | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806                            | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> </ul>  | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806                            | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional</li> </ul>   | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806                            | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48   | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> </ul>  | <b>2010</b><br>100%<br>s and activit<br><b>2010</b><br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806                            | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876  | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672  |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49                                     | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> </ul>  | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte                         | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con                         | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672<br>mpetence of the                         |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50                               | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> </ul>  | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte                         | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con                         | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672<br>mpetence of the                         |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50                               | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired</li> </ul>  | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte                         | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con                         | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672<br>mpetence of the                         |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50                               | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired<br/>through continuing legal education</li> </ul>   | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte<br>2010                 | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con<br>2011                 | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672<br>mpetence of the<br><b>2012</b>          |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53             | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired<br/>through continuing legal education<br/>per judge</li> </ul>   | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte<br>2010                 | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con<br>2011                 | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672<br>mpetence of the<br><b>2012</b>          |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54       | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired<br/>through continuing legal education<br/>per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against</li> </ul>   | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte<br>2010<br>27.19        | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con<br>2011<br>30.20        | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672<br>mpetence of the<br><b>2012</b><br>29.35 |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54<br>55 | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired<br/>through continuing legal education<br/>per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against<br/>judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges<br/>and justices of the peace resolved or</li> </ul> | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte<br>2010<br>27.19<br>586 | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con<br>2011<br>30.20<br>561 | 100% 2012 58 77 15 1,663 3,672 mpetence of the 2012 29.35 537                                      |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54       | <ul> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Percentage of written opinions available<br/>to the public within 5 days of decision</li> <li>Objective: To inform the public of operation<br/>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Number of outreach programs<br/>Number of media releases on court decisions<br/>Number of media releases on other matters<br/>Number of recipients of releases on<br/>court decisions</li> <li>Number of recipients of releases on other<br/>matters</li> <li>Objective: To ensure the highest professional<br/>bench.</li> <li>General Performance Information:</li> <li>Average number of hours acquired<br/>through continuing legal education<br/>per judge</li> <li>Number of complaints filed against<br/>judges and justices of the peace</li> <li>Number of complaints against judges</li> </ul>   | 2010<br>100%<br>s and activit<br>2010<br>51<br>87<br>22<br>1,219<br>5,806<br>conduct, inte<br>2010<br>27.19        | 2011<br>100%<br>ties.<br>2011<br>63<br>83<br>21<br>1,498<br>2,876<br>egrity, and con<br>2011<br>30.20        | 100%<br><b>2012</b><br>58<br>77<br>15<br>1,663<br>3,672<br>mpetence of the<br><b>2012</b><br>29.35 |  |

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9                      | <b>Object</b><br>bar.   | ive: To ensure the highest professional c  | onduct, inte   | egrity, and com   | petence of the   |  |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 3  | Genera  | ll Performance Information:  | 2010   | 2011  | 2012   |  |
| 45   | Averag  | e number of hours acquired through   | 2010   | 2011  | 2012   |  |
| 6  |   | ntinuing legal education per lawyer  | 15.04  | 15.36   | 15.24  |  |
| 7  |   | r of complaints filed against lawyers  | 3,240  | 3,000   | 3,042  |  |
| 8  |   | r of complaints filed against lawyers  |  |   |  |  |
| 9  | res   | solved or disposed of in calendar year   | 3,565  | 2,997   | 2,966  |  |
| 10   | Payable of  | ut of the State General Fund (Dir  | rect):   |   |  |  |
| 11   | 01  | Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice  | e and six  | (6)   |  |  |
| 12   |   | Associate Justices of the Supre  |  |   |  |  |
| 13   |   | as provided by R.S. 13:102   |  |   | \$   | 970,480  |
|  |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| 14   | 02  | Salaries and other expenses of   | the  |   |  |  |
| 15   |   | Supreme Court Proper and sala  |  |   |  |  |
| 16   |   | the Crier of the Supreme Court   | 2  |   | \$   | 9,432,817  |
|  |   | -  |  |   |  |  |
| 17   | 03  | Expenses of Judicial Administr   | ator's   |   |  |  |
| 18   |   | Office and of the Judiciary Con  | nmission   |   |  |  |
| 19   |   | provided for in Article V, Secti   |  |   |  |  |
| 20   |   | 25 of the Constitution of Louis  |  |   |  |  |
| 21   |   | under the provisions of R.S. 13  |  | 1.  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  | •   |  |  |
| 22   | A.  | Expenses of Judicial Administr   | ator's Off   | fice  | \$   | 4,777,022  |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33 | adminis<br>of Louis<br>creation<br>Adminis<br>adminis<br>human<br>Manag<br>judgesh<br>courts, | <b>m Description:</b> The Judicial Admin<br>stration of the state court system. It staf<br>siana. Through the Judicial Council, it<br>n of new judgeships and for improv<br>strator's Office provides payroll and of<br>stration of a judicial retirement system;<br>resource system; technological servi<br>ement Information System. The Judic<br>hip system, monitors cases under advise<br>staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, of<br>and the Judiciary. | fs the Judic<br>performs st<br>ving the au-<br>other fiscal<br>support fo<br>ces to cou-<br>ial Adminis<br>ement, prov | ial Council and<br>udies and make<br>dministration<br>! services to th<br>r the Supreme<br>rts; and, mand<br>strator's Office<br>vides outreach | I the Judiciary C<br>es recommendati<br>of justice. Th<br>e Judiciary, inc<br>Court and Appen<br>ages the Trial C<br>also manages<br>services to state | ommission<br>ons for the<br>e Judicial<br>luding the<br>llate Court<br>Court Case<br>the ad hoc<br>and local |
| 34   | B.  | Expenses of Judiciary Commiss  | sion   |   | \$   | 1,926,519  |
| 35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39                                     | under A<br>compla   | m Description: The Judiciary Commis<br>Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution<br>ints of judicial misconduct. As part of i<br>isure, suspension, removal from office,<br>duct.  | of 1974 to<br>ts authority   | accept, screen,<br>v, it may recom  | investigate, and<br>nend to the Supr   | prosecute<br>eme Court   |
| 40   | C.  | Court Reporters; Statistical Rep   | oorting Sy   | vstems  | \$   | 359,357  |
| 41   | D.  | Dues to National Center for Sta  | te Courts  | 5   | \$   | 148,690  |
| 42   | 04  | Expenses of Committee on Pro   | fessional  |   |  |  |
| 43   | 04  | Ethics and Grievances includin   |  |   |  |  |
| 43<br>44   |   | disbarment proceedings, R.S. 3   | -  | sea   | \$   | 3,000  |
| -+-+   |   | disparinent proceedings, K.S. 5  | /.211 Cl S   | ocy.  | Φ  | 5,000  |
| 45   | 05  | Compensation and expenses of   | retired  |   |  |  |
| 45<br>46   | 05  | judges assigned under Article V  |  |   |  |  |
| 40<br>47   |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| 47<br>48   |   | Section 5(A) of the Constitution   |  | t   | ¢  | 1 161 005  |
| 40   |   | Louisiana, be it more or less es   | innated at   | ι   | \$   | 1,464,995  |
| 49   | 06  | I any Librory of Louisiana for a   | lorios   |   |  |  |
| 49<br>50   | 00  | Law Library of Louisiana for sa  |  |   |  |  |
| 50<br>51   |   | services, supplies, maintenance  | , repairs,   |   | \$   | 1 012 100  |
| 51   |   | and equipment  |  |   | Φ  | 1,913,188  |

| $\frac{1}{2}$                    | <b>Program Description:</b> The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an Act of the Legislature in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public, the state judiciary, and the bar. |   |                               |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6                 | 07  | Salaries and expenses of transferred<br>judges assigned under Article V,<br>Section 5(A) of the Constitution,<br>be it more or less estimated as  | \$                            | 182,012  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10                | 08  | Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103  | \$                            | 1,398,390  |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14             | 09  | Pensions for widows of justices and<br>judges of all courts, as provided by<br>R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it<br>more or less estimated at  | \$                            | 1,609,481  |
| 15                               | 10  | Judicial College  | \$                            | 234,310  |
| 16<br>17                         | 0   | <b>m Description:</b> The Judicial College was established by order of the Suprate continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.  | eme C                         | Court in 1976 to                                   |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | 11  | State contribution to judicial<br>retirement provided for in Article V,<br>Section 23 of the Constitution and<br>R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or<br>less estimated at  | \$                            | 14,561,372   |
| 23<br>24                         | 12  | Civil commitment matters as<br>required by R.S. 28:54   | \$                            | 143,424  |
| 25<br>26                         | 13  | Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records  | \$                            | 60,000   |
| 27<br>28<br>29<br>30             | 14  | Funding for statewide operations of the<br>Louisiana Protective Order Registry<br>(R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case<br>Management Information System  | \$                            | 1,318,704  |
| 31                               | 15  | Information Technology  | \$                            | 1,037,647  |
| 32<br>33<br>34                   | 16  | Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)   | \$                            | 2,037,299  |
| 35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40 | develop<br>the use<br>and ma<br>indicate  | m Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist loc<br>oing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implement<br>of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS inform<br>ndating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and re<br>ors and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and fina-<br>merally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways. | enting,<br>1al ca.<br>2portii | and mandating<br>ses; developing<br>ng performance |
| 41                               | 17  | Drug court maintenance and enhancement  | \$                            | 17,137,372   |
| 42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46       | provide<br>low-lev<br>program   | <b>The Description:</b> Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 to<br>ad integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with case<br>and nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially super<br>ms. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with education<br>and requirements set by the court.  | e proc<br>ervised             | essing to place<br>d rehabilitation                |

4718Court Appointed Special Advocates\$ 6,955,548

1234567

67,671,627

6,000,000

3,797,469

\$

**Program Description:** The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.

### 8 TOTAL - GENERAL FUND

- 919Payable out of the State General Fund10from Statutory Dedications, Judges'11Supplemental Compensation Fund,
- 12 R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less
- 13 estimated at

Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature
 in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding
 source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.

- 1720Payable out of the State General Fund
- 18from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court19Case Management Information Fund, for
- 20 the Case Management Information System,
- 21 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal
- 22 Procedure, be it more or less estimated at

Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme
 Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil,
 juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide,
 transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies.
 Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS
 is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under
 C.Cr.P.887(F).

| 30<br>31 | TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND<br>BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS | <u>\$</u> | 9,797,469  |
|----------|--|-----------|------------|
| 32       | TOTAL SUPREME COURT                                    | \$        | 77,469,096 |

#### **33 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL**

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge,
 Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over
 all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory
 jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction
 over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all
 criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases
 appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

41 Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide
 42 meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory
 43 jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the
 44 rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

45 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

46
47
48
48 **Objective:** To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals.

| 49 | General Performance Information: |       |       |       |
|----|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 50 |                                  | 2010  | 2011  | 2012  |
| 51 | Total appeals filed              | 2,587 | 2,838 | 2,689 |
| 52 | Total writs filed                | 5,102 | 4,987 | 4,499 |
| 53 | Total dispositions rendered      | 6,530 | 5,277 | 6,240 |

| 1                                | Goal:   | To promote the rule of law.   |                    |                    |                    |           |            |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| 23                               |   | ive: To resolve cases expeditiously.<br>Il Performance Information:                                     |                    |                    |                    |           |            |
| 23<br>45<br>67<br>89             | appeal<br>Time S                                  | e number of days from lodging of the<br>to argument:<br>tandard = no more than 175 days.<br>al cases    | <b>2010</b><br>145 | <b>2011</b><br>145 | <b>2012</b><br>189 |           |            |
| 9<br>10                          | Civil C<br>Mediar                                 | ases<br>1 number of days for all cases  | 159<br>152         | 175<br>155         | 161<br>172         |           |            |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16 | Averag<br>renderi<br>Time S<br>Crimin<br>Civil co | e number of days from argument to<br>ing of the opinion:<br>tandard = no more than 70 days.<br>al cases | 39<br>37<br>38     | 41<br>37<br>38     | 37<br>41<br>38     |           |            |
| 17                               | Goal:   | To preserve public trust.   |                    |                    |                    |           |            |
| 18<br>19                         |   | <b>ive:</b> To facilitate public access to the of <i>Performance Information:</i>                       | lecisions of       | the courts of a    | appeal.            |           |            |
| 20                               |   |   | 2010               | 2011               | 2012               |           |            |
| 21<br>22                         |   | tage of written opinions available<br>the public within 5 days of decision                              | 100%               | 100%               | 100%               |           |            |
| 23                               | Payable o   | ut of the State General Fund:   |                    |                    |                    |           |            |
| 24<br>25<br>26                   | 01  | Salaries of five (5) Chief Judge<br>and forty-eight (48) Judges of<br>the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:    |                    |                    |                    | \$        | 6,949,328  |
| 27<br>28<br>29                   | 02  | Salaries and expenses of opera<br>maintenance of the Court of Ap<br>First Circuit                       |                    |                    |                    | \$        | 9,681,993  |
| 30<br>31<br>32                   | 03  | Salaries and expenses of opera<br>maintenance of the Court of A<br>Second Circuit                       |                    |                    |                    | \$        | 5,561,156  |
| 33<br>34<br>35                   | 04  | Salaries and expenses of opera<br>maintenance of the Court of Ag<br>Third Circuit                       |                    |                    |                    | \$        | 8,457,126  |
| 36<br>37<br>38                   | 05  | Salaries and expenses of opera<br>maintenance of the Court of Ag<br>Fourth Circuit                      |                    |                    |                    | \$        | 7,701,037  |
| 39<br>40<br>41                   | 06  | Salaries and expenses of opera<br>maintenance of the Court of Ap<br>Fifth Circuit                       |                    |                    |                    | <u>\$</u> | 5,719,937  |
| 42                               | TOTAL C   | COURTS OF APPEAL  |                    |                    |                    | <u>\$</u> | 44,070,577 |

| 1   | 03-8172  | DISTRICT COURTS  |   |  |                       |
|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil<br>District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The<br>Criminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the<br>parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts<br>in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive<br>jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in |  |   |  |                       |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23  | to justic<br>equality<br>and acc   | <b>n Statement:</b> The mission of the trian<br>ce, to meet all responsibilities in a tin<br>y, fairness, and integrity in their process<br>countability, and to reach a fair and ju-<br>postantive law, thereby instilling trust of | nely and exped<br>edings, to main<br>ust result by ad | litious manner, to<br>tain judicial indep<br>herence to the pr | o provide<br>vendence |
| 24  | Goal:  | To establish a more open and accessil  | ole system of ju                                      | stice.   |                       |
| 25<br>26<br>27<br>28  | and con  | ive: To encourage responsible parties<br>avenient.<br>Inversional Performance Information:   |   |  |                       |
| 28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32  | judges to<br>to impr   | tage of surveyed district court chief<br>indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2<br>ove compliance withthe Americans w<br>ities Act (ADA)  |   | <b>2011</b><br>91.7%   | <b>2012</b><br>89.6%  |
| 33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37  | costs of<br>of mon<br>affordat   | <b>ive:</b> To encourage all responsible pub<br>access to the trial court's proceedings<br>ley, time, or the procedures that mu-<br>ble.<br>In Performance Information:  | and records - v                                       | whether measured   | l in terms            |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41  | judges   | tage of surveyed district court chief<br>indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2<br>t self-represented litigants   | <b>2010</b><br>2012<br>100%                           | <b>2011</b><br>93.8%   | <b>2012</b><br>95.8%  |
| 42<br>43  |  | To meet all responsibilities to everyor ely and expeditious manner.  | ne affected by t                                      | he court and its a   | ctivities             |
| 44<br>45  |  | ive: To encourage timely case manag  | gement and pro-                                       | cessing.   |                       |
| 46  |  | l Performance Information:   | 2010  | 2011   | 2012                  |
| 47<br>48  | dis  | r of parishes reporting criminal position data to CMIS   | 62  | 62   | 63                    |
| 49<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54  | dis<br>Percent<br>jua<br>tak<br>del  | tage of parishes reporting criminal<br>position data to CMIS<br>tage of surveyed district court chief<br>lges indicating that their courts had<br>ten steps within FY 2011-2012 to redu<br>lays and improve the timeliness of cas    | e   | 97.0%  | 98.4%                 |
| 55<br>56  | Object   | ive: To enhance jury service.  | 97.9%   | 91.7%  | 91.7%                 |
| 57<br>58  | Genera   | l Performance Information:   | 2010  | 2011   | 2012                  |
| 59<br>60  |  | tage of surveyed district court chief<br>lges indicating that their court had  |   |  |                       |
| 61<br>62  | tak  | en steps within FY 2011-2012 to mak<br>y service more convenient or effective  |   | 95.3%  | 93.0%                 |

1 **Goal:** To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions.

| 3<br>4<br>5   | as neces                                  | ive: To recognize new conditions or en<br>ssary.<br><i>l Performance Information:</i>  | nerging events    | and to adjust court | operations     |            |
|---|---|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|
| 6   | Genera                                    | i i erjormance injormation.  | 2010              | 2011                | 2012           |            |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14 | jud<br>FY<br>tra.<br>Percent<br>jud<br>FY | tage of surveyed district court chief<br>lges indicating actions taken in<br>2011-2012 to improve employee<br>ining and development<br>tage of surveyed district court chief<br>lges indicating actions taken in<br>2011-2012 to install or implement<br>hnologies | 87.5%<br>95.8%    | 93.8%<br>93.8%      | 89.6%<br>91.7% |            |
| 15<br>16  |   | To maintain judicial independence, wh<br>rnmental relations and accountability   |                   | the principle of co | mity in        |            |
| 17<br>18  |   | ive: To inform the community of the <i>l Performance Information:</i>  | court's structur  | re and function.    |                |            |
| 19  |   |  | 2010              | 2011                | 2012           |            |
| 20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24                                    | jud<br>reg<br>and                         | tage of surveyed district court chief<br>ges indicating that their courts<br>ularly provided public education<br>d public outreach services in<br>2011-2012  | 97.9%             | 91.7%               | 89.6%          |            |
| 25  | Payable or                                | ut of the State General Fund:  |                   |                     |                |            |
| 26<br>27<br>28  | 01  | Salaries of one hundred ninety<br>(191) District Judges as provid<br>by R.S. 13:691  |                   |                     | \$             | 23,787,827 |
| 29<br>30<br>31  | 02  | Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13<br>R.S. 13:694, respectively   |                   |                     | \$             | 1,285,850  |
| 32<br>33<br>34  | 03  | Salaries of fourteen (14) Judge<br>Civil District Court, Orleans F<br>as provided by R.S. 13:691   |                   |                     | \$             | 1,743,611  |
| 35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39                                    | 04  | Expenses of Judges of Civil D<br>Court, Parish of Orleans, for s<br>of stenographers, clerks, law b<br>stationery, telephone, and like<br>as provided by R.S. 13:698   | alaries<br>oooks, |                     | \$             | 80,500     |
| 40<br>41<br>42<br>43  | 05  | Salaries of two (2) Court Repo<br>of the Twentieth Judicial Distri-<br>including retirement contribut<br>provided by R.S. 13:966.1   | rict Court,       |                     | \$             | 109,364    |
| 44<br>45  | 06  | Clerk of Civil District Court, Court, Parish, as provided by R.S. 13   |                   |                     | \$             | 10,000     |
| 46<br>47<br>48<br>49  | 07  | State share of Group, Workers<br>Compensation, General Liabil<br>and Property Insurance Premiu<br>as provided by R.S.42:851  | ity,              |                     | \$             | 5,652,873  |

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5 | 08 Sa | alaries of two (2) commissioners of<br>the Nineteenth Judicial District and<br>one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth<br>Judicial District as provided by<br>R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, |           |            |
|-----------------------|-------|--|-----------|------------|
| 6                     |       | respectively   | \$        | 474,997    |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10     | 09    | Office expenses for the Judicial<br>Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial<br>District Court as provided by<br>R.S. 13:711-713  | \$        | 452,388    |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14  | 10    | Office expenses for the Judicial<br>Expense Fund of the Fifteenth<br>Judicial District Court as provided<br>by R.S. 13:714-716   | \$        | 282,306    |
| 15<br>16              | 11    | Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District<br>Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977   | \$        | 47,968     |
| 17<br>18<br>19        | 12    | For the expenses of the Judicial Expense<br>Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as<br>provided by Act 57 of 2006   | <u>\$</u> | 35,000     |
| 20                    | SUB   | ΓΟΤΑL  | <u>\$</u> | 33,962,684 |
| 21                    | 13    | Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans   |           |            |

21 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

**Program Description:** The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

| 30<br>31<br>32 | <ul> <li>A. Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of<br/>Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided<br/>by R.S. 13:691</li> </ul> | \$<br>1,619,067 |
|----------------|---|-----------------|
| 33<br>34       | B. Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court,<br>Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698  | \$<br>74,750    |
| 35<br>36       | C. State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851                                    | \$<br>604,800   |
| 37<br>38       | D. Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided<br>by R.S. 13:1373.1   | \$<br>272,611   |
| 39<br>40       | E. Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1  | \$<br>432,379   |
| 41<br>42<br>43 | F. Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal<br>Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits<br>as provided by R.S. 13:1347 | \$<br>422,706   |
| 44<br>45       | G. Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347  | \$<br>10,000    |
| 46<br>47       | H. Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347  | \$<br>69,921    |

|                            | HLS 13RS-526   |                  | D <b>RIGINAL</b><br>HB NO. 691 |
|----------------------------|--|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1<br>2                     | I. Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347   | \$               | 52,710                         |
| 3<br>4                     | J. Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits  | \$               | 901,284                        |
| 5                          | K. Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks  | \$               | 699,327                        |
| 6                          | L. Salaries of four (4) secretaries  | \$               | 202,659                        |
| 7                          | M. Sanity Commissions  | \$               | 165,221                        |
| 8                          | N. Board of Jury Commissioners   | \$               | 406,841                        |
| 9                          | SUBTOTAL   | <u>\$</u>        | 5,934,276                      |
| 10                         | 14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges  |                  |                                |
| 11<br>12                   | <ul> <li>A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court<br/>Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691</li> </ul>  | \$               | 1,743,611                      |
| 13<br>14                   | B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges<br>as provided by R.S. 13:691  | \$               | 498,175                        |
| 15<br>16<br>17             | C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family<br>Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698<br>and R.S. 13:694, respectively   | <u>\$</u>        | 103,500                        |
| 18                         | SUBTOTAL   | <u>\$</u>        | 2,345,286                      |
| 19                         | TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS  | <u>\$</u>        | 42,242,246                     |
| 20<br>21                   | 03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES<br>BY STATUTE  | AS I             | REQUIRED                       |
| 22<br>23<br>24             | <b>Program Description:</b> The category includes forty-seven city courts, one munic court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascens Parish).   |                  |                                |
| 25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29 | <b>Mission Statement:</b> The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to prove<br>access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner,<br>provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judic<br>independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to a<br>procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public | to<br>ial<br>the |                                |

30 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34 | <b>Objective:</b> To encourage all responsible publ costs of access to the court's proceedings and money, time, or the procedures that must be fo <i>General Performance Information:</i> | records - w | hether measured | in terms of |
|----------------------|---|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 35                   | 5   | 2010        | 2011            | 2012        |
| 36<br>37<br>38       | Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief<br>judges indicating actions taken in<br>FY 2011-2012 to assist pro se litigants   | 100%        | 98.1%           | 96.2%       |

39 40 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

| 41<br>42 | <b>Objective:</b> To encourage timely case manage <i>General Performance Information:</i> | ment and pro | cessing. |       |
|----------|---|--------------|----------|-------|
| 43       |   | 2010         | 2011     | 2012  |
| 44       | Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief  | ¢            |          |       |
| 45       | judges indicating that their courts had   |              |          |       |
| 46       | taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to reduc  | ce           |          |       |
| 47       | delays and improve the timeliness of case   |              |          |       |
| 48       | processing  | 88.5%        | 90.4%    | 86.5% |

1 **Goal:** To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public.

| 3<br>4 | <b>Objective:</b> To inform the community of the <i>General Performance Information:</i> | e court's structur | e and function. |       |
|--------|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 5      | 0 0  | 2010               | 2011            | 2012  |
| 6      | Percentage of surveyed city/parish court ch  | ief                |                 |       |
| 7      | judges indicating that their courts regu   | larly              |                 |       |
| 8      | provided public education and public   |                    |                 |       |
| 9      | outreach services in FY 2011-2012  | 94.2%              | 90.4%           | 88.5% |

| 10<br>11<br>12       | operatio               | <b>ve:</b> To recognize new conditions of ons as necessary.<br><i>I Performance Information:</i>   | emerging | events and to adjus | t court |           |
|----------------------|------------------------|--|----------|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| 13                   | General                | i Terjormanee Information.   | 2010     | 2011                | 2012    |           |
| 14<br>15<br>16       | jud                    | age of surveyed city/parish court chief<br>ges indicating actions taken in<br>2011-2012 to improve employee                              |          |                     |         |           |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20 | trat<br>Percent<br>jud | ining and development<br>age of surveyed city/parish court chief<br>ges indicating actions taken in<br>2011-2012 to install or implement | 94.2%    | 90.4%               | 92.3%   |           |
| 21                   |                        | hnologies  | 88.5%    | 90.4%               | 86.5%   |           |
| 22                   | Payable or             | at of the State General Fund:  |          |                     |         |           |
| 23<br>24             | 01                     | Salaries of sixty (60) City Court<br>Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1   |          |                     | \$      | 2,412,714 |
| 25<br>26             | 02                     | Salaries of four (4) Municipal, f<br>Traffic and one (1) Parish Cour   | . ,      |                     |         |           |

| 20<br>27<br>28 | Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492,<br>13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively | \$       | 389,156   |
|----------------|---|----------|-----------|
| 20<br>29       | TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE  | <u> </u> | 2,801,870 |

# 3003-8174OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES NOT31REQUIRED BY STATUTE

32 Payable out of the State General Fund:

| 33 | 01 | Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective |               |
|----|----|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 34 |    | Care Monitoring Program            | \$<br>563,937 |

Program Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect cases in the Orleans
 Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need of Services Program.

| 37       | 02 | Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters               | \$<br>87,785 |
|----------|----|---|--------------|
| 38<br>39 | 03 | For the expenses of the Judges'<br>Assistance Program | \$<br>30,564 |

<sup>40</sup> **Program Description:** The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges 41 with substance abuse problems.

43 Section 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and 44 appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for 45 so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state 46 general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other 47 warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants

<sup>42</sup>TOTAL OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE\$ 682,286

for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this
 Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary in
an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.

5 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 6 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 7 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; 8 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state 9 general fund on or before September 1, 2014.

D. For Fiscal Year 2013-2014, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

23 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 24 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the 25 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 26 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the 27 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the 28 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 29 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be 30 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency 31 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

#### HLS 13RS-526

Section 3. Contingent on the passage of separate legislation, a sum to be determined shall
be appropriated out of the State General Fund for the purpose of funding an increase in the
salaries of the Justices of the Supreme Court, judges of the Courts of Appeal, judges of the
District Courts, judges of the City and Parish Courts, and certain commissioners as provided
for by law. Any such appropriation shall be allocated to the various judges and
commissioners in a manner contemplated by the Judicial Compensation Commission's report
dated January 9, 2013.

8 Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2013; if vetoed by the governor and 9 subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2013, or 10 on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

#### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2012-2013 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2013-2014 as contained in the original bill.

| Operating Budget                                     |                      | Appropriation Request |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Courts and Programs                                  | FY 2012-2013         | FY 2013-2014          |
| Supreme Court<br>Total Supreme Court                 | \$65,463,068         | \$ 67,671,627         |
| Courts of Appeal<br>Total Courts of Appeal           | \$42,428,117         | \$ 44,070,577         |
| District Courts<br>Total District Courts             | \$41,953,795         | \$ 42,242,246         |
| Other Courts<br>Total Other Courts                   | \$2,801,870          | \$ 2,801,870          |
| Other Programs<br>Total Other Programs               | <u>\$652,084</u>     | <u>\$ 682,286</u>     |
| Total State General Fund<br>and Interagency Transfer |                      |                       |
| All Line Items                                       | <u>\$153,298,934</u> | <u>\$ 157,468,606</u> |
| Total Statutory Dedications                          | <u>\$9,650,831</u>   | <u>\$ 9,797,469</u>   |
| Total Funding  | <u>\$162,949,765</u> | <u>\$ 167,266,075</u> |
|  | 210205               |                       |
|  | DIGEST               |                       |

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

#### Fannin

HB No. 691

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2013-2014 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$167,266,075 from the following sources: \$157,468,606 out of the State General Fund (Direct); and, \$9,797,469 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

| (1) | Louisiana Supreme Court                | \$ 77,469,096        |
|-----|--|----------------------|
| (2) | Courts of Appeal                       | 44,070,577           |
| (3) | District Courts                        | 33,962,684           |
| (4) | Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans      | 5,934,276            |
| (5) | Juvenile and Family Courts             | 2,345,286            |
| (6) | Other Courts (Required by Statute)     | 2,801,870            |
| (7) | Other Courts (Not Required by Statute) | 682,286              |
|     | TOTAL                                  | <u>\$167,266,075</u> |

Contingent on the passage of separate legislation, a sum to be determined shall be appropriated out of the State General Fund for the purpose of funding an increase in the salaries of the Justices of the Supreme Court, judges of the Courts of Appeal, judges of the District Courts, judges of the City and Parish Courts, and certain commissioners as provided for by law. Any such appropriation shall be allocated to the various judges and commissioners in a manner contemplated by the Judicial Compensation Commission's report dated January 9, 2013.

Effective July 1, 2013.