HLS 17RS-651 REENGROSSED

2017 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 620

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, BROADWATER, FOIL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2017-2018

1 AN ACT

- 2 To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
- 3 Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
- 4 Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
- 5 Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
- 6 Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Seven Million Three Hundred Ninety-
- 7 Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-Nine No/100 (\$187,394,369.00) Dollars, or so much
- 8 thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
- 9 including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
- 10 Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
- B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:

### 12 **03-8170 SUPREME COURT**

Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

29

| 1                               | Goal: To protect the rule of law.   |              |  |                 |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----------------|
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | <b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable opportu<br>Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tril<br><i>General Performance Information:</i> |              | igants to seek                                 | review in the   |
| 5                               | Concruit a cryoniumica any or insumonia   | 2014         | 2015   | 2016            |
| 6                               | Total Filings   | 2,716        | 2,365  | 2,283           |
| 7                               | Total Appeals Filed   | 12           | 6  | 9               |
| 8                               | Total Writs Filed   | 2,496        | 2,172  | 2,092           |
| 0                               |   |              |  |                 |
| 9                               | Total Dispositions Rendered   | 2,592        | 2,486  | 2,142           |
| 10                              | <b>Goal:</b> To promote the rule of law.  |              |  |                 |
| 11<br>12                        | <b>Objective:</b> To resolve cases in a timely mann <i>General Performance Information:</i>   |              |  |                 |
| 13                              |   | 2014         | 2015   | 2016            |
| 14                              | Percentage of noncriminal case  |              |  |                 |
| 15                              | applications acted on within Supreme  |              |  |                 |
| 16                              | Court standard of 120 days of filing  | 91.8%        | 97.1%  | 94.1%           |
| 17                              | Percentage of criminal case applications  |              |  |                 |
| 18                              | acted on within Supreme Court   |              |  |                 |
| 19                              | standard of 120 days of filing  | 30.7%        | 36.1%  | 48.7%           |
| 20                              | Percentage of pro se post conviction  | 30.770       | 30.170   | 40.770          |
| 20<br>21<br>22                  |   |              |  |                 |
| 21                              | applications acted on within Supreme  | 2.107        | 20/  | 2607            |
| 22                              | Court standard of 120 days of filing  | 2.1%         | 3%   | 26%             |
| 23                              | Percentage of bar disciplinary filings  |              |  |                 |
| 24                              | acted upon within Supreme Court   |              |  |                 |
| 25                              | standard of 120 days of filing  | 95.2%        | 97%  | 93.6%           |
| 26                              | Percentage of opinions rendered within  |              |  |                 |
| 27                              | Supreme Court standard of 84 days   |              |  |                 |
| 28                              | from argument   | 81.5%        | 75.8%  | 66.7%           |
|                                 | from argument   | 01.570       | 73.070   | 00.770          |
| 29                              | Goal: To ensure the public trust.   |              |  |                 |
| 30<br>31<br>32                  | Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr<br>General Performance Information:  | eme Court    | decisions.                                     |                 |
| 32                              | General Leijormanee Injormanom  | 2014         | 2015   | 2016            |
| 33                              | Paragrantage of written original available  | 2017         | 2013   | 2010            |
| 34                              | Percentage of written opinions available  | 1000/        | 1000/  | 1000/           |
| 34                              | to the public within 5 days of decision   | 100%         | 100%   | 100%            |
| 35<br>36                        | Objective: To inform the public of operations General Performance Information:  | s and activi | ties.  |                 |
| 37                              |   | 2014         | 2015   | 2016            |
| 38                              | Number of outreach programs   | 58           | 100  | 60              |
| 39                              |   |              |  |                 |
|                                 | Number of media releases on court decisions   | 64           | 66   | 78<br>24        |
| 40                              | Number of media releases on other matters   | 25           | 17   | 24              |
| 41                              | Number of recipients of releases on   |              |  |                 |
| 42                              | court decisions   | 1,485        | 1,632  | 1,775           |
| 43                              | Number of recipients of releases on other   |              |  |                 |
| 44                              | matters   | 3,633        | 4,700  | 6,010           |
| 45<br>46                        | <b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional cobench.   | conduct, int | egrity, and cor                                | npetence of the |
| 47                              | General Performance Information:  |              |  |                 |
| 48                              | 3   | 2014         | 2015   | 2016            |
| 49                              | Average number of hours acquired  |              | -010   | 2010            |
| 50                              | through continuing legal education  |              |  |                 |
| 50<br>51<br>52                  | per judge   | 33.59        | 34.25  | 30.08           |
| 52                              |   | 33.39        | 34.23  | 30.00           |
| 53                              | Number of complaints filed against  | 105          | 530  | 5.45            |
|                                 | judges and justices of the peace  | 495          | 529  | 545             |
| 54                              | Number of complaints against judges   |              |  |                 |
| 55                              | and justices of the peace resolved or   |              |  |                 |
| 56                              | disposed of in the calendar year  | 477          | 601  | 582             |
| 57                              | <b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional c  | onduct, int  | egrity, and con                                | npetence of the |
| 58                              | bar.  | ,            | <i>-</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | •               |
| 59                              | General Performance Information:  |              |  |                 |
| 60                              | Lego mance Injuliaments   | 2014         | 2015   | 2016            |
| 61                              | Avanaga numbay of haves assisted them 1   | 2014         | 2013   | 2010            |
|                                 | Average number of hours acquired through  | 1402         | 15 10  | 15.00           |
| 62                              | continuing legal education per lawyer   | 14.92        | 15.12  | 15.08           |
| 63                              | Number of complaints filed against lawyers  | 3,040        | 2,950  | 2,922           |
| 64                              | Number of complaints filed against lawyers  |              |  |                 |
| 65                              | resolved or disposed of in calendar year  | 3,140        | 3,046  | 2,673           |

**REENGROSSED** 

HLS 17RS-651

|  | HLS 17R   | S-651  |   | HB NO. 620   |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4                       | 09  | Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at  | \$  | 1,469,984  |
| 5                                      | 10  | Judicial College   | \$  | 303,725  |
| 6<br>7                                 |   | am Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Secontinuing legal education to Louisiana judges.   | Supreme C   | ourt in 1976 to  |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12               | 11  | State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at   | \$  | 19,013,380   |
| 13<br>14                               | 12  | Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54   | \$  | 204,000  |
| 15<br>16                               | 13  | Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records   | \$  | 60,000   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | 14  | Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System  | \$  | 1,368,548  |
| 21                                     | 15  | Information Technology   | \$  | 1,104,532  |
| 22<br>23<br>24                         | 16  | Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)  | \$  | 2,354,780  |
| 25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30       | develop<br>the use<br>and ma<br>indicate            | am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist oing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, impley of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS in a notating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, a cors and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and an analysis to the supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.   | lementing,<br>iformal cas<br>nd reportin              | and mandating<br>ses; developing<br>ng performance               |
| 31                                     | 17  | Drug court maintenance and enhancement   | \$  | 11,745,514   |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36             | provide<br>low-lev<br>prograi                       | am <b>Description:</b> Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:53 and integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with the el, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially the ms. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educant requirements set by the court.   | i case proc<br>supervised                             | essing to place<br>l rehabilitation                              |
| 37                                     | 18  | Court Appointed Special Advocates  | \$  | 2,965,884  |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44 | childre<br>Childre<br>screen,<br>advoca<br>interesi | im Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promine in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordancen's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by tess serve children by providing independent factual information to the judy of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and in the determination of the best interest of the children involved. | ce with the<br>programs<br>the trial ji<br>ge, advoca | e provisions of which recruit, udge, qualified ting for the best |
| 45                                     | TOTAL -   | GENERAL FUND   | <u>\$</u>   | 65,287,808   |
| 46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50             | 19  | Payable out of the State General Fund<br>from Statutory Dedications, Judges'<br>Supplemental Compensation Fund,<br>R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less<br>estimated at   | \$  | 6,223,724  |

HLS 17RS-651

| 1<br>2<br>3                            | <b>Program Description:</b> The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3. |  |   |   |   |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 4<br>5                                 | 20 Payable out of the State Go from Statutory Dedications  |  |   |   |   |  |
| 6                                      | Case Management Information  | •  | ,   |   |   |  |
| 7                                      | the Case Management Info   | •  |   |   |   |  |
| 8                                      | Article 887 (F) of the Code  | •  | 111,  |   |   |  |
| 9                                      | Procedure, be it more or le  |  | t   | \$  | 4,017,201   |  |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14             | Program Description: The Case Manage Court in 1993 to provide a statewide is juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as we transferred to the CMIS repository, and Additional information will also be available.   | gement Information<br>information systen<br>ell as protective of<br>id made availabl                         | on System (CM<br>n for tracking<br>rders. Data is<br>le to courts a                     | IIS) was created and managing received from cond to be and executive br | by the Supreme criminal, civil, ourts statewide, anch agencies. |  |
| 15<br>16                               | is funded from a court cost assessed C.Cr.P.887(F).  | on all criminal  | and traffic o   | convictions as p  | provided under  |  |
| 17                                     | TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND   | 1  |   |   |   |  |
| 18                                     | BY STATUTORY DEDICATION  | NS   |   | <u>\$</u>   | 10,240,925  |  |
| 19                                     | 21 Drug court maintenance and e  | nhancement, p  | ayable  |   |   |  |
| 20                                     | out of the State General Fu  | and through Int  | teragency   |   |   |  |
| 21                                     | Transfers from the Departs   | ment of Childre  | en and  |   |   |  |
| 22                                     | Family Services  |  |   | \$  | 5,400,000   |  |
| 23<br>24                               | 22 Court Appointed Special A the State General Fund thr  | ough Interagen   | ncy Transfer  | rs.   |   |  |
| 25                                     | from the Department of Cl  | hildren and Far  | mily  | ¢.  | 2 002 050   |  |
| 26                                     | Services   |  |   | <u>\$</u>   | 3,992,850   |  |
| 27                                     | TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND   |  |   |   |   |  |
| 28                                     | THROUGH INTERAGENCY TR   | RANSFERS   |   | <u>\$</u>   | 9,392,850   |  |
| 29                                     | TOTAL SUPREME COURT  |  |   | <u>\$</u>   | 84,921,583  |  |
| 30                                     | 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL   |  |   |   |   |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37 | Program Description: The five countries Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, all cases arising within their respective jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each of over all civil matters, all matters appear criminal cases triable by a jury which a appealable directly to the Supreme Courties.           | and Gretna, have s<br>e circuits, subject<br>court of appeal als<br>led from family a<br>rise within its cir | upervisory jur<br>to the genera<br>so has appella<br>and juvenile co<br>cuit, except fo | risdiction over<br>al supervisory<br>te jurisdiction<br>ourts, and all  |   |  |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41                   | Mission Statement: The mission of the meaningful access to all who seek review jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Corule of law, preserving the public trust, a   | vunder the Courts<br>nstitution while pr   | s' appellate an<br>rotecting and p  | nd supervisory promoting the  |   |  |
| 42                                     | Goal: To protect the rule of law.  |  |   |   |   |  |
| 43<br>44<br>45                         | Objective: To provide a reasonable opposed by lower tribunals.  General Performance Information:   |  | i-judge reviev  |   |   |  |
| 46                                     | T 1 01 1   | 2014   | 2015  | 2016  |   |  |
| 47<br>48                               | Total appeals filed  | 2,050<br>4,325   | 2,053<br>4,048  | 1,783<br>3,670  |   |  |
| 49                                     | Total writs filed<br>Total dispositions rendered   | 4,323<br>5,741   | 4,048<br>5,019  | 3,670<br>4,761  |   |  |
| 50                                     | Goal: To promote the rule of law.  |  |   |   |   |  |

| 1   |            | ive: To resolve cases expeditiously.   |                |                 |               |           |            |
|---|------------|--|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|------------|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | Genera     | al Performance Information:  | 2014           | 2015            | 2016          |           |            |
| 4   |            | e number of days from lodging of the   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 5   |            | to argument:<br>tandard = no more than 175 days.                                       |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 7   |            | al cases   | 151            | 143             | 162           |           |            |
| 8   | Civil C    |  | 149            | 147             | 155           |           |            |
| 9   | Mediar     | number of days for all cases   | 149            | 146             | 158           |           |            |
| 10  |            | e number of days from argument to  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 11<br>12                                  |            | ing of the opinion:<br>tandard = no more than 70 days.                                 |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 13  |            | al cases   | 38             | 43              | 43            |           |            |
| 14  | Civil co   |  | 44             | 43              | 42            |           |            |
| 15<br>16                                  |            | n number of days for all cases  To preserve public trust.                              | 43             | 43              | 43            |           |            |
|   |            |  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 17<br>18                                  |            | ive: To facilitate public access to the  | decisions of   | the courts of a | appeal.       |           |            |
| 19  | Genera     | al Performance Information:  | 2014           | 2015            | 2016          |           |            |
| 20  |            | tage of written opinions available   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 21  | to         | the public within 5 days of decision   | 100%           | 100%            | 100%          |           |            |
| 22  | Pavahle o  | ut of the State General Fund:  |                |                 |               |           |            |
|   | i ayaoic o | ut of the State General I und.   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 23  | 01         | Salaries of five (5) Chief Judge   | es             |                 |               |           |            |
| 24  |            | and forty-eight (48) Judges of   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 25  |            | the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:   | 311            |                 |               | \$        | 7,912,546  |
| 26  | 0.0        |  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 26  | 02         | Salaries and expenses of opera   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 27<br>28                                  |            | maintenance of the Court of A<br>First Circuit   | ppeai,         |                 |               | ¢         | 10 460 967 |
| 20  |            | First Circuit  |                |                 |               | \$        | 10,469,867 |
| 29  | 03         | Salaries and expenses of opera   | tion and       |                 |               |           |            |
| 30  |            | maintenance of the Court of A  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 31  |            | Second Circuit   |                |                 |               | \$        | 5,868,157  |
| 22  | 2.4        |  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 32  | 04         | Salaries and expenses of opera   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 33<br>34                                  |            | maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit  | ppeai,         |                 |               | \$        | 8,930,244  |
| J <b>-</b>                                |            | rima Circuit   |                |                 |               | Φ         | 0,930,244  |
| 35  | 05         | Salaries and expenses of opera   | tion and       |                 |               |           |            |
| 36  |            | maintenance of the Court of A  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 37  |            | Fourth Circuit   |                |                 |               | \$        | 8,347,759  |
| 2.0                                       | 0.6        |  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 38  | 06         | Salaries and expenses of opera   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 39<br>40                                  |            | maintenance of the Court of A<br>Fifth Circuit   | ppeai,         |                 |               | ¢         | 6.022.670  |
| 40  |            | Filin Circuit  |                |                 |               | <u>\$</u> | 6,022,679  |
| 41  | TOTAL (    | COURTS OF APPEAL   |                |                 |               | \$        | 47,551,252 |
|   |            |  |                |                 |               | =         | . ,, , -   |
| 42  | 03-8172    | DISTRICT COURTS  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 43  | Progra     | m Description: There are forty-one   | district con   | urts in Louisi  | ana that ha   | 110       |            |
| 44  |            | l jurisdiction over all matters within   |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 45  | judicia    | l districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24   | th Judicial    | Districts) who  | ere family a  | nd        |            |
| 46<br>47                                  |            | e courts have exclusive jurisdiction or  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 48  |            | s Parish where there are separate cour<br>ctions, respectively. In certain cases, t    |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 48<br>49                                  |            | oncurrent jurisdiction with justices of the  |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 50  | courts     | generally have appellate jurisdiction  | $of\ criminal$ | cases tried b   | y city, paris | sh,       |            |
| 51<br>52                                  |            | val, traffic, and mayors' courts, except<br>opellate jurisdiction over justices of the |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 53  |            | openate jurisaiction over justices of the<br>The Civil District Court of Orleans Pa    |                |                 |               |           |            |
| 54  |            | rish. The Criminal District Court of C   |                |                 |               |           |            |

criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

**Goal:** To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

**Objective:** To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

| 2014  | 2015  | 2016  |
|-------|-------|-------|
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
|       |       |       |
| 97.9% | 93.8% | 81.3% |
|       |       |       |

**Objective:** To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.

| General Performance Information:            |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|---|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
|   | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of surveyed district court chief |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| judges indicating actions taken in          |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| FY 2015-2016 to assist self-represented     |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| litigants                                   | 97.9% | 97.9% | 85.4% |  |  |  |

**Goal:** To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

## **Objective:** To encourage timely case management and processing.

| General Performance Information:            |       |       |       |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
|   | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  |
| Number of parishes reporting criminal       |       |       |       |
| disposition data to CMIS                    | 64    | 64    | 64    |
| Percentage of parishes reporting criminal   |       |       |       |
| disposition data to CMIS                    | 100%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Percentage of surveyed district court chief |       |       |       |
| judges indicating that their courts had     |       |       |       |
| taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to          |       |       |       |
| reduce delays and improve the timeliness    |       |       |       |
| of case processing                          | 91.7% | 97.9% | 87.5% |
| <b>Objective:</b> To enhance jury service.  |       |       |       |
| General Performance Information:            |       |       |       |
| ·   | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  |
| Percentage of surveyed district court chief |       |       |       |
| judges indicating that their court had      |       |       |       |
| taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to make     |       |       |       |
| jury service more convenient or effective   | 93%   | 100%  | 90.7% |
|   |       |       |       |

**Goal:** To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions.

**Objective:** To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary.

| operations as necessary.                    |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| General Performance Information:            |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of surveyed district court chief |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| judges indicating actions taken in          |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| FY 2015-2016 to improve employee            |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| training and development                    | 95.8% | 87.5% | 85.4% |  |  |  |  |

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4                 | jua<br>FY | tage of surveyed district court chief<br>lges indicating actions taken in<br>7 2015-2016 to install or implement<br>Phnologies  | 95.8%               | 100%          | 91.7%          |    |            |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---|---------------------|---------------|----------------|----|------------|
| 5<br>6                           |           | To maintain judicial independence, wernmental relations and accountability  |                     |               | le of comity i | n  |            |
| 7<br>8                           |           | ive: To inform the community of the al Performance Information:   | court's struct      | ure and funct | ion.           |    |            |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10                | Percen    | tage of surveyed district court chief   | 2014                | 2015          | 2016           |    |            |
| 11<br>12<br>13                   | pro       | lges indicating that their courts regul<br>ovided public education and public<br>treach services in FY 2015-2016  | arly<br>89.6%       | 97.9%         | 87.5%          |    |            |
| 14                               | Payable o | ut of the State General Fund:   |                     |               |                |    |            |
| 15<br>16<br>17                   | 01        | Salaries of one hundred ninety<br>(191) District Judges as provide<br>by R.S. 13:691  | •                   |               |                | \$ | 27,187,586 |
| 18<br>19<br>20                   | 02        | Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively   |                     |               |                | \$ | 1,285,250  |
| 21<br>22<br>23                   | 03        | Salaries of fourteen (14) Judg<br>Civil District Court, Orleans I<br>as provided by R.S. 13:691   |                     |               |                | \$ | 1,992,807  |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28       | 04        | Expenses of Judges of Civil E<br>Court, Parish of Orleans, for so<br>of stenographers, clerks, law le<br>stationery, telephone, and like<br>as provided by R.S. 13:698  | salaries<br>oooks,  |               |                | \$ | 80,500     |
| 29<br>30<br>31<br>32             | 05        | Salaries of two (2) Court Report of the Twentieth Judicial Distincluding retirement contribute provided by R.S. 13:966.1  | rict Court,         |               |                | \$ | 117,697    |
| 33<br>34                         | 06        | Clerk of Civil District Court,<br>Parish, as provided by R.S. 13  |                     |               |                | \$ | 10,000     |
| 35<br>36<br>37<br>38             | 07        | State share of Group, Workers<br>Compensation, General Liabil<br>and Property Insurance Premi<br>as provided by R.S.42:851  | lity,               |               |                | \$ | 7,471,735  |
| 39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44 | 08        | Salaries of two (2) commission the Nineteenth Judicial District one (1) commissioner of the Fundicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively | ct and<br>Fifteenth |               |                | \$ | 569,959    |
| 45<br>46<br>47<br>48             | 09        | Office expenses for the Judici<br>Expense Fund of the Nineteer<br>District Court as provided by<br>R.S. 13:711-713  |                     |               |                | \$ | 470,893    |

|  | HLS 17RS   | S-651  |  | GROSSED<br>HB NO. 620  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4                             | 10   | Office expenses for the Judicial<br>Expense Fund of the Fifteenth<br>Judicial District Court as provided<br>by R.S. 13:714-716   | \$   | 304,827  |
| 5<br>6                                       | 11   | Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District<br>Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977   | \$   | 51,118   |
| 7<br>8<br>9                                  | 12   | For the expenses of the Judicial Expense<br>Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as<br>provided by Act 57 of 2006   | <u>\$</u>  | 35,000   |
| 10   | SUBT   | OTAL   | \$   | 39,577,372   |
| 11   | 13   | Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans   |  |  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19 | of the t<br>Orleans<br>with ass<br>the pow<br>cases be | m Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans rial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses comm is, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, the sistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates are to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisors. | itted within<br>hrough its i<br>s in all felon<br>s, or to hold<br>efore the M | n the parish of<br>magistrate and<br>my charges and<br>for trial, in all<br>unicipal Court |
| 20<br>21<br>22                               | A.   | Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of<br>Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided<br>by R.S. 13:691   | \$   | 1,850,464  |
| 23<br>24                                     | В.   | Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court,<br>Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698  | \$   | 74,750   |
| 25<br>26                                     | C.   | State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851  | \$   | 736,127  |
| 27<br>28                                     | D.   | Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1  | \$   | 288,063  |
| 29<br>30                                     | E.   | Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1  | \$   | 454,091  |
| 31<br>32<br>33                               | F.   | Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347   | \$   | 503,908  |
| 34<br>35                                     | G.   | Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347  | \$   | 10,600   |
| 36<br>37                                     | H.   | Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347  | \$   | 75,371   |
| 38<br>39                                     | I.   | Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347  | \$   | 57,311   |
| 40<br>41                                     | J.   | Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits   | \$   | 1,007,453  |
| 42   | K.   | Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks   | \$   | 733,824  |
| 43   | L.   | Salaries of four (4) secretaries   | \$   | 212,661  |

|                            | HLS 17RS-651  |           | GROSSED<br>HB NO. 620 |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1                          | M. Sanity Commissions   | \$        | 200,000               |  |  |  |
| 2                          | N. Board of Jury Commissioners  | \$        | 434,248               |  |  |  |
| 3                          | SUBTOTAL  | <u>\$</u> | 6,638,871             |  |  |  |
| 4                          | 14 Juvenile and Family Court Judges   |           |                       |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6                     | A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691   | \$        | 1,992,807             |  |  |  |
| 7<br>8                     | B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691  | \$        | 569,374               |  |  |  |
| 9<br>10<br>11              | C. Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively  | \$        | 103,500               |  |  |  |
| 12                         | SUBTOTAL  | \$        | 2,665,681             |  |  |  |
| 13                         | TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS   | <u>\$</u> | 48,881,924            |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15                   | 03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSIBY STATUTE  | ES AS R   | REQUIRED              |  |  |  |
| 16<br>17<br>18             | <b>Program Description:</b> The category includes forty-seven city courts, one mun court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Asce Parish).  |           |                       |  |  |  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | <b>Mission Statement:</b> The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.   |           |                       |  |  |  |
| 24                         | Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.  |           |                       |  |  |  |
| 25<br>26<br>27<br>28       | <b>Objective:</b> To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to ma costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in termoney, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and afform the procedure of the court is the court of the court is the court of the court is the court of the court of the court is the court of th | ms of     |                       |  |  |  |
| 29<br>30<br>31<br>32       | General Performance Information:  2014  2015  Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist pro se litigants 100% 96.2% 96.2%  |           |                       |  |  |  |
| 33<br>34                   | Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activi a timely and expeditious manner.  |           |                       |  |  |  |
| 35<br>36                   | <b>Objective:</b> To encourage timely case management and processing. <i>General Performance Information:</i>   |           |                       |  |  |  |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41 | Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case  | 6         |                       |  |  |  |
| 42                         | processing 84.6% 88.5% 92.3%  | %         |                       |  |  |  |

HLS 17RS-651

| 1 2                                    | <b>Goal:</b> To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public. |   |                |                   |                |           |               |
|--|---|---|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|
| 3 4                                    |   | ve: To inform the community of the coll Performance Information:  | ourt's struc   | ture and function | on.            |           |               |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9        | jud <sub>e</sub><br>reg   | age of surveyed city/parish court chief<br>ges indicating that their courts<br>ularly provided public education and<br>plic outreach services in FY 2015-   | 2014           | 2015              | 2016           |           |               |
| 10                                     | 201   |   | 92.3%          | 92.3%             | 88.5%          |           |               |
| 11<br>12<br>13                         | operatio  | ve: To recognize new conditions or one as necessary.  I Performance Information:  | emerging       | events and to     | adjust cou     | ırt       |               |
| 14<br>15                               | Percent   | age of surveyed city/parish court chief   | 2014           | 2015              | 2016           |           |               |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | jud,<br>FY<br>trai<br>Percent<br>jud,<br>FY   | ges indicating actions taken in 2015-2016 to improve employee ining and development age of surveyed city/parish court chief ges indicating actions taken in 2015-2016 to install or implement hnologies | 94.2%<br>92.3% | 98.1%<br>96.2%    | 96.2%<br>90.4% |           |               |
|  |   |   |                | 7 4.2, 4          |                |           |               |
| 23                                     | Payable or  | at of the State General Fund:   |                |                   |                |           |               |
| 24<br>25                               | 01  | Salaries of sixty (60) City Courd Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1   |                |                   |                | \$        | 2,757,560     |
| 26<br>27<br>28<br>29                   | 02  | Salaries of four (4) Municipal, 17 Traffic and one (1) Parish Cour Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively.   | t<br>2492,     |                   |                | \$        | 444,777       |
| 30                                     | TOTAL O   | THER COURTS REQUIRED B  | Y STAT         | TUTE              |                | \$        | 3,202,337     |
| 31<br>32                               | 03-8174   | OTHER COURTS - SALAREQUIRED BY STATUTE  | ARIES          | AND OFF           | ICE EX         | PEN       | SES NOT       |
| 33                                     | Payable or  | ut of the State General Fund:   |                |                   |                |           |               |
| 34<br>35                               | 01  | Orleans Parish Juvenile Protects<br>Care Monitoring Program   | ive            |                   |                | \$        | 614,938       |
| 36<br>37                               |   |   |                |                   |                |           |               |
| 38                                     | 02  | Orleans Parish Juvenile Court F   | Reporters      | 3                 |                | \$        | 88,244        |
| 39<br>40                               | 03  | For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program  |                |                   |                | \$        | 63,238        |
| 41<br>42                               |   | m Description: The Judges' Assistance with substance abuse problems.  | ce Progran     | n provides cou    | nseling and    | other     | assistance to |
| 43                                     | TOTAL O   | THER COURTS NOT REQUIR  | ED BY          | STATUTE           |                | <u>\$</u> | 766,420       |

## 03-8175 NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

| 2 | Payable | e out | of the | State | General | Fund: |
|---|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
|---|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|

1

3 01 Legal representation of children in child protection cases 2,070,853

4 5 6 7 8 **Program Description:** As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation

in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in

order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers

funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection

cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.

#### 9 TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

2,070,853

- 10 Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State
- 11 General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount
- 12 of Twenty Million Seventeen Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty Three and No/100
- 13 (\$20,017,923.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control
- 14 Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.
- 15 Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and
- 16 appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for
- 17 so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state
- 18 general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other
- 19 warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants
- 20 for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this
- 21 Act.
- 22 B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary
- 23 in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
- 24 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
- 25 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
- 26 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
- 27 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state
- 28 general fund on or before September 1, 2018.
- 29 D. For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in
- 30 this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year
- 31 in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the
- 32 Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the

Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017; if vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

## COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2016-2017 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2017-2018 as contained in the original bill.

| Operating Budget    |               | Appropriation Request |  |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| Courts and Programs | FY 2016-2017  | FY 2017-2018          |  |
| Supreme Court       |               |                       |  |
| Total Supreme Court | \$ 63,673,627 | \$ 74,680,658         |  |

| HLS 17RS-651                |                      | REENGROSSED<br>HB NO. 620 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Courts of Appeal            |                      |                           |
| Total Courts of Appeal      | \$ 45,101,587        | \$ 47,551,252             |
| District Courts             |                      |                           |
| Total District Courts       | \$ 46,311,638        | \$ 48,881,924             |
| Other Courts                |                      |                           |
| Total Other Courts          | \$ 3,132,025         | \$ 3,202,337              |
| Other Programs              |                      |                           |
| Total Other Programs        | \$ 634,064           | \$ 766,420                |
| Non-Judicial State Expenses |                      |                           |
| Total State Expenses        | <u>\$ 2,070,853</u>  | \$ 2,070,853              |
| Total State General Fund    |                      |                           |
| and Interagency Transfer    |                      |                           |
| All Line Items              | <u>\$160,923,794</u> | <u>\$ 177,153,444</u>     |
| Total Statutory Dedications | <u>\$ 10,407,485</u> | \$ 10,240,925             |
| Total Funding               | <u>\$171,331,279</u> | \$ 187,394,369            |

# DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 620 Reengrossed 2017 Regular Session Henry

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2017-2018 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2017-2018 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$171,164,719 from the following sources: \$151,530,944 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$9,392,850 through interagency transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services; and, \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

| (1) | Louisiana Supreme Court            | \$84,921,583 |
|-----|------------------------------------|--------------|
| (2) | Courts of Appeal                   | 47,551,252   |
| (3) | District Courts                    | 39,577,372   |
| (4) | Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans  | 6,638,871    |
| (5) | Juvenile and Family Courts         | 2,665,681    |
| (6) | Other Courts (Required by Statute) | 3,202,337    |

HLS 17RS-651 REENGROSSED

HB NO. 620

(7) Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)

766,420

(8) Non-Judicial State Expenses

2,070,853

TOTAL \$187,394,369

Requires that the appropriations out of the State General Fund (Direct) contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$16,229,650, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

Effective July 1, 2017.

### Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the original bill:

- 1. Provide for a change in means of financing to decrease State General Fund (Direct) and increase Interagency Transfers from the Dept. of Children and Family Services by \$9,392,850 for drug court maintenance and enhancement and Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA).
- 2. Add requirement that appropriations contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$20,017,923 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

### The House Floor Amendments to the engrossed bill:

1. Change the amount of reduction to the appropriations contained in this Act <u>from</u> \$20,017,923 to \$16,229,650, resulting in an increase to the appropriation of \$3,788,273.